## OpenMedia is a community-based organization that works to keep the Internet open, affordable, and surveillance free.



Claude Doucet Secretary-General Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission Gatineau QC, K1A 0N2

Dear Mr. Doucet,

Re: Broadcasting Notice of Consultation CRTC 2023-138 - The Path Forward – Working towards a modernized regulatory framework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content (CRTC File No.1011-NOC2023-0138) - intervention of OpenMedia Engagement Network

- OpenMedia is a community-driven organization that works to keep the Internet open, affordable, and surveillance-free. Further to Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2023-138, please find enclosed OpenMedia's intervention with replies to the questions posed in 2023-138. Alongside this, our intervention is supported by our replies and intervention to 2023-139.
- Please do not hesitate to contact either myself or Mr. Matt Hatfield if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

[Orignal Signed] Ramneet Bhullar Campaigner, OpenMedia 1 (888) 441-2640 ext.712 ramneet@openmedia.org [Orignal Signed]
Matt Hatfield
Campaigns Director, OpenMedia
1 (888) 441-2640 ext. 1
matt@openmedia.org



#### Intervention in the Matter of

# Broadcasting Notice of Consultation CRTC 2023-138 CRTC File No: 1011-NOC2023-0138

Submitted to the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission

11 July 2023

OpenMedia is a community-based organization that works to keep the Internet open, affordable, and surveillance free.

https://openmedia.org

OpenMedia Engagement Network P.O. Box 21674, 1424 Commercial Dr. Vancouver, BC, Canada V5L 5G3 1-888-441-2640

#### **Introduction and Executive Summary:**

- 1. OpenMedia is a grassroots community of Canadian Internet users that work together to keep our Internet open, affordable, and surveillance-free. We are dedicated to expressing the needs and interests of ordinary Canadians in our online lives in government proceedings and decision-making. To this end, we advocate for policies that respect the freedom of expression, access to information and free decision-making of ordinary people in Canada. On the production side, we seek fair and inclusive treatment in policies for all Canadian Internet content creators, particularly smaller and independent players. We recognize the critical role of the open Internet in the future of Canadian programming, storytelling and distribution. We are pleased to submit our response to key questions in Broadcasting Notice of Consultation CRTC 2023-138.
- 2. It is OpenMedia's position that all players in the Canadian content creation system need to be treated fairly while preserving and celebrating the cultural fabric of Canada. By closely considering the contribution framework's criteria and thresholds, we can establish a system that meets Bill C-11's goals of fostering innovation, amplifying underrepresented voices, and effectively contributing to Canadian storytelling, without undue interference or regulatory control of the feeds or content of ordinary Canadians.
- 3. Since the Bill's original proposal as Bill C-10 in 2020, OpenMedia's community of more than 218,000 Canadians have firmly emphasized the importance of respecting user choice, freedom for user-generated content from regulation as broadcasting, treating all Canadian creators equally and supporting small, innovative platforms in the market.
- 4. Our community wishes to see this Bill implemented in a manner that reflects the evolving media landscape and that supports the growth and success of online content creators across Canada, not in a ham-fisted manner that creates barriers between Canadians and the global Internet, or attempts to drag us back into a

1

less connected world. Since 2021, OpenMedia community members have sent more than 100,000 messages to our representatives on Bill C-11, asking the government to respect the online expression and choices of people in Canada by setting appropriate limits on the scope of this legislation. We write this submission on their behalf.

- Q1. The thresholds proposed in Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2023-139 and Broadcasting Notice of Consultation 2023-140 are being consulted on as part of those proceedings, and any decisions in that regard will be considered by the Commission in the context of this proceeding. Are there other criteria upon which the Commission should base its threshold for the purposes of the new contribution framework? If so, what should the specific threshold be (e.g., what specific revenue or subscriber level should apply)? Indicate whether the criteria or threshold should be different for audio versus video services and online versus traditional undertakings.
  - 5. OpenMedia's primary concern in setting thresholds for regulatory inclusion is to minimize potential impacts on Canadian internet user expression or marketplace choices of implementation of Bill C-11 while allowing the Bill to accomplish its primary purpose of supporting Canadian content creation. Setting a substantial revenue threshold before a platform must meet C-11 obligations would help reduce the risk of reduction in user choice or regulation of user-generated content. As we indicated in our comments on 2023-139, we recommend increasing the revenue threshold target to a more substantial figure such as \$50 million in Canadian revenue, based on the Annual Digital Media Survey and pegging that threshold to inflation.¹ A higher threshold would still easily capture large platforms that are the primary targets of the Bill but would make it much

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CRTC BNC 2022-47, Broadcasting Regulatory Policy CRTC 2022-47, para 30

- less likely that small niche streaming platforms will leave Canada, or that small Canadian platforms will avoid starting up in Canada for fear of high regulatory burden compared to other jurisdictions.
- 6. This approach balances different interests the Canadian public holds and provides a reasonable basis for determining the financial responsibilities of online platforms. Platforms generating significant Canadian revenue will be required to contribute to the funding framework, while innovative and emerging platforms will not be burdened by unnecessary financial constraints.
- 7. A higher threshold will also support the policy direction's guidance to wholly exclude social media user content from regulation. In the text of Bill C-11, the CRTC is asked to consider "the extent to which a program, uploaded to an online undertaking that provides a social media service, directly or indirectly generates revenues" as it makes regulations. By these criteria, the content uploaded by digital-first creators and a great deal of content from ordinary Canadians is well within the scope of Bill C-11, as almost all our content earns revenue for someone, and the largest digital creators may earn millions of dollars in revenue.
- 8. This breadth poses unacceptable risks to the future freedom of expression of Canadians and is not a reasonable scope for Bill's C-11 described intention. Popular expression spaces like TikTok or Youtube frequently see users mix brief clips of commercial content alongside their personal thoughts and expression. Our online expression on modern social media exists in relationship to this commercial content, yet is also wholly our own. We literally cannot participate in the conversations occurring around many issues, including those of considerable social importance, without using clips, tags, and beats that started life as commercial content from a traditional broadcasting space. Yet treating the resulting social expression as 'broadcasting' would grossly misunderstand the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Government of Canada, An Act to amend the Broadcasting Act and to make related and consequential amendments to other Acts, Section 2(a), (2023) <a href="https://www.parl.ca/DocumentViewer/en/44-1/bill/C-11/royal-assent">https://www.parl.ca/DocumentViewer/en/44-1/bill/C-11/royal-assent</a>

resulting content and constitute an undue intrusion on the lives and expression of ordinary Canadians.

4

- 9. Additionally, to better ensure that platforms that are important to a small niche of Canadian users but are not widespread broadcasters in Canada are not captured, a minimum subscriber count of 400,000 users could be added to the revenue threshold, to more clearly exclude those platforms.<sup>3</sup>
- 10. For this reason, we ask the CRTC to resist any suggestion that C-11 be expanded to include platforms that are primarily user-content driven, and carefully assess criteria and standards set for how to regulate content on mixed platforms like Spotify or Youtube to ensure that content that is fundamentally individual expression is not being boxed into a broadcasting framework.

# Suggestions for criteria when determining which online undertakings should contribute to the framework by members of the Canadian public.

- 11. Please see Appendix D for all individual comments submitted by OpenMedia community members for the Commission's consideration of criteria for online undertakings.
- 12. For the Commission's review, we have highlighted below three relevant exemplary comments from the OpenMedia community, which have been sourced from the full batch of community comments referenced above:
  - a. "As long as they are producing some prescribed amount of CanCon there should be no regulation. Individual searches and feeds should not be manipulated as far as existing search results and preferences, but rather maybe something like a CanCon tag can be added so that users can make their own choice". Neil B

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> C.D Howe Institute, *Konrad von Finckenstein – Two Improvements Needed for Bill C-11*, (February 15, 2023)

https://www.cdhowe.org/intelligence-memos/konrad-von-finckenstein-two-improvements-needed-bill-c-11

- b. "Function and Purpose: The CRTC may consider whether a platform primarily functions as a communication service or an online content provider. Platforms that primarily provide communication services (such as telecommunications companies or internet service providers) may be subject to different regulatory treatment compared to platforms that primarily provide content. Market Impact: The CRTC may evaluate the market impact of a platform to determine if it has significant market power or if it contributes to the concentration of media ownership. Platforms that have a dominant position in the market or that exert significant influence over the media landscape may be more likely to be subject to regulation. Consumer Protection: The CRTC may consider the need to protect consumers from harmful or deceptive practices on platforms. This could include evaluating issues such as privacy, data protection, accessibility, and the availability of appropriate mechanisms for resolving disputes. It's important to note that the specific criteria and considerations under Bill C-11 will depend on the language and provisions outlined in the bill itself, as well as any additional guidance or directives provided by the government."- Sbuc K
- c. "Provide more funding for Canadian creators so the content will naturally be Canadian without forcing anything. We have amazing talent right here and the world is becoming more and more aware of that. We just need to make sure we're supporting creators here so creators can stay and work in Canada." Brittney K
- Q5. How should the Commission define "social media service"? What, if any, criteria should be used to assess whether an online undertaking is providing a social media service?

- 13. When assessing whether an online undertaking is providing a social media service, the Commission should examine whether the service serves to primarily allow communication between users and sharing their content between them. This would provide a clear definition to differentiate from other types of online platforms.
- 14. Communication and Content Sharing: Social media services are services that primarily serve to facilitate communication among users, allowing them to exchange messages, share their multimedia content, engage in conversations and discover new user-generated content.
- 15. User-Generated Content (UGC): Most social media platforms primarily host user-generated content, which refers to content created and uploaded by users themselves. This type of creation often makes substantial use of content and assets originally produced within a commercial broadcasting framework, including clips of music, video which is reacted to or critiqued, and many more forms of expressive engagement, but is at its core unique self-expression, not reproduction of that work. The legislative framework of Bill C-11 as passed by Parliament is sufficiently broad that it continues to allow for the capture and regulation of much UGC on most audio-visual online undertakings, which OpenMedia considers a key deficiency of the Bill that must be remedied in the CRTC's regulatory approach. The widespread presence of UGC on a platform in terms of the proportion of content and associated revenue should be a key indicator of being a social media service.
- 16. User Expression: Social media services play a crucial role in enabling users to express themselves, share their opinions, and participate in public discourse online. The Commission should consider the implications on user expression when determining which online undertakings should be regulated as social media services, and how to regulate content and revenue from any included undertakings.
- 17. The definition of "online undertaking" should also be carefully evaluated.

  Platforms that primarily host user-generated content, such as TikTok and

YouTube, should be excluded from the scope, or have the user-dominated portions of their content and operations excluded. These platforms differ significantly in nature from streaming services like Netflix, as they rely on user-generated content rather than the transmission or retransmission of programs. They are fundamentally different and serve different purposes for users. By excluding platforms that primarily host user-generated content, it focuses regulation on traditional entertainment programming. While the government's draft policy direction to the CRTC on Bill C-11 suggests offering some protections to avoid regulating user-generated content, it is not enough. Including social media services that primarily host user-generated content could indirectly continue to capture user content.<sup>4</sup> Excluding these services altogether would help maintain a focused approach and avoid unnecessary complications.

18. By considering these points, the Commission can establish a clear definition of "social media service" and ensure that the criteria used for assessment take into account the fundamental aspects of user communication, content sharing, user-generated content hosting, and user expression.

Q6. Generally speaking, commercial radio stations with total revenues exceeding \$1,250,000 are required to make basic CCD contributions of \$1,000 plus 0.5% of revenues in excess of \$1,250,000. Large English-language vertically integrated television groups have CPE requirements of approximately 30% of gross revenues from the previous broadcast year, while large French-language vertically integrated television groups have CPE requirements of up to 45% of gross revenues from the previous broadcast year, along with a requirement to produce original French-language programs. Licensed BDUs are generally required to contribute 4.7% of their previous broadcast year's gross revenues relating to broadcasting activities to Canadian programming, less any allowable contribution to local expression. With this in mind, under the new contribution framework, should the overall contribution commitment of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Canada Gazette, Canada Gazette, Part I, Volume 157, Number 23: Order Issuing Directions to the CRTC (Sustainable and Equitable Broadcasting Regulatory Framework), (June 10, 2023) <a href="https://www.gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/p1/2023/2023-06-10/html/reg1-eng.html#finalStep">https://www.gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/p1/2023/2023-06-10/html/reg1-eng.html#finalStep</a>

# online undertakings be comparable to the existing contribution levels of traditional broadcasting undertakings? If so, which traditional broadcasting undertakings? Please explain.

- 19. Very broadly, subscription-based services such as Spotify and Netflix most closely resemble traditional vertically integrated television groups, while services that primarily rely on advertising revenue are more similar to BDUs. However, there are many features of the digital economy and its unique models that make direct carry-over of existing obligations inappropriate, such that the position of online undertakings are not directly comparable to the existing contribution levels of traditional broadcasting undertakings under the new contribution framework. In the case of a platform like Spotify, for example, revenues to artists are directly allocated based on user choices, so a revenue quota allocation requirement would not be appropriate.
- 20. How much revenue to demand specific platforms allocate to Canadian purposes is not OpenMedia's primary interest or expertise. We instead ask the CRTC to ensure the results of the resulting system are as flexible, give a broad range of viable potential partnerships for Canadian creators, and have an overall contribution requirement at realistic level to prevent the services from leaving the Canadian market as some services are already exploring.
- 21. Placing ourselves within the range of similar initiatives by our international peers is one way to ensure we are not driving companies out and it is worth mentioning that not a single other country has adopted requirements anything close to a net 30% national revenue contribution requirement commitment from streaming companies.
- 22. The European Union's member directives for on-demand services are a useful comparison for Canada's proposed requirements. At the high end is France's Services de Médias Audiovisuels à la Demande part of the Audiovisual Media Services Directive Decree, which mandates on-demand services allocate between 20% and 25% of their French revenues to the production of

- French-language audiovisual content.<sup>5</sup> At a more modest level, Switzerland has settled on a 4% requirement for platforms' revenue investment into local productions, mirroring the requirement imposed on local distributors.<sup>6,7</sup>
- 23. A Canadian contribution requirement somewhere in between these levels is likely appropriate. When determining the contribution commitment of online undertakings, it is crucial to strike a balance between supporting Canadian content and ensuring the viability of a broad range of online services in Canada. By setting contribution levels realistically, excluding small platforms and aligning them with international standards, Canada can achieve Bill C-11's objectives without hindering the growth and operation of online platforms.
- 24. A carefully crafted framework can encourage online undertakings to contribute meaningfully to the Canadian content creation landscape while continuing to deliver the experiences their Canadian audience enjoys. This could involve a combination of financial contributions to the CMF, platform investments in local production for their existing content streams, and more flexible training support for Canadian creators and talent. The more flexibility allowed to contribute in ways that make sense for the platform in question, the more justifiable a somewhat higher revenue allocation standard may be.
- 25. It is OpenMedia's principled position that no part of C-11's implementation should be taking revenue from the Internet and online services for predominantly offline purposes. This would not be fair to Canada's digital creators, who should not be taxed for offline activity, and would not contribute to Canadian storytelling goals of Bill C-11. Modern culture is primarily created and experienced online; taxing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ministère de la Culture, *Publication of the decree on on-demand audiovisual media services (SMAD)*, (June 23, 2021),

https://www.culture.gouv.fr/en/Presse/Communiques-de-presse/Publication-du-decret-relatif-aux-services-de-medias-audiovisuels-a-la-demande-SMAD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Auxadi, Switzerland: law on digital streaming platforms passes referendum, (May 23, 2022), https://www.auxadi.com/blog/2022/05/23/swiss-streaming-referendum/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reuters, Swiss voters approve 'Lex Netflix' TV streaming funding law, (May 15, 2022) <a href="https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/swiss-voters-look-set-approve-tv-streaming-funding-law-2022-05-1">https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/swiss-voters-look-set-approve-tv-streaming-funding-law-2022-05-1</a>

that creativity for offline purposes would not reinvigorate Canadian culture, it would only marginalize it further. While it is not the subject of the proceeding, it is critical that the Canadian Media Fund and CanCon definitions be reformed to be highly flexible and available to support online creation and Canadian online creators.

26. It is also important to recognize the ways online platforms have brought significant benefits to consumers, such as increased accessibility, diverse content options, and new avenues for content creators to reach audiences. Balancing the regulatory requirements with the advantages offered by these platforms will foster a vibrant and sustainable media ecosystem.

# Q21. To what extent is the proposed new contribution framework adaptable to the needs and capacities of smaller, independent players?

- 27. A key goal of the framework must be to create an environment that enables smaller players to easily enter, innovate, participate, and in some cases eventually contribute to Canadian content creation, while accommodating their specific needs.
- 28. The framework should recognize the dominance of big players can potentially overshadow smaller entities, hindering their ability to participate and thrive in Canada. Equal requirements for both is not equitable to both, and may 'lock in' larger players, discouraging startups and preventing a broad range of small foreign services from providing content in Canada. Heavy regulatory requirements disproportionately affect smaller platforms, and to truly achieve fairness, they should be exempted or subject to significantly lighter requirements.
- 29. When OpenMedia community members were asked about which online services should be regulated as broadcasters, only 7% of respondents believed that small streaming services should be regulated as broadcasters, while an overwhelming 79% of respondents believed that none of the online services should be regulated in this manner.<sup>8</sup>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Appendix A

- 30. Some smaller platforms have already warned the CRTC's regulations could lead to them leaving Canada altogether if they're inappropriately burdensome. Crunchyroll, a Japanese anime streaming service, specifically raised concerns about burdening small platforms with a low contribution threshold. Roku and PBS have also warned about possibly leaving the Canadian market if the regulations are too burdensome on small services. 10,11
- 31. To prevent these undesirable outcomes, the CRTC should provide certainty to these players that they will not be included, or unduly burdened if they are. A higher \$50 million threshold as discussed above would help rule out many of these platforms; but the CRTC should consider adopting separate, lesser contribution requirements for some or all of these platforms if they do eventually exceed the revenue threshold, given that many of them have thematic or cultural focuses for which the full suite of C-11 requirements do not make sense. For small Canadian startups, a sliding scale of increasing contributions, after they meet whatever revenue threshold the CRTC selects, might help assure them that they would not suddenly acquire much greater regulatory obligations than they are prepared to meet if their business takes off, which could discourage them from founding themselves in Canada in favour of more innovation-friendly alternate countries.
- Q24. Should the Commission recognize other forms of contributions to the Canadian broadcasting system, such as rights payments, predominance/carriage commitments (for example, 9.1(1)(h) or 9.1(1)(i)19 services), promotion/discoverability, training/internships, or capital expenditures? If yes, how should such contributions be recognized, measured and monitored?
  - 32. Yes. OpenMedia will defer to industry experts on how to value these commitments, but adopting a framework that includes flexible means of meeting obligations will encourage compliance and fulfillment of Bill C-11's intended

<sup>9</sup> CRTC BNC CRTC 2023-139 Intervention Roku, paras: 5,23,29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> CRTC BNC CRTC 2023-139 Intervention Roku, paras: 5,23,29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> CRTC BNC CRTC 2023-139 Intervention PBS, paras: *12,12,27-31* 

results, while minimizing potential negative impacts on user content, expression and choice. For example, investing in training opportunities and internships can foster talent development and nurture a skilled workforce. <sup>12</sup> By providing aspiring Canadian creators with the necessary training and mentorship, the industry can enhance its capacity to produce high-quality Canadian content across various mediums. Programs like the talent accelerator programs offered by Netflix, such as imagineNATIVE Indigenous Screenwriting Intensive and HotDoc Accelerate, can contribute to the growth and diversity of Canadian creatives in key positions. <sup>13,14</sup>

- 33. For content promotion or 'discoverability', we ask the CRTC to adhere to the government's policy direction by prioritizing methods to make it easier for Canadians to find and consume Canadian content when that is truly what we are looking for not force it on us across the board. There are several user choice-respecting ways of doing this, including:
  - Requiring platforms to produce curated or algorithmically generated lists
    and feeds highlighting Canadian content, including French language and
    Indigenous content, and allocate promotional space to those lists within
    their interfaces. While many platforms already provide some level of this,
    mandating it and having a fixed promotion requirement across the board
    would likely increase awareness and use by many Canadians.
  - Requiring platforms to provide a means for Canadian users to 'opt in' to or
    otherwise tune their Canadian recommendation quotas in their
    algorithmically generated feeds and search results, setting it to the level of
    their preference at a given time. This could include distinct preference
    settings for the inclusion of French language and Indigenous content.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> TikTok, *How to Best Support the Success of Canadian Digital Creators*, (June 6,2022) <a href="https://newsroom.tiktok.com/en-ca/bill-c-11-testimony-how-to-best-support-the-success-of-canadian-digital-creators">https://newsroom.tiktok.com/en-ca/bill-c-11-testimony-how-to-best-support-the-success-of-canadian-digital-creators</a>

l-creators

13 HotDocs, Doc Accelerator,

https://hotdocs.ca/industry/professional-development/canadian-storytellers-project/doc-accelerator

14 National Screen Institute, *TikTok Accelerator for Indigenous Creators*,

https://nsi-canada.ca/programs/tiktok-accelerator-for-indigenous-creators/

- Adopting this approach would give users the agency to opt-in to the promotion and explore Canadian content out of their own interest.
- 34. Either of these methods are much preferable to a heavy-handed obligation for most or all algorithmically generated results to feature designated Canadian content. Such an obligation is likely to produce poor and counterproductive results, as many users will not find the resulting results satisfactory or reflective of their preferences and may resist the system, whether by circumventing it with a VPN or demanding their MPs dismantle the system. It may further punish Canadian creators by reflecting lower actual user interest when presented with their content than they would receive organically, diminishing their organic representation in results in Canada and around the world, resulting in a net loss to their discoverability and revenue.
- 35. Conversely, a user-centric, choice-enhancing approach method will allow individuals to decide whether they want to delve into specific Canadian playlists or content categories that match their interests, giving them greater control over their browsing experience, which many users will likely appreciate. A user-centric perspective can enhance 'discoverability' without infringing on the autonomy of content consumers or the organic growth and discoverability of Canadian content. It ensures individuals have the freedom to determine their own content consumption preferences while still giving them opportunities to discover and engage with Canadian content of all types when they choose to do so.
- 36. In a survey of the OpenMedia community conducted from June 2023-July 2023, community members were asked which method of promoting Canadian content they would be most comfortable with. Approximately 71% of respondents answered they would support "an option you could turn on or off to make more Canadian content visible in your recommendations or searches"; 42% indicated they would support increased "funding for more Canadian creators". These figures highlight that while many Canadians acknowledge the importance of supporting the production of Canadian content, they also have a strong

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See Appendix B

- preference for a user-centric approach to content promotion that respects their ability to choose to consume Canadian content freely when they wish to do so.
- 37. By embracing a framework that prioritizes actual user choice and preferences in meeting content promotion objectives, Canada can foster a thriving broadcasting landscape that supports the production of high-quality Canadian content, nurtures talent, and respects the preferences and autonomy of users.

# Q38. What is the role of content curators and aggregators, and playlists, in assisting with promotion and discoverability

- 38. OpenMedia firmly believes that online platforms that purely aggregate links to content elsewhere should have no obligations under Bill C-11 and no role in the broadcasting system it creates. The right to freely link to legal content without incurring obligations or restrictions for doing so is a foundational principle of the modern Internet that should not be interfered with.
- 39. As discussed above, creating promotion and discoverability requirements for platforms that are included in the regulatory ambit of Bill C-11 may be appropriate, but should only occur in a manner that respects user choices and preferences.
- 40. Requiring platforms to produce curated playlists of designated CanCon, or allocate dedicated promotion space in platform interfaces to designated CanCon, would be relatively unobtrusive means of using playlists and feeds to make Canadian content easy to find. These curated lists could be featured prominently on platforms, providing a dedicated space for users to explore and engage with Canadian content. This approach could help highlight the diversity and quality of CanCon to Canadians while ensuring it is not unfairly prioritized over other content.
- 41. If the CRTC decides platforms must promote Canadian content in a dynamic way across automatically generated feeds, search results, and playlists a more complex approach that will be much harder to monitor and get right it is critical

- that users be given the choice to opt in to that weight on their preferences or opt out, changing their preference at any time.
- 42. In addition, linguistic options can be mandated to cater to users who prefer content in specific languages. This could involve language-based filters or personalized recommendations based on user language preferences, ensuring that CanCon is discoverable to audiences who prefer content in their primary official language.
- 43. As discussed above, mandating increased discoverability for Canadian content also potentially raises concerns for Canadian creators whose audience is primarily outside Canada. If the content is recommended to a primarily Canadian audience first which doesn't engage with it it could limit the international reach, which constitutes the vast majority of most Canadian creator audiences. If the content is not popular to whom it is recommended domestically, the online undertaking's algorithm could be disincentivized to recommend it to users outside of Canada. It may appear to be unpopular, while in reality, it hasn't reached the right audience yet. This poses an issue for discoverability and could inadvertently disadvantage Canadian content beyond Canada's borders.
- 44. Our recommended, less intrusive alternatives to mandating algorithm alterations to platforms and to users would provide an effective and balanced approach to promote the discoverability of Canadian content while respecting user autonomy, ensuring linguistic preferences, and avoiding potential disadvantages for Canadian creators in international markets.
- Q39. Should the Commission consider requirements, incentives, or both to best ensure that audio and video content created by equity-deserving communities is distributed, promoted and discoverable? Are there different considerations for traditional versus online undertakings?
  - 45. Promoting content discovery from equity-deserving groups is a worthy policy goal held by many people in Canada. However, traditional and online undertakings may require different considerations to achieve the same fundamental objective of promoting diversity and representation. Traditional undertakings, such as

television networks or radio stations, often have established programming schedules and channels that can be regulated through requirements and incentives. On the other hand, online undertakings, including streaming platforms and social media, operate within a more dynamic, user choice and algorithm-driven environment without set programming schedules or traditional limitations.

- 46. For online undertakings, it is essential that any requirements or incentives put in place do not negatively interfere with the user experience or user choice. As with our discussion of general discoverability requirements above, any platform obligations to make content from equity-deserving communities more accessible should be focused on curated playlists, dedicated promotion space, or allowing interested users to opt into more topical content, not forcing content across the board into user feeds. For example, dedicated categories on streaming services' main pages for equity-deserving communities strike the proper balance between making the content more visible while not interfering with the functionality of the online undertaking's algorithm. Existing promotions along these lines include content featured for Black History Month in February, Pride Month in June, or Netflix's "Made in Canada" tab that appears to users when they first sign in. The CRTC could regularize or enhance these obligations if it judged there was an opportunity to improve their accessibility and visibility to Canadians.
- 47. Where a CanCon content production or revenue allocation is set by the CRTC for a given platform outside of CMF obligations, portions of that support could be further sub-allocated towards the production of more content by designated equity-deserving communities on these platforms. This could include providing resources, training, or funding specifically tailored to online content creation, promoting partnerships between online platforms and content creators from marginalized communities. This would ensure necessary measures are in place to support the production of this type of content and would remove barriers to the access, export and sustainability of productions and content from equity-deserving communities.

#### **Conclusion:**

- 48. Most Canadians want to see our diverse cultural stories told and homegrown creators flourish. The controversies that dogged Parliament's drafting and handling of Bill C-11 do not alter that fundamental truth. It follows that the CRTC's implementation of Bill C-11 is a critical opportunity to finally put to bed lingering concerns over regulation of user content and interference with user choice, and shape a positive future for Canadian content creation, distribution, and promotion on the Internet, by Canadian Internet creators. By ensuring the revised system is fully accessible to digital content creators, particularly smaller and independent players, and promoting diversity, discoverability, and equitable distribution through user rights-respecting means, we can ensure a vibrant and inclusive media landscape in Canada.
- 49. In our submission we've outlined a path to accomplishing Bill C-11's core goals while respecting the rights of ordinary people in Canada. A path forward exists to secure revenue for Canadian creators and ensure Canadians can find their work— all while respecting the choice and expression rights of ordinary Canadians, and without creating a system that partially excludes us from the global cultural commons of the modern Internet. Key recommendations we make include a flexible obligations framework with space for a range of compliance approaches appropriate to a given online platform; the clear exclusion of small platforms and social media platforms; designated Canadian content promotion obligations that are respectful of user choice; and firmly excluding all user-generated content from the CRTC's regulatory intent once and for all. When upcoming consultations come upon revisions to the designation system for content to be recognized as "Canadian", we will be happy to share additional thoughts on building a system that is open to all Canadian creators, fully representing the diversity of Canadian content and ensuring no one is left out.
- 50. It is paramount to respect user autonomy and rights when implementing Bill C-11. While the cultural objectives of the C-11 are important, they do not trump

Canadians' fundamental rights to untrammelled freedom of expression and access to information on the terms of their choice. If these objectives are ever in conflict, it is Bill C-11 that must give. Measures that could influence Canadians' online experience must focus on giving them more choice, the ability to opt-in to viewing more Canadian content online if and when they wish— not crudely shoehorn content into their feeds whether it fits or not.

- 51. By implementing these recommendations, the CRTC can establish a contribution framework that supports a vibrant and inclusive Canadian content ecosystem.

  This framework should foster innovation, empower underrepresented voices, and contribute to all Canadian content creators' continued growth and success.
- 52. OpenMedia, as a dedicated advocate for fair and inclusive media policies, stands ready to support this process and engage in further discussions and collaborations to ensure Canada's creative and cultural system thrives in the digital age. We look forward to attending an in-person discussion of Bill C-11 this November at the public hearing in Gatineau, where we will be proud to bring additional voices from our community on the implementation of Bill C-11 to be heard by the CRTC.

Appendix A...Which online services do you think should be regulated as broadcasters under Bill C-11? (Chart)...pg. 22

Appendix B...Which if any of the following methods to promote Canadian content would you be comfortable with? (Chart)...pg. 23


Appendix C...Should services that produce primarily Canadian content and benefit from supports like the Canada Media Fund be required to contribute the same as foreign services that do not? (Chart)...pg. 24

**Appendix D...**Are there any specific criteria you believe the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should consider when determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11? (Comments)...pg. 25-387

**Appendix E:** What if anything are you concerned could change about your experience on online platforms under C-11? *(Comments)...*pg. 388-923

### Appendix A:


Question 1: Which online services do you think should be regulated as broadcasters under Bill C-11? (Check all that apply)



percentage	count	Q1
79.28%	5011	None
16.71%	1056	Large streaming platforms
9.68%	612	Social
6.76%	427	Small streaming platforms
7.34%	464	Video Sharing
0.85%	54	skipped question

### Appendix B:


Question 3: Which if any of the following methods to promote Canadian content would you be comfortable with? (Check all that apply)



Percentage	Count	Q3
71.19%	4500	An option you could turn on or off
42.18%	2666	Funding for more Canadian creators
22.15%	1400	A mandatory "Made in Canada" or "Popular in Canada" section
10.03%	634	Skipped question
5.28%	334	Modifying your personalized recommendations
5.11%	323	Modifying your searches

## Appendix C:

Question 2: Should services that produce primarily Canadian content and benefit from supports like the Canada Media Fund be required to contribute the same as foreign services that do not?



Percentage	count	Q2
52.87%	3342	Shouldn't
28.22%	1784	Neutral
17.21%	1088	Should
1.69%	107	Skipped question

### Appendix D:

Are there any specific criteria you believe the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should consider when determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11?

Clare	K.	They don't have the right to regulate at all.
		User Reach and Impact: The CRTC could assess the size of the
		user base and the reach of a platform to determine its potential
		impact on Canadian users. Platforms with a significant number of
		users or a substantial presence in Canada might be more likely to
		be considered for regulation. Content Generation and
		Aggregation: Platforms that primarily generate or curate content,
		especially user-generated content, might be given higher scrutiny.
		The CRTC may consider platforms that actively contribute to the
		production and distribution of media content as more likely
		candidates for regulation. Monetization and Business Models: The
		CRTC could examine the monetization strategies and business
		models employed by platforms. Platforms that derive revenue
		through advertising, subscriptions, or other commercial activities
		may be subject to greater regulatory scrutiny compared to
		platforms that do not generate revenue directly from user activity.
		Media Influence and Public Interest: The CRTC might consider
		the potential impact of a platform on media plurality, diversity, and the public interest. Platforms that have a significant role in
		shaping public opinion, news dissemination, or cultural expression
		could be given higher consideration for regulation to ensure they
		adhere to certain standards. Harmful Content and User
		Protection: The CRTC may evaluate platforms based on their
		efforts to combat harmful or illegal content, protect user privacy,
		and promote user safety. Platforms that have demonstrated
		inadequate or insufficient measures to address these concerns
		might be more likely to be subject to regulation. Existing
		Regulatory Frameworks: The CRTC could also consider whether
		platforms are already subject to existing regulatory frameworks,
Gnan		such as traditional broadcasters or telecommunications
es	A.	companies. Assessing the regulatory landscape and determining

	any gaps or inconsistencies could guide the decision-making process.
B.	Regulate platforms that promote sexual exploitation of young men, young women and children on the internet
C.	Clearly and explicitly exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11 Drop any mandatory CanCon requirements for algorithmically generated feeds and search results. Promoted CanCon content should appear in areas of the platform users can opt into, not forced into every algorithm-assisted feed and playlist we create; Set a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation; Reward digital-first creators on equal terms to legacy media. This means instructing the CRTC to develop new CanCon standards equally accessible to small digital creators as legacy media creators, and which are entirely neutral about the platforms they choose to produce for.
G.	national news should be defunded from taxpayer money and speak the truth instead of helping Communists politicians like liberals npd and bloc journalism is not the same as used to be because of censorship of freedom of speach
M.	Stop the regulation. Allow the viewer to decide what they wish to watch.
H.	The promoting of gambling and alcohol should be curtailed. All political content must be given Fair and equal access. All subscription based social media must be left alone and their platforms be open to all. Otherwise we descend into a Nanny state where the Government tells you what to watch. Just like the dictators do in closed countries.
n	All info must be unbiased and Parents should determine what content their children see, read or hear.
φ. W.	Anything illegal. Accordingly that is determined not by government officials but by the rule of law. Content has been censored and only certain versions are allowed to be discussed to this day. Some content needs to be discussed, hard to do that if you only have one side.
S.	Bill C-11 is a garbage bill that should not of passed in parliament.
	Cancel bill c-11. The internet should be a place for freedom of speech.
	C.  G.  H.  p.

Clearly and explicitly exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11; Drop any mandatory CanCon requirements for algorithmically generated feeds and search results. Promoted CanCon content should appear in areas of the platform users can opt into, not forced into every algorithm-assisted feed and playlist we create; Set a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation; Reward digital-first creators on equal terms to legacy media. This means instructing the CRTC to develop new CanCon standards equally accessible to small digital creators as legacy media creators, and which are entirely neutral about the platforms they choose to produce for.

Peter N.

Competitive advantage: Staying informed about the latest developments gives businesses an edge over their competitors. By understanding new regulations and industry trends, organizations can identify opportunities to innovate and offer products or services that align with emerging legal requirements, ensuring they are ahead of the curve. User trust and reputation: Online platforms are built on user trust. Monitoring and analyzing developments related to data privacy, security, and ethical practices can help organizations establish and maintain user trust. Demonstrating compliance and responsible practices enhances their reputation and credibility in the market. Policy advocacy: Ongoing monitoring allows individuals and organizations to stay engaged in the policy-making process. By understanding proposed legislation and regulations, stakeholders can provide informed feedback, participate in consultations, and advocate for policies that align with their interests and values. Adaptability and agility: The digital landscape evolves rapidly, and so do the associated legal frameworks. Ongoing monitoring and analysis enable organizations to adapt their strategies, policies, and operations to align with changing requirements. This agility is essential for long-term success in an ever-evolving environment. To facilitate ongoing monitoring and analysis, individuals and organizations can utilize various resources such as legal publications, industry news sources, regulatory websites, professional networks, and consulting services. Automation tools and services can also help streamline the process of tracking legislative changes and analyzing their implications.

Euge nie

G.

Jane	C.	Criteria: Freedom of Speech to the greatest absolute extent that is fairly & legitimately possible. Canadians suffered & sacrificed & died in two God-Awful bloody World Wars for our Freedoms. Let it Be. Lest We Forget.
Edwa rd	G.	Do the exact opposite to what the Black Face libs are after would be a very good starting point and purge all liberal supporters out . what we would have then is a gutted CRTC . Perfect!
Celes te	K.	Essentially this is a fancy way of censoring things based on personal opinion rather than the need for worldwide information. It's a shame that people believe this is necessary when bushfires and our home planet require more of a concentration for solutions, to things like global warming and responsible & sustainable business practices.
Susa	W.	I am aware there are internet layers (surface web, deep web, dark web, etc.). The surface web is probably less than 5% of the whole internet, and that is the part that the CRTC hopes/expects to regulate. Personally, I don't want to see pornography, torture, drug use, animal abuse, and worse. If there are platforms on the surface web which provide this content, I think they should be regulated and if the content is illegal, then quickly excluded, without warning or recourse. If this dreadful content is driven to the dark web, where normal, mostly law-abiding people will never see it, then so be it.
malco Im	S.	I think social media is distinct from corporate / streaming media and should be considered with a different regulation framework. Social media has been shown to have toxic / negative effects on mental health, which is a different concern than whether or not it is canadian. I think the solution is likely more one of positive reinforcement, helping and supporting canadian content creators and helping canadians find / identify canadian made content so they can shop local.
Cassi e	S.	I want freedom to search and not have my content altered by CRTC. I want to have maximum choice and not have CRTC interventions. I like my Canadian showsbut I want to choose myself. Do not add additional controls on the content I love. Thanks!

Kamr	H.	Items that are risky for children. Things like Pride month or content undermining the development of children WITHOUT parental consent should be warned, segregated and optionally outright banned from all content. Popular media or other ideas do NOT make Canadian content or any type acceptable and parents must be able to pick up the slack even when the law does not. Being pushed around and taking away rights to raise children being brainwashed in school is what Hitler did. Independent and varied thought and options are what makes Canadian, Canadian.
Chris	M.	No content related decision making whatsoever would be ideal. Canadians are being taken advantage of by telecommunication companies that have a near monopoly on the Canadian market. They should focus more on rights of consumers in the telecom space rather than protect the government and connected special interest groups from criticism. Aside from direct threats and instruction of violence, as well as doxing, they should allow free flow of information.
Ray &		None
Karen Jonat	A.	None
han	J.	Nothin'
Kyla	M.	Paid services - I remit a payment for content and as such, unless the government is going to instill and enforce pro-rated pricing structures for the decrease in non-Canadian content these should not be regulated (Netflix, Amazon etc.) Creator platforms - creativity is the wellspring of progress and invention and limitations should not be placed on it (YouTube, Instagram etc.)
Bartol	R.	The CRTC should not be regulating or excluding any online media platforms. Adult Canadian Citizens are fully capable and theirs is the responsibility to decide which online media platforms and content thereof they chose to use as consumers of these platform's content.
		The Internet is completely unlike a traditional broadcasting

		they should come to terms with the world of the Internet, and not try to force us to use their broadcasting.
Terry Lynn	G.	This legislation will only deepen the current and disturbing lies, censorship and propaganda arising from our government and those who control it.
Fred	B.	What ever happened to dialog and freedom of expression? My family knows all to well what happens when the state dictates what you can think, believe, read, or watch, the Bolsheviks stole our family farm near Odesa in 1917 and then again lost the 2nd family farm in eastern Germany when they re-carved the boarders after the second world war because of Naziism. The politically correct are IN CORRECT and the progressives are REGRESSIVE to a free and open society as these ideologs stunt creativity and innovation as they force everyone to march to the same iron boot of control. Then they have the audacity to shun and cancel those who don't fall inline.
Rafal	P.	While the stated goal is supposedly promotion of Canadian content, C-11 gives the government control over what content is prioritized and seen by viewers. This not only infringes on the right to receive information, but it also rings alarm bells over the lack of transparency and public input into these decisions. This is censorship, no matter how pretty you dress it. The bill is flawed, deliberately vague and I remain convinced that it's introduced to usher in censorship and restrict information.
Mitch ell	C.	- Will this bill regulate people's search recommendations and content discovery? - If yes: cease to regulate.
Eric	W.	-could this affect Canada's democracy by allowing government control? -could this be used by private industry to undermine the system?
Andy	D.	?
Diane	B.	should consider that it isn't their job to control what we think, read, listen to.
Gary	J.	"The people censoring things were never the good guys." Focus on it being Canadian content only, and paying a reasonal rate to the content producers, Not messing around with censorship of any kind
Wend y	W.	+Toss the bill entirely +Return to freedom of speech and the inalienable rights that are inherent for all humanity but particularly Canadians who by definition (even if not in reality over these past

		few years) are a free peole +In fact crtc should submit their resignation and go away!
Berna rd	D.	Éviter les des formes de censure et de manipulation journalistique
Bonn		
У	C.	0 regulations!
		1. Clearly and explicitly exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11; 2. Drop any mandatory CanCon requirements for algorithmically generated feeds and search results. Promoted CanCon content should appear in areas of the platform users can opt into, not forced into every algorithm-assisted feed and playlist we create; 3. Set a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation; 4. Reward digital-first creators on equal terms to legacy media. This means instructing the CRTC to develop new CanCon standards equally accessible to small digital creators as legacy media creators, and which are entirely
Marie	C.	neutral about the platforms they choose to produce for.
Rick	J.	1) Restricting perpetuation of special interest groups - especially anything that demands acceptance of agendas pertaining to transsexuality, gay lifestyles, drag queens, cross dressers, or any other off colour sexual content. Censorship of this type of material should BE enforced.
		1/ CANADA'S SOVEREIGNTY, over against which Justine Trudeau's choice of Globalist values and the use of A-I as an anti-Freedom control tool, which betrays Canada's original and historical DEMOCRATIC values derived from British Parliamentary government. 2/ CANADA'S IMMIGRATION POLICY, which has been abused of late by flooding the country with people for purposes of NUMBERS to tip the scales in favour of a done deal win for the ruling [ left wing ] Party in future elections. Whereas in post WW II immigration, a majority of newcomers possessed practical and professional skills to benefit both Canada's Economy and her status as a robust NON-third world country. Being a branch-plant economy might be a stable beginning, but very weak if her rich minerals and resources aren't DEVELOPED AND FINISHED AT HOME, thus creating a
Bob	S.	VALUE-ADDED economy. Minimal trades/ Tech / Professional

		criteria ought to be developed as mandatory for new-comers who
		are capable without any practical and professional expertise.
eric	g.	1st and foremost to remember that freedom of speech, expression and access to information is a Canadian right. Bigger institutions could have more guidance to ensure more visibility for Canadian content. This should not become combersome for small medias in order to ensure naturally emerging diversity.
STEP	P.	30 June 2023 The criteria by which CRTC navel-gazers should do their presumptuous meddling in our Internet feeds, are very simple. Thumb-twiddling CRTC navel-gazers should keep their presumptuous bureaucratic pinkies COMPLETELY OFF of our feeds, and lose the false pride of their ridiculous belief that they actually have any business AT ALL sticking their interfering fingers on the weigh-scales of Internet search technology. Canadian adults can make up their own minds, as to the merit and meaning of Internet search results. These Canadian 18+ers can use their basic between-the-ears power to sort search wheat from search chaff. We don't need arrogant, entitled, overpaid, useless seat-warmers up in Ottawa to help us distinguish fake from real. The most EGREGIOUSLY INSULTING aspect to this entire CRTC seat-warmer nonsense is the implication that Canadian content-creators need these stupid training-wheels on their content-builder bicycles, because Ottawa mandarins believe that Canadians are just to wimpily second-rate to compete bare-knuckle by deploying their awesome talents, in the beautiful Internet free-for-all. And by the word free in free-for-all I mean free in the Trucker-Comvoy sense of the word FREEDOM.
ПЕІ	F.	A big problem with C-11 affecting amateur content is that there is
lacan		no way to meet the requirements to be classed CanCon using Amateur Equipment. Amateur content is made on Consumer Grade computers and much of the digital assets and software is either Open Source, or produced in an Artisan business model instead of a Mass Produced business model. There is no manufacturer of Consumer Computers that would fit the CanCon requirement. The Software used for creation of Amateur content is either Open Source (created by a pool of international talent at no cost) or is an industry standard that has no Canadian equivalent (Perfect example of this is the Adobe Suite for editing) so there is no Software that would fit the CanCon requirements.
Jason	U.	Much of the art and sound assets used in amateur content is

commissioned from independent contractors in an Artisan business model. CanCon requirements place an undue burden by forcing amateur creators to only be able to access Canadian Independent Artists, which must have their commissions opened, their specialties fitting the content to be commissioned, and those artists are not likewise limited to only providing work for Canadians as they benefit from Global Demand. CanCon also restricts any free assets used to only those made in Canada. In order to meet CanCon requirements you need to either use professional video equipment from a Canadian supply chain like Ross Video (which costs tens of thousands of dollars,) or create your own private manufacturing channels in Canada to make all the electronics and software you need. While also maintaining a staff of Canadian Artists to produce all the art and sound assets for your content. Because of this, a canadian citizen that makes their own videos and uploads them to Youtube can never meet the requirements to be CanCon despite literally doing all the work themselves because there are no amateur tools for content creation that meet CanCon requirements.

A clear separation between platforms that produce content (netflix) vs hosting content (youtube) should be defined. All platforms should be free to produce and host whatever content they want to, however, safeguards like parental controls, and warning labels for disturbing and graphic material are warranted. All creators both businesses and individuals should be compensated for their work. If they want more production of Canadian content then remove the barriers to entry by simplifying the requirements. Months of work, red tape, and \$100,000 dollars to navigate this over-inflated bureaucracy just to be certified Canadian is total garbage. Maybe try instead something as simple as a few hundred dollars in tax exemptions for small-time Canadian content creators to buy equipment like cameras, lights, and microphones. A guarantee to all film studios like Netflix or local broadcasters to cover losses if Canadian productions don't make money like some sort of production insurance may encourage larger brands to invest more in Canada if they have loss protections.

Jame

S

M.

Angu c.

A creative on the platform itself should be be specifically regulated - the platform providing services in Canada with a

		significant portion of the market should be the ones affected by
Jean-	L.	the legislation.  A lot of people use internet based search engines to look for primarily not nationalized content and personalized content not disturbed by government based regulations. Not to forget that not every person have the same taste and the majority of th people that live in Canada are mixed origins
Cam	B.	A LOUD RESOUNDING NONE!
dirk	k.	a search should always be world wide if anything at all its recommendation which could be displayed but never anything forced after all we all come from different country's ( diversity as they call it ) so nothing ever should be mandatory
Brian	F.	A site like YouTube that pushes content to children within YouTube Kids you can select don't recommend for a channel, but another version of that channel can still appear. For YouTube Kids, we would prefer that in order for a channel to show up it must be manually entered by the parent. Thank you & be well
Pegg y	K.	A total violation of my rights! This is NOT China
Dusti n	S.	A wide variety of topics
Rod	M.	a. does the platform allow Canadian content? b. does the platform censor Canadian content in one form or another? c. does the platform discriminate against Canadian content in any manner? d. does the platform support Canadian content through financial or other means? e. does the platform promote Canadian content once produced?
Rams	В.	Abolish the bill. These arguments are wasted energy time effort and space.
Evely	В.	ABSOLUTE CANCELLATION OF BILL C-11 What I watch, listen to or speak is not your concern. You will know my mind and thoughts at the ballot box, that is if China is not listening.  NOTHING BUT THE CANCELLATION OF BILL C-11 AND THE CRTC WOULD SATISFY ME.
Terry	S.	Absolutely no platforms should be controlled by the CRTC. This is an empty body that does the governments bidding as is evident this the Rodgers Shaw buyout.
		,

Alysia	T.	Absolutely not. This is not necessary for Canadians who have always had the option of what content they view and form their own decisions and standpoints. Worldly news whether or not I agree with it, is always essential to my own morals and integrity to this Country. We do not need or deserve to be controlled by a biased media. Keep Canadians free to view the content they choose!
Danie I	T.	Absolutely nothing should be regulated by CRTC. If Canadian content can't compete and win on its own volition, then it's not worth our time. DO NOT under any circumstance record, track, report or interfere with personal viewing, internet use, or data querying searches of Canadian citizens.
Kyle	D.	Absolutely nothing. Canadians should be in full control of what we see online.
Starr	Q	Absolutely! And, this is where we must realise that our legislation cannot always be separate and distinct. Recent permission for mergers in the telecommunications field allowed the big to get bigger and, at the same time, gave the big guys, the power to control the future of the industry and to restrict the ability of new business, small businesses, creative minds to succeed. This is not Canadian. We have always thrived on nurturing the little guy, who incidentally has consistently provided 80% of all new jobs in this country. Although the power to stay in a few hands will ultimately reduce our ability to grow and succeed here at home or on the global stage. So, Competition must also be included in your decisions on the future of CRTC regulations. Those who are enjoying power want you to believe that somehow virtual communication including cable and internet are different than radio or television. They are not. All are types of communication. By listening to the now old guard who want to restrict new directions and new competition, you will be sentencing our country to reject new horiizons. I will close by reminding the panel that in July 2022, Canada had an internet meltdown. That meltdown was bad enough, but what was worse and is now the crux of the matter is that now, a year later, the biggest in the industry, still does not understand how serious their reaction and lack of action was. We still do not know how many people died. We still don't know why the disaster happened. We still have not been assured that it will not happen again. At the bottom of the pile of errors is the customer who is still repeatedly told Your
Starr	S.	pile of errors is the customer who is still repeatedly told Your

		complaint has been resolved when it has not. Outages are increasing with no sign of improvement. No sign of urgency by the provider who has since gained more power over the industry that not only affects lives but the future of business in this country
Cody	M.	Actions must not be paternalistic
		Acts of extreme violence and animal cruelty should be
Pam	M.	considered.
Linds		Actually use public input. I don't believe this was anything asked
ay	P.	for by Canadians at all.
Mike	B.	Add appropriate warnings to content rather than limit their visibility
Andre w	L.	Add considerations for AI and AI generated content. Specialized streaming services such as Crunchyroll and Brittbox should be excluded as they are a specific subsection. The larger general content platforms like Netflix and Amazon Prime should be considered since they produce lots of original content.
Ulugb ek	S.	Adhere to freedom of speech principles but halt a hatred filled content
Court ney	D.	Ads can be Canadian YouTube etc Making suggestions to check out Canadian content Taking away the way to privilege to listen read and Search what I'm interested in make me more informed. If the CRTC controls what we read what we search and what we're allowed to look up you're taking away an entire version of a story that we have the right to know. You're also making it harder for us to be Canadian and understand what's happening in the world and in Canada
Rolla nd	F.	Adult content like pornography and content that doesn't promote family values should be cut. That would show that Ottawa values their people and truely wants decent viewing for them.
Sherr		
y	W.	Adult content should be more regulated.
Willia		
m	W.	Adult content should be regulated
Brian	C.	Adult, misinformation and hate content should be regulated.
Diana	Т.	Adults should be able to make their own choices.
Joan Marie	J.	After all the complaining Canucks do about restrictive govs such as China and North Korea, now we're supposed to put up with the same kind of treatment? I believe Canadians like any other national group are fully capable of deciding for themselves what content they wish to access. As it is, private companies such as
		,,

		Google censor their own searches and are susceptible to hacking so it's nearly impossible to get full information on any topic - for example see how hard it is now to find reliable information on the status of women as these searches are now corrupted by men PRETENDING to be women. (Also there is now no true status of women office thanks to the debilitating effects of gender ideology and other identity politicos.)
Lawre nce	В.	After completing this consultation with the public, I expect there will be significant numbers of Canadians such as myself that want no regulation at all. I am currently exploring non Canadian ISPs and other measures to exclude myself from this temporary insanity from Government. Once Bill C-11 is repealed, I'm sure there will be very large budget savings from CRTC cuts, or discontinuation entirely.
Leslie	L.	Again, consider regulating xrated, and obscene platforms only
Melod		
y	B.	Again, criminal behaviour should not be tolerated.
		Again, it depends if the criteria will limit what people want to
Mary	Z.	watch. Who will decide what is best? That is the tricky part.
John	K.	Again, none should be excluded, with the exception of criminal activity.
Steve		Again. The government should only be involved when protection of its citizens is at stake. The only reason for government is
n	K.	protection of its citizens from forces foreign and domestic.
Jame s	B.	Age
Nicol		
е	A.	Age of audience
geha	g.	Age of the consumer.
Ronal		
d	M.	Al should be regulated
Linda	K.	All
Ruth	M.	All "excessive" violence and all pornography should be excluded.
	P.	All American broadcasting like TikTok, Facebook, Fox News that continue to spread misinformation pretending it's news! The extreme right wing craziness that tries to pass for news like Fox and Tucker Carlson types should not be allowed in Canada period as they are frequently hate speech filled and made divisive simply to cash in!

Cynth		
ia	M.	ALL AMERICAN NEWS.
Kathy	M.	All areas
Ryan	P.	All broadcasters operating in Canada should be mandated to have and to fund a certain percentage of Canadian Content. Social Media and Video Sharing Platforms like YouTube and Vimeo should be exempt from this because they are not broadcasters: they are open platforms where creators are free to share their content and/or to monetize it themselves apart from the platform, and they should remain that way. If a platform also creates its own content (i.e.: YouTube studios) then that portion of the entity should be considered a broadcaster and should adhere to a Canadian content output percentage, but the platform itself should remain independent.
Nicho		
la	V.	All Canadian creators (Tik Tok, YouTube, and other platforms)
Rolan		All censorship by social media companies and search engines
d	S.	should be regulated with a view to preventing it. Nothing else.
Denis	S.	All content must be free from CRTC manipulation. Having the Canadian government or a group funded by the government controlling any form of speech is a serious conflict of interest.
Monic a	Y.	All criteria should be free! Keep it that way.
Geor		
ge	C.	All fake news should be considered under Bill C-11
Don	P.	All forms of media should be excluded, C-11 is a bad idea and takes away from our freedom of choice. Governeent will only use it as a tool for propaganda. They already are look at all the science we saw over covid prove to be wrong.
charle	h	all info should be available, but foreign info should be taxed to
S Angol	h.	keep Cdn media alive
Angel	B.	All information containing unethical topics.
е	ט.	·
lania		All information should be broadcast for people to make their own
Janic	k	decisions. It is not up to corporations to determine what
e	K.	competent people should watch or listen to.
Jame	_	All information should be shared from around the world, I can
S	C.	make my own decisions.

Aaron	M.	All Internet content, streaming or otherwise should be exempted from C-11.
		All it all. If the CRTC is going to ban something, it should be
		pornography, but the government doesn't want to ban this
		because it garners revenue for them and/or most of the
Erin	F.	government employees/ministers are using porn.
		All journalism should be allowed, whether we like it or not. It has
		become so polarized that I must read both left state funded media
		and right independent media to actually get a real idea of what is
		happening in my country. If ANYTHING should be regulated, its
Doob		the opinions of biased TV news anchors in the government
Rach el	_	funded media, telling us how to feel about things, instead of just
Roha	Т.	giving us facts and letting us choose for ourselves.
n	S.	All media content should be regulated
		All media platforms must equally share regulations that require
		immediate deletion of knowingly false facts. All media must
Larry	H.	contribute to enhancing Canadian content jobs and news.
		All media platforms should have freedom of expression, which
		includes, but is not limited to: expression of religion, opinion,
		political stance, world news, and heratige. Isolation of groups
Shan		based on these criteria can not, and will not be tolerated within
е	Y.	our country.
		All media should be allowed thus freedom of speechonly
		protection needed is for the children of this country as in
		pornographic material like they are trying to put in our school
kevin	_	across canada under the name SOGI. (sexual orientation gender identity.)
KEVIII	a.	All media that receives government money, even in the slightest,
		should be labeled on the screen. The percentage of government
		funding should be right beside the label of government funded.
		This way no matter what party is in power, citizens know if said
David	B.	opinion matches funding.
		All news and commentary platforms, national and international,
Gerry	H.	should be excluded from censorship.
		All news and public interest stories should be available to
		everyone. Not monitored by the CBC or anyone else. News is
Meta	B.	news and should be available to everyone!

		All news from all services should be vetted, especially the
MAR		government paid news outlets like CBC CTV etc. This will stop all
K	B.	the disinformation from the criminal liberals.
Larry	M.	All non-coporate accounts should be left alone.
Quinn	S.	All of them should be excluded
Debor		All of them should be excluded except those funded by the
ah	L.	government
Kurt	M.	All of them should be excluded, this law shouldn't exist.
Eric	L.	All of them should be excluded.
Brand		
on	S.	All of them should be unregulated.
Razv		
an	A.	All of them. There is zero need for C-11.
		All online content and platforms should be excluded. If I want
		Canadian content then I will find Canadian content I don't need
Artur	P.	CRTC's help.
Rob	W.	All online platforms should be free to promote their own content.
		All owned by foreign nationals should meet specific content rules
		that should apply across the board, there should be no
		exemptions particularly for the largest corporations. If we tax the
Kathy	P.	and they leave, that is fine with me.
		All personal content responses to YouTube, Twitter, meta should
		be excluded from C11. There are already laws in place that
Deb	J.	govern hate speech. Stop censorship!!
		All platforms except for CBC should be excluded. I firmly believe
		that Canadians are creative enough to make content that is
		popular and engaging without government welfare. Gone are the
		days of \$50,000 Betamax cameras and high barriers to entry - the
		CRTC needs to keep its hands off of private industry and let
		content creators do what they do best, instead of wasting their
		time applying for Soviet-style subsidies and meeting some
Ivan	G.	government kommissar's quotas and mandates.
Sonja	P.	All platforms must remain unregulated and free.
		All platforms need to be regulated to analyze the amount of data
Merlo		they are collecting from their users. We deserve to choose how
t	D.	much is shared with these corporations.
		All platforms need to have a standard of conduct. Does this bill
MéI		ensure this standard of basic human respect and not support
odie	D.	violent or heinous rhetoric?

Mike	P.	All platforms should be excluded and the government should stay out of the market of ideas.
Jason	R.	All platforms should be excluded from any Bill and C-11 SHOULD BE SCRAPPED!
georg e	u.	All platforms should be excluded from being regulated by the CRTC. Possible exceptions would be traditional radio, television and telecommunications as they existed in 1970.
Edwa		
rd	S.	All platforms should be excluded from regulation
Jay	В.	All platforms should be excluded under Bill C-11. The government has no business meddling in my internet searches or what I stream. The criteria needs to be 1. Allow all users the ability to search up their own content whether that is CanCon or something else.
Ken	M.	All platforms should be excluded.
Pouy		
an	R.	All platforms should be excluded.
Jennif		All platforms should be excluded. CRTC should never be used a a
er	R.	political weapon against its own users.
Isaac	S.	All platforms should be excluded. There shouldn't be regulation on anything on the internet by the government. Regulation should ONLY happen by the platforms and services that provide it.  All platforms should be excluded. This is non of the Government's
lan	F.	business.
Sean	S.	All platforms should be free to show whatever the viewers want to watch. Censoring what people see is taking away their freedom of choice.
Gloria	S.	All platforms should be regulated for consistency.
Sean	M.	All platforms should be self regulated content not government regulated for people at the age of majority and above but teens should be able to look at any random crap to help their brains process and learn information but kids before puberty and below should be allowed to use firefox instead of applications and programs for parental control and kids should just play kid friendly video games on the computer on the Internet and just watch kid friendly content on the Internet and parents shouldn't be too restrictive with parental control Firefox also shouldn't have parental control settings except through the way they already have done parental controls since the early 2000's and even
Journ		nate delice parental certains and and builty 2000 and even

		ained the 1000's I'm corry if my eninions aren't perfect but I'm
		since the 1990's I'm sorry if my opinions aren't perfect but I'm trying my hardest to avoid sharing any offensive opinions against free press and freedom of expression online
		All platforms should be totally free and unregulated except for
John	L.	content that violates the law.
Chris	K.	All platforms should NEVER be REGULATED
Office	1 .	All platforms should not be regulated but the platform it selves
Dylan	C.	should rules its self.
		All platforms that are accessing Canadian audiences should
		contribute to the funding of Canadian creators. A tax on revenues
		would be the simplest and most effective mechanism. Canadian
		content creators need to compete for attention with the rest of the
		world. Outside of distribution via the publicly funded CBC (and its
		significant digital infrastructure) I don't see why private entities
		should be required to distribute any level of Canadian content.
		Private sector operators are for profit businesses, and creators
		have to sell ideas to those businesses. Entertainment is a
		business, culture is a matter of public policy. As a matter of public
		policy, Google/YouTube, Amazon, Facebook, Microsoft and such
		other distributors of digital content should be required to promote
la sala		the existence of Canadian creators via their UI. However, general
Jordo	_	search algorithms themselves should not be mandated to
n D:-I-	F.	favour/include Canadian creators.
Rick	G.	All platforms to be excluded. Each to stand on its own merit.
		All platforms where users can upload content ie. Youtube,
\/a		Instagram etc. should NOT be affected by the bill. It is still
Vans	_	understandable if it is implemented on STREAMING services
h	P.	such as Netflix, Hulu and others.
Lucas	N.	All platforms with more than 1,000 users should be regulated
l.a.a		All platforms,,,,this is going to bite them in the ass and drive
ken	j.	content underground like gorilla radio stations
Nicol	NI NI	All political views and news sources need to 100% free to saw
e Elizab	N.	whatever they want.
Elizab		All pornography should be highly regulated as well as anything
eth	V.	promoting pedophilia or anything that will harm children under 16.  All should be allowed.
David	W.	
Thom		All should be excluded. This is about the corrupt liberals and their
Thom	E.	agenda taking away our rights to see view read listen watch
as	L.	anything we want to . This is not canada that I grew up in but

		more And more day by day communist control by a corrupt terrible liberal governmentnever again will I vote liberal ever let alone NDP!
Karl	J.	All should be excluded.
Rober t	D.	All should be excluded.
Aman da	B.	All should be excluded.
Lyla	R.	All should be excluded.
Nicol e	P.	All should be excluded. Governments should stay out of public personal affairs. There are already enough controls and laws to be followed in each platform without your interference.
Mihae la	R.	All should be excluded. None should be censored. CRTC should not exist. Period. Why does censoring exist if we see naked people in the streets? Constitution enlists freedom of speech and that should be kept as is, including online/streaming services. Let the people determine what they want to see/hear. CRTC has become a political tool and it should not be given any rights.
Juan	R.	All should be excluded. Provide funding and assistance for Canadian creators instead, and help them reach larger audiences.
Marg aret	C.	All social media platforms
Danic a	A.	All social media platforms should be excluded from C 11, however big streaming Giants should be under the broadcast act, but that's it.
Timot hy E	N.	All social media platforms should be regulated. Freedom does not constitute neglecting responsibilities. Both are integral to each other.
Anne	L.	All social media should not be controlled.
berna rd	W.	All social media where you can post your own thoughts and beliefs and ideas should remain unregulated.
Matth ew	S.	All social networks should be excluded.
Gabri el	A.	All the fake news channels that only show what the government tells them to, should be regulated. This is why people stop watching TV News and all the propagandas. Now we want to restrict other online feeds, so we become like sheeps. This has to stop before it's too late.

		All the major content providers (FB, Apple TV, Netflix, Amazon,
		Disney+, Youtube, etc.) should be excluded from regulation under
Leon	S.	the Bill.
	<u> </u>	All the MSM platforms should be required to only tell the truth and
Susa		not the truth (lies) that the government wants them to tell as the
n	Y.	truth.
		All things Internet must be excluded. Tv's and radios only must be
Louis	B.	regulated.
John	S.	All those media outlets paid off by Canadian (ccp) government
		All user generated content should be excluded. If the platform is
		getting Canadian funding, it should be made known and if
Trevo		something is getting forced into our feeds it should be visible with
r	S.	a specific marking, much like Ads have to be on social platforms.
Pierre		
-Marc	G.	All users created platform should be excluded.
Tom	J.	Allow Canadian businesses to excell.
Debb		Allow Canadians and others to produce and view the content they
у	S.	wish to view.
Kevin	G.	Allow customizability
Sheld		
on	C.	Allow Free and unfiltered news reporting.
Wayn		
е	H.	Allow free speech
		allow free speech - specifically those independent media
		providers that sometimes run counter to the current narrative.
		Without thiswe have lost everything. This Bill (C-11) seems to
		be at the beginning of a slippery slope that will potentially allow
Dorok	Ь	the state to determine what we see and hear. Sounds like North
Derek	K.	Korea to me!
Danie	Y.	Allow freedom of choice by the people, and for the people
l	I.	Allow freedom of choice by the people, and for the people.  Allow people to choose from Canadian or non-Canadian content
Micha		whenever possible. This should be applicable to all platforms
I	K.	regardless of content they serve.
1	17.	Allow the people to d3cide for themselves what they consider
Kelly	G.	worthwhile to consume.
. tony	J	ALLOWING INDEPENDENT JOURNALISTS FREEDOM OF
Julie	P.	SPEECH
		15. —— 5

Julie	T.	Already I have to 'go around' the law if I wish to watch American Netflix content - which is way better than Canadian selections for some reason. I do not support any regulation or exclusions.
skeet		Also regulate if A: they larger than average (or median, whichever
er	a.	is smaller), or B: foreign owned.
CI	a.	, ,
Drook	6	Alt-right propaganda should be better regulated to protect citizens
Brock		vulnerable to radicalization. Misinformation kills.
David	Н.	Amateur user content obviously should NOT be regulated.
Bryan		Among the criteria the CRTC should consider when regulating platorms under C-11 are: - Clearly and explicitly excluding all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation; specifically, this coupld be done by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11 - Rewarding digital-first creators on equal terms to legacy media; that is, instructing the CRTC to develop new CanCon standards that are as equally accessible to small digital creators as they are to legacy media creators, and which are entirely neutral about the platforms they choose to produce for - Dropping any mandatory CanCon requirements for algorithmically generated feeds and search results; promoted Canadian content should appear in areas of the platform users can opt into, not forced into every algorithm-assisted feed and playlist we create - Setting a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche
t	T.	foreign platforms from CRTC regulation
Culle		
n	S.	Amount of foreign channels and users in relation to Canadian
		an actual democratic process that is lead by the people rather
ben	h.	than corporations corrupting government.
Matth		An option to turn on/off government preferred content across any
ew	W.	affected platforms is highly desirable.
		An option you could turn on or off to make more Canadian content
Alban	G.	visible in your recommendations or searches.
Steve	E.	And again it's freedom of speech again amendment number one why should you regulate what we watch here or talk about.
Denni s	D.	And you dear reader I demand you Delete Bill C-11 all together Or get your personal self out while you still can and be with your family where you are useful to society instead of destroying it. If that's not your job get out anyway so you are not

	1	
		associated with the perversions of the Canadian rulership's ownership of the CRTC.
		Animal cruelty, graphic/explicit violence and hate speech is the criteria they should be concerned with; focus on that type of
Tracy	H.	content.
Patric		
k	D.	Anti-hate and clearly negative diatribes.
		Any activity in relation with collecting and or distributing
		information should be under tight control. There is also the
Yves	L.	question of data crossing the teritory's borders.
Carso n	Н.	Any actual news or platform that is credible should not be regulated.
		Any and all. Not thier job to tell me what i can watch and what i can't watch, that's censorship and the first step on the road to something akin to communism and dictators telling me what kind of day to have. Politician gets caught with thier pants down boinking some 14 year old girl or boy (depending on orientation) and we might never hear of it. Censored because it might offend
Dale	J.	somebody.
Matth		
ew	S.	Any changes made will kill Canada, seperation here we come.
micha		
el	q.	Any communist propaganda from foreign or domestic government
Paul	J.	Any content creator or distributor that receives tax-payer money must be required to disclose this fact clearly and publicly and be subject to Canadian content regulation. This will force any large corporate content suppliers to admit that they take public money as well as subscriber fees.
Eric	P.	Any content provided FOR FREE should NOT be regulated protection for children NOT withstanding. Today it is way too easy for kids to access pornography. FEE BASED services should be regulated and taxed based on standard legal practices for such services BUT discouraged from excessive profit taking REGARDLESS of content, Canadian or otherwise.
Neil	T.	Any content should be controlled by the end user and subsidizing News media in Canada should not be allowed. If the media outlets in Canada do not have a viable business plan that allows them to operate, they shouldn't be in business. Stop corporate media welfare handouts!

Greg	L.	Any meddling from the government is undemocratic and prone to corruption
Sam	R.	Any group who receives subsidies should publish Canadian content in a proportional manner. This means a Canadian creator would receive subsidies. A broadcaster publishing foreign material would not.
Rob	F.	Any form of platform that promotes the open abuse or exploitation children should be banned. The CRTC should stay away anything else that promotes an open platform of free speech. The CRTC should not be involved with promoting the ideologies of the political party in power. If CanCon is worthy enough to listen to or watch then let it compete in a free and open marketplace. Too often CanCon is nothing more than some ridiculous promotion of something totally unworthy to watch just because it was made by a Canadian artist. If the CanCon by an artist is any good let the CRTC promote it at a film festival and let the people choose for themselves if any of it is worthwhile watching. If the people vote not to watch it, the people have spoken!
Aaron	F.	Any foreign sources, which are NOT Canadian, should not be forced to make or contribute to CanCon. Imagine if this was reversed and Canadian companies were forced to make American shows to be seen down south. It's absurd. This is going to either force them out of this market to avoid the extensive expense, leaving us with less choice, or to significantly raise prices for shows no one is watching. There is not a business anywhere on earth that would think this is a wise use of their limited money.
Jacob		Any end user (YouTuber twitch streamer etc) Online music should not be changed at all the current system while flawed does not need to be redesigned in the fashion of this bill
k Dean	M. B.	behind it should be included under Bill C-11.  Any criteria needs to have real public involvement that is acted upon, not just lip service.
Gary Patric	Н.	Any content with a large production team/corporate funding
Com		Any content that keeps both opinions in Canada available to everyone must be allowed. Not just what the government wants
Manu	G.	Any content that incites or propagates violence, hate, racism, discrimination, or that violate or vulnerate our values and principles inserted in our Constitution.

agusti n	g.	any media that is sponsored by the government should be banned from having news programs, to avoid suspicions for or against it. creating an indisputable balance. And this one should be the one in charge that should give the most support to Canadian creation content.
		Any organization that is supportive of the establishment narrative should be regulated or throttled. Any narrative that supports Christian values, or criticises non-european immigration, or
Adria n	C.	discourages interracial coupling, or presents European history in a positive light should be excluded from bill c-11.
Tim	C.	Any personal, or small content creators should be left alone. You already govern the main channels, and Media companies. Stay in your lane.
Leslie	S.	Any platform and socios media that we get exposed to and that there is an opportunity to develop home grown content.
Franc	В.	Any platform benefitting from the canadian media fund is required to use that money to produce canadian content. User content, content that's provided by users of the platform, and not provided by the platform itself or companies hired by the platform, should not be regulated. In general, I'd favor no regulation and instead offering the canadian media fund to produce canadian content only.
Mary	F.	Any platform promoting criminal activities or the sexualization of children must be banned. Why regulate anything else? Foul language is despicable but I am free to turn off or change the channel. If someone is pushing an ideology that I dislike, we can debate the issue and go our separate ways. There is room for everyone's ideas and opinions.
Came	L.	Any platform that allows open media uploads (such as YouTube) should be excluded, since everyone is on a level playing field. If anything is going to be regulated, it would make sense to have it be streaming services or other services that curate there content.
Rev. C.J. Barry	K.	any platform that gets so big it can swallow up the competitionbell and rogers for instance we should learn a lesson from that,
Thom as	B.	Any platform that is free to the creator/user/viewers should be exempt.
Marle ne	S.	Any platform that is getting my tax dollars should be regulated!

		Any platform that is predominantly a community of independents
		needs to be left alone. If the government needs control so bad, they need to prove trustworthy by controlling themselves first.
		Fiscal control would be a good start. Control over their media
		propaganda machines (er, I mean, corporate news media, which
		is HEAVILY subsidized by our tax dollars) would be a fabulous
		second step. Third, control of the so-called independent fact
		checkers. What is the recourse for fact checkers when caught
		lying? Currentlynone. No accountability for them, or really any
		arm of this government propaganda machine. This is completely
Greg	B.	unacceptable.
		Any platform that makes an attempt to promote Canadian content
		should be given plenty of leeway. I believe Amazon Prime, for
		example, has a Canadian category to explore. It has more than
		one Canadian-based original production. Our own broadcasters
		should be meeting their Cancon responsibilities and should be
Willia	_	encouraged to do so with high-quality content. Canadian content
m	P.	often appears made cheaply and lacks entertainment value.
		Any platform that promotes child abuse, human or drug trafficking
JoAn		or gun running, or advocates violence such as rioting with looting,
n There	C.	arson, murder and the like, should be censored and prosecuted.
There	F	Any platform that promotes pornography,Ķespecially child
sa	F.	pornography ,Ķ.should be eliminated.
Danis h	M.	Any platform that provides personalized recommendations should not be regulated.
ethan		Any platform where small or Indy creators regularly post content.
Nicho		rany praction where email or may createre regularly poor contents
las	C.	Any platform with user created content should be excluded
Joshu		
а	Z.	Any platform with user generated content should be exempt.
		Any platform with user-generated content (YouTube, Instagram
		etc.) should not be regulated because it limits discoverability for
		quality content and gives that visibility to Canadian Content
		independent of quality. Regulation of user-generated content
Samu		inhibits freedom of speech and expression, and can set a
el	I.	precedent for forms of censorship.
		Any platforms that already succeed in a significant Canadian
Andre	_	content percentage should be trusted to choose what fits their
W	S.	viewers.

	1	
Bryn	P.	Any platforms that have sole creators, instead of primarily corporation media. So YouTube should be excluded but Netflix is somewhat okay.
Miche	L.	Any platforms used by the general public to post or feed contents should be excluded under Bill C-11.
1	L.	Any platforms which represents a mean of communication for the
Philip pe	Н.	people shouldn't be treated the same as a big media distributor and producer which can influence millions directly.
Arsha		Any service that allows consumer uploaded content should
m	S.	absolutely NOT be regulated.
Lisa	M.	Any service that is used primarily by independent or emerging artists should NOT be regulated. There should be a minimum income generated before a platform is regulated. ie the average producer/creator should have to make above \$1M in profit before a platform would be regulated.
Sandr a	Н.	Any sites of a sexual nature that could influence children should not be available to minors.
Kevin	K.	Any streaming service that allows hateful, discrimination, lying, false information should be banned from the airways in Canada. That should also include cable television stations. Free speech is one thing but all of the items listed above are harmful to public sentiment.
Jame s	F.	Any thing created in Canada should have a label that identifies it it's up to Canadian content creators to pull their own weight,Ķ Canada is a free country and Canadian shouldn't be forced to watch or read anything,Ķ quit acting like a Dictator and start acting like a Canadian
Barto n	B.	any thing to do with Child pornography should not be available any where
David		Any Video Sharing or Social Media should be excluded due to the fact that they were never created for canadian content and has better helped Canada without the absurd bill
Diane	C.	Any which might affect democratic process(es) in Canada
Peter	J.	Anyone promoting hatred or racist content should be regulated and any platforms supporting child porn or human trafficking need to be shut down. I believe all those protections already exist?
Lexan ne	C.	Anyone who currently falls under CRTC regulations should continue to be. Anyone not should not become such, simply by wanting to post to Social Media.

hodi	N A	Anything against the constitution
hadi	M.	Anything against the constitution
Scott	S.	Anything broadcast over Canadian airwaves
Lorne	B.	Anything canadian should benefit from this horrible bill
		Anything funded by the government can be regulated - ie CBC.
Joann		Private platforms should not be regulated and Canadians should
а	K.	have access to their full use.
Lewis	L.	anything getting gov't \$ to produce media.
YAR		
OSLA		
W	S.	anything involving child exploitation or pornography.
FRAN		anything on social media like youtube, tiktok, rumble, ect
K	C.	shouldn't be touched by the crtc
		Anything on the WORLD WIDE WEB should not be restricted in
		any way, instead maybe focus on stopping child trafficking and
Jason	M.	child pornography
Andre		Anything pedophilia related and child harm. Otherwise stay out of
а	P.	our lives!
		Anything pornographic, anything that exploits or victimizes
		children should be banned, and any content that threatens to
Barba		cause physical harm should be banned. All other content should
ra	H.	not be regulated in any way.
		Anything targeted at children should be neutral propaganda
Terry	T.	biased
,		Anything that can impact on small content creators - YouTube,
Cathe		TikTok, Instagram, we need these tools to compete and we don't
rine	S.	need the CRTC to regulate them, it will hurt us more then help us.
Esteri	<u>.                                    </u>	Anything that collects users private information. We are people
na	C.	not products!!!
ıια	<u> </u>	Anything that compromises the safety of children Anything that
		gives favour to one group over another where it is decided that
Geor		one is going along with a narrative and the other is not or
gina	V.	expressing themselves
giria	۷.	Anything that directly or indirectly harms children including
Cindy	B.	
Cindy	ט.	pedophilia should be regulated.
		Anything that gives the CRTC the power to control how content is
		prioritized in the algorithm or on social media must be banned.
14 (1		The CRTC should not be determining what qualifies as Canadian
Kathe		content or how that content should appear or be promoted online.
rine	K.	Also, now that C-11 has passed, any govt in future can give new

		directives to the CRTC to control & censor social media feeds and news organizations, without any of the scrutiny of a parliamentary debate. If the purpose of C-11 was only to promote Canadian content on streaming services, the govt would have allowed the free speech protections put in the legislation by the Senate to remain. Bill C-11 is an open door to future govt censorship & a further erosion of free speech and open debate in Canada.
Blake	M.	Anything that has small content creators will have their Canadian creators destroyed by this bill. They would need to sign up and be seen as Canadian and knowing the internet within a week a anti Canadian filter will be made just to spite this effort.
Jai	L.	Anything that intentionally promotes HARM and disempowers Freedom of Choice for ALL Canadians is a very slippery slope. We need a whole restructuring of policy that is living and changeable as we are navigating massive societal changes, not static and written into a Bill that cannot be fluid with changing times.
Sherr	E.	Anything that is pediphelia, or targets our children. We need to let kids be kids. We should aim at shutting down the WEF content, and the content from the WHO and UN where they are pushing for children to have sexual partners by the age of 9. We don't need this kind of content.
Lynn	M.	Anything that is sexually explicit or demonically inspired should not be allowed. The LGBTQ+ Agenda should be stopped, especially in our schools. I have nothing against gay people, but they should not be pushing their agenda on us or our children. Take that agenda out of our schools and let our children be innocent children, not thinking about whether they want to change their sexual identity. I was a tomboy when I was young and wished I was a boy. However, as I grew older, I became proud to be a woman, which is what I was born to be. Leave our kids alone!
Wend y	S.	Anything that is woke culture/ideology. I should have a choice to not have to be subjected to woke culture/ideology.
Suza nne	K.	Anything that permits child abuse, pornography, torture of humans or animals and other illegal activity like hate-mongering and personal attacks on one's identity that destroys their livelihood or professional reputation. In other words, keep protecting human rights and hold all accountable to the law but permit different

		perspectives to worldwide issues, like covid, war, environment, and climate.
Matth ew	S.	Anything that promotes sexualization of children should be scrutinized
Miche I	H.	Anything that would be a supression and censorship of freedom of expression should not be regulated. This is a fundamental principle in a free society.
Maur		
een	H.	Anything that's government funded should be gone.
Julia	S.	Anything with user-created content should certainly be excluded from regulation.
Came ron	M.	Anytime the CRTC determines how to regulate platforms available to Canadians the CRTC should consider the following and operate with complete and total public transparency in relation to any actions taken under Bill C-11: 1. Does this regulation go against against public opinion? If so, how and why should we (The CRTC) still consider regulation. 2. Does this regulation eliminate competition? If so, how and why should we (The CRTC) still consider regulation. 3. Does this action go against the spirt of freedom in Canada? If so, how and why should we (The CRTC) still consider regulation. 4. Does this action infringe on the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms? If so, how and why should we (The CRTC) still consider regulation.
Terry	B.	Anywhere they filter or limit what you can and can not watch is not free choice,Ķ
Donal d	C.	Apply sanctions and censor all racism, hate speech, political and health misinformation, homophobia, and religious bigotry.
Alain	C.	Applying current laws regarding undesirable content and hate mongering should suffice
Kevin	U.	Applying the old standards to new platforms doesn't necessarily make sense. It would be better to think about what makes sense from first principles, and hard to see how any regulation on this front would be better than doing nothing.
Eva	A.	Are intentions going to cause canadians to lose access to content, thereby actually creating a worse experience for canadians? More regulation for smaller creators/providers means more overhead, and they therefore cannot do their jobs as effectively.

		Are these platforms a threat to the profit margins of legacy broadcast monopoly in Canada? Yes? Leave them alone and help
Craig	T.	foster innovation and competition. Injection of Cancon into feeds will not improve content.
Mark	D.	Are they a foreign media conglomerate? Then regulate.
Alan	R.	Are they feeding from the taxpayers' trough?
Christ opher	B.	Are they individuals or not. Do they recieve momey fron the canadian government as subsidies.
Donn a	A.	Are they pornographic? Are they trying to control what you think? Critical thinking?? No government control
Jamie	S.	Are they Radio or Television? If so regulate away; otherwise, fuck off already.
Brand on	D.	Are we even doing the right thing here? Why not use all the money we wasted making this bill to give that money to make more Canadian content?
Mark	S.	Are you making judgements on content? - STOP IT. YOU are not qualified. You are not my mommy. Go away and Leave People Alone. Do you want people to check with you before they act? Are you interested in deepening your control? I believe power, control, money and corruption are at the top levels of this. LEAVE PEOPLE ALONE!
Jared	T.	As a baseline, targetting the largest platforms that generate their own content, instead of platforms that rely on user content, would be a good start. Trying to force YouTube, which primarily relies on user created content, to make more Canadian content is inane and unenforceable. Don't try it, it's completely useless. Targetting Netflix and the other streaming platforms (Crave, Hulu, etc.) to make more Canadian content or to make more Canadian content available would be a better start.
Nanc y	P.	As a free country all voices are welcome, not just what the government deems. We should all be open ready to listen to each others views. C11 is not about Canadian content, it is about shutting down debates, freedom of speech. A coin has two sides, and every story has different views. C11 is pure censorship, that is not democracy. If we as a country cannot debate important issues then we are truly not free!
david	Н.	As a Libertarian, I've have problems with anyone regulating what I have access to. By grade 12 shouldn't we as Canadians be adequately educated to make our reading, watching and listening

		choices for ourselves. If gov't deem us inadequately educated to separate the wheat from the chaff, perhaps we should look for failures in our education system. Perhaps that has failed to prepare our youth for the real world!
Doug	S.	As above!!!!
Beth	L.	As above. Plus we have to pay for tv. Exactly why we should we not bd able to choose what we watch.
Jen	P.	As an adult taxpayer I should be able to decide what I view on the internet, my feeds or viewings should not be manipulated by a government entity. I should have freedom of choice.
Melis sa	N.	As Canadians we don't need more government control or being censored in what we can see on the internet. Major media companies already control what we see, the government stepping in is not going to make this any better. Maybe focus your efforts on our telecom monopoly, which is the actual issue.
L John	H.	As far as I am concerned the CRTC (COMMUNIST RADIO TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION has business of regulating anything. THE COMMUNIST RADIO TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION has no right to tell Canadians on what they choose. As far as I am concerned the CRTC should be dismantled.
Scott	M.	As few as possible. More can be added later if necessary.
Rolan d	K.	AS I have said before Youtube, instagram and netflix should be excluded .
Jonat han	В.	As long as a platform is not inciting physical harm on another then they should be allowed to discuss whatever they want, no matter how much you or I or the government may disagree with their view.
Rob	В.	As long as have a choice of watching what I please, I don't think there should be any platform regulated under C-11. We have enjoyed this up till now so why change it?
Alex	E.	As long as it doesn't affect canadian content creators with their user-generated media or force canadians to pay the government, I'm fine with the Bill
Rami n	S.	As long as little to no barrier exist for Canadian content to be on the platform then the CRTC should keep there hands off platforms. If anything, CRTC should work to make internet more affordable so that I can watch the content I like on top of Canadian content.

		As long as they are producing some prescribed amount of CanCon there should be no regulation. Individual searches and feeds should not be manipulated as far as existing search results and preferences, but rather maybe something like a CanCon tag
Neil	B.	can be added so that users can make their own choice.
Tim	P.	As much as I don't like the big tech companies and how much power they have with our daily communicationthey don't have any control over what is being communicated Unlike a radio or television broadcast where a producer determines most of what will be airedthese platforms are mearly a digital way of sharing a huge amount of information from one point to another and nobody has any real control over the content whatsoever
Sandr		
а	G.	As noted above. We do not want these types of regulations
Denis e	G.	As our government has refused to acknowledge some news platforms as being news reporters and blocked them from attending events, I believe that these independent platforms should be excluded under Bill C-11. The government shouldn't be allowed to regulate platforms that THEY don't consider to be news.
sophi	<u> </u>	As per my comments above, I am of the opinion the CRTC should
е	b.	stay away from making any decisions on behalf of Canadians.
Glen	S.	As stated in the answer to question 4, the existing regulations are already sufficient. Streaming services or platforms that allow private users to upload their own content should be left alone.
Isabel	Н.	Aside from removing pornographic content, I don't think they should regulate anything else if anything at all. Information Controlled and filtered by the government Runs the risk of restricting free speech and dissenting opinions.
Heath		
er	H.	aside from the Bill of Rights and the Charter, no.
Jennif er	V.	Ask all Canadians what they prefer instead of assuming, and making decisions about what they should watch on their behalf. Give Canadians the option to opt-out or turn off recommendations for Canadian content, and seeing Canadian content first.
Larry	R.	Ask the people direct, not the politicians and bureaucrats.
Diana	S.	Ask the public. And then repeat. And then repeat again. And then create a platform based on collaboration and ongoing

		engagement of ongoing concerns as things change in the present moment moving forward.
Christ	D.	At absolutely worst, only the biggest corporate streaming platforms like Netflix or Disney+ should be regulated, as they're pretty much as bad as regular tv broadcasters these days. Individuals or small groups like YouTube/twitch/instagram personalities should not be controlled by this, as they're not megacorps, and it's just cruel to try to force them to the same standards. YouTube/twitch/instagram itself shouldn't be affected either, as it would only hurt smaller creators
Tyson		At the end of the day. The businesses benefited by having access to the platforms they are trying to promote. People have adapted to figure out how to benefit from social media to make millions of dollars. However, the company's which are seeking revenue failed to make required adjustments to their business plans to benefit in the same manner. Large part because they relied on the easy route of government access to massive amounts of cash. They should be dealt the same fate as blockbuster.
Mark	P.	At the VERY MOST, I would advocate for a label on content that is unsubstantiated and advises readers to "do their own research". But otherwise, the CRTC should stay out.
Tara	C.	At this point I am of the opinion that the CRTC should be eliminated.
Mona	B.	Aucun
Cathe rine	M.	Aucune plateforme ne devrait être sous cette loi.
Joe	J.	Audience size, outreach, and impact.
John M.	D.	automatically generated playlists featuring more designated Canadian content over other content
Sachi		Avoid regulating sites that have user generated content as their
n	S.	main feature (e.g. YouTube, TikTok etc.)
Lyn	J.	Axe Bell C 11
Mary Anne	О.	B-11 should not exist, it is a communist's control tactic to control us, a free liberated society. To take away our rights and freedoms after we have fought for them, and our ancestors died for them. Government overreach needs to stop intruding in our private lives. We are a sovereign, people and will not allow this to continue!
Sandi		
е	E.	Ban Bill-11

Maro		
s	M.	Ban c-11
brand		
on	f.	Ban political news
Patric		
k	L.	Ban Porn.
Greg	R.	BAN the CRTC
Shaw		
n	C.	Ban Tik Toc
Brand		
on	P.	Ban Tik Tok and ban sharing Tik Tok videos on other plataforms.
Jacqu		
eline	S.	Basic freedoms and rights
David	C.	Basically, the Government of Canada should learn to respect the following principle: "By a free country I mean a country where people are allowed, so long as they do not hurt their neighbours, to do as they like. I do not mean a country where six men may make five men do exactly as they like. That is not my notion of freedom." Quote by Robert Gascoyne-Cecil, 3rd Marquess of Salisbury, former British Conservative politician and Prime Minister (Source: Wikiquote). Please ensure that "Bill C-11" does not in any shape, way, or form undermine that aforementioned principle. It should be simple to understand that everybody is different and treat others the way you would like to be treated. Thanks for the attention. Reference: Wikimedia Foundation, Inc. (2023, May 31). Robert Gascoyne-Cecil, 3rd marquess of Salisbury. Wikiquote. Retrieved July 4, 2023, from https://en.m.wikiquote.org/wiki/Robert_Gascoyne-Cecil,_3rd_Marquess_of_Salisbury
Stefa		Be clear and transparent about which platforms the CRTC intends to regulate and its reasons for doing so. Launch an effective appeals program. Provide ongoing, impartial analysis and generate statistics detailing the response and effect that
n	M.	regulation of a given platform is eliciting.
		Be very careful with how you try to exercise your control over
Barry	F.	speech.
Derek	J.	Before CanCon regulations were applied to music, Canadian music was an afterthought, but since has created a thriving internationally recognized industry in Canada. Television and film

		have not seen the same success because the nature of the content was not considered. Follow the music model, not television and film.
Celin	G.	Being made aware of Canadian content is good, but individual users should always have 1st preference over what to put on their favorite lists. I would love of lots of Canadian content to be made available on large streaming platforms and for these platforms to be required to make that content available. It should be easily searchable as such. However, I'm not sure it would be very reasonable to make the same requirements for small scale platforms or things that are created by individual users (e.g., YouTube content). Nor would this make sense for "foreign" streaming services like Viki, where the point is to access media from another country (e.g., South Korea).
Rafal	D.	Bell should become national goverment owned tv and provide major free to air channels, then you can control it with C-11. You trying killing free internet, if you do not like do not use it, it is not ideal but we lerned alteady its bad sides. If you want filter, offer free internet. I would block permamently terrorist countries from our network, countries which flooding our free network with spam, scam, theft and viruses.
Vitus	Y.	Best shows will survive on own merit .
Moha mme		
d	Z. C.	Big business anything earing over 100 million per quarter.  Big streaming platforms should have a Canadian content section, which allows us to choose to watch (we should have that option, not be forced to) such content, which would make it more fair among all content producers and broadcasters. This shouldn't be a canadian-only regulation, some should be except from this especially if they create/produce content and broadcast it all over the world (no geo-fencing or barricades).
Alison		Big streaming services should be required to provide Cancon. Services with user-created content shouldn't. There is no danger of missing American content, as they are heavily advertised online. As long as we can access them, I see no reason not to also provide and highlight Canadian media.
		Big streaming services with heaps of money should be required to

Andre		
W	l.	BIG TECH COMPANIES ONLY
Benja min	M.	Bill c 11 or any other bill should not EVER try and control or promote content on the internet. Please allow me to choose for myself and control my experiences on my internet in my home in my country. That's what's we all want. Except people who think their divine right is to control other sovereign Canadians. Either we are free Canadians or canada is not a free land. Which is it?
Jesse	S.	Bill C-11 absolutely should not have passed and is in direct contradiction with the will of the people. It was pushed through by entrenched politicians who stand to directly benefit from the legislation. Every platform should be excluded from C-11 as C-11 is a direct market manipulation that only benefits large established studios at the direct expense of independent Canadians.
Erin	N.	Bill C-11 and CRTC should not exist. Stop controlling the narrative just let people watch and say what they want.
Heath er	C.	Bill C-11 in its entirely is inappropriate, infantilising, paternalistic, poorly constructed and communicated. Canada is a free and democratic society, which guarantees one's right to freedom to access information, freedom of association, freedom of conscience and freedom of expression. A bill which seeks to manipulate, in any way, what information one accesses in Canada violates these rights.
HAR END RA	J.	Bill C-11 is a massive regulatory overreach and should be struck down, or watered down as much as possible. In the US a court just issued an injunction against the Biden administration enacting similar censorship policies.
eric	l.	bill C-11 is a slap to the face of any canadian media creator, including movies shot in canada. The Crtc is just another department that should be defunded
Jame s	D.	Bill C-11 is a step towards becoming a communist country. Get rid of the Bill.
Alan	S.	Bill C-11 is a travesty in a long line of them under this corrupt power-grabbing government. The CRTC should do the only responsible thing and refuse to enforce it in any way.
Miche le	В.	Bill C-11 is against Canadians freedom of speech and should have never passed. That's how we Canadians know how corrupted our Parliament is!

		Bill C-11 is an attack on Canadians and a betrayal of everything Canada stands for. The intent is obvious, the purpose clear: Consolidate power, control distribution. The government is no longer satisfied playing king-maker with grants and loans, they move to ensure those lacking their blessing receive no oxygen. C-11 has told Canadians exactly what their government lusts after: For whatever enters their eyes and ears to be only what has
		been curated and approved of. Henceforth, no deviation from the
Joshu		desires of their betters will be tolerated. Bill C-11 twists Canada
а	F.	into another North Korea, but one with a less charismatic leader.
Kelly	S.	Bill C-11 is an infringement on free speech and expression in Canada and those that support it are complicit and morally bankrupt.
Ray	S.	Bill C-11 is criminal and should be eliminated!
Christ	J.	
ina	W.	Bill C-11 is government overreach.
		Bill C-11 is illegal bill and should be cancelled. Censorship is a
Julia	B.	form of totalitarian regime.
Mike	A.	Bill C-11 is nothing but a government control bill. Canada has always been about freedom of thought & to watch, read, speak, and do what the individual wishes. This bill will crush the freedoms of Canadians and is not wanted in our free democracy.
		Bill C-11 is the problem. It should be relegated to the dustbin of
Bryan	D.	history.
Leroy	M.	Bill C-11 is too dangerous period
Philip	N.	Bill C-11 is unconstitutional and these platforms shouldn't comply with it.
Simo		
n	M.	Bill C-11 is wrong. It is harmful to freedom of speech!
		Bill C-11 isn't required, it limits peoples choices on content and
Lance	T.	should be redacted.
		Bill C-11 needs to be repealed altogether. Canadians should be free to roam the internet as we wish. We do not need to be dictated or restricted on what we are allowed to search, watch, read etc on the internet. This is another overreach from the government that cannot be allowed. All platforms should be accessible to all Canadians, no restrictions, except for child
Lise	W.	pornography, torture, slavery. We have a mind of our own and we

		should be allowed to use it. Bill C-11 is unconstitutional, it violates our human rights and freedoms.
Verno		
n	S.	Bill C-11 needs to be shredded! Go away!
Marjo rie-An		
ne	S.	Bill C-11 needs to go!
Ana	A.	Bill C-11 should be canceled.
m	d.	bill c-11 should be cancelled. it has no merit
Colle		
en	E.	Bill C-11 should be ignored it is censorship.
		Bill C-11 should be just scrapped. This government has no
Dan	H.	credibility and does not speak for anywhere close to the majority of Canadians.
Christ opher		Bill C-11 should be repealed entirely, and the CRTC should be defunded and dismantled entirely. Whether this happens in a civil manner or by means of an implosion, or by an outside attack, the one who brings about that destruction will be Almighty God, who will show His arm against a wicked bureaucracy. I pray the Lord would depose the CRTC so entirely that there is not a trace of its memory, and cause those who run it to either be converted to faith in Jesus Christ, or else they too will be destroyed. Oh, sing to the Lord a new song! For He has done marvelous things; His right hand and His holy arm have gained Him the victory. (Psalm 98:1) Now therefore, be wise, O kings; Be instructed, you judges of the earth. Serve the Lord with fear, And rejoice with trembling. Kiss the Son, lest He be angry, And you perish in the way, When His wrath is kindled but a little. Blessed are all those who put their trust in Him. (Psalm 2:10-12)
David	G.	Bill c-11 should be repealed. Period.
Dario	T.	Bill C-11 should be revoked it's one of the most counter intuitive bills that has been put forth by the Canadian government.
Brend		and the second particular by the canadam government.
a	В.	Bill C-11 should be scrapped, period.
Doug	C.	Bill C-11 should be withdrawn
Jacob		Bill C-11 should be withdrawn. It is a mistake. The CRTC and CMF clearly do not have the capacity to determine successfully if media is actually Canadian, and given that it does not have that

Regulations should apply exclusively to the platforms with paid subscription services, and should apply exclusively to the conter that they create, purchase, or pay to license. For example, YouTube has a paid subscription service, but regulations would not apply to content created and uploaded by content creators only to the YouTube original content and to content that YouTube le M. pays to license.  Bill C-11 should not be determining any content of which I want to see, it all should be allowed and I shall determine what I want to see, it all should be allowed and I shall determine what I want to see, it all should be free from having our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC.  Rober Bill C-11 should not even exist. It is an infringement upon our rig of free expression  Bill C-11 should not exist, nor should c-18. The internet is a bastion of free speech and should not be regulated.  To bastion of free speech and should not be regulated.  Bill C-11 should not exist.  Huon Bill C-11 should not exist. It is communists, we should have free general beconsidered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little beconsidered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little beconsidered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little beconsidered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little beconsidered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little beconsidered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.			capacity, it should not get more money to distribute through the CMF.
see, it all should be allowed and I shall determine what I want to see  Bill C-11 should not be regulating or excluding online platforms. Canadians should be free from having our feeds and content m M. manipulated by the CRTC.  Rober T. Bill C-11 should not even exist. It is an infringement upon our rig of free expression  Bill c-11 should not exist, nor should c-18. The internet is a bastion of free speech and should not be regulated.  Christ ina M. Bill C-11 should not exist.  Huon Bill c-11 should not exist. It is communists, we should have free g N. speech like before. Gouvernement lied to the people  Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis government is picking away little be little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such Corey K. Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will		M.	content, but only when they choose to look for Canadian content. Regulations should apply exclusively to the platforms with paid subscription services, and should apply exclusively to the content that they create, purchase, or pay to license. For example, YouTube has a paid subscription service, but regulations would not apply to content created and uploaded by content creators - only to the YouTube original content and to content that YouTube
Willia Canadians should be regulating or excluding online platforms. Canadians should be free from having our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC.  Rober T. Bill C-11 should not even exist. It is an infringement upon our rig of free expression  Bill c-11 should not exist, nor should c-18. The internet is a bastion of free speech and should not be regulated.  Christ ina M. Bill C-11 should not exist.  Huon Bill c-11 should not exist. It is communists, we should have free speech like before. Gouvernement lied to the people  Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis government is picking away little be little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such Corey K. Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will	Kelly	O.	Bill C-11 should not be determining any content of which I want to see, it all should be allowed and I shall determine what I want to see
t T. of free expression  Bill c-11 should not exist, nor should c-18. The internet is a Tyler T. bastion of free speech and should not be regulated.  Christ ina M. Bill C-11 should not exist.  Huon Bill c-11 should not exist. It is communists, we should have free g N. speech like before. Gouvernement lied to the people Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis government is picking away little be little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such Corey K. Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will	Willia		Bill C-11 should not be regulating or excluding online platforms.  Canadians should be free from having our feeds and content
Tyler T. bastion of free speech and should not be regulated.  Christ ina M. Bill C-11 should not exist.  Huon Bill c-11 should not exist. It is communists, we should have free speech like before. Gouvernement lied to the people Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis government is picking away little be little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such fogsuch a disgraceful time.  Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will		T.	·
ina M. Bill C-11 should not exist.  Huon g N. speech like before. Gouvernement lied to the people  Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis governent is picking away little by little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such fogsuch a disgraceful time.  Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will		T.	· ·
g N. speech like before. Gouvernement lied to the people Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population. Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little by little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such Corey K. Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will		M.	Bill C-11 should not exist.
Bill C-11 should not exist. Public opinion is what should be considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general population.  Bill C-11 should not existthis goverment is picking away little by little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such fogsuch a disgraceful time.  Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will		N.	
little Canadians freedoms and rights to choosethey take away so we have nothing to chosethis government unfortunately will be remembered in years to come remembered of how not to govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such fogsuch a disgraceful time.  Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will	Dylan	L.	considered. The laws shape the lives of mainly the general
Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and wil	Corev	K.	govern its people but definitely one I'm sure we all would like to forgetWEF agendas, tyrannyemission mandate bullshit and scandals so thick you need a light house to see through such
Kristi H. Just lead to small Canadian creators being pushed out.			Bill C-11 shouldn't apply to online content, it's too messy and will
Roger R. Bill C-11 shouldn't be in use at all.			

		Bill C-11 shouldn't be passed. If content is good it will find an audience. Forcing content on individual is just going to cause
chris	r.	more resentment towards the government.
Micha el	S.	Bill C-11 shouldn't quell the creation of Canadian content. The CRTC has been mandated to protect and promote Canadian content, not support friends of the CRTC and legacy media. My challenge is that the platform isn't the problem, the problem is ensuring Canadian creators are supported regardless of the medium they are creating for. The way the bill is currently written doesn't consider the dynamic and widely variable nature of media creation and access through the world wide web. Please, clearly and explicitly exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11; Set a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation;
Taylor		Bill C-11 was a terrible decision and should be revoked.
Rod	M.	Bill C-11 Was and is a mistake. Cancel this bill before more damage is done. Regulate the ones asking for \$millions\$.
Paul	J.	Bill C-11, the Online Streaming Act, gives the CRTC broad authority to regulate ALL audiovisual content on online platforms. THIS MUST NOT BE ALLOWED TO PROCEED IN A DEMOCRATIC COUNTRYI.
Angu	W.	Bill C-18 should not exist. I do not want our government trying to bend and prop up content that is not otherwise commercially viable. With the internet and social media platforms there is ample room for infinite niche markets for Canadian producers to meet needs of Canadians that choose to seek it out. If there were legislation it should focus on offering support for Canadians to get competent to compete on the media market.
Sha	S.	Bill of Rights 1 It is hereby recognized and declared that in Canada there have existed and shall continue to exist without discrimination by reason of race, national origin, colour, religion or sex, the following human rights and fundamental freedoms, namely, (a) the right of the individual to life, liberty, security of the person and enjoyment of property, and the right not to be deprived thereof except by due process of law; (b) the right of the individual to equality before the law and the protection of the law; (c) freedom of religion; (d) freedom of speech; (e) freedom of assembly and association; and (f) freedom of the press.

Jason	M.	Bill of Rights and Charter.
mike	m.	Block the main stream media of the lying propaganda and tell the TRUTH!!!
Verno n	S.	Blocking advertisements so i don't have to waster my time with them.
Victor	L.	Boards and corporations should not be the ones deciding what is okay for Canadians to access. The more control a group has over something the easier it is to use it to their advantage under the guise of what's best for society.
Mark	В.	Broad general rules at best to capture the most obvious and widely accepted beliefs of the people. Past that it's up to the social media platform to regulate itself. It's a product, if they do something you don't like them you can simply not use it or uninstall.
Alicia	B.	broadcasting platforms make sense to regulate like this but media sharing not so much.
Cindy	E.	Butt out!
Sherri e	M.	Butt out. We didn't need this. It's just another federal program (like CASL) that will cost taxpayer money and produce sub standard results. I don't want to be uninformed. However, I also don't want Chinese communist party interference in elections or on social media.
Diann e	V.	Butt the hell out.
Bruce	W.	By backing down and leave online services alone.
Prem adasa	G.	By pushing CanCon in this way, the perception comes across as something like a wealthy or well-known parent pushing their kid to the forefront of exposure or opportunities kind of like cultural nepotism.
Larry	D.	By the people for the peope.
STEP		
HEN	A.	C 11 should not exist!
Grant	S.	C-11 is a continued over reach of the current Canadian government and should be removed before it gets implemented.
Steph en	D.	C-11 is dystopian state control by an insecure & inept state. Advisory regulation, under open discussion, yes. Control? NEVER!

		<b>A</b> (4)   ( )   (
		C-11 is intrusive, and should be dropped, and the CRTC
Rober		re-evaluated for ROI. It delivers very little benefit to Canadians,
t	S.	and reduces competition in the Canadian market.
Tom	L.	C-11 is not needed and needs to be removed from Canada
Don	M.	C-11 should be cancelled
Colle		
en	L.	C-11 should be removed
Simo		
n	V.	C-11 should be repealed effectice immediately.
Rake		
sh	S.	C-11 should just be scraped.
		C-11 should never have gone through and needs to be repealed
Marni		immediately, if not sooner. NO platforms should be regulated OR
е	S.	excluded.
Miche		
I	P.	C-11 should not be passed
Rand		
y	G.	C-11 should not exist !!!
		C11 is the one of multiple steps that Trudeau's regime has taken
		towards controlling information that they see as detrimental to
		their agenda and goals. In no truly free society this kind of
		censorship under the guise of protecting Canadian content, let the
Franc		market forces decide if Canadian content are good enough to be
is	G.	considered by consumers. This isn't government's job!
Dale	T.	C11 needs to go in its entirety
Benoi		
t	H.	C11 should be scrapped because it infringe on liberty of choice
Alan	W.	Can Com committment.
		Can con requirement has been difficult for radio and tv to navigate
		for decades. why share the pain? training and funding for
Jenne		Canadian content creators should be the focus. Make Canadian
fer	S.	content something people want to watch.
		Can the platform reasonably tell the origin of it's content? Does
		the current definition of Canadian content match the modern
		method of creating and funding content? Can a YouTuber who's
Danie		primary source of income is YouTube ever receive most of their
I	A.	funding from Canada?

Ray	Т.	Canada is supposed to be free and we should be able to access all media free of any charges and and see the world and how others live and survive
Jay	S.	Canada should heavily regulate any and all platforms that promote or expose alt extreme philosophies or false and exaggerated news and/orm opinions.
Micha el	C.	Canada should support Canadian content creators, but no platforms should be excluded
Mario n	W.	Canadian and independent
Erella	G.	Canadian artists and content creators need to have a way to have their work seen and heard. The american market is too prevalent and too overwhelming. We need to support our canadians making creative works or they won't have a chance.
Verno n	H.	Canadian based news outlets Non-Canadian based news outlets and websites should be exempt
Trevo r	M.	Canadian broadcasting companies should be their ONLY jurisdiction. And only to a point at that. This is very close to Chinese Communist overseeing what the public can view. A very very slippery slope.
jeff	m.	Canadian Constitution. Freedom.
Geor		
ge	G.	Canadian content benefits Canadians and Canada
Sandr a	S.	Canadian content needs to be competitive in its own right.  Content that is funded without any apparent obligation beyond being "Canadian" is far more likely to be a lot more of the same sub-par content that has caused Canadians to eschew it in the first place.
Cindy	G.	Canadian content needs to be defined. If it is produced by Canadians who live and work in Cananda, that is Canadian content and should be protected regardless of the content (except of course Co tent such as port which is already against the law). This bill appears to threaten small alternative media outlets that provide Canadian content that may differ from the big government-funded media such as CBC. I want access to what I want without Big Brother telling me what I can or cannot consume or what is more important for me to consume. I find it interesting that Trudeau considers Canada a post-nationalist country with no real cultural identity, yet he claims to be interested in Canadian

	1	
		content. It appears that this bill is more a way for his government to to control what people have access to on the internet. Leave my feeds alone!
Joshu a	W.	Canadian Content should be content that is created by Canadians. No more no less. Canada is a diverse country with many different viewpoints that deserve a fair shot at competing for the public's attention.
Mr. Rafe	S.	Canadian content should be prioritized and the major foreign corporations should be regulated for Canadian content and fact checked to prevent any AI (foreign or domestic) from corrupting the content of news, or other relevent information for public consumption.
Phil	M.	Canadian content should be working on the same basis as everything else media wise, either be self supporting and pay for yourself or go tits up and go broke. I am tired of funding, the CRTC global and the CBC net work in Canada.
Gillia		Canadian content should not be hidden. Let the people have the
n	E.	freedom to communicate, create content, share.
Rober t	R.	Canadian content should stand on its own without support from the government with funding or regulations that restrict what viewers can see. I'm fine with a message that highlights Canadian content so those that choose to watch it even if it is an inferior product (from time-to-time), can do so. Otherwise government and regulators should stay out of trying to protect Canadian content. Our own Prime Minister says, essentially, that Canada has no culture to protect and that we are post-national. If that is true how can we justify spending funds to protect content?
Fran	L.	Canadian content, actors. Canadian producers, made in Canada
Jarvis	N.	Canadian creators have flourished despite regulations, and yes, even French speaking content generated from Quebec and French Canadians, Kevin Brunure, Rad, T√™tes √† claques to name a few. Our anti-American nationalism needs to stop. It's not benefiting Canadians, it's lagging us behind the free world. We don't need a top down approach to culture, we don't need a council to decide what qualifies as Canadian. We just need to let people be Canadian, whatever flourishes that be should be. If the government wants to highlight Canadian content, then at most make it something I can opt in to,Ķ at most. Freedom is never voluntarily given by the oppressor; it must be demanded by the oppressed Martin Luther King Jr.

las C. Canadian creators, not specifically Canadian content.  Canadian funded CBC should not be allowed to stream Advertising during screening of their video news articles.  Cohe r N. Canadian government oppression Canadian mainstream media sites (CBC, CTV, etc) should need to be prioritized on Canadian's news feed Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Lear - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, B. tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt of paws off my information.  Ganadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian to stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and video sharing platforms.	Nicho		
Paul L. Advertising during screening of their video news articles.  Gohe r N. Canadian government oppression Canadian mainstream media sites (CBC, CTV, etc) should need t be prioritized on Canadian's news feed Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as  Dave M. Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone. Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated. CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt of paws off my information.  Susa n M. niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials. Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada. Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	las	C.	Canadian creators, not specifically Canadian content.
Gohe r N. Canadian government oppression Canadian mainstream media sites (CBC, CTV, etc) should need to be prioritized on Canadian's news feed Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, dagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt of G. paws off my information.  Susa  M. canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian v stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			Canadian funded CBC should not be allowed to stream
r N. Canadian government oppression Canadian mainstream media sites (CBC, CTV, etc) should need t be prioritized on Canadian's news feed Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as  Dave M. Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone. Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated. CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt of G. paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials. Canadian to t stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada. Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Paul	L.	Advertising during screening of their video news articles.
Canadian mainstream media sites (CBC, CTV, etc) should need to be prioritized on Canadian's news feed  Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian to stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Gohe		
Joan J. be prioritized on Canadian's news feed  Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as  Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	r	N.	Canadian government oppression
Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as  Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			Canadian mainstream media sites (CBC, CTV, etc) should need t
in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as  Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported,  da B. tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ones of my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Joan	J.	be prioritized on Canadian's news feed
and only 20,000 Canadians would not be treated the same as  Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ones of paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian to stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			Canadian market share for the size of the content producers? As
Dave M. Netflix with 6.5 million subscribers in Canada alone.  Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			in a small YouTube creator with a million worldwide subscribers
Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			
I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and n inche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Dave	M.	
Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or from) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and n. M. niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual Peter P. unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is n. R. streamed into Canada.  Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			
Melin da B. tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is  Judi E. being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and n inche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual Peter P. unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadian screating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			, ,
da B. tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.  Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			
Dell S. Canadian news networks should be regulated.  CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	_		
CANADIAN NEWS! It is important for us to know what is happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			
happening in our country! We need info from HONEST NEWS broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and n inche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Dell	S.	
broadcasters! The CBC & CTV are LIBERAL BIASED they are paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			·
paid by the LIBERALS to feed us LIES! Western CANADA is being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			, , ,
Judi E. being SHUT OUT  Canadian platforms are the only ones that need to be regulated by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa  Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			-
Aime N. by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone  Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	l di	_	l' -
Aime N. by Canadian lawmakers. Leave the other platforms alone Canadian politics are funded by the companies the government purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information. Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials. Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada. Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Judi	С.	
Canadian politics are funded by the companies the governement purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Aimo	NI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Franc ois G. purports to regulate. The citizens are the losers. Get your corrupt ois G. paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Aime	IV.	
ois G. paws off my information.  Susa Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Eranc		
Susa n M. Canadian revenue thresholds should be set to exclude small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials. Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada. Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and		G	, , ,
n M. niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation  Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	_	0.	
Canadian should have the right to both MSM and independent journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and		М	
journalists. Funding should be restructured to allow for actual unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and		1411	
Peter P. unbiased materials.  Canadian tv stations should maybe show more Canadian content but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			
Marto n R. but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Peter	P.	-
Marto n R. but the rest of the world should not have too even if the content is streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			
n R. streamed into Canada.  Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents, Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	Marto		,
Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and	n	R.	
Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and			Canadians creating content in any form is, for all intents,
Jason C. video sharing platforms.			Canadian content. This is especially true of social media and
	Jason	C.	video sharing platforms.

Tyson	R.	Canadians don't need a dictatorship to outline or control or limit the content we consume online.
les	b.	Canadians need access to a broad range of global content not just Canadian content. We live in an ever shrinking world and what is happening in far and distant lands has impact on us just as we have impact on far and distant lands. Limiting content plays into the realm of keeping Canadians ignorant of global issues that affect them except as seen through the window of Canadian content. Its like putting blinkers on a horse to stop it being frightened by anything moving in its peripheral view. If we want to keep Canadians informed we wont do that by limiting their access to the broad range of content from around the world. I dont want to watch just Canadian content. That is far too limiting.
		Canadians need online content and streaming that are open and
m	P.	free from CRTC regulations. Thank you.
Mega		Canadians should be free from our feeds and content being
n	P.	manipulated by the CRTC
Andre a	Н.	Canadians should have access to as much information as possible. Canadians should be free and deserve access to education. Canadians don't want their online livelihoods determined by government and other bodies out to make an undeserved cash grab.
Glenn	Н.	Canadians should have COMPLETE freedom of speech without ANY type of Government conttol, guidance, or censorship. To do so would result in the theft of Canadians freedom of speech, and therefore freedom itself!
Yuki	S.	Canadians should have the freedom to watch/listen to whatever they choose. Period. The government should not be pushing and regulating what the people choose, regardless of whether it's "Canadian", "safe" or not. The government should not tell us what to watch, and what to listen. We should have access to everything we choose. Censorship fails.
Yves	M.	Canadians should not be excessively burdened with rules and regulations when they produce their content. Large foreign multinationals making money selling entertainment to Canadians should be made to make an effort to fund or produce content by Canadians for Canadians or a larger audience.
Holly	H.	Canadians want free speech.
Tylor	S.	Cancel it all.

Hayd en (	G.	CanCon is never recieved well when shoved down throats. There is a very clear reason why radio and news is failing and making the internet follow the same footsteps is just obtuse.
		Cancon rules should be completely reversed or at minimum scaled back to very minor %s. The focus should be turned to funding Canadian talent so they can compete globally. The Canadian public shouldn't be at the mercy of content regulation
	J.	by the state. This is crazy to be arguing about in 2023.
Laure	_	
	F.	Cancon.
Dave I	R.	CBC CTV Global
		CBC needs more regulation and to be properly labelled as a Federal Government news service. Again still irate over the Conservative Harper era's failures on Financial education, manipulating all the news agencies with a gag order about the Income Trust issue and the out right lies that have since come to light and no proper reporting. This lack of transparency, and communistic behaviour has given license to the current regime to do the same by pushing their own woke, anti regular, average Canadian agenda while getting us to pay for their propaganda through our income taxes. Funding for CBC should be cut too if they continue to benefit from ad revenue from rich international companies like Uni-Leaver, Proctor and Gamble, Mondolez, pharmaceuticals, etc. Canadian legacy news agencies like ToStar, SunMedia, Gag & Mal, Bell Media, Chorus all need to be regulated and labelled as Canadian on their pages. Slightly different badge from CBC as they don't receive as much money especially Rogers as it's angering their publications have been receiving money from the Canada council and so many recipes in mags are unhealthy contributing to higher health care costs and obesity. Home reno stuff in their publications simply benefits American companies. Ideally C-11 should be scrapped. Enough time has been wasted and the insult to all Canadians & Quebecers by even the suggestion of having more propaganda
Holly I	M.	shoved down our throats has been heard loud and clear!

don	a.	CBC should not get so much government funding and neither should CTV global, Otherwise, people are smart enough to decide what is truth or not, By allowing more information not less people can make up their own minds. Tic Tok and other platforms like it spread misinformation which get so many likes and before you know it that has become the new truth. That is what needs to be regulated so special intrest groups do not get to over push their points. Please let the internet be open and let the people decide what they watch. Also Canada should end hand outs to Canadian actors and creators if they are world class the market will be theirs. with respect to those who differ thank you
jesse	b.	Censorship
Debbi		
е	S.	Censorship
Joann e	S.	Censorship & CONTROL by government SHOULD NOT be allowed at all. Stop DICTATING what we can or cannot do. FREEDOM is being curtailed by this government for their own interests not that of the people they supposedly "SERVE". GET OUT OF OUR HOMES & PRIVATE LIVES! Cease & desist controlling what we want to see or hear. It's NONE OF GOVERNMENT'S BUSINESS. End of story.
		Censorship by the Canadian government is a serious issue that
		affects the freedom of expression and information of Canadians. Here are some examples of how the government has tried to suppress or manipulate the public opinion on various topics: - In 2023, the government passed Bill C-11, which gave the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) the power to regulate online content, including user-generated content on social media platforms. The bill also required online services to broadcast a certain proportion of Canadian programs, which could interfere with the personal choices and preferences of users In 1992, the Supreme Court of Canada ruled that obscene pornography was not protected expression, and that it could be banned based on its potential harm to society. This ruling gave the government the authority to censor any material that it deemed obscene, hateful, or seditious, without regard for artistic or educational merit Under the War Measures Act, the Canadian government imposed strict censorship of news related to World War I. 250 publications and periodicals, including many
Greg	B.	from the United States, were banned in the country. The

		government also controlled the information that was released to the public about the war, often exaggerating or distorting the facts. These are just some of the examples of how the Canadian government has misled the Canadian population through censorship. Censorship is a threat to democracy and human rights, and Canadians should be aware of its dangers and resist its attempts.
Ann	M.	Censorship is a problem already. I have seen too many videos deleted because they don't fit government narrative.eg Covid, no contradictory information was allowed, only government approved lies promoting unsafe and ineffective experimental vaccines as our only hope to "get back to normal ". Misinformation was what was broadcasted in the mainstream news 24/7and it did much harm. So the CRTC should make sure important information is NOT censored. Regulation should promote truth and factsnot propaganda. YouTube and Facebook were the worse, beside the so called news networks, twitter was bad tooSo called fact checkers were industry funded.
jf	l.	Censorship is evil.
Karen	H.	Censorship is not necessary!
Brend alee	L.	Censorship is not promoting media it is stifling media and news and information should not be influenced or controlled by any political party or government funded agency. The crtc should be neutral and allow for access to information like democracies do, only oppressive countries seek to control what the people hear and see.
Geor	C.	Censorship is not the answer. On top of it what gets pushed from government and CBC radio etc most of the time is wrong and mispresented. I rather watch a bunch points of view and the truth is usually easier to decern. So tired of being fed lies from our organizations.
Liesl	L.	Censorship is step 2 of dictatorship.
Bradl ey	В.	Censorship is the death of creativity. State media is the death of democracy. Totalitarianism is the death of people and countries. Nazi Germany. The Soviet Union. China. Next: Canada.
Mavis	Ο.	Censorship is unethical.
Jennif er	C.	Censorship is wrong. None of this makes any positive difference to Canadians. The money used could support and encourage Canadian content.

ecides what I , I delete it or beech is a only be
only be
<u> </u>
s degrading,
ne.
government
hould be
e Charter
on the
s is legit and
he internet,
ave to prove
ıld be
argeted and
be censored.
y platform.
people given

Sam	R.	Child pornography.
Verni		
ce	G.	Child pornography. Snuff films. Demonic Satanic Rituals.
Glen	W.	Child protections against sexualized content.
Nicol e	B.	Child trafficking. Porn. Age appropriate information and nothing else.
J	S.	Children and their Parents wishes together filling out survey together. 10k from all major cities and towns.
Chery	N.	Children's content should be regulated for violence and sexual implications (including lgbtq) for the sake of their mental health and people should be informed how the content they consume affects them.
Marie n	B.	Children's programming. Adults should not be restricted unless it is undesirable sexual content.
marjo rie	b.	Childrens websites should be watched for predators. I have 2 grand kids who are constantly on youtube watching gaming videos, too much swearing, and vulgar language.
		Chinese manged platforms such as Tick talk should be banned
Rick	M.	due to its potential for data mining for use against other countries.
Kelly	M.	Chinese/Russian controlled platforms should be closely monitored and regulated
С	M.	Citizen's rights over corporates and govt.
Chery	C.	Clear distinction between regulations for actual companies and corporations who create content vs content created by individuals. Content created by individuals should not fall under these guidelines.
Sharo n	A.	Clearly and explicitly exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11
Alaki	M.	Close CRTC and stop censorship. You are just losing credibility. In dictatorships like Iran, they choose what people have to watch. But no body trust them and nobody watches that. In Canada you still have a lot of stupid people who trust you. All you are doing is waking them up too.
CHA RLES	M.	Collect taxes from any operating in Canada and any making money from canadians but new regulations aren't something i have any interest in without more old regulations going out first.

Doug	R.	Commencing any form of censorship appears upright/correct as in the movie industry censorship/attempts to control liquor and there is ample reason to consider that attitude correct BUT it all ended in failure due to corruption and mainly public opinion. The providers of platforms I believe should have the greater control of their product just as in a restaurant no shoes no service WORKS! Then the government and the costs are passed on and inevitably we will end up in a better place through the process of competition. Above all EDUCATION of the public is key to all for as history repeats ignorance is the basis for anarchy. so Leave the regulation up to the platforms/public and focus on educating the population.
Hilde	R.	Commercial platforms in particular; Platforms whose algorithm that cause harm, eg. Meta who know they were harming female teenagers.
Tyler	T.	Common sense not dumbass sense
Jonat	V.	Concentrate on the child centered ones like YouTube kids to make sure no predatory content or creators end up in there.  Leave the others alone.
FRAN		
K	S.	Concentration of Ownership
Mel	S.	confirmed audience size
Steve	F.	Conflict of interest. Is the funding of media from the government going to adjust how and what ideas and ideaology the media will have to espouse to stay funded. Freedom of media to report what is going on without having to tow a particular government stand on something. Government controlled media. Non government controlled media should not be silenced.
Andre		
W	d.	Conservative platforms and agendas.
Conni e	M.	Consider backing off and letting Canadians decide for themselves where they get their information and who they support in this area. My social media feed is mine, not the governments. I am an adult and can determine what information is valuable to me or not valuable. No govt regulated entity can tell me what to think, who to believe or what information is safe for me. I cannot believe the level of Nazi socialist totalitarian nonsense this Liberal Govt is pushing down our throats. We do not need the Government/CRTC to regulate the content Canadians choose to consume. Perhaps if the Govt made the slightest effort to abolish

		child pornography and sex trafficking on social media sites to the same degree they stifled and suppressed medical doctors during covid hysteria, maybe I would trust their intentions. But I do not. This entire bill is a blatant attempt to fully control all information available to Canadians, thinly disguised under the banner of safety, as though Canadians are incapable of discernment.
Bhara t	P.	Consider emphasizing alternative voices to the viewer's current preferences as much as possible. If the CRTC will emphasize certain feeds, then they might as well emphasize feeds that may help people understand their neighbours, instead of feeds that keep people inside their current echo chambers.
Nicho las	S.	Consider how this is going to affect independent content creators or others who have opposing views of the current government.
Zahra	A.	Consider if it's a large company to should be regulated by having a section to boost Canadian made content. Also make sure that all sorts of Canadian content creators with different and diverse voices from different communities, are funded not just the big broadcast status.
Micha el	P.	Consider not using this bill. Censorship is for communists and fascist. Would move to Cuba or China if I wanted that in my life, and I don't. Want freedom, not censorship and government control. Would prefer to be able to educate myself and not have information controlled and censored.
Andre	F.	Consider our Charter of Rights and Freedoms, do your damn job protecting it!
john	S.	Consider platforms pushing fake news - discrimination - hate - religion - should be controlled - Other than that the internet should be free for Canadians to determine themselves what to access-we do not need someone else to decide for us most of us are smart enough to make our own decisions.
Shaw	<b>D</b>	
n	R.	Consider reconsidering the bill altogether.  Consider that many Canadians would prefer to have choices rather than have their choices restricted. We are not babies and
Roy	K.	do not need to be treated as such.
Nick	P.	Consider that not everyone wants their feeds messed with.
Jason	M.	Consider that regulation is not necessary to begin with. If there is a purpose for the CRTC in the 21st century, focus resources on regulating the oligopoly of telecom providers in order to reduce

Lois	G.	available and not controlled! What should be banned and controlled is inappropriate sexual perverted stupid gender garbage!
Andre	P.	Content creators on social media, and also live streamers need to be excluded.  Content from other non exclusive canadian content should be
Rame sh	T.	Content created by individuals should be excluded. Anything made by a media company should be regulated.
Bruce	N.	Content by public broadcasters should be regulated. Only content broadcasted by large television networks and streaming platforms should be regulated. Not personal content for the purpose of social media, posted by individuals, influencers or independent companies.
Mark	D.	Content available to children should be more stringently regulated to promote healthy families, moral values and a healthy mentality.
geral d	d.	content
Gaeta n	S.	Conspiracy, false information.
Pat	W.	Consider which news outlets show the liberals bias and went tell the truth in their programming. Not that it matters cus this gov't will team it down people's throats like they do everything else. This bill should never have been passed since I have zero trust in this gov't NOT to use this as a way to spy on Canadian citizens.
Erin	K.	Consider what the platform provides. Is it user generated or not? Is it a specific service that only offers anime translations from Japan?
lm Oliver	M. W.	more government control. BACK OFF!  consider VPN etc before you waste any more money on this
matth ew Malco	m.	Consider the fact that small-businesses and creators will be financially impacted by your misguided and sinister attempt to control what Canadians can see in their feeds.  Consider the impact of their interference. We do not want or need
Rea	Н.	choices.  Consider the fact that Canadians were not given our God given right to vote on this insane plan and it should therefor not be implemented.
		prices for consumers, rather than focusing on reducing their

Andre		Content generated by individuals or small groups should not be affected just the same as it is today. The purpose of the CRTC in origin was to prevent outside influences and companies, primarily American, from steamrolling Canadian creatives, and by extension content about Canada, from existence. Forcing a system which regulated individuals is counterproductive to this goal. What's the point of a system meant to promote 'Canadian content' if it results in content created by Canadians no longer being shown to Canadians in preference for a much smaller, hand
W	H.	selected group, of creators?
JAME S	W.	Content originating in hostile countries like Russia, North Korea and China should be treated as propaganda.
Heloi	VV.	Content regulations should apply to corporations, not individual
se	A.	content regulations should apply to corporations, not individual
	,	Content should be fact based. Regulation is required only to
Alan	M.	identify contact that is not based in fact
		Content should be open. I have no problem with promoting or
		even subsidizing minimally Canadian content but it should be up
Marly		to the subscribers what they want to see and watch as they pay
n	M.	for the service.
Jeffre		Content should reflect the makeup of our community and its
У	F.	diversity.
S.	C.	Content that is illegal under the law. Not something designated 'hate speech' which seems recently more arbitrary to match an agenda or political view. Example attempting to ban Fox news because you don't like its point of view. We are adults and should have the continued ability to consider many points of view and can decide to not watch ourselves.
Ami Lee	G.	Content that is user generated/developed, such as social media and YouTube should be excluded. Likewise smaller streaming services focused on niche markets (Crunchyroll, Tubi, etc) do not need the meddling of the CRTC. People pay/support those for specific content. Leave them alone!
		Content that should be regulated: murdering, mutilating of
Mara	S.	humans/children, rape content and porn.
Mihai	P.	Content which can be selected by the user ("on demand") should be excluded from manipulation. Canadian content could simply be labelled as such on these platforms with an option for the user to use specific filters to access it.

Mode		Content, and content discovershility must be free from
Mark o	K.	Content, and content discoverability must be free from Government manipulation.
Rebe	K.	Continue with the status quo as we had prior to communist Bill C-11, and in fact there should be even LESS regulation. In a truly free country, sovreign individuals have the right and ability to determine for themselves what type of content they read and
cca	R.	watch. The government does not have this right.
Melis		
sa	D.	Control should remain with the viewer.
Andre w	R.	Controlling media, regulating media, platforms all of this is a dangerous and slippery slope. Just don't do it. Encourage and support Canadian content without controlling free media.
Tony	D.	Corporate entities that broadcast, not individuals
tony	k.	Corporate mega-media companies should be the only ones regulated
Sheld		Corporations with majority of shareholders (in numbers and
on	H.	dividend payments) outside Canada
Myles	M.	Cost of entry. Before the digital revolution, getting one's content seen required very deep pockets. Producing a broadcast quality TV show costs hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of dollars. And there were only a small number of risk-averse networks to show it. This is the media environment that gave rise to the CRTC. Now, anyone with a smartphone can make content and upload it onto a digital platform. I think C-11 is based on the assumption that the old financial barriers still exists, when in fact they do not. Also, YouTube and my news feeds already offer me lots of Canadian content, based on my IP address and previous clicks. So I see regulation of digital streaming services as unnecessary.
Eric	Н.	Creator and user created content, be it social media or video sharing should be excluded. However, movie platforms, such as NetFlicks and Disney+ should have an option to be included whenever a person wants to select various movies Companies like Crave which show Television programming absolutely should be regulated, these are little more than TV stations online
Mark	F.	Criminal code sex and violence, especially of, against children.
Jacqu	1 -	oriminal code sex and violence, especially of, against children.
eline	P.	Criminal content.

Trevo		criteria of historical and political content to avoid right- wing and
r	C.	colonialistic content.
There	G.	Criteria? 1. Does this help or hurt? 2. Are you keeping the people of this country in the dark or taking away their right to choose what they watch, how they watch. 3. Are you telling people that what they create may not be ok and therefore vetted for whatever reason. Will the general public still have choice? Freedom of the press Free Speech Free Enterprise All of this can be taken away. The beauty of the internet is the ability to reach out, build a business, create a community, get the news, learn etc. This bill feels like yet another cash grab, another high tax, another slap in the face from this liberal government.
Geor	,	CRTC should not be regulating the internet. Full stop! This is a
gie	H.	liberal censorship grab, nothing more.
Clinto		CRTC and CANCON should have nothing to do with anything on
n	P.	the internet.
Darle ne	D.	CRTC and Liberal Government is not inline, forward thinking and heavy handed in its approach by tampering with, our freedom of Press and conscience. We go to the library to read and be informed. You do not have the right to regulate what we read and see. If children can watch drag queens in libraries and we can't protest against it why cant I watch the news online?! This is not the freedom that my Dad got shot for when he escaped a communist country. Freedom was not free. Does that mean that because are freedoms have slowly been taken away that a war needs to happen to get them back? During the pandemic some countries silenced and cut off news coming in and leaving their country. Dictating unreasonable policies to Google and others at the expense of our loss of enjoyment, liberties, and many freedoms is unconscionable.
Alain	L.	CRTC does NOT have to interfere in content regulation .
Hans	G.	CRTC does not regulate my phone conversations. It should not regulate my social mediations through these platforms who make their way on meta-big data filtering not having paid for news that fuels social discourse
jeff	M.	CRTC has a mandate to monitor radio and television only. Its extension into other platforms is an over-reach.
JO11		one in order platforms to all over readin.

Lisa	P.	CRTC has already shown clearly that they are not in touch with the needs of Canadians. I do not wish to give them sweeping power to regulate platforms for Canadians. They are not responsive when they make an error nor are they flexible to the needs of Canadians. They appear to serve interests of large corporations over the common good of Canadians therefore they are not the body to regulate which platforms we watch.
Andre		CRTC has no business regulating online activity. It's an out dated
W	W.	idea from people who are scared of Canadians becoming too American.
		CRTC has no place in controlling what people choose to
Tyler	L.	consume, online or anywhere else
Horia	G.	CRTC have to don;t be involve in any action to stop or to punish, the alternative media on these kind of platforms.
Janett e	S.	CRTC in considering which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11 should take into account whether they are broadcasting Can Con from other regulated Canadian broadcasters who pay their staff etc. for their info to be out on the Internet and whether the other social media platforms are broadcasting that info other Canadian broadcasters create and not paying as unregulated etc. They should keep in mind to give Canadians options in what Can Con they consume and when. It is easy enough for algorithms on social media platforms to find Can Con and have it as links to the content without search engines blocking free searches of important information that is not Canadian content.
		CRTC is a joke leave this shit alone unless you want extremely
Seto	K.	pissed off people as stated above
Bill	K.	CRTC is a joke. Nothing should be regulated. People should have the option to choose what they want to read or see.
Garre	1 .	CRTC is an anti creative think tank of hired censor goons and
t	F.	should be dismantled, not be given more control.
		Crtc is Bell Rogers Telus and Trudeau's puppet. An independent
Slawo		company chosen by Canadians should take over this task. That
nmir	S.	company must not be affiliated with any of those 4.
Linda	M.	CRTC is controlling what we watch. ie News, we only hear what they want us to hear. We can decide on our own what we may want to watch. They do not let us know the truth about anything happening in Canada or anywhere else for that matter The truth should be open to all. Quit hiding government doings on us. We
Liilda		onesis so open to aii. Quit maing government doings on as. We

		are not stupid. We can see what they are doing and most time more than you know. LET US BE FREE TO DO AND WATCH WHAT EVER WE WANT.
NICO		
LA (		
NICK		
)	D.	crtc is useless and should be disbanded !!
		CRTC may put ranking as to what degree are the sources
Ms F	D.	confirmed
Wiesl		Crtc members should fold the tent and find janitorial possoron
awa	D.	bunch of Ipsers
		CRTC needs to focus on affordability and quality of internet
Iris	H.	providers!!!
		CRTC needs to get prices in line with other countries so that
		Canadians are not having to pay high costs to have internet or
JE	S.	use a cell phone.
Andre		CRTC needs to go away. They are a cancerous poison to
W	Y.	Canadian culture who only serve the big 3 telcos.
Danie		
I	P.	CRTC needs to grow a pair against C-11.
		CRTC or any other government should not have any control over
		what is on the Internet. Canadians should be able to access legal
Angel		content produced anywhere in the world without CRTC filtering for
0	B.	Canadian Content criteria.
		CRTC platform regulation should be limited to addressing those
		facets of platforms which present risk of harm, particularly harm to
		sensemaking and epistemic institutional health. Regulating what
Grae		content Canadians see relies on bad faith arguments regarding
me	B.	content creation and individual choice making.
		CRTC should also be abolished. Ever noticed most Canadian
Steve	S.	shows suck? Socialism does not work.
Nicho		
las	V.	Crtc should be abolished
Ken	M.	Crtc should be completely defunded and no longer exist.
Paula	B.	CRTC should be disbanded
Kim	M.	CRTC should be disbanded
		CRTC should be disbanded. It is trying to be relevant but its time
Jeffre		is over. People want choice and the quality of Canadian content is
y	B.	an absolute joke.
Ken Paula Kim Jeffre	B. M.	CRTC should be disbanded CRTC should be disbanded CRTC should be disbanded. It is trying to be relevant but its time is over. People want choice and the quality of Canadian content is

Geor		
ge	S.	CRTC should be dissolved, no need for the agency.
Jules	N.	CRTC should be hands off.
		CRTC should be politically neutral about any decisions,
Neil	B.	something that has yet to happen
		CRTC should be reconstructed to focus on critical rolls it has
		played in the past and not the broad net it has cast in recent
Alan	D.	years.
		Crtc should be separating media companies from service
		providers. For example: Bell should do service or media. Not both
Henry	M.	it's a conflict of interest
		CRTC should consider fredom of speech and expression. The
O = -r.		peoples right to know both sides of all stories and make their own
Garfie	_	decisions and form there own conclusions. Not have there
ld	P.	desitions shaped by biased one sided media.
MATT		CRTC should espouse the belief that the internet should remain free from government control in any way. Our words and thoughts
HEW	D.	and creations should be open and unfettered.
1 1L VV	D.	CRTC should get deprecated except for controlling airwave
Efrem	Α.	frequencies.
Blyth		CRTC should have no authority to regulate anything at all on the
e	S.	internet.
		CRTC should just cease to exist no one cares about the
Tyler	C.	commission.
		CRtc should leave all internet content alone bill C-11 should be
Brent	V.	rescinded!
		CRTC should never be in the business of regulating platforms that
		carry substantial amounts of political content especially Canadian
		political content. Especially video sharing and social media
		platforms. le YouTube, Rumble, tiktok, Facebook, and Instagram
		Also specialty streaming services shouldn't be regulated often
		because their specialty is directly contrary to Canadian content or
<b>A.</b> 11		it is so specialized that it would be almost impossible to have
Allan	M.	Canadian content on it ie Britbox, Filipino channel
Rober		CRTC should not be given any powers that contravene charter
t	C.	rights.

Sean	M.	CRTC should not be involved in regulating platforms. There is no benefit to Canadian citizens having CRTC make decisions for us. In fact it will make things worse as the morals of the CRTC making these decisions do not align with many Canadians. In a so called free country people should have the freedom to make their own decisions without government interference. Having CRTC manipulate online content is wrong and insulting to all Canadian creators. It shows the government and CRTC does not believe Canadian content is able to stand on its own and needs CRTC help to be viewed.
Scarr	IVI.	·
O.: (		CRTC should not be regulated any of the creator's business. It is
Clint	Ο.	a free market. Creators and audiences should have a choice.
		CRTC should not be regulating any platforms and bill c-11 should be abolished. People using platforms on the internet are using
Rob	V.	free speech. This should be upheld unless other laws are broken.
Willia		CRTC should not be regulating any platforms except in the
m	L.	context of Canadian criminal code.
Wayn		
e	P.	CRTC should not be regulating any platforms!
		CRTC should not be regulating anything. That choice should be
Bert	W.	left up to the individual.
Dere		CRTC should not be regulating or determining what is acceptable
Bron		and best for viewers. Bill C-11 actually makes me want to watch
_	B.	less Canadian content rather than more.
wyn	Б.	
		CRTC should not be regulating or excluding any platforms unless
0		they are engaging in or enticing criminal behavior or activities as
Chris	M.	defined by the Criminal Code of Canada
		CRTC should not be regulating platforms out side of illegal
Kale	D.	content.
Josett		
е	W.	CRTC should not control information
Al	V.	CRTC should not control what I want to view and listen to. Simple.
marc		
0	h.	CRTC should not have any intervention in any platform
Lilian		
а	K.	CRTC should not have rights to exlude any platforms.
Mirce		
а	T.	CRTC SHOULD NOT HAVE THIS RIGHT
Lyle	F.	CRTC should not regulate platforms
, -		

Rick	M.	CRTC should not restrict free thought and information. Period
Rob	L.	CRTC should only be helping promote Canadians content. They should not filter content. They should not block anything from other countries.
MIRC EA	P.	CRTC should only regulate content paid by the Canadian government. Canadian citizens should have full free access to all international content because that is the purpose of the Internet in a democratic society. CRTC might get involved in helping law enforcement in special cases like child pornography, promotion of paedophilia, promotion of terrorism like ANTIFA, ISIS All the usual platforms are already heavily regulated, and every consumer can customise their options. The Canadian government should never get involved influencing the flow of information on internet as they already proved to be prone to ideological censorship and other totalitarian practices against the diversity of ideas.
Jenny		CRTC should only regulate government broadcasters and not private broadcasters or independent content contributors, b/c it would be a violation of their freedom of speech, and independent content contributors should only answer to their audience and not the government.
Grace		CRTC should only regulate TV and the legacy media, never You Tube, Tick Tock, or Streaming or any online platforms.
Patric k	C.	CRTC should reign in the monopoly of Bell Rogers and Telus and give affordability to Canadians. Not this waste of time going after online content creators and streaming services!
Phil	T.	CRTC should stay away from censoring or influcing information.  People over the pandemic have learnt censored = true.
Josh	H.	CRTC should stay clear of all creative endeavours and outlets, including streaming services, video-sharing apps, social media and their products. They should avoid enforcing a political message or spreading propaganda; instead, they should let creatives produce good stories and content without being encumbered by the latest political agenda.
Kevin	P.	CRTC should stay in its lane. That is, with managing publicly shared resources like limited band-with airwaves. If anything, they should be involved in ensuring WEB networks are physically in-dependant from other countries.

Timot		
hy-Sc		CRTC should stick to broadcast only. They have no business in
ott	H.	the internet.
		CRTC should stick to regulating airwaves frequencies. The CRTC
Dalas		has no business censoring content. We do not live in the GDR
Rolan	C	(east Germany) where people were not allowed to listen to
d	S.	western radio stations.
Barba		CRTC should uphold the rights of all Canadians to access alternative news/information sources both within Canada and
ra	B.	around the world.
Ia	D.	CRTC shouldnt be regulating anything other than radio or
		television. I think the bill as a whole should not exsist. The only
		criteria I can think of is information that could effect children. Even
Justin	В	that should be left to the parents of the children.
o do di i		CRTC was founded for radio and television. I'm sorry if they feel
		jealous that they don't have fingers in the pies of social media and
		online streaming services but that doesn't change the face that
		these areas should NOT be in their jurisdiction. PERIOD. The
		internet has wonderful moderators that keep age appropriate
		content where it belongs and if you want to promote the existence
		of more Canadian content, try offering incentives for people to
		create Canadian content. Censoring international content and
		slashing the audience of Canadian content creators is killing the
Alex	J.	Canadian drive to create, NOT incentivising it.
Jero		CRTC will now be our own KGB. Does anyone care that we are
me	S.	losing our freedom?
Damo		
n	T.	CRTV should be de-funded
		Current CanCon rules are far too exclusionary, especially in the
		day and age of the internet. There are lots of Canadians on
		mediums such as YouTube that I enjoy watching that wouldn't
		qualify as Canadian because they don't meet CanCon criteria. We
		need to promote our creators and stop punishing them simply
		because the video was edited in a foreign country. If the creator is
Crob		Canadian, lives in Canadian or focuses on Canadian themes, that
Grah		should qualify as Canadian Content. If the team they work with
am Faizel	J. D.	isn't Canadian, that shouldn't disqualify them.  Cyber stalking, cyber bullying and cyber attacks.
raizei	υ.	Cyber staining, cyber bullying and cyber attacks.

De-regulation in regards to governmental interference at all levels ,Äî end censorship by government. The creation of "reverse V-chip technology" where the broadcasters have to encode signals that inhibit the reception of broadcasts that contain explicit sexual content, nudity, excessive/gratuitous violence, coarse language, and mature subject matter. Such broadcasts should only be receivable with special unscrambling devices that can be purchased through media service providers. There should also be a monthly license fee where the proceeds go to anti-violence organizations (domestic and otherwise), rehabilitation of victims of violence, domestic and sexual abuse, and other comparably horrific societal evils that have ruined, devastated, and even cost all too many lives.  Sloan T. Defend it  Define CBC as a politicized government media tool not a public News and entertainment Network  Definite and distinct overt hate messages targeted to vulnerable groups.  Definitely anything that is sponsored by people like George Soros who aim to to disrupt society with woke or left-wing agendas that seek to eliminate Canadian history & destroy our culture like the current government is now doing.  Definitely leave the little guys alone. As a software developer I know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs, which continue to monetize the attention deficit issues which continue	Danie I	P.	Dans un pays d $\sqrt{\mathbb{O}}$ mocratique, nous devrions $\sqrt{\mathbb{O}}$ tre libres de nos flux. Les contenus NE DOIVENT PAS $\sqrt{\mathbb{O}}$ tre manipul $\sqrt{\mathbb{O}}$ s par le CRTC.
Define CBC as a politicized government media tool not a public News and entertainment Network  Definite and distinct overt hate messages targeted to vulnerable groups.  Definitely anything that is sponsored by people like George Soros who aim to to disrupt society with woke or left-wing agendas that seek to eliminate Canadian history & destroy our culture like the current government is now doing.  Definitely leave the little guys alone. As a software developer I know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs, which continue to monetize the attention of Canadian children, and likely are driving all the attention deficit issues which continue	Edwa		De-regulation in regards to governmental interference at all levels ,Äî end censorship by government. The creation of "reverse V-chip technology" where the broadcasters have to encode signals that inhibit the reception of broadcasts that contain explicit sexual content, nudity, excessive/gratuitous violence, coarse language, and mature subject matter. Such broadcasts should only be receivable with special unscrambling devices that can be purchased through media service providers. There should also be a monthly license fee where the proceeds go to anti-violence organizations (domestic and otherwise), rehabilitation of victims of violence, domestic and sexual abuse, and other comparably horrific societal evils that have ruined, devastated, and even cost
Dale A. News and entertainment Network  Definite and distinct overt hate messages targeted to vulnerable groups.  Definitely anything that is sponsored by people like George Soros who aim to to disrupt society with woke or left-wing agendas that seek to eliminate Canadian history & destroy our culture like the current government is now doing.  Definitely leave the little guys alone. As a software developer I know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs, which continue to monetize the attention deficit issues which continue	Sloan	T.	Defend it
David R. groups.  Definitely anything that is sponsored by people like George Soros who aim to to disrupt society with woke or left-wing agendas that seek to eliminate Canadian history & destroy our culture like the current government is now doing.  Definitely leave the little guys alone. As a software developer I know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs, which continue to monetize the attention of Canadian children, and likely are driving all the attention deficit issues which continue	Dale	A.	
who aim to to disrupt society with woke or left-wing agendas that seek to eliminate Canadian history & destroy our culture like the current government is now doing.  Definitely leave the little guys alone. As a software developer I know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs, which continue to monetize the attention deficit issues which continue	David	R.	
know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs, which continue to monetize the attention of Canadian children, and likely are driving all the attention deficit issues which continue		Y.	who aim to to disrupt society with woke or left-wing agendas that seek to eliminate Canadian history & destroy our culture like the
_	Kyle	H.	know that regulation like GDRP in the EU and many of latest privacy bills in California, while they appear on the surface to target large businesses like Google and TikTok, the reality is that any government regulation in tech benefits the larger companies more than the small ones, as the large companies have more money and manpower to throw at the problem, where as for small businesses, especially startups, this is just another hurdle that potentially kill the business. But generally, just don't do it. This whole bill strikes me as a corrupt power grab to control what Canadians can see, and fails to address the real issues of technology usage, addiction and the creation of digital drugs,

Jennif er	M.	Definitely leave the social platforms alone. Canadian content is, well, pretty boring. I really can't name more then 5 Canadian content I have really enjoyed.
Paul	K.	Definitely not private communications or links or forwards from private citizens. Free Speech can not be impeded by the government!
		Defund cbc. Let small independent journalists speak up.  Monopoly of media is wrong. Freedom of speech or thought is
Carla	E.	necessary.
John	R.	Defund CRTC
seth	C.	Defund the CBC
Cynth ia	J.	DEFUND THE CBC & ALL Government REGULATED Platforms!
Teres a	G.	Defund the CBC CTV and GLOBAL
Debor ah	D.	Defund the media if they can't support themselves too bad!!! Not our problem they don't know how to their business!!!
Big	D.	Delete the porn sites
Phil	K.	Democracy depends on free speech and the free flow of ideas. The CRTC should reject any/ all forms of political interference
Robin -Lee	В.	Democracy is based on freedom of the press. That the people have access to all views on a point in question and can make an informed decision. By restriction non-Canadian points of view, you are limiting our capacity to see how our choices can affect the world. We must not ever believe that squashing non-Canadian content is the way to promote Canadian content.
Aaron	B.	Deregulate all information sources.
Cody	M.	Digital Creators should be equal recipients of Canadian storytelling funding but excluded from top-down broadcasting standards. Further, those standards need to be completely overhauled.
Nomi	L.	Disband the corrupt CRTC.
Doug	K.	disband the crtc
Aaron	A.	Disband your self and stop protecting Trudeau
A	A.	Disney + and Netflix should be regulated Twitter should have to remove the access to child porn! YouTube, tiktok need to be excluded as they have real people not paid off by trudeau! They have real facts and people need to be able to critical think rather than be told what to think or watch. It's imperative to democracy
		·

		that we do not become what trudeau is today! A liar!!!! The weather channel should be regulated for lies too. They are pushing the climate hoax on people who can't critical think and who ever demonized carbon missed science class, absolutely shameful as you are a carbon being and carbon is the element of life. More carbon more green trees and plant growth which equals better food production. Unless starvation is the goal, which it's very obviously seeming to be.
Ralph	D.	Do Canadian producers produce the same or similar programs that are of interest to Canadians. If you want to exclude platforms do it to pornographic channels and leave us to decide what else we want to watch!
Donia		Do everything to encourage Canadian news.
John	M.	Do no want the government to decide what I watch, read or listen too. The reasons are obvious.
Rosa nne	F.	Do not allow any type of sexual content that involves children- this includes story time.
Wayn e	L.	Do not attempt to regulate any platforms. Allow the public through market forces to determine the winners and losers in the online platforms business.
Jacqu es	F.	DO not censor any information and I don't care about Canadian content. If you pursue down this road I will install VPN. Simply put; BUTT OUT.
Kirste n	S.	Do not censor our access to information
Simo n	C.	Do not choose for me or alter my freedom to choose my content
Paul	M.	Do not crush the freedom of small developers ability to create
Kathy	V.	Do not exclude any platforms.
Terre nce	C.	Do not go too far with all sites to get Canadian content in front of everyone. Do not require small, foreign sites to feature Canadian content.
Aidan	M.	Do NOT include social medias in this bill, they do NOT belong here.
Rob	Н.	Do not infringe upon constitutional freedoms afforded to all CanadiansEVER!!
Grah am	Н.	Do not limit access to media or news whatsoever
Nolan	G.	do not overcharge their customers

Joel	C.	Do not police and penalize content creators on SM (social media) or YouTube or Podcasts! Incentivize Canadian content creators with tax breaks and actual money to create Canadian content. Stay away from the stick and use the carrot.
		Do not promote or subjugate one political party more or less than the other. The CRTC is supposed to be neutral! So be neutral and
Darrin	P.	maybe you could keep your funding.
		DO NOT punish budding Canadian outlets, focus on majors
		especially US who have the resources to adjust they're Canadian content quota ,Ķ once small outlets become bigger there is more room to realignment the numbers ,Ķ also news and
Brian	E.	entertainment need to be held up to different standards,Ķ
Marc	T.	Do not regulate
Lawre		Do not regulate any internet we need free speech and an open
nce	M.	internet
Ray	U.	DO NOT REGULATE ANY PLATFORM
Lawre		
nce	L.	Do not regulate any platforms
Micha		
el	C.	Do not regulate any platforms.
		Do not regulate any platforms. CRTC should be concerned with
	_	lowering prices and improving telecom competition, not
Toren	D.	manipulating consumers.
Steph anie	B.	Do not regulate any. You are making Canada a worse place to live and laughing stock. We are pointed to by other countries as a warning.
Craig	C.	Do not regulate anything
Peter	M.	Do not regulate anything that reduces my freedom of choice.
Tiffan		
y	P.	Do not regulate anything.
Aless		
andra	P.	Do not regulate at all.
Jonat		Do not regulate creator driven platforms such as Youtube, or
han	M.	platforms that have no way of creating Canadian content.
Jeff	R.	Do not regulate or censor the internet
		Do not regulate platform as far as content goes. All this will end
Frede	N/I	up doing is people are going to find was around the rules and you will have a big mass
ric	M.	will have a big mess

		Do not regulate the internet at all. And if you do, give us the options to choose what we want to watch easily and without
Terry	J.	having to search for it.
Jame		do not restrict access to international contentsome of us are
s	S.	very interested in the rest of the world.
Gabri		Do not restrict content and access to information. There should be
el	G.	content neutrality.
Saver		Do nothing, entertainment media is suffering as it is, we do not
io	P.	need gasoline poured on a fire.
Geor		
ges	G.	Do the providers profit from their content?
		Do they employ more than 50 people? Do they reach more than
		500k? Entities that are popular should be telling our stories. If
Frase		they don't like it, they can leave. It's not hard to do. Make it a part
r	H.	of your business model or go south.
		Do they receive a Canadian Film & TV Tax Credit or are
		subsidised by Canadian Tax Payers? If yes then regulate, if not
		then no regulation. Is it a form of propaganda or coercive control?
		Shouldn't be allowed on Canadian airwaves even if it is Canadian
		funded. There is more Canadian Government propaganda &
		coercive control now then there ever was. CRTC should do
		something about this, it's serious. Is the public even allowed to
0-1-6		read the entirety of Bill C-11 or are there aspects of National
Caleb	IVI.	Security written into it that the public will never know about?
ROZ		Do we live in a communication occupies O No the section of the section of
ANN	Ь	Do we live in a communistic country? No therefore there should
E	B.	be as little regulation by government funded bodies as possible.
		Do we reside in a free country? If the answer is yes, then we, the
Anna		free citizens, should have the freedom to decide for ourselves and
Anna	L.	not be subjected to the tyranny of a government commission.
		Does the platform act like a network in producing shows and
		movies in the half-hour and longer category? If so, then they should be regulated. If it's shorter stuff mainly produced my
		individuals and small production houses, then it should be
Sam	M.	exempt.
Jaili	IVI.	Does the platform aim to provide a wide range of content to
Conn		general audiences? Or does it focus on providing a particular
or	G.	genre of media to niche audiences? If the latter is true, then
OI .	J.	gerire of media to mone addictioes: If the latter is true, then

Sabri		Does the user take informations/news, tutorial, éducation etc.
na	S.	From that platform
ila	J.	Don't be so hard to get along with that platforms pull out
Suza		altogether. Work together. Remember that it's supposed to benefit
nne	S.	us, not screw us.
Marlo	0.	Don't create regulation that blocks things, create regulation that
n	N.	incentivizes supporting CanCon.
Calvi		
n	G.	Don't do it. Period.
Sandr		
а	C.	Don't do it. We are free.
damo	-	
n	C.	Don't exclude anyone.
Judith	T.	don't make it personal
Alexa		Don't mess with the existing algorithms whatever the platform
ndre	N.	free-market work just fine
		Don't pass on the expense of your changes on the regular low
Craig	C.	income user!
Alvin	D.	Don't regulate ant platforms.
Mark	G.	Don't regulate any of them
Stacy	W.	Don't regulate anything
		Don't regulate anything, keep your grubby fingers off my internet. I
		don't need you or anyone else telling me what i can and cannot
Greg		watch. I'm fully capable of making those decisions on my own,
ory	H.	and i resent anyone who wants to change that.
		Don't regulate anything, that removes freedom of expression, and
		the ability to actually look for context in politically polarized
Caela		arguments. If one side is pushed and another censored and
n	C.	regulated how can there ever be productive discussion
Micha		
el	K.	Don't regulate anything. Simple. Leave it alone.
		Don't regulate at all, what we see, hear and can participate in
Christ		online of through social media. Allow us Canadian's the freedom
opher		of choice, because that's the truly Canadian thing to do.
Albert	E.	Don't regulate it
Jordo		
n	C.	don't regulate open platforms like broadcasters
		Don't regulate platforms for Canadian content, it's futile. All the
Karl	S.	effort should be for supporting local content instead.

Keeg an DAVI	B.	don't need our media propagandising to us to try and make us more patriotic.
Kooo		Don't, let people create what they want. We don't need this. We
Brade n	B.	Don't waste money trying to regulate. It will not have the desired effect and will cost a lot of money. Much like the accept cookies button you have to click everytime you visit a website. Good intentions but no real value.
paul	C.	Don't want
Thom as	_	
Micha el	G.	Don't try and regulate or tax the internet.
David	_	Don't touch the internet.
Nicol e	G.	Don't touch original creator platforms such as youtube, twitch, tiktok, etc. If Bill C-11 MUST be enacted, then focus on companies like Netflix, Disney+, etc.
Blake	Н.	Don't touch anything. Leave me alone, I have the unique ability to decide what I want to watch.
Ashlei gh	L.	enjoy watching strictly Canadian things. Open up our searches. Bring in more options but don't take away from us. We usually can only watch what is given to us. But if you take away that then we will find ways around qhat you are proposing. Allow us to still be able to enjoy our American content
		Don't take away our right to watch American creators. Don't take away our right to find what we want to watch. Not all Canadians
Kyle	N.	Don't regulate. Leave it alone.
sara Dave	N.	Don't regulate.  Don't regulate.
Carol yn	Q. T.	DON'T REGULATE!!!
Rita	D.	Don't regulate, I want to see information, I want to search information and find all info, good and bad.
Bruce Josh	A. J.	see.  Don't regulate user-generated content
		Don't regulate the Internet. Free speech shows who's wise and who's otherwise. Leave it to us to decide what we read, hear, and

Natali		
е	S.	Don't censor our content, or limit what's available in Canada.
Shad		Don't regulate any platforms and keep our internet content FREE
ow	M.	from government involvement.
	_	Don't regulate any platforms! I'm an adult that can make my own decisions and if I'm looking for content that is Canadian, I will
Mike	P.	definitely seek it out!!
Donn		
а	M.	Don't regulate any this isn't China.
Dan	K.	Don't regulate anything. We don't want you controlling our content.
Sean	A.	Don't ruin your people the same way China seemingly has.
Roger	W.	Don't sensor any of it. None!!!
Jim	M.	Dont exclude anything. I can continue to make that decision for myself, This is Canada for crying out loud.
lain	L.	Dont regulate any platform. You will get it wrong.
Greg	V.	dont regulate anything. Stop all tax payer funding from Canadian content creators, if its good people will watch it, they will make money. if its crap they wont make money. such is life.
Adhit		
hya	N.	Dont sensor peoples news and promote CBC
Mike	M.	Each Company already has regulations. Bill C-11 is wrong, and should not be considered. What happened to freedom of speech? Why would anyone think this government knows better?
Andy	L.	easier ways to identify canadian content creators, canadian filming locations, canadian casts, writers. I like to see a button that allows me to flip on or off to easily locate these content. But I don't want it to be incorporated into the search algorithm without my knowledge. I want to be able to see the world is seeing, and also periodically switch to seeing the canadian side of things.
Laura	T.	Education versus entertainment. My local library would not restrict my searches or loans to only Canadian content and limit the scope of what I can access in order to inform myself, educate myself or learn.
Leon		
а	S.	Educational, true scientific research that is backed up with facts.
Allen	D	Either loosen the CanCon rules and regulations, the mandatory fees etc paid by Canadian broadcasters or impose them on
Allen	D.	Netflix, Disney+ and the others. Level the playing field. Right no

		it's like Netflix, Disney+ etc have an advantage over our Canadian broadcasters. It should be even or favor our own broadcasters. As usual, the TruDOPE government has it all wrong.
Sanfo rd	L.	End this Bill
i d		Ending monoculturalism in the industry. Everything is now
Jay	C.	homogenized and barely tolerable.
Sylvai		
n	L.	Enough censorship
Scott	A.	Enough with the Canadian Content. It doesn't work on TV and it's not necessary on the internet.
Bruce	B.	Equivalency to broadcasters in size scope and revevue
Wald emar	P.	Every adult in this country should be given a choice to view only Canadian or mostly Canadian or ALL content as they wish.  Mandatory moderation and limitation can only be seen as a negative and unwanted influence of my search results.
Grace	H.	Every country should be able to screen content, but only minimally, and only from a moral and safety standpoint. Pedophilia, pornography, promoting drug use or abuse of other people should be banned. And as I mentioned before - any power to censor at all can lead to an abuse of that power, so beyond preventing despots from taking over I believe platforms are best left alone. The idea of selecting a Canadian Content filter for my feed set to my own preference is a good idea, I believe, again as long as it isn't abused. We want Canadians to discover our own local talent in our You-tube and other feeds. But we DON'T want to see our feed polluted with CBC and other hounds that bark whatever the current government tells them to convince us to believe. Often viewing other countries' opinions adds depth to our own learning. Unfortunately learning and thinking independently would be outlawed by this current government. Therefore I believe there is no need for further censorship changes.
Sam	T.	Every platform needs Canadian content. Removing that is not an option I want to see.
Stace	W.	Every platform should be analyzed separately. If this is about Canadian media outlets getting their fair share the decisions should not be corrupted by politics, ideology or egos. How content is affected should be for the good of all Canadians and not a select few. I pay for content both indirectly and directly, will I still want value for my money.

Joyce	M.	Every platform should be available.
Micha		Every platform should be excluded. Get your hands off things you
ela	K.	clearly don't understand
Séb		Every platform that is not founded by Canadians should be
astien	M.	excluded.
		Everyone should be treated fairly. Government should not have
Angel	N.	any advantage over anyone else.
Christ		
opher	H.	Everything
Diane	K.	Everything (except illegal content) should be available.
Natha n	J.	Everything about this bill is scary. For any company that has to deal with news. It makes me upset that the government only wants us to see what they want us to see. You can only get your news from the government which is very very scary road to take.
Anna	M.	Everything and anything that violates moral integrity. The obvious gratuitous violence towards women and children. Anything that sexualizes, degrades and normalizes the abuse of children.
Sean	D.	Everything is fine the way it is.
Janell	M.	Everything should be allowed. Adults, smart or not, should be responsible for what they ingest, whether it be food or media. Informed choices are much better for society in my opinion. Parents should be diligent at monitoring their children on social media platforms.
Aman da	S.	Everything should be excluded from the bill. Let users choose what they want to see.
Darcy	M.	Everything should be excluded, I don't think this needs to be regulated by the CRTC.
Kim	K.	Everything should be included
Charl es	О.	Everything should be wide open. You don't like something. Don't watch or listen. It's that simple. No bill C-11 or CRTC. Abolish both!! Neither are relevant or needed.
Danie I	R.	Everything should excluded and do not do not censor social media users
Darce a	A.	Everything that is pornography should be excluded; everything that harms a childs mind or body should NOT be on any sites.
J	B.	Exclude all media
Came ron	R.	Exclude all platforms as Canada does not get any good content already from America, and I don't think we produce anything worth watching.

Dave	R.	Exclude all platforms from regulations
Herb	G.	Exclude all platforms that do not receive any direct Government of Canada funding.
		EXCLUDE ALL PLATFORMS, these companies will leave
		Canada and that's people loosing jobs and these
		platforms/companies leaving will not pay their taxes anymore and
		contribute to the growth of the country. Stop regulating what you
Emm		(the CRTC / current government) don't understand. Stop spending
anuel	V.	on moral posturing and spend/invest inside Canada, not outside.
Nicho		
las	S.	Exclude all.
		exclude any factual and important news which affects many
D.:	_	Canadians, if is from a reputed good source Canadian or other
Brian	D.	sources.
		Exclude CBC - am tired of the slanted reporters that Rosie uses
Cecil		every week! They are obviously paid to support the liberals and
	B.	make it clear in every question answered! Radio is pretty honest and Global is usually pretty good with accurate updates etc.
e Frank		Exclude everything.
ITAIIK	L.	Exclude justin trudeau s content about the lbgtqs2+ only our kids
Lucie	B.	don t need his grooming
Gord	D.	Exclude Legacy media giants from the decision process and then
on	A.	determine which platforms should be regulated or excluded.
	,	Exclude people's social media but make big corporations pay
		their fair share of taxes. Get rid of the CBC. Government should
		not be in media. Start focusing on governing for the people by the
Ernie	M.	people and get out of our bedrooms.
		Exclude platforms that engage in explicit sexual content, graphic
		violence and degrading treatment of humans - those should
		already be criminal in nature, so we already have safeguards
		against such content. Information should remain free - great ideas
		spring from the strangest sources. Canadian citizens are not
		children who need protection and guidance in such a direct
		manner - we are a nation of creative, smart, balanced people who
		can make their own choices of which content to explore.
		Government should not meddle in the arts and sciences, which
		include the media and creative content - it is an area public
	_	servants don't understand well, so they should not meddle there.
Ati	P.	Let the arts, media and sciences self-regulate - yes, subsidize or

		support creators so they can create more and better, but do not limit access to the wide world of ideas. In its essence, limiting access to information and free speech is already a violation and it is a top-down violation, not anything voters asked for. We are heading toward times when our children won't know much about the world beyond the borders and this makes for a weak nation that can easily be bested by those who were allowed to develop their full potential.
Kenn	M.	Exclude small streaming services such as netflix, disney, hulu, Crunchyroll, etc., from absolute control from crtc Regulate funding platform to new and upcoming Canadian streamers. Regulate: creators/streamers/indie creators in Canada must be funded reasonably and fairly. No underhandedness or unfairness. They don't want to rely on Bell media or any other media out there just to get their word out if they start out. They want to be their own media so they can cater to other Canadians that do watch them. And if any media wants them (the creators) to join their 'club' or whatever, then it has to be an open-ended contract where the creators/streamers/indie creators will have the right to void their contract (the media) if it feels unfair and under no pressure. Canadian creators want to be known and heard internationally and in Canada but they need the funding to do so.** Exclude all restrictions from Canadian streamers/creators/indie creators/etc. from having CanCon requirements to all streaming platforms/video sharing/social/etc. Regulate: CanCon mustn't restrict creators/streamers/indie creators in any way but propel them up. If a creator isn't doing good, prioritize them till they are. Exclude two-tier system, it is unnecessary to have. Exclude forced content into a canadian's feed. It's highly unnecessary. We reserve the right to watch anything in the world. Do not let Canada become the next China in terms of forced content restriction.
Franc		Exclude the regulation of "increased proportion of Canadian
esca	B.	content!"
Jack	R.	Exclude them all. And stop giving money to failed Canadian media companies. CMF is tailored to large conpanies does nothing for small artists smh
Shani	IX.	Exclude YouTube twitch, Netflix, and Facebook from being
ra	T.	regulated.

Jonat han	В.	Excluded: Any platform that comes to terms with the government and providers on reasonable Canadian Content policy (i.e. non-governmental directives), which has worked well to promote home-grown content for decades like on radio/tv. Regulated: content from anti-Canada countries like TikTok that are designed to attack our society from within; TikTok is heavily regulated in China where kids can only use it sparingly.
Hendr ik	V.	exclusions should apply to platforms that do not have advertising and do not solicit funds from viewers or personal information.  Regulation should also apply to my personal information. Service can not be denied to access my information
Andre as	K.	Exemption for all of the news broadcast services except if they get money from Canadian Taxpayer via the Government and of course all of the radio stations have to be able to use what their listeners want to hear, no matter where it comes from. Regulation for every media company like CBC, that has been or is recipient of government money is a must, they want Canada to pay for them so they have to be forced to use only Canadian content and then they have to start to force them to show how much they are getting from Taxpayers money.
Louis	L.	Extrême violence ans child pornography
Trevo		
r	K.	f bill c-11
Leoni d	W.	Fair market share. If Canadian content creators do a good job people will want to watch it. No need to regulate and artificially enforce anything.
line	p.	Faire un sondage auprès des utilisateurs des plates-formes visées
Debbi		
е	A.	Fake news must be stopped
Donal d	K.	fake news political interference free use of news content to make money
Charl	T.	Far right wing gangs / groups like the proud boy's, soldier of Odin, füçÅck Trudeau, hell's angel. Toronto Sun, Ottawa Sun, Vancouver Sun, Rebel Media, Ontario Proud, Canada Proud,,Ķ are the biggest concerns.
David	S.	Fire them all for their incompetence and self serving corruption.
Rober t	K.	First off let's get rid of the CRTC, it's just a platform for the government to control what we see, hear.
		·

		First things first: CRTC stands for Canada, not beginning with the
		name of some other country! We need to be ourselves, so let's
Judy	A.	just keep completely supporting Canadian content 100%
alex	m.	First we should give clear definition of the "harmful content" and only regulate that. Extra violence, propaganda, child porn, gender ideologies are clear candidates for regulation. Any type of speeches, expression of ideas that are not directly harmful could not be regulated, like never.
Rand		First, CRTC must be objective, and especially free from government and corporate interference. Next, independent news platforms should definitely be protected (excluded from censorship and interference), as these are less likely to be funded by government or corporate organizations that favour profit over people. Small independent journalists must remain free to do research and voice their own opinions. Yes, these may differ from the popular media narrative, but this is essential to a functioning democracy. Unfortunately during the last few years we have seen all too often that published news is far short of what actually happened. We need access to these platforms, and are intelligent enough to do our own analysis of truth vs. lies. Next, personal content generated by individual users of platforms should not be censored unless it breaks normal rules re. nudity/pornography, human trafficking, explicit content, copyright infringement, or
а	S.	illegal activity. Those should be fully policed and regulated.
Lucia		Firstly, they should assess the size and reach of the platform, considering factors such as user base, content volume, and market share. Platforms with a significant impact and wide audience reach may warrant closer scrutiny; any regulation considerations should still err on the side of freedom of expression and rebuff censorship. Secondly, the CRTC should evaluate the nature of the platform's content, focusing on whether it falls within the scope of audiovisual content that requires regulation to uphold public interest, cultural diversity, and Canadian content objectives. Platforms primarily focused on user-generated content or those that offer limited audiovisual content should be excluded from such regulation. Additionally, the CRTC should consider the capacity of platforms to effectively self-regulate, including the presence of robust content moderation policies and mechanisms for user complaints and feedback.
no	P.	Balancing the need for regulation with the platform's ability to
	P.	user-generated content or those that offer limited audiovisual content should be excluded from such regulation. Additionally, the CRTC should consider the capacity of platforms to effectively self-regulate, including the presence of robust content moderation policies and mechanisms for user complaints and feedback.

Corno		responsibly manage content is crucial. Overall, a thoughtful and balanced approach considering factors like size, content nature, and self-regulatory capabilities must be used by the CRTC to make informed, proper decisions about which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11.
Corne lia	C.	Flag fake/ propaganda
G	F.	Focus on foreign influences that might squeeze out Canadian content. If the size or money or influence of a foreign entity can monopolize Canadian webspace, that may be a hill too large for Canadian content to legitimately conquer. I would prefer the regulation focus on providing us users the tools to vet the content and block what we prefer rather than the CRTC making those decisions for us. So, possibly misinformation flags on sources/posts, platform options to manually flag/block sources, and possibly platform options of recommended black lists to provide Canadians with quick and easy options (so here the CRTC could express their concerns, possible with low/med/high levels of blacklists to choose from). I prefer the internet to be fully open over being controlled/censored by government. Granted there are risks to users and fallout for some businesses/industries, but responses to that should focus on Canadian usersour right to see anything we want and protection of our privacy & bank account.
Denis e	M.	Focus on the major players (i.e. the multinational corporations) who create and distribute content locally and globally. Critically analyze what social media platforms are also pushing out high volumes of content like YouTube and TikTok, but in the end there should be a reasonable scaling of responsibility. Google owns YouTube after all but TikTok is riding a high wave of popularity at the moment but it may not always be that way.
Mike	W.	For massive global conglomerates like Disney / Netflix and the like, it makes sense. Otherwise get out of my internet and leave things alone.
Doug	S.	For profit and commercial content should have to follow the same regulations as any other provider but non profit/personal content should be left alone.

		For some platforms, such as TikTok and YouTube, it is a pretty fine line between personal and commercial content. All those influencers who make use of those platforms to hawk their ideas, performances, and products should have to contribute something
		to support Canadian creators, but it may not be the same contribution, either in terms of money or discoverability, as the
		major platforms, such as Netflix, Disney, Apple, etc. Maybe a 1%
		tax on their profits from their feeds? The CRTC should think
M.S.	J.	creatively about this.
Jason	P.	For the people by the people. Period.
		For the the federal government to want to regulate my content on social media and video sharing websites is really overstepping the boundaries. Why on earth would they care about and want to waste taxpayer money to literally control peoples personal content. To tell ordinary Canadians what they can and cannot upload. Canada is not China but it's starting to feel like. Run the
Gary	H.	country please, not my life.
Jeffre	ı	For profit business should be regulated
У	L.	For-profit business should be regulated.
Ethan	N.	Force content hosting sites like Youtube, etc to allow adblockers (or give pepole a choice to turn off ads on a video they would like to watch ad free, a limited number of ad free tickets could help with this)
Vivian	A.	Forcing Canadian content into feeds won't make it more popular.  People will watch the content they've always watched. Funding underserved Canadian creators would go a long way.
Barba ra	B.	Foreign companies should be regulated. The regulations for the Canadian Companies should remain with the required Canadian Content.
Lawre		
nce	H.	Foreign government interfering in Canada.
chels		
ea	p.	fox news gotta go
Rober t	M.	Free access to any and all info
Tim	V.	Free and ethical speech.
Jerry and		
Cindy	Ų.	Free and open media not gov funded liberal propaganda

Steve	P.	Free and open speach
laura	S.	Free country and we have rights
		Free enterprise and the majority of use will regulate platforms
Tom	H.	better than any government intervention.
Adam	S.	Free expression.
Mark	P.	Free market
On all c	C	Free platforms such as Youtube, TikTok, Instagram, etc, should be excluded from CRTC regulation. These platforms allow people from all over the world to have their voices heard. Preferential treatment of Canadian produced content could narrow the
Cody	S.	perspective of viewers.
Peter		FREE SPEACH FREE SPEACH FREE SPEACH
Joyce	L.	Free speech
Diane	М	FREE SPEECH / FREE WILL Censorship stymies awareness and creativity.
Dave	R.	Free speech and creative content can not be infringed upon. It will destroy creativity and originality which are the cornerstone to innovative advances in all fields.
		Free speech in Canada is guaranteed. Censorship of any kind is
DER RICK	W.	wrong. The current communist regime is trying to silence any dissent. We have seen this movie before.
		Free Speech is fundamental in a Free Society. The CRTC can monitor for Illegal Speech as determined by established international law. Illegal speech is that where what is said is breaking international laws. Laws such as committing murder. In a Free Democratic Society, it is not illegal to express anger toward a government, group or person. Is Canada a Free Democratic Society? Personal opinions of whether Canada is a free democratic society, expressed in news papers, TV, Radio or internet, is Free Speech and protected by our Canadian Bill of Rights. The Canadian Bill of Rights is our governments contract to its citizens. Our government is bound by this contract to its citizens. If they break clauses in this agreement, they are breaking the law. Canada has been breaking clauses in this agreement during these last 3 years in its Covid response. Canada has therefore been breaking National as well as Supra-National laws in these last 3 years. Elected members of the
Peter	B.	Canadian government are responsible to both the well being of its

		citizens and the country of Canada, not a group of private individuals or any international body.
		Free speech is paramount in a free society. Dictatorships control
PAUL	L.	the flow of information to their citizenship.
		Free speech should always be protected. And hate speech and
Jeri	W.	disinformation is not the same.
Maur		Free speech should always prevail. Government does not need to
een	A.	be involved in what I am searching for online
Ron	A.	Free speech should be free speech .
Nane		
a	M.	Free speech under the Canadian Charter of Rights.
Patric	_	Free speech, free choice. Let Canadians decide after the facts
ia	B.	are shared on the News.
Philip		FREE SPEECH/FREE WILL Censorship stymies awareness and
pe	C.	creativity.
Scott	d.	Freedom
K	M.	Freedom and Free Will.
DAT	Ь	Freedom means that NONE should be regulated or excluded.
PAT	B.	Keep your hands off the FREE flow of information.
Paul	C.	Freedom of choice
Stoth art	B.	Freedom of choice and government concership
Rick	S.	Freedom of choice and government censorship  Freedom of choice and individuality üëç
Bryan		Freedom of choice!
Gord	IX.	i recutiff of choice:
on	Н.	Freedom of choice.
Tim	D.	Freedom of choice.
Alex	E.	Freedom of choice.
,		Freedom of choice. If it's not broke why do you think it needs
		fixing. The internet has been here for decades now you think it
Colle		needs changing, What next are you thinking needs to be
en	G.	changed?
Elain		
е	Y.	Freedom of creation and expression from govt control
		Freedom of expression allows systemic balance. No platform
		should be regulated. The CRTC should encourage all
Rober		free-over-the-air Canadian broadcasters to make their signals
t	D.	freely available on the internet.

		Freedom of expression is essential to democracy so no
Anne	L.	censorship for political agendas
Alexa		
nder	V.	Freedom of information, speech and choice.
		Freedom of speach is freedom on content. Neither should be
Chris	M.	disallowed
Janet		Freedom of speech
Bill	L.	Freedom of speech
Nicol		
е	D.	FREEDOM OF SPEECH nothing should be excluded or regulated
		FREEDOM OF SPEECH - barring anything that a 'normal' person
Kathy	S.	might believe to be hate filled.
		Freedom of Speech & Expression is critical to a Democratic
Micha		Society nothing should be censored for adults. Adult content limits
el	L.	should be enforced for minors!
Linds		Escado o oficio de la Maria III de la Maria III
ay	C.	Freedom of speech and cultural diversity
		Freedom of speech and freedom of choice. The government and
		all agencies should stay out of what I am looking at. Anything else
Micha		is an invasion of my privacy. No one should have the right to
el	W.	suggest what I should watch or pay attention to unless I give them that right.
Kaitly	VV.	triat right.
n	D.	Freedom of speech and freedom of information.
-	٥.	freedom of speech and freedom of rights, the allowance too do
Craig	F.	what we want.
Orang		Freedom of speech and open, free debate. Tim Berners-Lee
		(inventor of the internet) says internet access should be a basic
		right. Failing to provide universal access means losing
Allen	F.	opportunities to serve humanity.
Denni		
S	B.	Freedom of speech and right to self-expression
		Freedom of speech Democratization of media. Don't decide for
		Canadians what is Canadian, don't control what can be seen and
		what can not be seen on social media. We live in an independent
		country which means you cannot manipulate us. We should be
		free from our feeds and content. Users are the ones to decide
Kosik		what to watch or not to watch, don't force us with this Canadian
а	J.	Law. Mainly YouTube should not be manipulated and should be

		excluded under Bill C-11 because it is the biggest video-sharing company that allows users to watch videos all around the world and help educate and increase skills for an individual.
Lanci a	L.	Freedom of speech in any form is paramount. I don't see any reason for any reason for our government to be regulating or excluding platforms. By any regulating it exclusions, you are taking away Canadians' rights to choose freely. Freedom. This is Canada - a free nation. This must be stopped now.
Charl otte	C.	Freedom of speech is critical. Let us find information as we wish, I don't want my news sources managed. I don't want my streaming sources managed.
Karen	F.	Freedom of speech is important!
Steve	J.	Freedom of speech must be given a high priority in all CRTC actions and programs. Freedom of speech is crucial for any healthy democracy. There has been a strong tendency towards authoritarianism via government information control in recent years in Canada. This has been a very bad and dangerous trend that must stop.
Joyce	L.	Freedom of speech must be upheld. No censorship is necessary. Each individual has the right to hear/see views of others and make our own individual choices. Without differing views isn't that propaganda? Thank you for hearing my input.
Kevin	M.	Freedom of speech should be highest priority. Smaller content producers need to be given equal exposure as the large corporations especially if they are producing controversial content that is critical of the government and society and is not politically correct. Only true freedom of speech can protect democracy and freedom of Canadians.
John	B.	Freedom of speech should never be regulated!!!!!!!!!!!
Gary	C.	Freedom of speech should not be regulated!
Olivia	S.	Freedom of speech, & a citizens choice to consume whichever content they choose, without government intervention. As a Canadian i don't really care about seeing more Canadian content.
John	G.	Freedom of speech, association and thought. Read the Canadian I'll of Rights
Les	Y.	Freedom of speech, freedom of expression, merit based popularity are the things I believe in! Whether the censors believe these things to be right or wrong.

		FREEDOM OF SPEECH, FREEDOM OF THE PRESS WITHOUT
Jim	C.	INTERFERENCE FROM GOVERNMENT
steve	a.	Freedom of speech!
Kylan	F.	Freedom of the people to watch what they want.
Curt	D.	Freedom of thought and opinion, as well as the press, should not be on a limited sliding scale. Obscene or profane language and nudity and pornographic material should clearly be controlled on platforms where minors are welcome. Outside of that, government should have limited rights to seize control of either the topics of discussion or those who they might selectively deem as appropriate to have a voice. Criminal entities, etc. have always been muted using our long held collective understanding of justice and socially appropriate standards of behavior. Collective common sense is timeless.
Jaimo		Freedom to choose and speech. Let the individual pick what they
n	J.	want.
Betsy	R.	Freedom to choose what we want to view
Tracy	J.	Freedom to have access to all different view points and news from all over
iidoy	0.	Freedom to think, read, discuss, debate and voice must prevail.
		Quash Bill C-11 entirely. We don't need it. Stop wasting Canadian
Barb	C.	taxpayer funds now!
Nanc	-	
y	A.	FREEDOM, autonomy of decision.
Ashle		
у	G.	Freedom.
		Freedoms under the Charter of Rights and Freedoms should be
Dale	B.	respected at all times.
		French Language Content should be optional NOT Mandatory on
Jon	R.	Cable feeds
Gord		From media reports I have read on the issue, the federal government is pursuing the appropriate path and appears to be more than capable of making the determination of which platforms to regulate and the manner of doing so. The context below provided by OpenMedia is a blatant attempt to manipulate responses and subvert Canadian media interests in this debate and should attract thorough review and critical analysis by all
on	H.	respondents.

Xavie		
r-Mic hael	L.	Fuck C-11 Just scrap it.
Elizab	L.	Tuck C-11 Just scrap it.
eth	D.	Fuck off
Kaslo	K.	Fuck the government of Canada
1100.0		FUCKING REGULATE THE CELL PHONE PRICES!!!!! All OF
		THEM FROM THER PHONES THEMSELVES TO THE
Rene	G.	MONTHLY COSTS. STOP TAKING BRIBES!!!!
		Function and Purpose: The CRTC may consider whether a
		platform primarily functions as a communication service or an
		online content provider. Platforms that primarily provide
		communication services (such as telecommunications companies
		or internet service providers) may be subject to different
		regulatory treatment compared to platforms that primarily provide
		content. Market Impact: The CRTC may evaluate the market
		impact of a platform to determine if it has significant market power
		or if it contributes to the concentration of media ownership.
		Platforms that have a dominant position in the market or that exert
		significant influence over the media landscape may be more likely
		to be subject to regulation. Consumer Protection: The CRTC may
		consider the need to protect consumers from harmful or deceptive
		practices on platforms. This could include evaluating issues such
		as privacy, data protection, accessibility, and the availability of appropriate mechanisms for resolving disputes. It's important to
		note that the specific criteria and considerations under Bill C-11
		will depend on the language and provisions outlined in the bill
		itself, as well as any additional guidance or directives provided by
sbuc	k.	the government.
Oleks		Fund Canadian creators to help their content to be more
ii	V.	competitive and demanding rather than dictate what to watch
		Fund more Canadian projects. We have SO many talented people
		but they are forced to perform in American business models
Liz	J.	because of lack of support.
Darre		Fundamental freedom of choice on a global level. Leave my
n	L.	content options alone.

Brian	В.	Funded media is already biased and extremely one sided. A number of times a local or international event that i would have been interested to hear more about does not even get a mention in the so called regular news. Example, More often than not, they fail to address newsworthy items that may paint provincial or mostly federal governments in a bad light. It shouldn't be up to the funded media or the government to decide what we see on media outlets. It goes against free speech to have the CRTC manipulate our feeds and content. Regulate Tik Tok all you want though, that is something that is totally irrelevant and stupid.
Hoan		Section in the content of the cont
g Than g	B.	Funding from the Canadian government should be a factor. If the platform receive significant funding from taxpayers, they should have obligations to the people.
Alexa		Funding the platform has Funding the creators have Independent
ndra	B.	creators
June	E.	Gay and Drag shows regulated to 18 years of age! Rated R!
Joan	M.	Generally if something is 'recommended' to me by any platform, I will ignore it. I don't appreciate it. A lot of the time, it isn't anything I would be interested in. I don't want an algorithm trying to guess what I'd like to see.
John	D.	Get control of or stop programs that interfere with the raising of our children. It is the duty of parents to raise their kids, to use the correct terminology, mother and father are the ones who bring their children( boys and girls) into the world. They are the ONLY ones responsible for educating them with moral values, not any television programs.
Arthur	l.	Get liberal communist propaganda off the CBC.
jamie	n.	Get lost If people want to watch Canadian Content they will find it. I will watch, listen to, download and upload whatever I want.
Adam	B.	Get public inquiry before pushing through bills.
Jay	D.	Get rid of Canadian content rules. If Canadian companies produce a good product then they will be successful. We don't need more King of Kensington.
Ross	A.	get rid of gay,drag queen garbagen
Roby		
n	M.	Get rid of the bill!!
David	B.	Get rid of the cons that brought this ugly c-11 into us

Marg		
aret	S.	Get rid of the CRTC
		Get rid of the Pornography Channels - they are not necessary, or
		at least make it so you have to subscribe to them. When I
		subscribe to my TV Package, I do NOT subscribe to those
		channels, but they are listed on my TV Menu. I don't want them
Lynda	G.	there.
Darryl	T.	Get rid of the regulation. Let the market regulate the input.
Elliott	S.	Get the hell off my Internet
Dr.		
Geor		Get your hands off my choices. Don't dictate what i chose to view
ge	B.	or follow.
Natha		
n	V.	Getting rid of Bill C-11
Liz	W.	Getting rid of the Liberals.
		Giant corporate platforms that are basically modern extensions of
		traditional media should be. Community content regulation is
Adam	P.	ridiculous.
		Giant tech companies and ISPs will always seek to avoid being
		controlled, and it is they who should suffer the weight of
Rober		regulation, not the average Canadian. They are the ones making
t	B.	the choices and setting the prices. Hold them accountable, not us.
Sarah	Н.	Give people their right to choose and censor their own content.
Brend		give the non-profits more leeway; make the big platforms support
а	B.	Canadian media.
		Given how multicultural we are in Canada I don't think all
		platforms should require Canadian content. There should be
Rober	.,	places to go to watch Canadian content and if they aren't doing
t	V.	well that in itself should tell you what people actually want.
Edwa	_	Given my response to Question 4., there is nothing to say about
rd	P.	which cudgel is preferred over another.
		Good luck about CRTC regulating anything for the Canadian
		folk Look at our wireless charges, one of the most expensive markets in the world And now enters the control on Interenet
		and media streaming 'nough said, CRTC is government puppet
Const		and media streaming Hough said, CRTC is government pupper and will go at great lengths to control and hand over the strings
antin	I.	(wires) to the government
anun	1.	(wires) to the government

Kevin	C.	Google, YouTube, etc should not be allowed to deplatform people or subjects because they want to promote one side of an argument. An example of this would have been the 20,000 videos promoting any negative effects of vaccines which were cut. The CRTC needs to return to it's initial purpose of protecting the little guy and grandma. They did things like make sure a rotary phone still functions vs pushing grandma into mandatory purchase of touch tone, B/W TV still work even though colour was invented, mono radios still work even though stereo was invented, etc. CRTC has too many board members that retired from big communications companies and only look after these big companies, their greed and monopoly. Hence Canada has the highest cell phone rates in the world.
SEBA		
STIA N	Α.	Gore and explicit sexual content only
-	/ \.	goverment funded stuff should be regulated since its just
		goverment funded anyways may not get the truth just
joel	b.	propaganda
Willia	Б	Government can regulate their own website. Leave everyone else
m	P.	government control over what you see and hear is a gross over
Darryl	M.	reach.
Steph	S.	Government funded media must end or have a third party, public refferendum based, non conflict of interest (no relatives on the committee that are also in government or related businesses) regulated funding advisory board for all financial inputs of any kind. Tax breaks for any wholly owned Canadian Media outlet operating in Canada must be equal across the board with no special treatment for any outlets regardless of size or type of content. Foreign Media providers with more than 5% foreign private or commercial ownership or funding must pay higher taxes to operate in Canada.
Mike	M.	Government funded media should be heavily regulated and policed. Stations like CBC need to be slapped for their blatant lying to the public. So should any commercial media outlet that has PROVEN to be lying to the public about world news. The amount of disinformation and gaslighting we've seen, just over the last 10 years alone has really ramped up and networks like Global, CTV, CBC have been at the forefront of these

		disinformation campaigns (just reference all of the lying those networks did during Covid).
Kazi mer	C.	Government funded news broadcasters should still be regulated, but nothing else should be regulated. The internet is an international community, and the crtc needs to keep their dirty fingers out of it.
Susa		
n	H.	Government funded platforms should promote Canadian content.
Soleil	L.	Government funding Canadian creators and helping to promote them through the usual channels (ie advertising them) is fine, but forcibly allocating space for them at the expense of other content is not. There is room for everything.
S	W.	Government influence of any kind, over any type of information is not democracy. It's draconian.
Rober		
t	S.	Government lies
Dorot hea	T.	Government needs to butt out of telling us what we can and cannot view. Sane goes with the CRTC. We did and do not consent to Bill C11 and for that matter bill C18. Not in the least bit happy with our heavy handed federal government ramming controversial bills through government we didn't agree with and petitioned against.
Bill	K.	Government propaganda is dangerous. This gives a direct line to the government to protect themselves from scrutiny. It's dangerous. Learn from history.
Matth ew	Н.	Government should not be involved in the censorship or manipulation of any information on the internet.
Karl	H.	Government should not be meddling in what Canadians view/share/create on the Internet - This is not Russia!
Barrie	G.	Government should not have ANY regulatory control over the media. Inevbitably, it will lead to 1984 scenarios! :(
CHU CK	P.	Government should not regulate ANY content other than on its own state-run platform. Once all citizens know that platform is there to spew Government/Establishment propaganda and T&F's personal agendas/vendettas, then citizens can decide, very simplistically, if they want to watch the warmonger propagandists. Again, stay out of our homes and bedrooms.
Mike	S.	Government/liberal propaganda!

Glynn is D	B.	Govt sensitive data, sites that promote Illegal content or hate speech or contravene the laws of Canada.
Arlen		Grown adults should be free to regulate themselves. Government should not decide to keep any information from the people. Especially when it concerns information that is giving a different perspective and truth. The truth should not be hidden from the
е	B.	people.
Kerri	G.	Hands off all. Stop micromanaging.
Ace	C.	Hands off any and all online content
Danie I	В.	Hate / misinformation NEEDS to be regulated. We very quickly implemented regulations for a pandemic. This is similar,Ķ hate, misinformation and violence can spread so rapidly that of course it needs to be regulated . Just on a side note- we predicted this exact legislation back in 2015 when a whole new level of lies and hate and misinformation started.
Alton	H.	Hate crimes or outright fabrications should be blocked
Yurek	K.	Hate speech and extremism should be banned!
Mary		
am	M.	Hate speech Misinformation
Miche I J	L.	Hate speech, inhuman content, and extreme violence of any sort should be prevented.
Greg	P.	Hate speech, racist content or other negative or potentially unverified / fake news content should come with a warning.
Nanc y	C.	Hate targeting and and gestapo tactics should be eliminated. But how do you define that? It happens all the time and sometimes you are not sure who is promoting what? But I would rather use my own mind and figure things out than have it handed to me in some form that has already made a lot of my decisions for me. People can click off what they don't want. And we shouldn't be left with just Can/Con.
Chris	P.	Have a "made in canada" section where people who are looking for Canadian made content can easily find and access.
		Have a section for Canadian made/produced whatever but make
Rita	H.	it an option for people to have but be able to turn off if they want.
Jason	M.	Have actual public referendums, with publicy posted results.
DUILI O	R.	Have clear definitions around hate speech. All criticism is not hate speech. Absolutely protect our right to free speech and expression. Canadians are clever resourceful people we can sort out misinformation and disinformation ourselves.

		III : III A I NEW O III A C
Sean	A.	Having options to choose NEW Canadian content in a categories as opposed to ramming it down our throat in a search
KEVI		S and a second s
N	M.	Hell No!
Gilles	L	Here are some criteria I believe the CRTC should consider when determining which platforms to regulate under Bill C-11: 1. Platform Size and Reach: The larger and more influential the platform, the more likely it should fall under these regulations. Platforms with smaller user bases or limited influence should be exempted to promote diversity and competition. 2. Nature of Content: Platforms that mainly host user-generated content should be differentiated from those that produce or distribute professional content. The former are often platforms for individual expression and should not be subject to the same regulations as professional broadcasters. 3. Business Model: Platforms that offer free, ad-supported content may need different considerations than subscription-based platforms. The economic impact of regulation could vary significantly between these types of businesses. 4. Geographic Impact: The extent to which a platform serves or impacts the Canadian market should be considered. Platforms with a small Canadian user base or minimal impact on the Canadian market should not be subject to the same level of regulation as those with significant Canadian influence. 5. Technological Impact: The CRTC should consider the technological implications of their regulations. For instance, any potential impact on the net neutrality principle should be examined carefully. 6. Potential for Censorship or Manipulation: Platforms should only be regulated if it can be assured that this does not lead to censorship, manipulation of content, or infringement on the freedom of information.
Andy	D.	Here's my sole criterion: YOU SHOULDN'T EXIST. It's not up to the State to fund and steer cultural expression. That's inherently fascist.
Geoff	٠.	High quality content is a form of excellence. If Canadian content is
rey	W.	to compete, it simply needs to be of higher quality.
Gabri		
ella	B.	Hitler did the same thing
		Hitler did the same thing , Why don't you expose Trudeau & ALL
Brad	K.	of his WEF GANG?
Linda	M.	Hitler's Germany.
		•

JoAn		
n	B.	Hold public inquiries before making and changes.
		Honestly, I hoped this Bill would fail. The only platforms to be
loana	l.	regulated or excluded are the ones promoting pornography.
Marg		
aret	G.	Honesty, truthfulness and non-biased.
		How about eliminating monopoly held the Bell and Rogers. Need
Tim	D.	real equal competition.
Rach		How about just leaving things alone? Let people consume what
el	C.	they want.
Gord		How about just stop. You're wasting my tax dollars to make the
on	J.	internet a worse place.
Shan		
non	M.	How about no platforms are regulated.
Laura	S.	How about no regulating.
Jocel		
yne	H.	How about our Charter rights - free speech!
		How about regulating government propaganda that will be
Larry	K.	promoted.
Jessi		How about the CRTC fix the price we pay for cellphone and
ca	B.	internet access rather than focusing on what we watch or click.
		How about the CRTC regulate sites and platforms that allow child
Jessi		pornography instead of worrying about promoting Canadian
ca	R.	content?
		How about they consider getting out of everyone's business and
		take a hike. Perhaps they should consider if they are just a waste
Phil	H.	of tax payer money.
O 11		How about they stick to radio and television and leave
Cathy	Р.	online/streaming platforms alone
		How about you regulate these porno sites and drag queen sites
		and sites that try to lure children to kidnap or have sex with.
		These are the types of areas that should be regulated so children
		can't find this type of content without an adult's permission. How
		about you make it really difficult for minors to have access to
		those harmful sites. Charge them a fee to have their sites and regulate those sites. You should be regulating these adult sex
		sites and make it really hard for anyone under 18 have access to
		them. These are the types of regulations we should have not
Janis	R.	regular news and advertising from other places. An adult with
Julio	1 \.	roganar news and davernoing norm other places. All addit with

		proof of age should have the right to find out about all information that they want to look up when it comes to pornography, however it stills needs to be regulated so they cannot use any children in their porno films or try to recruit them. I hope you understand what I mean by this. If I had it my way I would be banning all child Pornography from this internet in Canada. I want to be able to see movies and shows from all countries. You can have a list of all Canadian shows and movies but still have shows and movies from all over the globe just listed separately. As an adult I have the right to see and watch what I want and these companies like Netflix should not have to pay to put these shows on social media. Again we are not a communist country and have the right to watch what we want.
Ambe r	N.	How about, REPEAL BILL C-11 before it's too late. If these bills that give the Canadian government control and promote indoctrination continue, We WILL have an uprise in Canada where we forcibly remove the current government. Because we will, as a whole, be pushed too far, as a people, you can not control us. Canada, is a FREE country without a dictator. So why, are we being oppressed with such extremity? My suggestion,
Kyle	M.	How being under this bill will affect those media outlets that won't get the same reach due to blocking of news on social media etc
Jessi ca	M.	How big an impact they may/will have
Isabe au	P.	How big they are.
Craig	S.	How broad their reach is in the media sphere. If some guy is posting on a small channel on YouTube, they shouldn't have to shoulder the same burden of regulation that a giant like Telus ought to.

How can you possibly regulate Facebook or Twitter or any social media for Canadian Content? Am I not allowed to add an Australian friend If I'm only at 35% Canadian friends on Facebook? Can I not read a tweet from an American News site if I haven't looked at a CTV or Globe and Mail tweet first? Can I not join /r/some-specific-hobby if I haven't joined /r/CFL and /r/Montreal first to keep my Cancon homepage subreddit ratio up for Reddit's benefit? And I'll be honest, I don't really use those sites much anymore anyway. How are you going to regulate obscure forums, imageboards...What about github? Can I only look at code that's maintained by a guy in Taiwan if I haven't looked at 2 Canadian's github projects first? What about audiobooks? I have almost every Discworld audiobook, heavily skewing my audiobook library to be British. Is Amazon forced to recommend me Canadian after Canadian to get that number up? or Do audiobooks not count? What about podcasts? My podcast app just collects RSS feeds, and It cost me \$5 once in 2015. Does that guy need to edit his app to serve me 40% Canadian Podcasts if I do a search? Does Steam need to recommend me 40% Canadian games? Content and Media aren't just music and video. All of these activities make the platforms they're on money. Let's propose a hypothetical situation. I am very into computers and related tech. Despite that I (hypothetically) dislike LinusTechTips and Hardware Canucks, and tell Youtube to never show me any content from them again. The ONLY content I view on Youtube is tech related, so all of my recommendations are tech related. Lets say I block the next 10 biggest Canadian tech channels as well, for whatever reason. Does Youtube have to make 40% of my homepage smaller and smaller and more obscure or less relevant Canadians because I am Canadian, or do they get to say 'this guy doesn't want to see Cancon, it's blatantly obvious' and not be in violation of anything? How much Canadian content they produce, how you impact local producers of Canadian content (particularly small producers), and how you impact consumers of content. An issue I take as a consumer when subject to these kinds of regulations is that you are trying to control what content makes its way to us, ensuring equivalent Canadian content is directed our way. However, much of the time it is not equivalent content to what we might get from American service providers, or other foreign countries. We are not

Nicol

Samu

el

as

L.

J.

		always choosing foreign options in spite of equivalent Canadian options, but often because Canada fails to produce something of the same nature or quality. As a Canadian consumer, I would not want to be punished for something when I have no real alternatives.
Jonat han	D.	How much Canadian information is already accessible through the platforms? What information can be obtained when using keywords pertaining to Canada? There are already plenty of Canadian citizens providing free details on Canada including travel, vacation, resorts, hiking. parks, social activities, etc.
greg	h.	how much we pay should of been in that bill.
Eva	A.	How reasonable and how able is this company to comply, or will you just be cutting canadians off from the content because we get blocked? Causing canadians to lose access to a company's product overall because canadian laws are too overbearing would be a huge loss for canadians.
Jennif er	P.	How their restrictions limit choice, and how that can be used to present biased views and/or propaganda, just because it is CanCon
Brend on	A.	How will it impact the flow of information and are we just "forcing" government propaganda or are we still going to be a free country that allows its people to CHOOSE what they want to see.
Sheil a	S.	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/News_Media_Bargaining_Code?fbcli d=lwAR2Bk3UXRWINmeBxkAeVZPq92Qp2bzQYPXtExQP0gwMz-Gb2Eib3uoZyim0#:~:text=The%20News%20Media%20Bargaining%20Code,or%20linked%20on%20their%20platforms This is the model from Australia and it is working well for the Australian content providers and for the mega media platforms. Why reinvent the Wheel? Sure, a Canadian model will look somewhat different but we need to look at how this model works for all the players.
Jean	C.	Human-trafficking and drug-running should be regulated. Parents who who are concerned about surgeries that mutilate their children should not be treated as terrorists. Canadian tax dollars should not be used to promote the Political party that currently is in power.
Eober t	Н.	I am 59 years old who the hell do they think they are stopping me from seeing what ever I want to see are they hiding stuff they don't want us to see very suspicious, sounds like a bunch of criminals as there is no reason a person should not see what they

	1	
		want. Just like the news black out in Ukraine what are they hiding and why
Paul	D.	I am a fan of Can-con, I think we have a unique and robust Canadian music and film industry partly as a result of those rules. Applying the rules fairly in a dynamic and international market is difficult. I believe it is fair to ask Netflix, Apple and their ilk to ensure there is Canadian content available in the ratio dictated by the CRTC for legacy broadcasters (or to remove those expectation from Canadian Legacy broadcasters so they can compete). I am not a fan of censorship. Since Youtube and Tictok are user generated, the government interfering in what is being said is censorship.
Rober t	H.	I am a firm believer that made-for-consumption-violence such as death porn or military explicit torture/execution footage should be outlawed in Canada. There is no redeeming value in having that available especially where children might gain access. Viewing habits bend perceptions of reality and thus modify behaviors. Hollywood says no but advertisers bank on it.
Geor		
gre	K.	I am against ant regulations ENOUGH OF BIG BROTHER
Bron wyn	D.	I am against regulating any platforms.
vv y i i	٥.	I am against regulation of the internet for private and personal
trevor	l.	use.
wend y	k.	I am completely puzzled about any wish to regulate or exclude any content by the government. Mind you I do not view anything that is rated X rated as in porn or such so for me, that is not an issue as I would not watch that kind of thing. Some Canadians would but I have no idea where they would find x rated material unless they bought television packages offering that. So, I do not believe anything I view is extraordinary or negative and I do not believe I would have access to any of that anyhow. My use of internet is limited actually and I view already censored youtube material as youtube themselves monitor their platform owners of sites and often shut them down apparently until they change content or address issues. Some movie companies too that offer quality series or films from different countries including Canada I like to view and some foreign content too. Again, I do not know of anything I view that is anything more than fiction, some comedy and intellectual view points like the old W5 shows. youtube
y	١٨.	and intellectual view points into the old vvo shows. youtube

		monitor their platform people so it is already highly regulated for offensive content. Nothing I view is more than news or opinion or viewpoints, fiction comedy, drama and mystery. Just keep access open to people so they can choose. This desire to monitor everything is offensive to adults as it often is to our children but they are not above the age of consent.
Iris	Н.	I am concerned about Everything. Lack of Freedom and Speech, Control of what I say or write or share. Lack of knowledge of what is Really Going on in the community, country and ultimately the World
Todd	H.	I am concerned that the new rules are vague and far reaching.
Pierre	M.	I am hoping the CRTC will restrict its criteria for regulation to financial considerations and ensure that social & political content is ignored. I don't want this legislation to be used by those with influence over the CRTC to limit access to what they might consider to be misinformation. It is paternalistic to believe that anyone in authority is better equipped to judge what is true and false than any other citizen and that kind of thinking poses a grave danger to our freedoms.
ARTH		I am in favour of limitations as to age appropriate content .
UR	K.	Children should not be able to access adult content
Nicol as	C.	I am not a specialist on these matters, but maybe the size of an organization could be a determining factor, adding additional burdens on fledgling up and coming companies will only stifle innovation and make the big players even largersort of like how it's going for internet access in Canada.
Shaw		
ne	B.	I am not familiar enough to say how this should be done
Ria	C.	I am not interested in CRTC controlling any platforms and forcing government-picked or funded content on Canadians.
Neish a	A.	I am not opposed to Canadian content being promoted more but it shouldn't come at the expense of content that I desire to come across and enjoy organically.
John	S.	I am not sure at this time.
Rober	C.	I am not sure, what I do know is it will start with small restrictions and over time they want to control everything which ultimately means control the people. This is not acceptable,Ķ
Tamm	J.	I am not well versed enough to comment on criteria, however I
у	G.	believe Bill C-11 should not even be on the table. Over reach has

		become a way of life that many have not stood up against and here we are.
Brad	S.	I am seriously opposed to any action that allows the government of Canada to control and censor my freedom to communicate and partake in any legal, non-criminal charter right freedom
Heath er	S.	I am sure the regime has a nice list for them to use! No one knows the criteria they are suppose to be using as the bill is so vague! Perhaps CRTC should release to the public there criteria so we can make suggestions! But will not hold my breath on this either! Really do not trust CRTC to be fair!
Donn	M.	I am totally against censorship of any kind, with the exception of child exploitation and any type of pornography, when it comes to online and television regulation.
Mike	M.	I am unsure at this time, to be completely honest with you as this is fairly new to a social media or video sharing website user such as myself
Elisab eth	R.	I appreciate free speech and I do not believe the government's have any business regulating anything. People are able to be critical thinkers and we don't need government intervention against free speech. We already have laws in place in this country and that is enough.
Matth	T.	I believe all information should be available to all Canadian citizens, freedom of information and freedom of speech and expression.
Toni	M.	I believe all personal posts are considered freedom of speech and thus as individuals we should not have that speech regulated. All social media from the personal and small business level should be excluded.
Ryan	M.	I believe all platforms should be excluded from this bill. It is unfair to put these regulations on these platforms as they would have to pump billions of dollars just to change their algorithms to fit this bill just for Canadian viewers. This can also in turn affect independent Canadian creators that are already thriving without government support. Specifically in regards to others from different countries viewing this content. The internet should remain free from government regulation.
marc us	J.	I believe any social platforms that allow access to content for free or through payment with the viewing of ads should be excluded from C-11. And anything with a subscription model only (ie. pay-to-view) should fall under the regulations.

Mega n	Н.	I believe C-11 is ridiculous and it's crazy that this is being passed in a free country. It's actually sad what is happening in Canada. From COVID to now censoring what we read online. Just makes me not trust the government even more. What happened to freedom of speech in this country? I don't want them to regulate what I get to read and watch online.
Andre w	W.	I believe Canada doesn't need regulations as C-11. I think billl C-11 should be cancelled in its entirety.
kevin	S.	i believe Canadian content has been able to be able to become relevant without these regulations there are many youtube channels have been able to become famous without government control. some of this might not be considered Canadian enough to get benefits from this as it is challenging for shows and movies to be considered Canadian enough I think the government should not get involved in the free market
Laura	F.	I believe content should be free from any form of censorship and the CRTC needs to keep a neutral standpoint on this matter, not be the authority.
Natha n	S.	I believe CRTC should not put small platforms like Crunchyroll under C-11, since they are very niche and their purproses is meant to show a medium which isn't Canadian.
Loga n	L.	I believe if there are any regulations at all, it should be based on the privacy they remove from citizens, the damage it does to systems of information for those looking for a educated opinion or unbiased overview, and how the platform is already funded compared to others
Cymb	W.	I believe in Canadian content but I think straining information through a government bony is restricting free speech and the freedom to think, we don't need a government fed program people won't be able to grow. Thanks C
Kerry	M.	I believe in free markets and only regulation that benefits the consumer. Canadian content makers should not have special benefits or rights over those who are not Canadian. This is a waste of taxpayer money and disrupts trade agreements with trusted international partners.
Margj e	V.	I believe in freedom of speech
Katha rina	D.	I believe in open journalism and uncensored news.

Danie I	F.	I believe in the freedom and openness of the internet and the freedom of the free market. While I can see value in the CRTC giving incentive to platforms for promoting Canadian content, any disincentive or penalty is inherently wrong. Further, Canadians have the intelligence and deserve the agency to decide for themselves what kind of content they would like to consume. The freedom to choose is important, and Canadians should not be hindered in finding content from around the global community in order to disproportionately benefit Canadian content and its creators.
Alan	G.	I believe in uncensored content with the ability to choose what i watch without restriction, I don't care about canadian content or international content more than the other content, I choose to watch view post What I want with no interference from government or geo location restrictions whatsoever. this is canada not a communist state or country. enough is enough already. Gen X is tired of all your games.
Garry	R.	I believe more evidence of the harms of the Covid mandates must be allowed and even promoted so the truth comes out and ultimately protects Canadians going forward. Suppression of this information has harmed many, many, many, people.
Kim	B.	I believe Netflix, Disney, prime video and the like should be excluded as most content on these are more than just Canadian and it helps us expand our minds when we get to view other peoples cultures and the like. For social apps like Instagram, Facebook and TikTok I believe these too should be excluded as if it's just Canadian content. We are limiting ourselves and in 2023 we shouldn't do that. We need to keep lines of communication open to the whole world. I hope that this helps to keep all content coming to Canada üá®üá¶. We shouldn't have to fight just to be able to watch American programming or even to have news on Facebook, google and have to pay for it. I hope this helps the fight in keeping the world news and the like coming to Canada. The government shouldn't be allowed to tell us what we can and can't watch.
Natha niel	A.	I believe news medias should be regulated. Not censored but have labels for articals so that reporters that do the work and fact check everything are pushed to the front page more then opinion peices and clickbait titles

		I believe no platforms should force any favouritism towards any
		nation else they tarnish the diversity of the internet, and should be
		fully transparent about where Canadian promoted content can be
		found if they choose to want to encourage Canadian content on
		said platform. Of course, if it is a Canadian-centric platform then it
		should naturally promote Canadian content, however that is not
		why we go on the internet. We go to see all the different views of
		people from all over the world. The internet is a place for
		international discussion, and should not be altered whatsoever to
		favour or censor other nations. Good content will prevail,
Emilia	P.	regardless of where it hails from. That is how it should be.
		I believe none of these platforms should be regulated under the
		CRTC. I think bill c-11 limits our freedoms, access to diverse
		content, our positive connections to foreign countries and bodies,
		and places more impeding expectations on Canadian content
		creators. Please consider how this will impact how informed
		Canadians are able to be, and how this could restrict not only
Shee		foreign content but Canadian content as well due to the stricter
na	M.	rules and adherence of the CRTC.
Ron	H.	I believe none should be government regulated.
		I believe streaming services specific to a type of content from
		other countries (e.g. Crunchyroll features anime from Japan)
Ralph	G.	should not be regulated by the CRTC under C-11.
		I believe that all platforms should be unregulated & free to
		disseminate information except those that come from the
Rober		Russians, Chinese or other communist countries that seek to
t	B.	undermine the freedoms that we should have.
		I believe that any platform that uses the internet as their primary
		broadcast medium should be excluded under Bill C-11. I believe
		that (ideally) Canadians should be able to connect to the exact
Derek	B.	same internet experience as every other place on the planet.
		I believe that at the very least the definitions of what is Canadian
		content should be adjusted to include teams where all members
		are Canadian citizens. This is so that we're actually considered
		Canadian content for the purposes of things rather than being
		considered non Canadian content seeing as whatever we use as
		a camera is theoretically the largest expense for lots of Canadian
		content creators. I also believe that the editors should not be
Joshu		factored into whether it's Canadian content and instead determine
а	B.	that based on the citizenship of the host. While I do not believe
		The state of the s

		that social media platforms and video sharing platforms should have as little interference as possible. Anything that touched politics and issues of societal importance should automatically be exempted from this regulation seeing as this kind of interference could disproportionately negatively impact minority groups.
Paula	O.	I believe that Bill C-11 should be REPEALED. This is censorship bill designed to spew government propaganda and silence any counter dissent under the guise of promoting Canadian content. There are better ways to promote Canadian content: film festivals, competitions, contests, charettes, mentorships.
Phylli s	L.	I believe that CBC, GLOBAL, CTV should not be an arm of the Liberal/NDP government. No mainstream media should be government funded. Trudeau is their boss very sad time to be a Canadian right now!!!!
Andre w	A.	I believe that it is necessary to delineate between websites that publish professionally made content that they either own or licence and websites that let individuals publish and share their own content. While the former is analogous to the radio and television stations that are already under the jurisdiction of the CRTC, the latter absolutely is not. If anything social media and video sharing sites are the modern equivalent of the public square and should be treated as such according to the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
Patric ia	M.	I believe that it is our right to access all beliefs, to keep an open mind. Our country was created by those that wanted to escape being kept small and insignificant. Thank you.
David	W.	I believe that large broadcasters should have to contribute to CanCon because they make money and can afford to contribute a little bit more to making enough content they produce Canadian.
Lisa	N.	I believe that most censorship is based on someone's point of view and values that may not necessarily be shared by all.  Regulations on harmful programming as in misinformation or certain pornography and illegal activities should definitely be watched and ruled upon.
Andre w	R.	I believe that NO platforms at all should be regulated. This therefore implies that nothing should be excluded either - because nothing will be regulated.
Aiden	C.	I believe that services like YouTube and TikTok should be excluded from the CRTC. Those services are designed to allow people from all over the world share opinions and entertainment

		and I believe restricting that will stop certain channels to get as much recognition as they deserve.
Frank	T.	I believe that the concept of Freedom of the Press needs to be supported in every way possible, including its independence, freedom of expression, access to information, diverse nature of the press and the protection of its sources. All operating within Canada's legal system. Press needs to survive without manipulation by government.
Wend y	A.	I believe that the CRTC has an obligation to respect and maintain the rights and freedoms that all citizens of Canada have within the framework of our democracy. Specifically, the right to personal choice must be maintained when making any determinations in regard to bill C-11. While it may be reasonable for the CRTC desire the promotion of Canadian content, there must not be a crossing of the line into limiting or restricting the access of Canadian citizens to worldwide content or their ability to share their content with the world.
Deb	В.	I believe that the CRTC really has no reason to control what adult Canadians listen to or watch. Content should NOT be manipulated by a government agency That being said, of course, anything illegal should be regulated or banned. (IE pornography)
Lorel ei	B.	I believe that the CRTC should be abolished, then maybe we could get fair mobility and internet plans like the rest of the world. They shouldn't have any say in what i watch or what i read
Laure	P.	I believe that the CRTC should consider the following criteria when determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11: The size and reach of the platform. The CRTC should consider how many users a platform has, and how widely it is used in Canada. Platforms with a large number of users and a wide reach are more likely to have a significant impact on the Canadian broadcasting landscape, and therefore should be regulated. The nature of the content that the platform offers. The CRTC should consider the type of content that a platform offers, and whether it is considered to be Canadian. Platforms that offer a significant amount of Canadian content should be regulated, while platforms that offer mostly foreign content should be excluded. The impact of the platform on the Canadian broadcasting market. The CRTC should consider the impact that a platform has on the Canadian broadcasting market. Platforms that compete with Canadian broadcasters should be

		regulated, while platforms that do not compete with Canadian broadcasters should be excluded. I believe that these criteria would help the CRTC to make fair and balanced decisions about which platforms should be regulated under Bill C-11. I hope that the government will take these criteria into account when implementing the bill. In addition to these criteria, I believe that the CRTC should also consider the following factors: The potential impact of regulation on innovation. The CRTC should be careful not to regulate platforms in a way that stifles innovation. The potential impact of regulation on user choice. The CRTC should ensure that regulation does not unduly restrict user choice. The potential impact of regulation on the cost of services. The CRTC should be mindful of the potential impact of regulation on the cost of services for consumers. I believe that these factors are important to consider in order to ensure that regulation is effective and fair.
		I believe that the CRTC should have no involvement whatsoever
Quent		in anything to do with the internet! I believe that the internet should be as was intended and that is a free and open platform
in	B.	for anyone to express any view.
Geoff		I believe that the CRTC should not extend its regulation beyond
rey	T.	its current scope
		I believe that the user should be able choose what content they
Shan		prefer regardless of the platform type , so each platform can
е	T.	recommend content based on the individual users preference.
Diana	M.	I believe that their criteria should be the Canadian Bill of Rights, seems how we are Canadians who live in Canada.
Stace	c	I believe that there needs to be transparency in everything and anything they do. All funding directly or indirectly needs to be claimed and marked on any media platforms every single time. From all sources of funding. When I get information I want to know who is paying for it and I want all methods of payment stated (from corporations, sub companies etc.) If they want more Canadian content then sure sponsor people/artists etc. but make it very clear they are being funded.
y Christ	S.	it very clear they are being funded.
a	V.	I believe that user created content should be entirely excluded under Bill C-11.
April	N.	I believe that you should be allow to promote Canadian content but not force us to view what content deemed more important by the state.
, while	14.	and diate.

Rob	M.	I believe the CRTC should continue to regulate safe media advertising. The CRTC should also continue to censor what is agreeably harmful to our children (graphic violence, sex/pornography or extreme discrimination) however what always should be available is free speech on any topic that doesn't fall under what's outlined in brackets. That means uncensored domestic views to the world and vice versa.
Devin	C.	I believe the CRTC should focus on only the largest streaming services (Netflix, Amazon Prime, Disney + etc) who mirror television and cinema. The same international production companies are publishing the same type of entertainment on these streaming services as they do TV/Cinema yet there are currently two different sets of standards. It makes sense to expand the definition of a broadcaster to those streaming services; they're the same thing as what's already covered but use a different means of delivery. I do not think the CRTC should interfere with any user-generated content platforms such as YouTube, Twitter, TikTok etc. These are not mega-corporations making large productions like TV shows and feature films, these are individuals and small businesses such as myself producing online-only content for consideration on the world stage that these platforms provide.
0		I believe the CRTC should push back on behalf of Canadians and no platforms should be regulated and all should be excluded from
Shell ey	S.	Bill C-11. The government needs to squash this bill and use their time on important issues.
Geral d	S.	I believe the CRTC should stay out of the censorship of what I choose to look at on the internet(within certain legal parameters already in existence). The CRTC should not regulate or exclude any platforms period. Bill C-11 should be struck down.
Willia m	M.	I believe the main criteria to respect is considering the ones behind most of the content. Streaming platforms like Netflix have large studios behind them, making them less affected by a regulation, while most creators on TikTok for example, are only citizens who might only have this as a way to share to the world.
Dolor	_	I believe the news to be investigative without a bias, so we don't need to have many strong extremes that are polarizing our
es steve	T.	people. Truth not bias.  I believe the only legitimate monitoring should be for illegal activity
n	S.	and foreign interference in the Canadian political process.

		I believe the regulation, if it must be applied at all, should only apply to platforms which provide almost entirely content created by larger corporations, such as with Netflix, Disney, etc. Small companies or individuals and the platforms that they use
Elliot	P.	(Youtube, social media, etc) should be free of this regulation.
Ryan	P.	I believe the websites or apps such as YouTube, TikTok or twitch with individuals sharing their own content should be excluded from Bill C-11 and the side of media that is more company or industry based such as a news outlet (CBC, CTV, Fox News etc.) should be included.
		I believe there is too much regulation in this country in all
R		respects. Regulation thwarts creativity, individuality and liberty.
Geral		We need less regulation in all aspects of our lives. how ironic that
d	L.	one of Canada's symbols is a cop.
Patric		
ia	B.	I believe there should be the broadest possible access to content.
		I believe they have no right to dictate what we see online. It
Cleo	R.	should be up to the individual to determine their own regulation.
Linds		I believe they should consider how large the platform is. Larger
еу	F.	platforms need more regulation than smaller platforms.
Féli		
X	A.	I believe they should not regulate anything at all
Evan	G.	I believe this bill should only focus on already established platforms
Samu		I believe we should have a choice to have either toggle-on/off on our broadcasting apps. Because much of what we like are based on what other family members and friends like, which both contain canadian and foreign content. We should take note that we befriend foreigners on online friend platforms where we are recommended from time to time contents to watch, and such
el	M.	content could be foreign-made and end up being content we like.
		I believe what should be excluded under c-11 is user generated
Tyler	B.	content
Wand a	S.	I believe your organization ought to do some soul searching. Ask yourselves what kind of person/people participate in dragging society backwards into the dark ages. Exclusions should be based only on criminal content that harms people - especially children.

		I belive the CRTC have not jurisdiction with our Rights as
Claud e	D.	Canadians or any other foreign Government control which content is appropriate in the Telecommunications via Online or our Cable or internet Broadbands
Peter	C.	I can see a valid argument for regulating all platforms. Of course they will be opposed, they are losing revenue to the government. But government dictated pushing of some content over others is not the way yo do it - eventually the platform becomes the tool of the ruling party. Tax incentives for those platforms that actively produce and promote Canadian content would be more affective. OR Open competition: this is why we have the CBC and the provincial government equivalents. Let the average content producer rent studio time or equipment to put out the kind of content the bill really wants to see on the airwaves.
John	M.	I can't believe you're even asking how much regulation should be requires on the internet. Nothing. Go regulate yourself and leave me alone.
Kirk	W.	I could understand when it comes to network broadcasts like the CBC or CTV, etc, but I do not like the idea of such regulations for personalized content.
jan	b.	I disagree with Bill C-11 and do not believe that the CRTC ought to be regulating communications for users. Bill C-11 is undemocratic and unjustified in it's efforts to control the media. It is a travesty of freedom and justice. As a Canadian citizen I feel angered at not being able to recieve the truth in information and that my autonomy to decide what I may listen to or watch has or may be disolved.
Eric	S.	I do nit believe any platform should be regulated under Bill C-11
Autu	-	in a minimum of the second of
mn	D.	I do not agree with BILL C-11 in anyway. PERIOD.
Kristi		
ne	G.	I do not agree with Bill C-11.
No√I	В.	I do not approve of regulating and manipulating what we are listening to. Leave what I see and say ALONE!! We do not live in a country where the CRTC has the right to take away our rights. We do not live in China. Nor do we live in a dictatorship,Ķalthough it's beginning to feel that way if Bill C-11 is even considered by the government
		The second of the government

Sava s	T.	I do not believe any platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Viewership numbers and revenue reports tell them exactly what viewers/consumers are looking for.
Stefa n	V.	I do not believe in this day and age that any content should be regulated in such a way that forces them to meet arbitrary and outdated rules about what constitutes Canadian content. The entire aim of this bill is grossly misguided and cynical, and it should be repealed immediately.
Oleks andr	R.	I do not believe in transparency of this process and consider it to mostly benefit large corporations that are unable to adapt to modern market and needing government help. It's sink or swim situation, if they are unable to adapt then maybe they should find other ways.
Marily	_	I do not believe that a governmental body should be regulating or
nn	E.	excluding content on any platform for any reason.  I do not believe that the CRTC should regulate the internet at all.
Guyla		It defeats the whole idea of the internet and how it improves our
ine	U.	ability to access information.
Ingrid	R.	I do not believe that user-generated content and social media should be regulated by CRTC at all. YouTube, FB, Instagram, Twitter, Rumble etc. Users already have the option to block or opt out from content producers which they do not wish to see. Leave it to the consumer to determine what they want and do not want to see. CRTC should regulate media that is under Canadian Government oversight - such as government funded broadcasters eg CBC etc.
Trevo	W.	I do not believe the CRTC should be tasked with this job. In fact I cannot think of a need for this Bill or any like it. Canada is not a nation filled with subversives and the CSIS are doing an adequate job of discovering and reporting any groups or individuals that would subvert our democracy.
Greg ory	F.	I do not believe the CRTC should regulate the internet at all. You should concentrate on radio and television like you do now.
Roch	т.	I do not believe they should consider any option, I have no idea how people manage time and time again to not abide by the Human Rights Act.
Jeral	A.	i do not know nor can i think of anything to be honest

Jessi ca	V.	I do not know of this applies but any pornography should be banned as this is dangerous for adults and children alike. I do not want to be controlled or manipulated by the CRTC. We live in a free country, do we not? I do not want more CanCon as I appreciate the common sense of US content a lot more.
Miche le	L.	I do not listen to the radio or television so I do not know what to answer to this.
Danie I	L.	I do not rely on mainstream news from CBC or other similar sources as they have clearly become propaganda tools by controlling content and blocking dissenting voices. It is critical to be fully informed on subjects such as the Ukraine war so as to decide if our foreign policy is correct. Simply watching CBC or other similar sources would result in an incomplete and possibly incorrect understanding of the particular issue.
Aman	M.	I do not support Bill C-11 for any platform. I do think social media and internet algorithms should be left alone. Diversity is what the world wide web and social media is about. It gives a voice to people around the world who would not have had one otherwise, and small Canadian creators have become successful on their own thus far without the aid of the government.
Diana	A.	I do not support C-11 what so ever.
Jill	W.	I do not think any platforms should be regulated buy government. Again, not a police state.
billee-		
jean	m.	I do not think any platforms should be regulated under Bill C-11.
Justin	V.	I do not think any platforms should be regulated. We live in a digital globally connected time now and regulating CanCon content for this digital space just does not make sense anymore. I think the focus should be on helping creators succeed by continuing to support ventures that help fund creation of Canadian content. Good content get views and does not need to be forcefully inserted into people's feeds. Optional non invasive methods to have Canadian content highlighted would be okay.
Dixie	H.	I do not think Canadian content should be prioritized on our feeds!
Chris	M.	I do not think that any content should be regulated. A streaming service differs from a broadcaster in that a broadcaster chooses which content to broadcast whereas it is the consumer who decides which content they wish to be broadcasted to their homes/devices in the case of a streaming service.

Guilla ume	T.	I do not think that any platform should be regulated any way from this bill. People should be free to have access to any good information(not government deemed) that they feel like watching when they want, wherever it comes from. Which we know they have been actively censoring good information already.
Rober t	N.	I do not think that is the platforms so much that need to be regulated as there needs to be some sort of transparency enforced to stop outright lies and propaganda from government (including external political powers like the UN and the WHO) to make them responsible to presenting true and balanced information. I do not feel that mainstream new sources should be allowed to post 'tabloid / News of the World fake news without being fined or censured or be forced post a banner which says something to the effect Warning: This news source has been found to repeatedly be promoting information which can not be verified or has been proven to be false. or Warning: This news source has been found to be promoting information which is known to be false and have not retracted it in spite of the fact that they have been advised that the information is incorrect.
Clare	R.	I do not think that there are any platforms which need to be regulated or excluded. Canadians, as a whole, are smart people, and are perfectly capable of determining what platforms we wish to view, and which ones are garbage, without the government making that decision for us. We are not little children who need the government to tell us what we should view or read. Censorship is a tool of socialism and communism, and NOT of a free state! The government's job is to enforce legitimate laws, and punish criminals, and NOT to further extend its control over law-abiding citizens! Enough is enough!
Alliso n	C.	I do not think the Canadian government should censor content available in this country.
Sama ntha	K.	I do not want my country controlled like North Korea and going backwards into a doctatorship
Meg	E.	I do not want the CRTC determining what I can watch.
LAUR IE	G.	I do not want the CRTC to regulate or exclude content in Canada.
Tom	H.	I do not want them to increase regulation in any way.
Gerry	W.	I DO NOT WANT UNELECTED ADMINISTRATORS MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT I CAN AND CANNOT SEE STOP TREATING ME LIKE A STUPID CHILD

Came		I do think think any means of media should he controlled by the
ron	F.	government
Alex	M.	I don't agree with any forced regulation.
Mike	C.	I don't agree with bill C-11. This isn't China.
Nolan	W.	I don't believe any company or platform should be regulated in this way.
Liz	S.	I don't believe any platform should be regulated as suggested by Bill C-11. If I don't want to watch something- I don't turn it on. Simple. I regulate myself.
NAN CY	Н.	I don't believe any platforms should be regulated under the bill, but definitely, small time in home YouTube channels should not be. Same with music videos on YouTube and other platforms that are being created by musicians and artists. No independent news station should be either. The censorship of information is already bad, and people who are producing balanced programming aka the other side of the story, should not be regulated.
Cryst	I.	I don't believe any platforms should be regulated, but if they are to be, then only certain news that are government funded and that should be clear. E.g. this news outlet is funded by the Canadian Government and the opinions expressed have been regulated by C-11. Absolutely user created content art, music, writing etc. should not be regulated period.
Nadin		
е	F.	I don't believe any platforms should be regulated.
TAUR A	Н.	I don't believe any platforms should be regulated.
Linds ay	D.	I don't believe any platformu should be regulated but if they are, they should be platformu that are funded through taxpayer money. I don't believe c11 should be in place at all however I do believe that the crtc should work on lowering Canadian bills Canadians pay some of the highest bills in the world for cell phone and internet costs and TV costs contracts that are just out of this world high prices. When will it stop look around look at all the other cheap cheap companies outside of our area and yet the crtc just keeps taking more and more and more and more enough is enough stop being so greedy lower the bills and stop worrying about silly little bills that don't matter stop taking away our freedom

Abak		
asang		I don't believe in C-11 whatsoever. I think the entire bill should be
а	E.	scrapped and not revisited.
Liz	P.	I don't believe that any content whether viewed by me or created by me should be put under a microscope to determine whether it passes what is acceptable to the government, the CRTC or any other government regulatory body. Canadian content is already regulated for radio, television etc. I DON'T wish any further encroachments on my social media feeds or podcasts. It's time Ottawa stopped promoting certain dying business models ie CBC, print media, etc over other worthwhile content providers or platforms like Tiktok, FB, etc. If Canadian content needs to be endlessly propped up by the govt then it's not content that can stand on its own merits. And meritocracy seems to be politically incorrect!! If the content is good I WILL decide to view it AND I WILL MAKE that decision. Enough pandering to forced special victomhood Canadian viewpoints. Thank God for Substack!!
LIZ	Г.	I don't believe that any government commission should be
Ralph	В.	empowered to censor information, it is simply too tempting to power groups to abuse this capacity as has already been abundantly demonstrated with respect to the overtly manipulated covid information.
Lori	M.	I don't believe that the CRTC should be involved in regulating platforms. We have done very well without this type of governing in our lives for many, many years prior to their existence and we don't need the government interfering in our lives. If and when people break laws, such as going to pedophile sites (or any other unlawful place), then the police can do their jobs to do what is necessary to bring the law breakers to be charged and pay the appropriate penalties when convicted. The government needs to back off and out of our lives period! I am so sick and tired of all the regulating and interference into our lives. It has reached the point where the vast majority of us, who are fairly good and law-abiding people, are being treated like criminals and terrorists. Enough of this BS! The real criminals and terrorist are the corrupt politicians and in government making these regulations and laws. BACK OFF!
Jason		I don't believe the crtc has any business regulating content. At best platforms might pay an access fee such , however variety and diversity are requirement.

Lypp		I don't believe the CRTC has the right to determine to which platforms I have access AND nor should they.
Lynn	L.	·
		I don't believe the CRTC should be regulating anything when it comes to the internet unless it is a criminal code violation. If I
Dan	N 4	want Canadian content on the internet, I will find it all on my own
Ron	M.	thankyou.
Raym		I don't believe the government should be regulating or excluding
ond	L.	any platforms.
Melod	1.4	I don't believe the government should dictate what we can and
У	K.	cannot do. Regulation is unnessesary.
		I don't believe there are any criteria that should cause the
		regulation of platforms in terms of Canadian content. Limiting my
		access to view information and entertainment that is neither
		harmful nor illegal feels like it's infringing on my rights. Support
		Canadian creators in a way that does not limit Canadians' choices
Cathy	V.	please.
	_	I don't believe they should be regulated simply highlight the
Amie	S.	Canadian content.
Natha		
n	K.	I don't believe they should be regulated in this way.
		I don't believe typical social media applications should be
		regulated as broadcasters, as they are not a perfect analogy for a
		broadcaster. Clearly they should be defined as an entity that is
		distict from broadcasting, and be regulated according to their
Jacob	A.	specific traits.
		I don't feel we need what they are calling regulation. I think it is a
		control effort to keep us UN INFORMED I feel this is just the
		beginning of the government exerting its control. We are
		supposed to live in a Democracy and this to me feels like a step
		towards socialism and then what????? I think we can support
		Canadas culture and industry without placing even more controls
Nora	Н.	on its people.
Herbe		
rt	M.	I don't know
		I don't know why anything has to change for consumers who are
Karen	L.	happy with the way things are now!
		I don't know, it's extremely hard to say when I don't know *how*
Jessi		C-11 would be implemented. Would it hide non-Canadian
е	M.	content? Would it put all Canadian content at the top of searches

		and recommendations regardless of how relevant they are? I need more information before I can really answer this question.
Care	D.	I don't like how private businesses are controlling my news feed and I don't think the government should be trying to do it as well. I think the only things that really should be managed are big streaming platforms, media Giants. Things like YouTube If you decrease discoverability you might decrease people's access to useful information, such as niche how to videos. Also the idea of controlling something like crunchyroll which is a site designed to provide access to overseas media is counterproductive.  I don't mind some regulation, but this feels like we are living in
Leah	M.	North Korea. I don't want this level of censorship or government control over what I watch for entertainment! Quite frankly, I'm scared.
Jean-		
Fran√		
Rois	L.	I don't no. Sorry. Thanks.
13015	L.	I don't particularly care about Canadian content what I want are
David	J.	the morons in charge to leave the internet alone, especially considering we have far more pressing concerns in Canada to be dealing with, than what media is promoted for people to see on the internet. government needs to start getting some real work done. absolutely feckless idiots.
Shali		
е	J.	I don't really trust them to choose specific criteria, so no.
Farha d	D.	I don't really understand how the user generated content on platforms like YouTube or Facebook could be regulated in this manner, and, frankly, I believe it shouldn't. I'm okay with major players like Disney or Amazon being required to do that, but not individual creators.
		I don't support C-11, therefore, I feel the CRTC (government
		funded ) should refrain from being involved in my Internet media
Paul	M.	and searches.
Aime e	G.	I don't think any media should be regulated by Bill C-11. We have already seen what skewed content can do to in insidious ways (through facebook, instagram, etc.), and I think it's crucial for Canadians to have a balanced world view. That means having easy, unregulated access to all media.
	_	I don't think any platform should be forced to air Canadian content
Dillon	F.	except for platforms that directly receive public funding.

Boris	D.	I don't think any platforms ought to be regulated.
Leigh		
an	B.	I don't think ANY platforms should be regulated.
		I don't think anything should be excluded unless it is actively
Garet		promoting violence against someone. Real violence, like physical
h	H.	assault or worse, not a difference of opinion.
	_	I don't think anything should be regulated as it is subject to
	Т.	manipulation and abuse
Elizab eth	N/I	I don't think anything should be regulated under C-11. I think it's
eui	M.	bad legislation and I don't want it. I never have.
Christ	C.	I don't think so, because this bill is totally unnecessary to begin with. The Government has no business meddling with the internet which is obviously not their jurisdiction. Leave all the platforms alone and let us be free like our neighbours to the south. Let freedom of choice dictate what content gets promoted. Canadian content creators do just fine. This bill is not about promoting Canadian content. It's about letting the Government get their controlling hands on it and use their political influence to pressure what gets promoted in Canadian's feeds.
Micha el		I doubt their that platforms about he was plated at all. Full atom
Riley Donn a	<b>М</b> .	I don't think that platforms should be regulated at all. Full stop.  I don't think the CRTC should be regulating or excluding platforms period. I have expressed concerns about the government becoming increasingly authoritarian and I ask that CRTC ensures that the government cannot have the ability to control or censor our feeds. Canadians must be allowed to speak freely and be informed no matter how much Trudeau does not like it. We deserve to control our feeds and Canadians need to do everything possible to make sure information is accessible and available regardless if the ruling class agrees with it or not.  I don't think the CRTC should have control over what I watch on any platform. This is moving too close to communist control.
<u> </u>	141.	I don't think these platforms should be regulated, based solely on
Kerry	A.	the location where the content was created.
Marj	В.	I don't think they need to create more Canadian content, just label the stuff that is and make it searchable I don't think it's good for Canadians to only see Canadian perspectives e.g. BBC is world news, as long as they are ethical and provide good, real information they shouldn't have to add more Canadian Content

		Should Google etc have to pay to rebroadcast news from newspapers, CBC etc, Yes. They can afford it. Should they restrict our access to Canadian news sources No. Once we click on a link, we may find we have to pay for the news we are viewing (e.g. CBC, GLOBAL, etc) but I think that is reasonable. This is a petty and dangerous move on their part. I know this is not part of the proposed legislation.
Janic e	V.	I don't think they should have any hand in this! It's ridiculous, but that isn't a good argument. It's like if you go to your Grandma's in Okey Penoky and she gives you a great recipe for blueberry pie, but when you try to cross the border with it they say, sorry, you can't bring that content into Canada unless you also bring a bunch of Canadian recipes too. It is stupid, plain and simple. It is censorship and no one grows as a person from running into too much of it.
Susa n	G.	I don't think they should regulate anything. I'm an Indigenous Canadain citizen and government or any government related bodies should stop regulating culture that isn't there's to regulate. Canadians did not elect them to decide for us. As an Indigenous Canadian, I can tell you that my heritage was regulated away from me before I was even born. Let Canadian culture be the driver of Canadian culture.
Stuart	C	I don't think we need the government modifying the content we see.
Natha n	C.	I don't think you should ever use the term regulation or exclusion when it comes to content and creativity, art is a form of expression, and we as humans should be able to share that expression with everyone, I shouldn't have ten songs or paintings or videos shoved in my face because they were made in the same country as me, I should be shown works of art that are good because they're good, not because they're Canadian
Larry	В.	I don't trust the CRTC to properly regulate anything. Wokism is so rampant in government agencies that they are blind to its intrusive nature. Scripture is already deemed as 'hate speech' because it clearly describes homosexuality and other sexual perversions as abhorrent, and that definitely does not fit with the current narrative shaped by leftist politicians. If something is truly hateful, and not merely out of sync with the current woke ideology - that should be excluded. But as I said, I don't trust a woke gov't agency to

		correctly interpret anything. Bill C-11 needs to be completely scrapped.
Donn		
а	Ο.	I don't want any censorship.
Marc		I don't want our Canadian content to be stiffled because of foeign companies, large corporations or even polical parties. We shouldn't allow our Canadian content to suffer because of
0	G.	governmennt interference or overreach.it
Cathe rine	D.	I don't want the CRTC to play favorites or support a particular ideology, which I know they are going to do. Time to install a VPN.
Lored ana	V.	I don't want to be told what to watch on any platform. !!! When the government control the internet = COMMUNISM
Mike	K.	I don't want to see ANYTHING regulated. Truth is truth it will come forth, this has nothing to do with Canadian content but another step at removing freedom from our once free nation. It has more to do with control over the people than Canadian content. IT DIDNT START WITH GAS CHAMBERS. IT STARTED WITH ONE PARTY CONTROLLING THE MEDIA. ONE PARTY CONTROLLING THE MESSAGE ONE PARTY DECIDING WHAT IS TRUTH. ONE PARTY CENSORING SPEECH AND SILENCING OPPOSITION. ONE PARTY DIVIDING CITIIZENS INTO US AND THEM AND CALLING ON THEIR SUPPORTERS TO HARASS THEM IT STARTED WHEN GOOD PEOPLE TURNED A BLIND EYE AND LET IT HAPPEN
Devo		
n	F.	I don't believe any platform should be regulated.
Christ ine	P.	I don't believe any should be regulated.
Juanit		
а	D.	I don't believe anything, should be regulated!
Jamie	P.	I don't believe in the bill at all.
		I don't believe it is in my interest for any of the video streaming
Louis	D.	services to be regulated under Bill C-11.
Melan	F	I don't believe social media or search engines or streaming services should be content regulated. I also don't think broadcast media should be either. Content needs to be quality over quantity. It isn't about more CanCon, it's about creating content people
ie	F.	want to see-not just here but elsewhere too.

Geoff		
rey	P.	I don't believe that CRTC should be regulating any platforms.
Jacqu		I don't believe that the CRTC should decide what I'm allowed to
eline	R.	watch ever.
		I don't believe that there is any reason to regulate what people
		see unless it pertains to pornography, child pornography, and
Rolan	_	other illegal or illicit activities that would cause harm to children,
da	D.	teenagers, adults, or the elderly.
Cristy	D	I don't believe we should be manipulating anyone's media
n BRA	B.	experience without their choice or visibility
D	R.	I don't know
Skyla	1 (1	
n	B.	I don't know
Brend		
an	G.	I don't know
		I don't know. But I believe all content should have labels, like
		made in Canada, foreign content, funded by government, etc. So
Anna	U.	that people know the source of the content they are consuming.
		I don't like it, but I can stomach forcing the handful of major
		streaming platforms like Disney, Netflix, Prime, etc to fund a
		certain percentage of CanCon stuff. But for the love of god please
		lay off YouTube. Trying to fairly determine which of the millions of
		YouTube creators qualify as "Canadian" would be a bureaucratic
		nightmare and doomed to failure. If you do it anyway, please just
		give me an opt out on my feed. I already support Canadian content creators I like and find new ones just fine as is. Not
		interested in a government mandated stealing of revenue from
		YouTube to pay for Canadian creators who can't make it on their
		own. Anyway whatever you end up doing - good luck! I'm sure it's
		not an easy job trying to accommodate many different opinions.
		No matter what you do in the end it won't please everybody,Ķ so
Dean	C.	include the opt out! :) All the best!
Chery		I don't need CRTC regulating what platforms I see and don't see.
I	R.	Very undemocratic to censor adults in a democratic country.
		I don't see a justification for new regulations at all. We are
Laure		heading in a very dangerous direction which will limit human
en	D.	intellectual and social development, leading directly to erosion of

rges	D.	I feel world wide choices period!!! No regulations
Desfo		
Ashle y	S.	I feel the danger with Bill C-11 is that it gives the government and the CRTC the ability to use technology as a means of social control. It empowers government-controlled algorithms to decide what you can see and which videos and sources are "legitimate". In this case, there is no government issuing you a proclamation or mandate and enforcing sanctions when you don't comply, they can simply use technology to control and sensor what you are able to see online. Which can cause serious-problems for our democracy. We should be helping people not censoring them.
melis sa	r.	i feel the crtc have no bisness on trying to regulate online platforms and should instead try and liven up the cabel indestry by makeing it cheeper to get
Dawn	L.	I feel that if a platform receives funding from government programs (tax dollars) then they can be regulated; otherwise not.
Aman da	S.	I dont think international ones like social media should be affected by bill C-11.
Eric	Ο.	I dont believe the regulation process can solve this whatsoever.
Leslie		I dont believe the CRTC has any right to regulate my access to any media. The only thing that requires regulation is child pornography.
Nicol as	G.	I don't see any need for regulating People have the choice to watch or not: as adults. Naturally, some restrictions for under age.
Franc isco	F.	I don't think we need bill C - 11 at all.
Colt	G.	I don't think they should be allowed to regulate anything online.  News feeds that differ from legacy media are my biggest concern.
John	C.	I don't think they should be allowed to exclude or regulate any platforms. We should be keeping the status quo.
Joshu a	R.	I don't think it should be regulated at all. I think that the streaming services and online platforms have such advanced algorithms to spoon feed you the content you'll enjoy most and I'd rather have the best content than Canadian content
Evely n	D.	I don't see why anything should be regulated. It is up to individuals to decide what they want to watch and listen to.
		life quality. Infantilizing a popular leads to societal regression rather than advancement.

Deb	P.	I find that the Liberal/NDP Federal government are determining for us what we can see & hearwhy are they turning this country into China or North Korea!! When certain groups can protest as to what is on tv for instance, FOX News, but yet certain channels (LGBTQ+) can have full nudity etc. that is all deemed to be just fine! This is all called CENSORSHIP!!!!
Jenni	P.	I fundamentally disagree with platform regulation in general. It is very difficult to concede to any block of information for Canadians, unless it's Disney. I'm fine with that!
Shau	L.	I have always considered Canada's canadian content rules for broadcast media to be a bit of an embarrassment for our country. In the past, there has been a weak argument for them, due to our entertainment industry being overshadowed by a much larger entertainment industry next door (in the USA). But today, there is no rationale for them at all. The internet provides a world-wide audience for Canadian producers. At the same time, it provides Canadians with content from around the world. I am happy with that arrangement and I do not believe the CRTC should be imposing Canadian content rules on any service. I also do not believe legislators are being genuine in their purpose with respect to this act.
John	Н.	I have dropped out of all social media, because to me it should be regulated. Fake news, influencers, cons and scams and it showed how many unintelligent people live on this planet. Before social media I thought I was the logical thinker, with common sense, just like everyone else's, until I started reading social media and realized I was a minority.
Rena e	R.	I hope all the big platforms leave Canada to make the government hurt, Bill C-11 should never have gone through.
Allan	T.	I hope they Consider that they may get platforms to pull out of Canada completely, if they push "Canadian content" and suppress others.
Kasa ndra	D.	I just don't really think it should happen at all
Peter	F.	I just want the CRTC to understand the difference between broadcasters with set airing times and streaming services where the viewer decide what they want to watch and when.
Jane	S.	I just want the truth
David	P.	I know the CRTC is just waiting to get its teeth into our lives even more and control everything we watch or read so my criteria

		would be stay out of my computer. I do not need you to regulate
		what I read or manipulate what is written.  I like supporting Canadian content, I'm not happy about others deciding what I search or watch or my search results being
Gary	D.	altered.
Curt	S.	I like the CRTC requiring Canadian radio stations playing a minimum amount of CanCon. I wish Canadian funded TV like the CBC was required to broadcast the CHL as an overwhelming number of players in that league are Canadian. Instead the CBC produces very expensive, garbage shows few people care about. The CRTC should have no power or control whatsoever over my social media, satellite, cable TV, online streaming or any kind of internet media consumption.
Ellen	P.	I maintain that no platforms should be regulated or excluded.
Kaye	K.	I often see too many major changes made without much thought from the common Canadian in terms of how a new rule could affect us. If we as a country value our people, our people should be more involved in these changes.
rayo	1 4.	I personal think Bill C-11 is an overreach of the Canadian
Grant	G	Government But if you must then stick to the big services and leave sties that promote independent content alone.
Orani	O.	I personally believe C-11 should even exist. If I want to watch
Brady	P.	American, Chinese, British, Dutch, etc type of content, it's none of their business.
Came	O.	I personally believe no platform should be regulated for any reason under Bill C-11.
Donal d	S.	I personally believe that the Canadian content rule that the CRTC uses to determine content should be banned. Canadian talent should be of the quality to hold its own against other countries.
Kyle	B.	I personally believe the CRTC as an institution does far more harm than good. If it must remain in operation, it should be limited to only regulating platforms which receive government funding. All other platforms, and especially those hosting user-created content, must be excluded from C-11.
Jorda n	D.	I personally believe this is a danger to free speech in Canada. I don't believe any news network or app should have their speech be regulated by the government.
Micha lina	K.	I prefer NO regulation

Maxin e	F.	I really believe that we should have the right to determine for ourselves what we watch or read. However, there are certain things that children should not be exposed to. I don't know how those things could be blocked for certain age groups.
Bettin a	S.	I really can't think of any that won't end up being contradicory for different kinds of content.
Leah	W.	I really don't like this idea at all. See my comments above.
Rick	E.	I refer to 4. If the content is good it'll make itself known. Just because it's Canadian doesn't mean it's good. I don't follow cable tv anymore as a result of what is forced down our throats now by the CRTC. Makes me think they're trying to regulate to justify their jobs.
Terry	L.	I see regulation as unnecessary, why should a group of controller people have such powers, what is it that they are afraid of? Is it because they might hear the truth about any and all situations affecting the Canadian people, are we really still free to speak?
Susa n	Н.	I should be the only one to determine what I should watch.
Pame		
la	M.	I should have the right to view what I want to
NJ	B.	I strongly believe that there shouldn't be a drastic change in any Canadian's recommendations or personalized pages on social media and any change should be optional.
Karen	В.	I strongly feel that our content that we have open options to watch now will be blocked to us. We will no longer have any other option to hear the other side of the story especially involving the news and the current events that are really happening in our country. Once the government has control of what we see and what we listen to they can control the masses through the lies they tell on main stream media. As Canadians we should have the right to watch and listen to what we choose. Not what the government chooses for us. That is not the democratic way. That is the way of a dictatorship
Geor		
ge	D.	I strongly oppose any and all interference by the Government.

Ronal d	P.	I support net neutrality. However I strongly believe the various platforms should be mandated to remove fake news, blatant lies, propaganda, and the like and what would otherwise manipulate low knowledge and at risk individuals. I am not talking about expressing an opinion. For me we are damaging people who buy into these. I am talking about individuals living in fear or anxiety. I am also talking about people who will put themselves in harms way because they saw a post or video that is manipulative is also concerning. I'd be open to a periodic pop up with different wordings reminding people to assess what they are ingesting from the media with links to teaching life skills on critical thinking and how to assess the content being present. This is with the end goal of making people media literate.
Diedri		
ch	P.	I the internet should be left as it is.
Emila	B.	I think a primary concern should be AI and it's nefarious uses, over whether someone is Canadian or not.
Kathr yn	F.	I think all broadcasters and provider of video services in Canada should have the requirement to allow Canadian content on their platforms and show users options for what they want to see
Natha niel	R.	I think all categories should be excluded.
Adria n	M.	I think all media should be covered under the Bill. I would also like to see what data will be collected about viewing habits and how the data is used so the public is aware of how our perceptions can be morphed.
jessie	m.	I think all platforms should be excluded from Bill C-11 as it is unnecessary and unwanted in my personal opinion.
Micha el	В.	I think all platforms should be excluded from Bill C-11. There's no need to regulate the content produced in the world from Canadians unless the government is trying to hide stuff from us.
Rober t	S.	I think Bill c-11 is bad for Canada.

		I think hill C 11 is fundamentally flawed in the way it tries to treat
Noah	B.	I think bill C-11 is fundamentally flawed in the way it tries to treat the internet like a traditional broadcasting platform and the best choice for public interest would be to send it back to the drawing board. But if the government is unwilling to do so, some things in the bill do absolutely need to be changedAll user-uploaded content on all social media platforms should be completely and explicitly excluded from the CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11; -Drop any mandatory CanCon requirements for algorithmically generated feeds and search results. Promoted CanCon content should appear in areas of the platform users can opt into, not forced into every algorithm-assisted feed and playlist we create; -Set a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation; -Reward digital-first creators on equal terms to legacy media. This means instructing the CRTC to develop new CanCon standards equally accessible to small digital creators as legacy media creators, and which are entirely neutral about the platforms they choose to produce for.  I think Bill C-11 is trying to regulate things that are cannot be
		regulated in the way they are trying to do it. The should simply tax
		the large foreign platforms more (after all, they are making money in Canada) and allocate the extra revenues to the Canadian
Doug	V.	Media Fund.
Heath		I think Bill C-11 needs to be scrapped. It is just one more way the
er	S.	Federal government is trying to control messaging.
Glen	О.	I think bill C-11 should be abolished, root and branch. The stated goal of Canadian content promotion is a ruse, a smoke screen for cultural Marxism - the control of the narrative by Government. This has no place in a society that calls itself democratic or free speech.
Came		
ron	J.	I think bill C-11 should be eliminated.
Bryan	K.	I think C-11 should only impact large streaming platforms that merely distribute content and there is no user-generated content to be tampered with. That said, even with those platforms, I wouldn't want the increased Canadian content to come at a cost of less non-Canadian content being offered or easily discoverable that might be of interest to me.
Doree		
n	M.	I think C11 should be scrapped.

Felicit as	R.	I think Canadian content providers should have opportunities to show up in the feeds of interested viewers, but it doesn't sit right with me that favourites from outside the country would become inaccessible.
Wayn e	P.	I think Canadian news and journalism sites, regardless of their political leaning, should be left alone. Let the market decide.
Kathe rine Curtis	C.	I think CRTC and liberal government should not have any control over what I see online. The social media platforms are there for our enjoyment now how are we able to see our followers content? This may not mean anything to some of you but I haven't worked my whole adult life to give my children and grandchildren pride in being a Canadian and watched my grandparents starting from scratch coming from a different country and rebuild their lives and freedom for this bill to take away from us. This is a sad day in Canada
May	H.	I think CRTC should refrain from Government propaganda
	_	I think crtc should stop regulating online content. Let people
Neil	A.	decide for themselves what they want to see
Greg	В.	I think email platforms need to enforce the unsubscribe links of spam emails because most do not work. A lot of time is wasted trying to delete them every day. We have to have a better way to permanently delete them from every showing up in our in boxes.
Bob	V.	I think hate messsges should be taken off, but discussion on any topic should be allowed if there is respectful communication. I also believe that honest scientific discussion should be on public news feeds etc, such as telling the truth about vaccines esp vaccine injuries, side effects etc and not let the govt dictate what is put on! And hide the truth!
Marie -Audr ée	Н.	I think if it's a huge streaming service, then it's fine for them to be regulated, like Netflix should have to feature Canadian content in order to do business in Canada, and it's a service similar to traditional TV so I believe it's fine. Any platform with user-generated content though, should be off-limits to the CRTC.
Tanve	N.	I think if platforms - especially non-Canadian ones - are benefiting financially from content made or created in Canada, or from selling their non-Canadian content here and taking that money out of the country, should be made to contribute to supporting our own content creators. Whether that be news, TV and movie production. music, literature, and non-fictional work. Canadians should definitely always have a choice in terms of what kind of

Melis sa	E.	I think more public consultation needs to occur before C-11 is moved ahead to understand implications. Maybe a referendum on it. The people should have the say not the liberal and NDP government.
Jame s	K.	I think money should be distributed based on the amount of subscribers a news organization has gotten on their own. CANADALAND is an example of a donor-based organization that could use the money. Postmedia though? They answer to shareholders and their newsrooms are increasingly small. They're the reason most local newspapers are dying.
lan	D.	I think minimum regulation is the best. People should be free to express and to share experiences without concern as to what country they are in or are concerned with. Do we live in the world or just in a parochial Canada for Canadians island?
Christ ian	M.	I think it's important to consider their size, income from Canada, and what they're contributing to Canadian content creators.
Rach el	A.	I think it should be an individual option. Not something that the government decides.
Alana	S.	I think it should always be up to the user to decide how much Canadian content they would like to access, enabling an option to help users specifically search for Canadian content on any platform would be ideal.
Tiana	S.	I think it is a great idea to encourage more Canadian production and to support Canadian companies and anyone receiving Canadian funding to product more quality products made in Canada. I also think there is a lot more disturbing criminal activities occurring online that should be focused on as opposed to trying to control people's access to information,Ķ,Ķkind of sounds like what China, Russia, North Korea et al are doing in their societies.
Andre w	R.	I think it has been proven that regulation produces mediocracy.
		content they wish to consume - content which should of course be bound to Canadian laws in terms of what's legal, allowed and/or appropriate - but we should make sure that we're also not allowing non-Canadian sources to flood our internet, TV, radio, and other sources to the detriment of Canadian content creators and providers.

Anne	D.	I think most people have no problem with things the way they have been. It is not up to any organization or government to police what people can hear, watch, read, believe, etc., etc.
Haiko	M.	I think most platforms should stay free from their control. They can fund more Canadian content, but at the end of the day, the audience should decide what kind of content they want to consume.
Liam	G.	I think platforms like YouTube should be excluded under Bill C-11, as YouTube is worldwide and Canada is only 40 million people. Plus, some YouTubers have more subscribers than Canada has people, including MrBeast.
Steve	K.	I think reach is very important. A tiny newsletter that only reaches 300 people isn't too big of a deal, but a facebook share that reaches 1.4 million eyes is a VERY big deal. I think as an outlet grows in size and influence, it matters more and more.
Ashle y	K.	I think social media in general should be excluded under bill c-11. Otherwise it's not freedom of public speech.
Patric ia	F.	I think that any platforms, Canadian or not Canadian, promoting pornography, especially child pornography, should be regulated and in many cases excluded under C-11.
Susa n	E.	I think that anything that has graphic sexual or pornographic material should be regulated
colin	k.	I think that it should be exposed to me no matter what platform as an option to opt in and out of personally. I don't think I need the government to be involved in forcefully feeding me anything and I think I would actively block content that's being forced down my throat as if it were a malicious advertising campaign. The point of the internet is the ability to curate the kind of online presence that makes your life as you see it better and if someone else has influence over that they don't necessarily have my best interest at heart.
Adam		I think that online content that individuals create is an art form.  And that all art forms should be left free of government control or
Adam		regulation.  I think that platforms that are primarily populated by independent creators should be excluded (YouTube, various social media).  Platforms that are primarily populated by executive-approved content (Netflix, Crave, Roku, Disney+) should be included.  Platforms that aim to satisfy a particular niche content (Crunchyroll, serving Japanese anime) should be excluded.

Trevo r	P.	the prejudice, and especially the denial of human rights that accompanies the worst of every culture. We need to hold social media companies accountable for actually identifying and filtering that from their feeds, because hate and prejudice are the one part of all cultures we don't want present in Canada.  I think that the criteria should fall under our freedom of speech. Everyone should have a fair say and fair share of their content.
Miria m	S.	Obviously things that go against rights of humanity should be excluded (like violence and porn), but there are already rules against that (and very poorly held accountable). So in the end, I believe that there should not be a bill c-11! If there HAS to be, it should be at a minimum. Give people the option (like stated above) to see more Canadian content or not, and make sure that ALL Canadian content has a fair viewing opportunity!
	Э.	I think that the CRTC needs to take an informed approach in following bill c-11. There has been a lot of what I feel is very deserved criticism by many people about this bill. A lot of experts have shown the flaws of this bill and how it is designed to trample our rights as Canadians. Instead of responding to these by making the bill do what they say it was designed for, they pushed it through parliament. I am completely opposed to this type of

J.	I think that the CRTC should get off of the internet. Leave us alone. You are not very good with your previous mandate, stay out of this one
	I think that the crtc should not be regulating any areas that may
IVI.	interfere with the freedom of speech.
L.	I think the biggest factor it whether it is primarily commercially created or hobby created. Things like Youtube while can become a business is still majorly hobbyists having fun creating things out of passion. These places thrive on being recommended to people based on their interests and will result in far less traffic to content if it isn't allowed to work that way.
	I think the bill is so flawed that it should not be allowed to exist in
	it's current state. Ove sight may be necessary when organizations
	use their clout to influence government but it should not be to
	force content or allowed to affect people's privacy. I also think that
<u> </u>	government should not be in the business of controlling the
G.	content that people consume.
Ц	I think the bill should be abolished.
11.	I think the Canadian public deserves to make their own judgement
F	on what content is put in front of them.
1.	I think the CBC should be totally defunded, faded out over five
	years. As I understand our systems, all other media centres have
	to provide their own funding, why not the CBC? Block all profane
	language. Give warnings about sexual content and
	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final
	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final
W.	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.
W.	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter.
W.	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following
W.	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion;
W.	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including
	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication; (c)
W.	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication; (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and (d) freedom of association
	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication; (c)
	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication; (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and (d) freedom of association I think the criteria should be people should have the option
	recommendations as to age appropriate but leave the final decision to parents. Keep parental controls on computers and other media outlets so parents can control childrens' viewing and listening opportunities.  I think the Charter of Rights must be followed to the Letter. Fundamental Freedoms 2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication; (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and (d) freedom of association I think the criteria should be people should have the option whether or not they even want the CRTC to regulate their content.
	J. M.  G. H. F.

		regulated (which I doubt anyone does) then that's on them, this bill is absolutely preposterous to begin with.
Rosly		I think the CRTC is a useless agency that abuses its powers and
n	K.	doesn't do what the general public wants or needs.
		I think the CRTC should abandon Bill C-11 and let people engage
Eve	A.	in making their own choices of platform.
		I think the CRTC should back off and stop telling us what we have to watch! We have the right to know what is going on in our
Maria		World, and nobody, not even WEF and it's minions, have the right
n	C.	to muzzle our input!
Chari		
ssa	A.	I think the CRTC should be against implementing Bill C-11.
Benoi t	C.	I think the CRTC should be demonetize. It is an old relic that have no use anymore.
Brigitt	•	
е	K.	I think the crtc should be disbanded.
Nicol		
е	H.	I think the CRTC should be dissolved.
Jody	F.	I think the CRTC should consider what real Journalism is. Not a certifecte that can be earned at an instatution, but a driving desire to share truth and keep the governing bodies honest. There is too much cut and paste reporting. I would love to see the CRTC eliminate cut and paste reporting. More real boots on the ground reporting and less he says she says.
Thacz		I think the CRTC should evaluate who is creating the content that they are regulating - a lot of the CRTC's criteria are currently only suitable for corporations (usually large ones, sometimes smaller ones), not really individual-generated content, as this content is more free form and specific to the interests and abilities of that creator. I strongly believe that the CRTC should not impose regulation on what user-generated content will be better promoted to Canadians via C-11, as these are: 1. On the content production end: individual people's livelihoods, who's success, whether currently realized or not, should depend on their efforts and content ONLY, according to the guidelines of the platforms they signed up to create on. CRTC regulation is not something these creators signed up to uphold, and so could cause lower incomes for these people (if viewership or third-party sponsorships go down), and so lower rates of self-employment on these platforms
е	K.	(potentially hugely impacting the Canadian economy, and

		individual Canadians). 2. On the content consumption end: individual people's knowledge base and usership of these highly informative social media platforms. The ease and customizability of content and context that you can be exposed to as a user of social media is a very positive thing for society, and the responsibility of what you see on social media should not rely on what the organizational bodies want you to see.
Donn a	A.	I think the CRTC should exclude independent non-government funded news media outlets under Bill C-11, as transmitting or publishing the news necessitates both domestic and foreign content. I think independent user generated content should be excluded under Bill C-11 as well. We Canadians enjoy lots of Canadian content, but we also like international diversity.
Alexa ndru	D.	I think the CRTC should focus on how they can help keep the markets free and let competition dictate the outcome. Focus on ways to help enable people to produce more Canadian content without regulations.
Derek	S.	I think the CRTC should had been abolished decades ago.
peen	p.	I think the CRTC should instead be focused on why the big three Telecom monopoly is able to suck Canadians' bank accounts dry with overly expensive internet/cell bills. Pretty sure we can make up our own minds on what to watch/read etc.
Trevo	S.	I think the CRTC should leave the Internet alone. The Internet was, at one time, a Free Land to share idea's and make friends. For most, it still is. I've talked to people in other countries I would have otherwise never met due to the lack of restrictions on the Internet. Concentrate on Cable TV and Radio as you always have. Not everyone wants to live in an Echo Chamber.
Adria n	Н.	I think the CRTC should seriously consider the fact that with Bill C-11 some platforms may consider it not even worth having a presence in Canada at all. Whether that be through not bring a future platform to Canada at all, or through existing platforms option to leave Canada all together.
Christ opher		I think the CRTC should stay out of this. If they hold the power to enforce Bill C-11, they should consider rejecting its policies. I don't recall during election debates that this was even part of the platform. Who voted for this exactly? Seems like another Trudeau decree after obtaining power. I don't believe any platform should be regulated.
Adam	V.	I think the CRTC should stick to radio and television.

Aidan	C.	I think the CRTC should stick to radio and television. Leave all internet services alone.
M	G.	I think the CRTC should stick to working for the PEOPLE of Canada and delivering communication that WE ask for and pay dearly for. Let Canadians be free to choose! I am so tired of all this censorship of what we want to know as truth.
Gary	C.	I think the CRTC shouldn't be imposing any specific criteria on online media sources. Canadians should be consulted by their representatives before passing bills like this. It wouldn't have passed.
Earl	G.	I think the digital information available is far beyond the CRTC and perhaps we need an agency which is devoted specifically to what exists now. I would agree to such an agency if it provided rational controls along with checks and balances of effective public input, not lip service such as the CRTC has been guilty of in the recent past.
Anna	M.	I think the federal government should keep their noses out of Canadians business! They have absolutely no right to censor or control what we want to see or search for!! Another despicable display of government overreach.
Mina	M.	I think the government should be out of the business of regulating what Canadians listen to.
Colett	S.	I think the government should only be regulating child and adult Porn. if the government actually cared more about human/child trafficking and the pornographic sites on the internet, then we wouldn't be having this epidemic of trafficking. They need to crack down on this evil and leave open minded Canadians alone who are only searching for truth.
Keith	M.	I think the large media corporations that suck up most of the advertising revenue need to contribute to all small producers through the Canadian Media Fund so all smaller, developing producers are assisted to grow and contribute and provide a variety of quality content. Stay away from trying to manipulate the content I choose to view and absolutely do not place barriers in the way of creative media development by allowing major media outlets to undercut their activities. The well-being of major media outlets is not in the interest of Canada as their only interest is revenue and growth, a formula that blocks creative growth from smaller service providers.

ella	S.	and not push it to the very top or make it the majority of what you
Issab	1.	I think they should fuck off I think they should only make it easier to find Canadian content
Gurkir at	T.	I think they should fuck off
a Gurkir	G.	interact.
Alessi		their views without the filter of the government. Freedom and speech and association needs to apply to all areas people
		I think they should consider the role the government plays and effectively reduce it's roll, allowing for people to freely expresses
Noah	C.	I think they should be excluded from Bill C-11. It's an incredibly slippery slope when we allow the government into every factor of our day to day life.
Joann e	P.	I think there is to much advertising and you don't even get you friends posts. I think news should be from Canadian sources like CBS Global City TV to get only good news that is real and not made up. Only trusted networks.
Jamie	B.	I think there is no room for child pornography in Canada
Rose	B.	I think the platforms are regulated enough!  I think the retention of individual choice or free will should not be taken awaygive the option but don't force it or make it mandatory. And if anything purely/proudly Canadian is going to be promoted, make an effort to promote small creators and not just big media/companies. Don't make it so that only investors or Canadians with big wallets get seen or shown. In other words: if you're going to regulate, don't be restrictive or based on who's paying more. Honestly, I think the only things that should be regulated by the government is news outlets. News stations/channels should cover more Canadian stories if they're Canadian. But entertainment outlets and even social media should be left alone. Other than a local entertainers/creators option for Canadians.
Elena		I think the only content that we can ethically censor or disallow, is that which is deemed by the courts to break criminal law. I think the CRTC should consider that people need disagreement in order to eventually understand things thoroughly, and so the CRTC should NOT use any criteria aimed at keeping information and discussions in line with what Government and other so called authorities and experts are putting fort. Authority must always be questioned, otherwise corruption is a sure thing.

Eric	D.	abused and whether any government could use this to take away the ability for people to communicate varied opinions even if they
AIIIII	IVI.	I think you should definitely consider whether this could be
Lauri e Amin	S.	I think we do not need any group deciding what we can or cannot see or read. We have laws in place that can be used on posts like child pornography, etc. Canada is beginning to sound like China and Russia where the government and its agencies decide what the people should hear, and free speech is stifled.  I think you should cancel this bill.
Donn a	M.	I think we can decide for ourselves what we can watch and what we can post within the regular guidelines of nudity, constitutional rights, hate etc
Timot hy	S.	I think user content should be left out. It wouldn't make sense anyways for platforms to fund more canadian content if they rely on users to create said content on their own. Certain platforms, like Crunchyroll, specialize in content from other countries. I feel they should be excluded from some of the regulations because it would be unrealistic to expect them to cater to Canada when they are simply importing content created in different countries.
L	K.	I think tick tock, yes that one , needs to go .
Angel	Q.	I think this bill has the right heart but the wrong execution. I think it's fine to promote Cancon, but with the current implementation I feel like we're burning bridges. (US congressman have vowed to sue Canada if it implements this legislation, for example. Or companies will leave Canada altogether). Ironically, this bill may actually hurt Cancon because of how the internet works, as read here (https://macleans.ca/politics/why-youtubers-like-me-oppose-bill-c-11/). Overnight, creators are going to wake up and find the kind of content that has previously been successful in an unregulated YouTube is no longer successful in a regulated YouTube. As a result, they will either have to change the nature of content that they make in order to make it more overtly Canadian,Äîwhatever that means,Äîor they could possibly be at a disadvantage. That could mean their viewership, and thus revenues, take a hit. That's something that I think is quite worrying to a lot of YouTubers.
Brend an	C.	I think they should stick to state sponsored media platforms like the cbc that's where that content belongs, as is advertised
		find. A filter to show more Canadian content should be togglable to help with this.

		are repugnant to those in power. I do not believe any platforms should be filtered or searches downgraded.
Rebe cca	D.	I think YouTube is important to not be regulated under C-11. As someone from a small town, fixing things or DIYs that I and many others I know resort to YouTube to learn and see how things work. YouTube is a great educational platform and by limiting access you are only causing a detriment to learning.
Dara	W.	I understand that the CRTC wants to protect Canadian content and content creators but streaming platforms are meant to be a global platform. More content is good but if you want to limit the content to what is Canadian produced and modify our recommendations based on Canadian content, we are no better off then those counties that want to completely determine what their people have access to.
Jeffre y	S.	I want a free and secure platform that has a world view of most things non Canadian. I also like Canadian content. Whatever that is!
Mike	S.	I want choice to view good Canadian content, not mandated to watch because access to anything else is severely restricted or limited. If good programming is provided, which there is currently ample funding for, the population will watch. The problem isn't a lack of Canadian content. The problem is very few shows worthy of watching regardless of funding or promotion. Many have decided to cut the cord on cable providers because of lack of choice or content restrictions. C-11 may have a similar effect on internet use if unwise choices are made by the CRTC.
Monik a	A.	I want everything to stay as it is. We should have the freedom of choice.
Duan e	K.	I want information from people with integrity. Not CBC lies and propaganda.
Calvi n	B.	I want Netflix YouTube Nintendo Nickelodeon Disneytva and dream works animation to be excluded from crtc control
Annik	L.	I want That Bill c-11 to be abolished that's it. We do not need this and we don't want this !!!
Myra	E.	I want the freedom to choose my sources of information and not restricted by any government agency

		1 ( 0   1 -   1   2 -   0 - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( - ( -
Carla	D.	I want the small alternative news media outlets to be protected from harassment and from anything that would lower their number of viewers or limit what they can and cannot report on. We need versatility in our news. I feel that I was lied to and manipulated all throughout the pandemic. There was a very successful ad campaign called, 'Safe and Effective' regarding vaccines, which turned out to be neither safe nor effective. It was just an ad campaign and nothing more, but millions of people were deceived and some were injured and some died. Also, scientific evidence/studies, doctors, scientists, experts of all kinds and victims were censored from discussing any negative side effects or deaths related to the vaccines in the mainstream media. Meanwhile, for the most part, the alternative media outlets were telling the truth which we now know to be the case, after the fact. We need all kinds of voices in free speech to prevent government bodies, Big Pharma and their legacy mainstream media from manipulating and lying to the public for profits or for whatever nefarious plans they may have. For the most part, I found the alternative media to be much more truthful overall during the pandemic. Citizens don't want to be manipulated by having important facts and scientific evidence withheld from us. We don't want to be propagandized with ad campaigns to make money for Big Pharma or the government or to gain control of us. One sided facts/lies are not enough to base decisions on that affect people's health and lives. They are just one sided programming and manipulation. Free speech must be protected.
Jana	J.	I want to be able to decide for myself which Platforms I will watch.
		Such Platforms such as Tik Tok which could also be spyware for the Chinese Government need to be investigated to find out if
Richa		they are indeed Spyware or not. If a Platform does have Spyware,
rd	V.	then it should be banned, or labelled as being Spyware.
Julie	S.	I want to see all the news, not just Canadian.
		I wanted to mention as well, that your survey form has a huge
		error in it. On question 2, you write: Should services that produce
		primarily Canadian content and benefit from supports like the
		Canada Media Fund be required to contribute the same as foreign
		services that do not? This is not asking if they should be required
		to contribute the same as foreign services or not. They cannot be
Cory	K.	forced to or required to contribute the same as foreign services.  This is YOU compelling that to happen. You are not requiring it of
OUI y	17.	This is 100 companing that to happen. Tou are not requiring it of

		them, you are making it happen through legislation. Frankly, I'm disappointed in your entirely way of thinking. It seems so backwards, and I, and many others, will just work around anything you do, because no one wants to be told what they can watch or not watch, and I trust the algorithm knows better than people that don't even know me at all, don't even know what I watch or prefer to see, can ever possibly understand.
Frank	d.	I was under the impression that I was living in a democratic nation where censorship should be illegal by any government or any individual politician no matter how skewed their/his beliefs are. Bill C-11 should therefore be scrapped in it's entirety.
Carl	G.	I watch a LOT of YouTube instructional and education videos. Content from creators around the world. Leave it alone.
Nicol e	L.	I will like that is Canadian Content is prioritized in any and all of my searches.
Donal d	M.	I wish the CRTC would quit wasting time and taxpayer's money concerning themselves with what people watch and spend more time protecting Canadians from a real threat: scammer calls. These parasites steal billions from Canadians every year. If the CRTC really wants to serve Canadians, form a task force with law enforcement and rid the planet of this scourge!
David	O.	I would be comfortable with a threshold negotiated with the industry in order that a tax be levied on the larger platforms. This tax could help content creation in Canada, although there will certainly be opposition from American industry stakeholders.
Robin	S.	I would be less concerned about what platforms are restricted, as people will just move to unrestricted platforms, than the content on platforms. Sexually explicit and violent content, or content endorsing hatred should not be on any platforms. I want to watch and listen to well crafted content - be it Canadian or not.
Sama ntha	M.	I would be open to the possibility of banning any channel that shows individuals under the age of 19 doing anything promiscuous
Rose mary	P.	I would like postings or content to omit anything hateful, racist or other unseemly content, as well as content that is designed to be deceptive and misleading.
Will	V.	I would like them to define hate speech and detail who gets to determine what is hate speech. And to point out where in the charter does it mention hate speech. The only censorship that is

		acceptable on any platform is child filters for explicitly graphic sexuality or violence. Thats it.
BRU CE	В.	I would like to see the People's Party Of Canada be allowed to attend federal debates and have alternative media like Rebel News and others the freedom to broadcast their side of the story. Alternative news that isn't bought out by Trudeau's globalist masters inside Canada and outside of Canada. I want to hear their stories. Stories we won't see and hear of the bought out mainstream media.
joey	f.	I would not like to see blinders put on Canadians Open world views, and education is very important for all of us Canada is a diversified country of many nations We should not censor open media content from other countries This idea of censorship also has a shadow of racism in it by not allowing foreign content.
Muriel	M.	I would say no because right now the Government is controlling the media and it is very biased and will not tolerate second opinions. There are three sides to every story - your side my side and God's side or the Truth.
Heath er	C.	I would say that criteria regarding hate and misinformation and racially charged programming should be imposed as well as equal time given to Canadian news, information and sports content.
james	b.	I would submit that laws are already in place in the advent of criminal activity on any platform. The problem is that unwanted content of a criminal nature generally originates in jurisdictions that we have no authority over. The other area of concern is that what many would consider Free Speech, others such as those that are promoting Bill C-11 want to label their remarks as hate speech in an effort to instill government control over those that have different opinions.
Berni ce	F.	I wouldn't give them any ideas at this point.
Natha n	A.	I'd appreciate the right to think for myself and choose my own content. It's not the government's job to determine what is fit or not fit to be watched - that's a dangerous and slippery slope.
Scott	R.	I'm all for building a fund to help Canadian content creators, like a grant or similar. But the content itself should in no way, ever, be foisted on users ahead of content made outside of Canada. Forcing a service to favour Canadian content would make me think less of the content. Is the content that bad that it needs the government's help to force people to watch it? Let people decide

		for themselves what content, from what countries they would like
		to watch. This isn't network television with giant corporate influence, good user generated content stands on its own, no matter what country it comes from. If how I see content does become regulated, I will actively search out ways to avoid that from happening, VPN or otherwise.
Ashle e	В.	I'm concerned about the slippery slope of regulations. Hate speech, of course, we don't want. But these extra regulations are starting to feel more like censorship and control. Where's Canada's freedom?
Kim	P.	I'm no expert at telecommunications, but it seems like the truth is buried while coercion, lies, propaganda are perpetrated on all mass media stations is not silenced, it's promoted as truth, thereby affecting the future of hardworking, honest citizens.
Johnn		
y	P.	I'm not cognizant in this field
Dougl as	В.	I'm not familiar enough with the content of the Bill. However, an argument could be made that the monoliths like Google, MSN, and other aggregators use content others paid to produce, to make their platforms more attractive at no cost. For Profit entities, selling access to content acquired from elsewhere should be required to compensate those producers. GST should also be payable on the large, for profit, system services.
Laura	G.	I'm not in favor of this bill. I'd prefer to see something with less censoring.
Donal d	M.	I'm not qualified to tell the CRTC what to regulate. As a consumer, my concern is that too much regulation can reduce my choices of content. If the barrier to entry for a platform is too high, it will stifle innovation and investment in the sector and reduce the industry to a select few that can afford to navigate it.
Kyle	M.	I'm not sure
Vickie	M.	I'm not sure
Matth	NA	I'm not sure where the line should be drawn exactly, but I believe that social media and open platforms such as YouTube shouldn't be regulated in this way. I would prefer it if streaming services such as Netflix remained unchanged as well, but I'm okay with it if more Canadian content is suggested to me there. These services are much more similar to traditional cable TV changels.
ew	M.	are much more similar to traditional cable TV channels.

		Ideally regulatory requirements should be minimal for smaller streaming platforms, e.g. promotion of Canadian content that they may already have licensed, and have understanding that not all services have Canadian content to offer, e.g. it is ridiculous to require BritBox to provide Canadian content when their entire reason for existing is to make British content available. Larger foreign streaming services, e.g. Netflix, Disney+, Amazon Prime, should be required to support Canadian production, which could
Ryan	В.	should be required to support Canadian production, which could include continuing their existing original content which is produced in Canada, or contributing to a production fund. Interference in user-generated content, including requirements on the amount of Canadian content shown, or requirements for content created by Canadian users is ridiculous and should not be done by the CRTC.
Lawre	D.	If the platform is considered propaganda or hurtful to Canadians it should be removed Platforms like the LBJQT (whatever they call themselves) should be monitored as they are now targeting teenagers and young children on gender issues. These groups are not mature and should not be targeted by anyone concerning sexual orientation or gender

Ruth	S.	If a media is committed to speaking and reporting news that is fact checked by those reknown for and committed to speaking the truth. And in the case of reporting health news: news from those reknown in their field to be at the top of their field. Doctors, Nurses and scientists who are speaking varified scientifically proven news related to their work or their peer reviewed research.
Robin		If a platform allows user-created content, then regulation has to be put in place in order to label, flag or ban content which does the promotion of hate, racism, child/women-abuse and pornography. If a platform is a paid-access platform with company-financed and created content, then regulation should be optional.
Shan e	L.	If a platform is accessible from Canada, it should be included under C-11. Period.
Jim	S.	If a platform is permitting information that is sexually explicit, or allows content that encourages illegal behaviour, then it should be restricted. But illegal behaviour must be determined by the rule of the lawie. peaceful protests are not against the law so organising a peaceful protest through use of the internet should not be censored. If violence is suggested or encouraged, not only should it be censored, it should be reported to the police!
Kate	F.	If a platform or person doesn't make content from within Canada, then they can't show content made in Canada, therefore exceptions should be made in those circumstances for British or Japanese or Korean and other countries content that is popularly consumed within Canada. Video sharing social media platforms such as YouTube could benefit from a "made in Canada" section on a "for you" suggestion page, but to alter my searches would be unhelpful. If I am searching for how to fix my car for example, I want the best videos to show up first, not the ones made in Canada to show up first. Maybe the best one could happen to be made in Canada, but I do not want that to factor into the search.
		If a service (eg. streaming) receives any kind of government
Cory	P.	subsidy then it should be regulated.
Marie	S.	If a site is promoting violence.
Paul	B.	If an entity is generating entertainment content for the consumption of the general public, and/or is receiving government funding to generate content, then some manner of CanCon requirements would seem to be appropriate. Otherwise, no.

Arlen e	E.	If an outlet is promoting hatred and violence, it should be looked at. We should be able to see any media we want even if it is not paid for by Justin Trudeau. We should be able to see everything and make up our minds what is valid and what is not. It is not up to the government to tell us what we must watch. At least it wasn't until this government got into power and bows down to outside influences like WEF
David	R.	If any are to be used, then platforms that primarily host user created content should be exempt from this (social media and video sharing sites). They should however, have to provide information about how results are filtered.
Alex	Н.	If any organization is obviously funded by terrorist or criminal organizations - not those "designated by Canada" as radical but those globally and widely accepted as hostile to the freedom and longevity of our democracy (ie Taliban extremist groups)
Teran ce	L.	If any platforms are to be regulated, it should only be big streaming media companies. Nothing else, including any social media.
Sharo n	Н.	If any specific criteria should be regulated that should be the pornographic sites.
Linda	R.	If anything is going to be regulated then do so for any illegal/criminal activity sites, human trafficking sites, pornography sites that degrade women and children, these are a blight on society and we would all be better off without these exclude all other online streaming from coming under the control of the CRTC and let each Canadian decide for themself what content they wish to access on these platforms!
Jeffre y	J.	If anything, preference should be given to small creators to aid in promoting their content. If you must regulate, do it to large corporations, unless they are promoting small creators, such as Youtube.
Mark	W.	If anything, should only affect the largest of distributors.
Micha	T.	If Canadian content cannot survive within the global market place of ideas / expression by it's own merits then I would surmise it ought not to survive at all. Artificial, ham-fisted, broad promotion/intervention is thus likely only when media is incapable of competing, probably as a result of low appeal, poor production and content quality, undesirable character etc. The internet is a request pull not a broadcast, push medium, such manipulation of results yet further meddling with people's intended desires. Media

		query results that otherwise would have been displayed displaced by the intrusion of alternatives selected entirely on the basis of an irrelevant characteristic. By all means the government can pour as many funds into production as it wishes, it should not, however, have any influence over dissemination.
Micha el	M.	If Canadian content has to be distinguished simply use .ca domains. DO NOT limit Canadians to Canadian content. I am very unhappy with what has happened to all the poker sites. They all lead to Canadian poker sites. Why is that? To keep all the money in Canada? If I opened an online business, I would be very disappointed if my market was limited to Canadian customers. That would definitely limit the income/revenue businesses can make. I am currently making videos on Tik Tok. Why do I get limited viewers knowing there are millions of viewers on the social platform?
danny	r.	if canadian content HAVE to be made front and center then i offer this proposition only commercial goods and commercial media should be regulated to have made in canada content put in front such as netflix, amazon and disney while content creator based platforms remain ethier unchanged or provide an option for canadians to allow canadian content to be put at the top of the algorithm / front page but does not affect thier searches (sites like steam, youtube and twitter) that way big media and products that are ment to sell and produce canadian content will give canadians content they are more likely to want to purchase and stimulate the economy, while content creators platforms wich are helpful to find information, entertainment and other content remain unaffected by a skewed algorithm that might affect emergencies, research and the merit of content people willingly seeks for.
Charl	_	If canadian content really needs extra help in that sector, or if it's
eston	F.	even relevant to be on that platform
Bonni e	W.	If CRTC must regulate platforms, then I consider this a significant impediment to democracy. I supported CanCon policies and regulations in the pre-internet age, but no longer necessary. There are many highly successful wolrdwide-famous Canadian entertainers who may have benefitted from the policy, but that was a different time with a different media landscape.
Shan	_	If exploitation really was a concern, CRTC would have seen this
е	R.	and moved forward to help quell issues 25 years ago when porn

		spread like fire on the internet. To little to late, a generation is very well bent.
Diane	V.	If govt funding and tax breaks are given to broadcasters their content should disclose this and the CRTC rules should apply to them. If they are self funded with no govt support, the content should make that clear to consumers and these content creators should not be subject to broadcast rules other than to provide content that is truthful and to disclose the true names of the creators.
		If hiding government crimes is a matter of national security -
Todd	S.	please reveal Trudeau's crimes and former government treason for their (ongoing) WEF / Bilderberg international criminal collusion. Our schools have dumbed down 90% - but there are a few left unaffected by the poison - ps - virus is the Latin term for poison and government is Latin for mind control.
		If it becomes rationally/openly clear that some platforms are being used for international propaganda/political influencing, then make
Ellis		sure that they cannot operate in Canada. This should apply
WYN	R.	equally to physical group/institution lobbying.
		If it comes as-is then even the pollical parties and or any company
Rober		associated to any party also need to be bound by the same rules.
t	H.	Without that you are not even playing on a level field.
		If it is on the internet, CRTC should not be allowed to impose their
	_	rules or tax content providers. You can moderate tv and radio
Hugo	P.	content all day but keep your dirty hands off the internet.
Jonat han	Ο.	If it would blocki e from being aware of important world events from non-bias sources.
IIaII	U.	
		If it's a Canadian platform, pulling Canadian funding to help it compete, it should be required to highlight Canadian content. The
		key is taking funding. If you aren't taking funding there shouldn't
		be any requirements to show Canadian content. I don't think
		YouTube or Netflix or the other large and medium media
		companies are taking Canadian funding, so why should they be
		required to highlight Canadian content when they are focused on
		global markets? Many of these companies already suggest
		content based on region and preferences. Forcing the algorithm is
Chant		just going to have them pull out of Canada and we will all be
elle	G.	worse off b/c of it.
David	G.	if it's a user-based video submission platform or not.

Christ		If it's an American founded company, canada shouldn't be allowed to demand a certain percentage of Canadian content from it. They
Christ ian	L.	should try to make new platforms for canadians with incentives to promote on it.
elean		If it's an int'l site, other than translation available for languages
or	f.	and disability (ie screen text), there should be other sources, besides USA media.
Luke	Α.	If it's an internet service, leave it alone.
Natha		If it's purely online, it should not fall under CRTC regulatory
n	D.	authority. Leave content creators alone.
Dougl		If its pornographic and kids have access i would agree on
as	C.	government crtc stepping in. Otherwise we shouldn't need them.
		If our content creators fail to make worthy broadcasts and content
Ch a		they should FAIL and go broke, NO GOVERNMENT FUNDING
Shaw	M.	taking much needed tax dollars that should go towards better things like healthcare.
n Andy	L.	If people want to watch videos from different countries.
Alluy	L.	If platforms must be evaluated for what to be regulated or
		exclude: 1. Barriers of entry onto the platform - If anyone can post
		content, (low barriers of entry) exclude it. 2. Amount of Canadian
D		content available in content library - if a platform specializes in
Philip	_	foreign content, it does not make sense to regulate it to include
ре	D.	CanCon.
		If regulation must take place, it should remain within the context of companies that allow access to movies and music. The Canadian
		Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC)
Teena	T.	needs to leave *Social* networking alone!
		If social media platforms are put under this kind of censorship I
Vaug		believe it won't be long before the only things we can watch is
hn	P.	what the government says we can.
Dan	P.	If someone is threatening others harmthen step in
		If someone that you don't like was in charge how would you want
Т	C.	them to regulate?
	_	If something goes against our constitution or charter of rights then
Kevin	T.	it shouldn't be seen.
		If the company is subsidized by the government beyond a certain
Dyon	۱۸/	percentage the government should determine, then I believe the
Ryan	W.	government should have some regulation on them via C-11.

Debor ah	R.	If the content is moral and legal then I should be able to see it. If the Canadian Content is worth watching then I will watch it. If it is not then I have my choice to turn it off. I did so to the ideologically driven CBC. I will do so with other platforms. Let the people decide what they watch and what they don't.
Mark	P.	If the CRTC is dead set on interfe8, they should limit it to only large providers like Apple TV, and other majored broadcasters.
Ryan	F.	If the CRTC is going to enforce the Canadian government's demand that foreign streaming services are subjected to extortion, it should only consider demanding money from streaming sites whose house-made programming makes up a sizable portion of its library. It should not force streaming sites who primarily host content (such as Mubi and the Criterion Channel) to contribute to a fund to create content. The CRTC should exclude foreign streaming services from the requirement to host a percentage of Canadian content, and it should exclude them from the need to promote Canadian content. It should respect the right of companies to offer what they want, and it should not interfere with the work of curators. We can find Canadian content on Canadian streaming services (such as the NFB, Crave or Netflix Canada). Finally, the CRTC should respect the rights of consumers. If we choose to subscribe to a foreign streaming service that offers no Canadian content, we should not then be forced to have the content that we want to see watered down by that which we do not want. The regulators of Canadian have no business being in the living rooms of Canadians.
Micha		If the CRTC really, truly cares about Canadian content, culture and reach, and not just trying to be greedy and grab for money, they need to take the time and effort to make sure there are incentives for following C-11. We're already seeing that companies would rather back out of Canada with the blundering idiocy of Bill C-18, there will be no difference with C-11 if the Bill simply demands that companies bow down and follow legislature
el	N.	or else, they'll simply move elsewhere and save money.
Sharo lynn	J.	If the CRTC wants that much control and brain washing abilities, then why aren't they already using it for good and eliminating child pornography?
Anne	J.	If the CRTC would like to regulate things like the CBC, which already get vast sums of money from the Canadian government, they should feel free. Social media platforms and video sharing

		should be left completely alone, as should any individual's streaming platforms.
Lee	W.	If the government promotes a thing, something else is getting pushed down to make space for that. This opportunity cost is tantamount to censorship. We don't need more censorship.
		If the media are full of porn or lewd material it should be excluded.
Mark	G.	Religious values should not be regulated.
Kingsl		If the media takes place in Canada or is somehow connected with
ey	C.	Canadian culture
		If the platform is a regulated TV or Radio broadcast, then
		including a limit to Canadian content is acceptable to the point
		that Canadian channels should include Canadian content. Asking US and other world wide broadcasters to include Canadian
		content is ridiculous. Would CBC agree to abide by US
		regulations to include set amounts of US content if they required
		it? Of course not. So for Canada to expect World wide channels
		and creators to be forced to include set amounts of Canadian
		content just to be seen here in Canada is Iudicrous. Canadian
		content rules should only apply to Canadian stations within
		Canada. So to threaten to ban Fox news in Canada, or to hide and control what Canadians can access on the WWW is akin to
		China and North Korea and some Middle East countries
		maliciously controlling what their citizens learn and understand.
Howa		Our current Government claims we are a Democracy, but this bill
rd	A.	C11 and your part in it is a far cry from Democracy in action.
14	, v.	If the platform is headquartered in Canada and has Canadians on
		staff, it should be excluded under C-11. If a majority of the content
		is Canadian-related then it should be excluded. In fact, in 2023
		there is no need to regulate the internet simply as that. There will
Andre		always be a workaround with the new technology. If the soldiers in Ukraine can and do access the internet from the trenches then
	T.	
W	1.	what you are doing is simply a LOST CAUSE.  If the platform is originally Canadian and/or receives public
		funding. These facts should be disclosed on the home page every
Noah	B.	time content is accessed on these platforms.
Pasc	٥.	If the platform receives funds/grants/tax breaks or any money
al	L.	from the governement, they should be regulated.
Micha		If the platform takes funding from the Canada Media Fund then it
el	E.	should be regulated under Bill C-11.
Scott	<u>-</u> . Н.	If the platform takes tax payer money, conditions should apply.
JUJU	1 1.	in the platform takes tax payer money, conditions should apply.

Brad	Н.	If the platforms are funded in some way by the government then the CRTC should get input, otherwise it should be none of their concern.
		If the service or application was created and is used for pushing propaganda by foreign governments, le. Tik Tok, Which is owned
Jason	C.	by the Chinese Communist Party and used to influence youth.
Bertra		If the service pushed to Canadian is billable to the users, then it
nd	L.	should be regulated to ensure Canadian Taxes are collected.
Jacki		If there are platforms wi4h intentions of solely for Harm, Abuse,
е	M.	terrorism, bullying etc, etc these should be taken down
PAUL A	A.	If there is something similar needing a Canadian voice offer choice.
	Λ.	If these platforms are regulated for the sake of keeping children
		safe from psychologically and emotionally damaging content, then
		I would like the CRTC to put pressure on these platforms to
		create Social Media platforms that are specifically created for
		children. Maybe this would help parents regulate what their
		children are exposed to, by more easily enabling them to keep
		their children from downloading the Social Media platforms that
		have more mature content. Let adults make their own decisions
Debor		as to what content they would like to consume. In reality, this is
ah	S.	not something that can ever be controlled anyway.
		If they are being supported, and funded by Canadian government,
		then it should be promoting CanCon. Other platform that have
		international audiences, located outside of Canada or are not
Tattia		being funded by Canadian government should have no
na	S.	restrictions.
David	S.	If they are on the internet, they should not be regulated.
Danie	_	
1	P.	If they are receiving funding from the Canadian government.
		If they do not originate in Canada then they should be left alone.
1/!		We are a quarter into the 21st century and the CRTC is still
Kevin	H.	playing catch up
Selva		If they feel like a platform is biasedly promoting one content over
gana	NA	another, they may choose to investigate and make sure those
pathy	M.	platforms fairly treat content uploaded to their platform.
		If they NEED to go after anyone, then go after LARGE businesses and leave the little guys along. Leave the guy or girl alone who
Mike	C.	makes YouTube videos, makes TikToks, or tries to grow a
WIIIC	J.	mando rodrado vidodo, mando rintono, or med to grow a

		business and take advantage of the WORLD-WIDE WEB, not the Stuck In Canadian Only Web.
Louis e	D.	If they receive funding from our tax dollars/our government they should be regulated. All other companies should be excluded
Austi	V.	If they're a company based in Canada, and are receiving assistance from the Canadian Government, sure. Regulate them to make content about Canada. If they're a company not headquartered here, and not receiving government assistance, leave them alone.
Tom	E.	If this Bill is implemented strictly as written (along with several other new internet related Bills either passed or recently proposed by our Government), Canada will have the most censored or controlled internet of any free country in the World. Canada used to be a beacon of freedom, and in many ways an example (of good) to the rest of the world. No longer! We are turning into a 1984 type of country. This Bill has the potential to seriously injure (if not destroy) small content producers, even Canadians, who for whatever reason, are not Canadian enough under the rules. That should not be allowed to happen! The red tape and process to show Canadian, at least so far, sounds burdensome at best, and impossible to navigate at worst. Very flawed legislation.
Tina	B.	If Trudeau can have a platform to further divide Canadians and spread his propaganda then there should be free speech for all Canadians.
Wend y	M.	If truth posts are factual, stop the censorship! Facebook, for instance, has face checkers who work and are paid for by Liberals. This has to stop!
Harris on	R.	If you are going to regulate something cut out the destructive media( porn, terrorist propaganda, lazy lifestyles of the rich and famous) and promote constructive media( building and maintaining healthy families and relationships, building and innovation for the future, importance for strong communities)
Jacqu eline	M.	If you don't find them how can you expect to regulate them? If you are not ridding the internet of child porn, what is your purpose? I think regulating internet content puts you in league with Communist China. If you are making a totally new platform containing only Canadian content, best of luck.
Glenn	W.	If you monitor one, you have to monitor all of them to make sure your areas of influence are fair - maybe not necessarily equal, but fair.

		If you say something and the government doesn't like its message
Tom	W.	then it's censored without any reason. This isn't a dictatorship
		If you want to regulate government sponsered media that would
Gerry	G.	be fine by me. Private or non government sponsered should not be regulated.
		If you're going to control anything- block all child pornography and
		violent porn and videos! Everything else should be filtered by the
Lori	D.	consumer not the CRTC.
Don	Ο.	If you're going to regulate, regulate everything with non bias information, and tell both sides of story. No one should be left out.
Jame		
S	B.	linternet access choices should be left to the individual users.
Lisa	N.	Illegal activities
		Immigration make this country and we deserve to be allowed to
		watch and view things from other countries that would not be
Carla	R.	allowed just because it's not politically correct.
		IMO, There are few, if any, reasons that justify political
	_	interference in free speech circumstances. Even heinous or
Peter	F.	objectionable opinions, deserve to be voiced and disseminated.
		Implementing a Made In Canada rule for online content could
		benefit various types of content, including: News and Information:
		Canadian-focused news outlets and information sources could
		benefit from such a rule, ensuring that accurate and reliable
		information about Canada reaches its citizens. This can help
		safeguard against misinformation and fake news while promoting
		awareness of local events and issues. Cultural and Artistic
		Content: Promoting Canadian culture, arts, and entertainment
		could be facilitated by a Made In Canada rule. It could help local
		filmmakers, musicians, artists, and content creators gain
		prominence, fostering a thriving Canadian creative industry.
		Indigenous Knowledge and Voices: Online content platforms
		emphasizing Indigenous knowledge, traditions, languages, and
		perspectives could benefit from a Made In Canada rule. This
		could provide a platform for authentic representation of
		Indigenous cultures, traditions, and experiences, helping to bridge
		the gap between Indigenous and non-Indigenous communities.
		Educational Resources: A Made In Canada rule could encourage
		the development of online educational resources tailored to Canadian curricula, history, and culture. This would ensure
Aaron	0	students have access to relevant and accurate learning materials,
, aioii	<u></u> .	Stadente have access to relevant and accurate learning materials,

		supporting high-quality education across the country. Tourism Promotion: Online content showcasing Canadian travel destinations, natural beauty, and unique experiences could benefit from a Made In Canada rule. By highlighting locally produced travel content, the rule could boost domestic tourism by inspiring Canadians to explore their own country. It is important to note that while a Made In Canada rule can promote Canadian content, it should also allow for diversity, inclusivity, and the exploration of global perspectives to maintain a balanced and well-rounded virtual space.
Johnn y	M.	In a free market society, Canadians should have the option (keyword: option) to choose (keyword #2: choose) what content they would like to consume. Anything less would be akin to tyrannical mandates and should not be tolerated by Canadians or any free Nation.
Paul	M.	In a free society, people are given the choice on what, and how to consume media. Anything else is abhorrent. If we are offended by anything, we always have the choice to change the channel, or click on something else. The CRTC has always been a useful tool to help governments in their eternal quest for overreach.
Franc	P.	In a truly democratic and free society nothing should be regulated. With the obvious need to make sure people are safe, which is quite obvious and easily addressed when intentions are honest.
Tony	G.	In addition to obvious concerns about protecting canadian culture, non-canadian ownership of media is a concern. A greater concern than all is partisanship and radicalization through media. When extremists can whisper in your ear under the guise of news or flood your feed with false claims disguised as documentaries, action is needed. What action is appropriate for the crtc, i find it hard to say. Perhaps we have come to a point where we need regulations that (with some teeth) actually penalize news sources for deliberately spreading lies.
Andre s	M.	In an age where anyone can theoretically broadcast to the world simply by using social media, there needs to be more minutia when determining what exactly the CRTC should regulate. I believe streaming services that host content from other corporations should be regulated (eg. Netflix), but user-generated content should NOT (eg. YouTube).
Pete	M.	In general, content created by individuals or small organizations, such as appears on social media and video sharing, should be

		excluded. I believe the commercially created content of large streaming platforms should be regulated.
Harol d	S.	In my opinion nobody should be regulated, large or small. Good content will rise to the top. Government intervention is not needed and is always counter-productive.
Marie	d.	In my opinion, Bill C-11 should not exist. Censorship is control. We don't need control. We want freedom. What is woke is not making us free.
Chris	P.	In my opinion, we shouldn't have Canadian content mandatory rules. And forcing the internet to have it is a step in the wrong direction. Here's an example on how it would be: Oh no! More people are buying Pepsi instead of RC Cola! This is unfair! We need to make laws so people MUST buy RC Cola to balance it! Otherwise RC Cola can't compete with Pepsi! Now, you MUST buy 2 cases of RC Cola for every case of Pepsi you buy! At least 55% of ALL pop sold MUST by LAW be RC Cola! We can't let the superior prosper! the inferior need an advantage! Here is one more view on how it goes: Canadian gov: Oh no! Canadians ain't watching Canadian content! They have too much freedom! Mandate that ALL Canadian TV Stations MUST by LAW show a majority of Canadians: These shows stink! I'm sick of em! There has to be a better way! (Later ) Canadian gov: Oh no! Canadians ain't watching Canadian content! They are choosing to watch internet shows from other areas! They have too much freedom! Mandate that ALL Canadian showing websites MUST by LAW show a majority of Canadian showing websites MUST by LAW show a majority of Canadian shows! That way we will FORCE them to be Canadian! The one thing that is ironic is: Canadians say There is not Canadian culture! ALL cultures are Canadian when they come here then turns around and says We MUST promote Canadian content or we'd lose our identity
Micha el	В.	In my own personal opinion, I do not believe that the Canadian government should be involved at all in helping out Canadian artists. If they aren't good enough on their own talents to compete with foreign content, so be it. Why should we, the Cdn. citizen be forced to watch subpar content just because it's Canadian?
Eric	V.	In order to protect the integrity of content creators of all regions on this earth the CRTC should reconsider implementing any and all regulation of content for Canadians.

Shel	M.	In the name of freedom of personal choice, C-11 should be ignored.
Nola	W.	In the world today it is all about inclusiveness, please don't offend anyone, but if YOU are offended your not allowed to be because it is offensive to everyone else. So I can't say what should or should not be regulated or excluded. But I wish that there were higher standards of what is acceptable content for family viewing. We do not all agree that everything is good for all.
Ron	P.	In this questionnaire, Roku is lumped in with the likes of Netflix. I think this misclassifies Roku. Although they do produce their own content, they are more like Tubi in this regard. Roku is mostly a service aggregator, bringing the various streaming services into a single, easy to navigate user interface.
John	C.	Independent Canadian content creators perhaps should receive support so they can compete with media giants.
Mah mood	A.	Independent Canadian content creators should have the freedom to create global content and not lose revenue.
Elain e	S.	Independent content creators should be excluded from being regulated by the CRTC. Other platforms such as You Tube, Netflix, Tic Tok, pod casts etc also need to be excluded from CRTC regulation.
Antho		Independent content creators such as those on YouTube, Instagram, etc. should not be regulated by Bill C-11 and should be completely excluded. The government claims they will not use their power in this regard, then why include it in the bill? This is awfully suspicious, and if history has taught us anything it is that power can and will be used and leveraged where possible, often by large corporate entities in ways that harm consumers. What good is there that can result from the government wielding power over what we can and cannot see? This is already egregious in its own right in regards to moderating any and all media let alone that which is created by individuals and independent content creators. Additionally, what constitutes Canadian Content is not adequately defined in the bill and should be revisited and revised for conciseness (e.g. an American funded film with American actors but it is filmed in Canada with a Canadian crew vs content funded by Canadian money but filmed overseas with 0 Canadian
ny	L.	crew members).

		Independent creators can not be harmed should they choose to collaborate with creators from other parts of the world. Absolutely no restrictions or changes should be levied against independent Canadian content creators as their collaborations and work efforts
Dauti s	A.	depend on their ability to connect with the rest of the world without arbitrary criteria.
Sue	T.	Independent media and individuals should be excluded from can con regulations.
Bruce	P.	Independent media is essential to a involved & properly informed public opinion
Rita	L.	Indigenous Content
Danie I	F.	Individuals and small groups uploading content should be left alone.
Axel	K.	Individuals making comments on web pages are not broadcasters and should not be regulated. If I upload a funny video or whatever to youtube, I'm still not a broadcaster Unless that's what I do for a living. If I earn a living from my online activities, then I would be a broadcaster and should be expected to be regulated in some way. Therefore it depends on the money.
Chery	R.	Individuals should be able to control their own platforms. The CRTC should NOT be regulating what consumers would like to access online. Unless of course, it is to do with child pornography, or sexual abuse in any form.
Christ	L.	Instead of regulating everything to satisfy Canadian organizations that produce boring content. They should instead tell them to be more in touch with what their audiences actually want to view and provide them with some funding to do it. People will find and watch stuff that interests them and to me it doesn't matter what country produces it, if more Canadian content was actually interesting I'd find it and watch it.
Danie I	V.	Instead of regulating other social media platforms I would recommend the CRTC or Canadian gov to instead invest & create into their own platform, perhaps something along the lines of curiosity stream were the information available is Canadian culture, history, geography, and so on instead of forcing foreign and domestic companies to do so.
MAR YLYN	S.	Integrity respect the Canadians that built this country respect the values of the ancestors that founded Canada Tell the truth for a change

Conn	M.	Interfering with the attention economy will only hurt small creators. Most people do not care what country content is from as long as it is good. Promotion is not the same as interference,Äîall measures must be obvious and transparent, and strive to avoid destructive effects, which a nonmeritocratic process perforce must.
Jense n	Н.	International platforms should not be regulated this way.  Examples of Canada having control over this includes Netflix constantly increasing in price, exemption from tech advancements, unbearable phone, internet and cable prices I want someone to tell me why I, as an individual, should take the government's word for it when they have chosen to support major corporations over its struggling citizens time and time again.
Micha		Internet cannot be regulated without violating the charter of rights
el	P.	and freedoms
Danie		
I	M.	Internet freedom
Melan ie	F.	Internet freedom. We already pay more than most 1st world countries for internet, I should at the least be able to see whatever I want on the platforms I want to use, not have things prioritized, selected or excluded for me, I can make those choices my self.
Rene e	В.	Internet Providers and Internet content providers are not Broadcasters. Requiring internet content providers to allow Canadian consumers to search by Canadian movies, actors, directors, locals potentially interesting. Requiring them to offer a Canadiana category is also interesting. Offering tax breaks or incentive to show Canadian content is also interesting. However, I do not believe in force. For me a broadcaster is a free programming with commercials.
Andre	M.	Internet providers should be regulated as essential services. All of them.
W Micha el	T.	Internet streaming of moving pictures is the broadcasting of the 21st century and should have national regulations much like the ones put in place for the television and cable broadcasters of the 20th century. I believe it is possible to use technology to help safe guard Canadian culture and promote Canadian creators while allowing individual users to have control over what content they'd like to consume. Building out the semantic web and giving users personal AI agents to help filter and suggest content would be away to make this happen.

		Internet was built for people to share information, it does not
Serge y	M.	belong to any specific country, government or company. No online content should be regulated by CRTC.
У	IVI.	Is it actually good for content creators or is it only good for TV
daniel	d.	creators that can't be flexible with their own content.
Erica	M.	Is it entertaining, informative and free speech? What part don't you get about free internet, you don't own it nor contribute anything to it. We the people have to make our own entertainment and you think you can just stumble in and tell us what we can't do or see? No. Speaking as an Indigenous creator, while that is the most Canadian thing ever to continue in tradition of trying to rid Canada of culture, the facade we put onto the world would deem this bill as the most unCanadian thing ever.
Lesle		
y	R.	Is it going to become a dictatorship?
Kyle	M.	Is it superior to Canadian content, and is it valuable to Canadians. In the global world we live in, it is time to identify that our products, and our information are available anywhere/everywhere. I am a Computer Systems professional, who can't find work in Canada because no one identifies needs for such-as-this It is time to STOP relying on Canadian government to provide limitations, and begin enabling our citizens to provide this in a less centralized way; If someone doesn't like content from country XYZ, they should be able to configure or purchase a firewall device to block this. IE, create content keys, provided say every second, along with digital content, which provides the originating country, such that tags can be applied, and reviewed by content reviewers; Media without tags such as this, can be banned.
Guy	H.	Is the content created shows or user generated?
Jonat		
han	d.	Is the content user produced? Individuals should not be regulated
Chris	Z.	is the platform LYING and throttling of users content, then it should get involved to remove the LYING and throttling of users.
Doria n	L.	Is there a mechanism that is promoting Canadian creators? I feel a promotion system could stand in for a development funding requirement. On some platforms the visibility of creators is the better marker of support rather than funding dollars.

Devo		Is this censorship and is this fair for the average user and
n	B.	consumer?
Eric	D.	Is this what the public wants? Is this what the public would have wanted 5 years ago? 10 years ago? If anything has changed, what is the most probable cause of influence?
Doua ngta	I.	ISP provide a services like broadcasters. Canadians pay the highest internet and cell phone bills in the world. ISP should be regulated as a provider under C-11 and all platforms should be excluded under Bill C-11. CRTC should tell the government that C-11 exclude all platforms due to the impact to creators and consumers. Online platforms should contribute to creating content that is Canadian through grants and programs that promote Canadian voices, and invest in Canadian media ecosystem and infrastructure. A new approach is required to PROMOTE can con by re-defining Can Con and promoting partnerships with private entitles.
Lai	R.	It cannot ofcourse, but how about TRUTH, as part of criteria?
Yves	G.	It depends on the audience that is the target for the platforms.  Paid services to Canadians should be regulated - if I pay it should be regulated. If it is free - I get what I pay for.
Guilla ume	D.	It has been showed that child trafficking entities used platforms like Instagram to communicate. Security of children should be the ultimate priority!
Idella	L.	It has to be a fair share.
Pina	B.	It is important that we can have access to the existing platforms as many interesting ideas are often shared. The world, its people, its geography, the many cultures and customs provides us an immense learning encyclopedia.
Joe	S.	It is impossible for the CRTC to control the world online unless we are become China or North Korea . just leave everything alone
Matth ew	C.	It is not in the scope of practice of the CRTC to regulate the internet content of canadians.
Alex	L.	It is not the business of the CRTC to arbitrarily decide what to be deemed Canadian content when online material is created. Online video streaming isn't the same as traditional broadcasting and the broad and vague brush in which Bill C-11 is outlined threatens all freedom of speech and thought to be expressed online. It criminalizes innocent people and Canadian content creators for simply expressing or holding a different opinion of perspective

		and turns the internet into an online media prison of tyrannical control. Bill C-11 must be FULLY REPEALED and DESTROYED!
Nicol		It is not up to the CRTC to determine what content an individual sees. THE INDIVIDUAL should decide what content they want to
е	H.	see.
		It is supposed to be a free country and everyone deserves to
		have their voice and opinion heard. Nothing should be excluded
Ed	G.	unless it's proven false and harmful.
		It is totally unfair that government funded media is given priority
Brend		over alternate media . None of them should be funded by the
а	V.	government ,ùóÔ∏è,ùóÔ∏è,ùóÔ∏è
Angie	M.	It looks like communism so Canadians don't want it! Enough is enough!
		It seems to me that although we may not all agree on issues, freedom of speech and of the media should be allowed in all ways and the people can decide what to believe and observe and what
Willia		to dismiss. The trash can is an easy way to throw away all the
m	K.	garbage that is printed and shown out there
Chan		It should be allowed to be an open discussion with Canadians having the ability to make their own choices about what they watch and determine on whichever platform they choose,
ella	T.	therefore, there is no criteria to discuss.
Bryan	F.	It should be an open and free market period, stop taking our freedoms away
		It should be based on how much revenue the platform generates from Canadians but only after they have reached a certain
Nigel	W.	benchmark, probably somewhere between 1 and 10 million CAD.
Ken	I.	It should be free an open like any market the best survive the rest go broke Period let the market and the PEOPLE decide not CRTC
		It should be optional for every company, and the reward for a company to produce and promote Canadian content is money or tax breaks. If it's not appealing to companies, build or buy a government owned service to promote internal cultural productions. Build your own version of the BBC. Build your own streaming service accessible anywhere in the world without a VPN. Make it Canadian only, for better or worse. Support theatre's
Jason	B.	to show Canadian festival content so newbies can make it big.

Terre nce	K.	It should be reasonably based off of Canadian based revenue of the service. It should only apply to content providers. It should not apply to social media.
Marc- Olivie r	T.	It should be regulated only when the content is selected (created or bought) by the platform (like Netflix, Disney+, etc.) If the content is user generated (like Youtube, TikTok, Facebook, etc.), it should not be regulated by the CRTC.
Lauri		
е	B.	It should be very clear where they receive their funding from.  It should depend on who is creating the media and where it's
Abiga il	d.	broadcast. I don't think that smaller niche streaming services should be held to the same standard as a multi-million company like Netflix or Amazon Prime. I also don't think videos on YouTube should be held to the same standard, as most of those are by independent creators. Maybe some of YouTube's paid content could be regulated (ex: film rentals), but the user-uploaded stuff should not be regulated to the same degree as something on Netflix might be.
Miche Ile	V.	It should exclude all platforms. We're the only so-called democratic "free" county that is regulating the internet.
Yue	C.	It should include platforms that hosts user generated content. I am on those platforms to see stories, opinions, experiences from people all over the world. It also should include any platforms that hosts or provides specific content, like crunchyroll. We are there to watch content produced in Japanese. It would effectively ban the service here in Canada
Blane	P.	It should look towards more corporate media online oversight instead of targeting more localized online platforms like YouTube and social media as such creators lack the resources to be able to deal with such systems compared to massively funded companies (YouTube and social media creators are more akin to Uber and other services where they're essentially independent contractors and not employees using the platform as a common element to provide entertainment services and reach audiences not otherwise possible). My concern is how these content creators will be impacted as similar issues around determining what's Canadian and what's not are very arbitrary under existing CanCon rules which don't necessarily focus on actually Canadian Content but bureaucratic checkmarks. As previously mentioned, Canadian culture is not a black and white definition and changes
	-	The second of th

		over time otherwise it leaves out select groups and experiences deemed not Canadian by regulators not necessarily in touch with such factors. These bigger companies should have to contribute to media funds and other ways of supporting local media, but force feeding this content to Canadians under false patriotism feels like the wrong way to help Canadian content and its creators from being successful (i.e. provide better tools and other things to make relevant quality content so it can appeal to audiences not just in Canada but elsewhere too as seen with Kim's Convenience and Working Moms).
Adria		
n	B.	It should not be regulated, period.
Shen		
oah	P.	It should not regulate this.
		It should only regulate the languages so we keep french a
Do	_	common language. It should also regulate advertising on
Bo	T.	platforms so we have less polution in our eyes and ears.
Jacky Natha	L.	It should only regulated under Radio television nothing else.
lie	L.	It should regulate only what it finances.
Remi	L.	It shouldn't apply if it's for a niche market or specifically for foreign content Ex: I am a crunchyroll subscriber SPECIFICALLY to watch Anime. There are *NO* Canadian Anime. By definition, it is specifically Japanese Animation. The same should apply to streaming services that are specifically for British/Hindi/Brazilian/etc Shows. If you subscribe to those it's because you want to watch those, not Canadian Content. It would be totally nonsensical to force those to show Canadian Content They are NOT Generalist services, they are specialists streaming services, catering to a niche (in canada) market. Forcing them to carry Canadian Content will simply make them exit the canadian market as it's often simply impossible to do. That would also push more people toward piracy. If it's on the Internet, There Is A Way to get it.
nick	S.	It shouldn't happen.
Simo	J.	It shouldn't regulate the independent platforms. Big platforms can
n	B.	have Canadian content put upfront it's fine.
		IT WOULD BE FAR MORE HELPFUL TO CANADIANS TO FIND
Sue	H.	A WAY FOR SOCIAL MEDIA SITES TO BE MADE TO REMOVE

OTHER REPREHENSIBLE CONTENT.  It would be great to promote Canadian content like having a Made in/From Canada options, like with search engines or get a maple leaf on each video that was Canadian produced, but let me decide if I like that content and not being forced to watch it over and over.  Marvi n S. It would be very bad to end up with US style of bias reporting lt's a biased group of government employees making decisions for me and I find it offensive. I'm an adult with free will and I didn't sign up to live in a communist country which is what Canada is becoming.  Conn y C. It's all too give the power back to the bad actors in the world. It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch. Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we see transperency is the only way to full truth!  Its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs  Dale W. and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what F. comes in my feed  Bradl ey Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not were gulate paraghernalia.  Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11  Jesse G. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11			POSTS CONTAINING OUTRIGHT LIES, HATE SPEECH AND
in/From Canada options, like with search engines or get a maple leaf on each video that was Canadian produced, but let me decide if I like that content and not being forced to watch it over and over.  Marvi  Narvi  S. It would be very bad to end up with US style of bias reporting lit's a biased group of government employees making decisions for me and I find it offensive. I'm an adult with free will and I didn't sign up to live in a communist country which is what Canada is becoming.  Conn  y  C. It's all too give the power back to the bad actors in the world. It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada  S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel  I. C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan  g  Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy  D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch. Christ  Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs and drug paraphernalia.  Steve  Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl  ey  K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me  T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11			
n S. It would be very bad to end up with US style of bias reporting  It's a biased group of government employees making decisions for me and I find it offensive. I'm an adult with free will and I didn't sign up to live in a communist country which is what Canada is becoming.  Conn  y C. It's all too give the power back to the bad actors in the world.  It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel  I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g  Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch. Christ Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we ine D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs  Dale W. and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not ey K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	Curtis	Н.	in/From Canada options, like with search engines or get a maple leaf on each video that was Canadian produced, but let me decide if I like that content and not being forced to watch it over
It's a biased group of government employees making decisions for me and I find it offensive. I'm an adult with free will and I didn't sign up to live in a communist country which is what Canada is becoming.  Conn y C. It's all too give the power back to the bad actors in the world.  It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ In sone of their business let us choose as adults on what we see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl y W. Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!	Marvi		
for me and I find it offensive. I'm an adult with free will and I didn't sign up to live in a communist country which is what Canada is becoming.  Conn y C. It's all too give the power back to the bad actors in the world.  It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ ine D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what n F. comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!  √©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	n	S.	It would be very bad to end up with US style of bias reporting
y C. It's all too give the power back to the bad actors in the world.  It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm  Co. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel  I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan  g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the  Comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we ine  D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs  Dale W. and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what n  F. comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not ey  K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11		M.	for me and I find it offensive. I'm an adult with free will and I didn't sign up to live in a communist country which is what Canada is
It's a very brief platform without proper consultation and I'm frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we see transperency is the only way to full truth!  Its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs  Dale W. Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what n F. comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	Conn		
Leila O. frightened of what I will have access to  Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we ine D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  Its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs  Dale W. Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√⊚r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	y	C.	
Bill & Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the vinh D. comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we ine D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs  Dale W. Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what n F. comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not ey K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√⊚r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11			
Ada S. It's fine the way it is.  Durrel I C. It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g Its availability and how important affect it can have to the Vinh D. comunity using it  Leroy D. Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.  Christ Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we ine D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs Dale W. and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not ey K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  ▼Me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11		0.	frightened of what I will have access to
It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.  Quan g		S.	It's fine the way it is.
Quan g	Durrel		
g	I	C.	It's the internet so all streaming services should not be affected.
Christ ine D. Its none of their business let us choose as adults on what we see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	g	D.	
ine D. see transperency is the only way to full truth!  its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs Dale W. and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not ey K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  Y√©r√  Yme T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	Leroy	D.	Its none of their business to interfere with what I choose to watch.
its not your job to determine what i decide to watch. If you want to regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs and drug paraphernalia.  Steve Just allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!   Y©r√  Yme T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11		D.	
Steve Dust allow a free market and for popularity/ quality to decide what comes in my feed  Bradl Dust broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	Dale	W.	regulate something then regulate liberal lies, and violent rap songs and video games and eliminate all advertising for drugs
n F. comes in my feed  Bradl Just broadcast radio and broadcast television. Not cable/iptv, not ey K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√⊚r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11			
ey K. satellite, and certainly not the internet!  J√©r√  ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11	n	F.	
J√©r√ ¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11		K.	·
¥me T. Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11			and the second of the second o
		T.	Just don't introduce Bill C-11. Criteria : Don't introduce Bill C-11

Kenn		Just don't do it especially when you know more than half the
edey	F.	people don't want it.
Ladin		
е	R.	Just dont
Lara	L.	Just free speech
andy	t.	Just get rid of it
Bever		
ley	B.	Just give us all the news and let us decide what is important to us.
		Just government funded or subsidized ones - not public or open
Caitli		or creator driven - especially creators who do not benefit
n	D.	financially from their content like social media.
		Just keep all videos of the current prime minister's misogynous
Petra	B.	rants off all platforms !
Came		Just leave it alone. If the content is worthy, it will be seen. Stop
ron	C.	pedalling your agendas.
		Just leave it the way it was. There was no need to reform
		anything. I think that GOOGLE and FACEBOOK are more
		powerful than the Government of Canada. My question is why is
		the Government so concerned about this now. Is there are more
		important things that need to deal with. eg inflation, cost of basics,
		housing, drug problem, crime, health care, homeless people, This
		is to name a few. Why is the prime minister worried about
		payment for content. This journalists are bought up my the federal
karen	J.	government anyways.
		Just leave them alone !!! Tell Trudeau to back off !! We Canadians
		are paying for the service! For example would it be right to tell
		hydro companies to claw back peoples hydro because they're
		Muslim ? Or any other ethnic back ground ? So why are you
		allowing Trudeau to destroy our internet services because he
		doesn't like out political beliefs against his policy's !!! Tell Trudeau
Bruce	۱۸/	to go to the frozen place he loves to skate on and retire! Immediately!
Diuce	VV.	Just leave things alone. If Canadian content is good people will
		watch. Be more concerned about pushing crap down our throat
		that we don't Agee with the government on. Trudeau has pushed
Debbi		to hard and he's the most hated PM Canada ever had. We don't
е	R.	agree with his communist way. Back off!!!
		Just let it be. As of today, my Canadian content and other content
Taya	W.	is perfectly fine. Gov't intervention will mess it up.
		- F

B	Just let the internet be free. Canada does not own the internet, the content on the internet, or the Canadian citizens who watch itstep off.
В.	Just like Bill c-11 the CRTC should be abolished as the relic that it
D	
Б.	is.
	Lust Draggryo nagala'a frandama ta gammunigata
	Just Preserve people's freedoms to communicate.
IVI.	Just regulate companies not individual content creators.
	Just stay out of it altogether. I will decide what I want to see or not
	see as I do now. Government has no business regulating any kind
S.	of media. Any type of regulation in my eyes is propaganda!
M.	Just stay out of the Internet; being POS (People on Salary), you just don't understand it at all.
D.	Just stop regulating media except the obvious ie) porn, violence, drugs, sexual depravity. That's what Authoritarians do and Canada is supposed to be free from that! If you want more Canadian content, fund independent media and NOT just Liberal, left-leaning sources either! And give Canadians the freedom of choice without manipulation
	Justifying the regulation of knowledge will always result in the
p.	justification of censorship, based on human bias, of knowledge.
W.	keep a close watch on the lies that CBC continues to spew. The only reason Trudeau is doing this is to cover up all his lies and deceit
J.	Keep any regulations to the very minimum required and allow the Canadian content providers to sink or swim on their own. If they are not flourishing then they need to make better content or pack it in. Canadian tax payers subsidize a lot and in my opinion it's a very large waste of money in most cases. Do not force poor content Canadians in the hopes that we choose to watch it, if it's not good or interesting how is regulating things going to change the quality of the content.
	Keep CRTC and the rest of the government away from our
N.	internet.
	Keep it on political, ,Ķ once it's political, it's China!
M.	Keep our freedom of choice save our democracy Get rid of our pedo PM Trudeau he is sick and demented and on a mission to completely destroy Canada He should be tried for crimes against humanity he should be swinging from the end of rope him and all
	D. p. V.

his commie pedo loving liberals along with him They are destroying lives hurting children and creating a communist They all need to F o a d!!!  Keep the internet free and let people make their own decisi good or bad. There is enough regulation in our lives, let the Fred D. internet be ours, not ruled by the CRTC.  Ray P. Keep their noses out of it	ons,
Keep the internet free and let people make their own decision good or bad. There is enough regulation in our lives, let the internet be ours, not ruled by the CRTC.	
good or bad. There is enough regulation in our lives, let the internet be ours, not ruled by the CRTC.	
Fred D. internet be ours, not ruled by the CRTC.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Josep	
h H. Keep their noses out of it.	
Dave F. KEEP YOIUR HANDS OFF	
Nyk D. Keep you hands off Social media and YouTube	
Figgy N. Keep your hands of what I want to see, period	
Keep your hands off of our personal choices and freedoms	! Go
Anast read the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, scrap	
asia W. C-11, and your job is done.	
Teres Keeping Canadians in the know. Canadians should have the	e
a T. freedom of searching whatever content they want.	
Graci Keeping in mind our charter of rights and freedoms and not	
e B. breeching it.	
Marg	
aret D. Kill bill c11	
Paris	
a B. Kill Bill c11. Horrible criminal bill	
Louis V. La liberté d'expression sans censure	
Ray A. Labels on government sponsored or funded programming	
Large commercial streaming services are the closest online	<del>)</del>
analog to previous broadcasting companies, so imposing C	anCon
requirements on them wouldn't be out of line, if you're going	g to do
it at all. While some YouTube, and TikTok creators have sta	ırted
making significant amounts of money, many struggle to pay	for
their equipment, and some make nothing at all. Many creat	ors
make content based on specific interests, which is aimed a	t
people who share those interests. Someone who makes ca	ıt
videos in Germany should not be forced to put a Canadian	spin
Kelly K. on them before they show up in a Canadian cat lover's feed	j
Franc Large companies with big profits should be regulated more	than
es A. small companies and individuals.	

		Large foreign government influenced big data collection on Canadians of all ages is my primary concern. Secondly, I don't want censorship of verified, credible media or online personalites. The same or more Canadian content is a neutral point for me. Protect Canadian's privacy from data collection from foreign and big data companies. Make it easier to have our information
Allan	B.	removed from companies' databases. California has similar legislation in place already.
Raym ond	Н.	Large internet based companies should be under the same regulations as broadcast companies. Small and independent content creators should be given the ability to show their works without restrictions.
Jay	M.	Large media houses and streaming platforms like Netflix, Disney+, Apple TV are replacing traditional broadcasters, and should be treated as such. User generated content like YouTube, Vimeo, etc, should be left alone.
Julio	D.	large streaming platforms already regulate themselves based on the content they are allowed to show in Canada. That is why some shows/movies are not available all the time in Canada. They already follow similar distribution rights to tv and movies.
Greg ory	M.	Large well-funded media organizations/ TV & online production should continue to be required to ensure Canadian content is assured priority treatment in all. public offerings & Services
Phoe nix	S.	Larger platforms have more of a responsibility to support Canadian content. They have the resources to handle such a set of rules too.
Jesse	В.	Larger platforms like Netflix should be regulated the way broadcast television was. It is a one direction stream of media. Platforms like social media should be only be regulated for misinformation, hate speech, but not regular media.
Miche I	G.	Le Bill C-11 doit spécifiquement protéger et prioriser les créateurs canadiens.
L	S.	Leave all audiovisual and online platforms alone. My choice
Mare k	K.	Leave all content creators alone. The consuming public will decide with their own choices of content.
Wes	L.	Leave all platforms alone. We don't need any interference with what we decide to watch. If this goes through, I will just use a VPN to bypass this insanity.

Stace	147	Leave all platforms as they are. But you won't. Unacceptable
y Petra Christ	W.	views should be allowed.  Leave all platforms as-is until someone complains. Make it an option for those who asked for this. This really seems like yet another way to control Canadians like in communist countries. Whomever thought this up thinks this is for the good of all Canadians but really it is shutting down freedom. Thank you Canadian government for wasting hard earned taxpayer dollars - AGAIN! You miss the point yet again as to what is important to the majority of Canadians. You people should be ashamed!  Leave all social media and online video services out. No one
opher	S.	wants this.
Micha el	H.	Leave all the platforms alone and dont regulate them. Government always have to stick their nose where it doesn't belong.
Mario	C.	Leave as is. Companies are getting free advertising.
Corey	В.	Leave EVERYTHING the way it is leading up to this USELESS Bill. It is censorship of all our rights to be able to search, listen and watch what we want, when we want. Their is still more i could add, but i don't have the time or spee at the moment to add it all
Barb	B.	Leave FOX news available in Canada. Don't decide for me what I +anand cannot watch!!
John	C.	Leave free speech alone no government interference in voicing a belief contrary to government policy's or direction and no government censorship of debate or views put forward in a respectful manner. No censorship of views that special interest groups don't support if presented in a proper manner and respectful of for other views.
Kevin	B.	Leave free speech alone.
Conn or	G.	Leave independent and small business creators alone. And don't force people to watch Canadian content they do not want to watch.
Maria	P.	Leave individual creators alone and focus on big streaming platforms
Kristi n	S.	Leave individuals and small streaming services alone.
Terry	S.	Leave it all alone
Aaron	F.	Leave it alone
Barrie	A.	Leave it alone
		I .

Bruce L. Chris K. Salo mon B. Mark H.  Lawre nce M. Jame s B. Sherr y R.  Lorne S.	leave it be as it is period no further discussion  Leave it open , and stop repeating history .  Leave it status quo. All you're doing by forcing this mandate to have no alternative to Can Con is alienating people who have the
Salo mon B.  Mark H.  Lawre nce M.  Jame s B.  Sherr y R.  Lorne S.	leave it be as it is period no further discussion  Leave it open , and stop repeating history .  Leave it status quo. All you're doing by forcing this mandate to have no alternative to Can Con is alienating people who have the
mon B. Mark H.  Lawre nce M. Jame s B. Sherr y R.	Leave it open , and stop repeating history .  Leave it status quo. All you're doing by forcing this mandate to have no alternative to Can Con is alienating people who have the
Lawre nce M. Jame s B. Sherr y R.	Leave it open , and stop repeating history .  Leave it status quo. All you're doing by forcing this mandate to have no alternative to Can Con is alienating people who have the
Lawre nce M. Jame s B. Sherr y R.	Leave it status quo. All you're doing by forcing this mandate to have no alternative to Can Con is alienating people who have the
nce M. Jame s B. Sherr y R.  Lorne S.	have no alternative to Can Con is alienating people who have the
s B. Sherr y R.  Lorne S.	same workarounds available to those in police states, namely VPNs and proxy sites. The genie is out of the bottle, Can Con has to improve or get left behind, it has no choice. The world is literally available to any net surfer and protecting those who grow fat on government subsidies producing content nobody likes is a stupid idea. If their work is good, it will stand on its own merit. If it isn't, improve or choose a different field.
Sherr y R. Lorne S.	
y R. Lorne S.	Leave me alone, let me surf the internet as I choose
Lorne S.	Leave media alone, concentrate on gov funded media not telling
	truth!
Carle	Leave non-government funded media alone. Government funded is bias and wasting my tax dollars. All news media should be able to survive on its own with no tax dollar support.
Carle	Leave our rights and freedom of speech alone. No left wing
	controls. The Left only destroys . We should be free from our
a W.	feeds and content manipulated by CRTC control.
Kimb erly B.	Leave our stuff alone. You have no business regulating our content period.
Diana P.	Leave social media and ALL news sources as is. People including Canadians get paid through content and donations plus get the advantage of exposure of their talent with YouTube Videos for example. Leave Rumble and platforms like Rumble alone. The Internet was never designed in the way TV and Radio broasting CRTC control was. The platforms are totally different. We receive news from other countries for free so why should other countries pay Canada for news content. The government is alienating the services and platforms Canadians use everyday which will result in taking away our freedom of access to free and paid services that do not conform to the Liberal government's way of thinking.

		Disney or TikTok as it doesn't impact me, but I'm sure it impacts a lot of other Canadians who would object to them being regulated.
Trish	T.	Leave the CHOICE up to the user. Remove Govt input. My Govt doesn't know what I want to see. I do. Stop State controlled media. Let freedom of information remain FREE.
111011		Leave the internet alone and let Canadian media fend for
		themselves. When truth and un-biased news are again posted in their publications the readership/viewership will come back to them. At this time they have no credibility or integrity left in my eyes. It is time for government to stop trying to fix things. Any involvement by government only destroys the industry they are
garry	d.	trying to help. This needs to stop before there is nothing left.
Brend		
а	M.	Leave the internet ALONE Freedom of choice!
Larry	D.	Leave the internet alone.
Greg		
g	B.	Leave the internet alone.
Keith	T.	Leave the internet alone. It was fine just the way it is. I get to see all the Canadian content I wish to see and I can find any and all the Canadian content just fine the way it is now. So please I beg you - IGNBORE the politicians. They don't know what they are doing. Bill C-11 was never needed and isn't needed now.
TOTAL		Leave the internet alone. Charge the big corporations more taxes.
Larry	D.	If shows are good then they will be discovered.
Philip	S.	Leave the internet alone. If it aint broke, don't fix it!
Tony	G.	Leave the internet alone. Your a useless out dated organization.
Aaron	C.	Leave the internet and steaming services to free market and let people choose. Let the best shows win. If Canada can't compete with out regulatory overreached. Then get better at your content and win in a free market.
Brent	P.	leave the internet restrictions on content untouched
Willia		
m	D.	Leave the internet uncensored
Brend a	J.	Leave the market open. The market will determine what's good and what's not. If Canadian content is bad then the creators have the option to up their game or fold. Same as any business. If it's good they'll thrive. If not, they gold and leave the market.
Denis		
е	Ο.	Leave the people alone. Period

		Leave them alone. Maybe make it easier and more worthwhile for
Stacy	B.	Canadian content creators to make more money.
DOR		
ELLE	A.	leave things alone don't want interference
		Leave things alone, leave us alone, stop pushing control of
		content to further government propaganda and erasure of
Wend		people's voices, maintain free speech, free access to information
ianne	T.	even if the government of the moment disagrees
		Leave things alone. If I want to watch Canadian content, I will. I
		also watch US content as it is often simply better. Maybe focus on
Tony	T.	the quality of content produced, instead.
Mark		
	C.	Leave things alone. I have no interest in a nanny state.
Lauri	N 4	Leave this see as they are
e	M.	Leave things as they are.
Christ		
ian	B.	Leave things the way they are now
		Leave things the way they were. I do not want to read or see
lan	P.	Trudeau Liberal propaganda.
john	I.	leave us alone
		Leave us ALONE. There should be NO regulations or exclusions.
		CRTC has NO BUSINESS telling ME what I can and cannot see
Colle		or read online. NO PLATFORMS SHOULD BE REGULATED OR
en	D.	EXCLUDED. It's called FREE SPEECH and Expression.
		Leave us alone. Don't try and regulate things that shouldn't be
josh	h.	regulated.
,		Leave us alone. How about that? Stop interfering in our lives.
		Stop manipulating or even trying to manipulate us. I'm sick of
		having a government who doesn't understand they are there to
		serve, not dictate, not control and certainly not tell them what to
Jan	F.	see or hear.
Jail	1.	Les medias publics qui censurent les experts dans le domaine
		scientifique et dont la parole et les v©crits sont divergents de la
D	_	majorité. Surveiller les conflits d'intérêts et obliger les gens
Denis	T.	à s'identifier pour qu'on puisse se faire une idée libre.
		Les plateformes d'information tel que les podcast et les
		personnes qui parlent de sujet important, que les grands médias
		ne couvrent pas ne devrais pas être censuré. Avec des
Marc		journalistes qui ne se contredisent plus et un gouvernement qui
el	D.	les finances avec notre argent pour nous mentir en pleine face,

		y'as quand même des limites. NON a la loi C-11=À LA NON
		CENSURE Bien à vous Marcel Doiron
		les seules règles qui doivent s'applique c la médisance et
		l'incitation $\sqrt{\dagger}$ la violence, autrement chacun a droit $\sqrt{\dagger}$ ses
		opinions et partager ses informations sans supervision du
annie	r.	gourvernemaman
Imtiaz	A.	Less censorship.
Jodie	L.	Less government control
Andre		
W	S.	Less is more.
Micha		Less regulation is always better. I'm already having to dig through
el	В.	approved talking points without more manipulation
Joe	D.	Less regulation is always better. Show serious restraint.
		Less regulation is better for all Canadians in this case. Be less
Rob	M.	like China and more like a thriving democracy.
0-1-	N 4	Let Canadians choose. We don't need big brother here. We ARE
Gale	M.	NOT THE USA AND DON'T WANT TO BE.
JE	G.	Let Canadians decide what they want to see and hear. Gov't should not decide for us.
J E	G.	
Shaw		Let content creators function independently without censorship even if their viewpoint differs from the woke agenda of this
n	F.	government.
Greg	1 -	government.
g	В.	Let it all go. Do not try to regulate
WES	F.	Let me decide what to believe and what to watch.
Todd	P.	Let people choose what they want to consume, not government.
Darry		Let people consume the content they want to consume. Freedom
n	E.	of choice.
		Let people decide for themselves what they want to watch or not
		watch. Of course, any contents related to pornography, sex
		trafficking, recruitment agencies, bullying, etc. should be strongly
		regulated to protect the children. In general, what is lacking is that
		Canadians need more protection from the government against
		online scams, criminal hacking, harassment, etc. as online
		activities increase: online shopping, email marketing, and various
		activities that require us to use the computer to access.
		Regulation is needed in this complex and non-secured digital
M	H.	world. The Bill of Rights and the Charter must be respected, and

		the fact that we are a democratic country. This is not China or a
Del"		communist government. Thank you.
Delila		
h	L.	Let people decide what they want to look at.
Miche		
<u>l</u>	N.	Let the Canadian citizens decide which news they wanna watch.
Audre	_	Let the content consumer decide which platforms meet their
yLynn	F.	media needs
Bever		Let the content speak for itself! Regulation is a form of censorship
ley	G.	and limits what people choose to see, read, listen to and so on.
Adria		
n	S.	Let the individual make their own choices.
David		Let the market determine what content it wants to see or hear!
Chris	K.	Let the people decide what they want to seenot the government
		Let the people decide what they want to watch instead of trying to
Aaron		regulate it.
Edwin	P.	let us live
Brend		Let us read and do what we want you didn't bring us into our life
а	C.	so back off
Andre		Let us the people decide for ourselves what we want to see. The
W	S.	CRTC is compromised.
Colin	Н.	Let us watch what ever we want!
Danie		
I	B.	Let. People. Decide. For. Themselves.
		Let's wrest control from the hands of big companies (big money)
Gihan	N.	for a change. We are humans, not dollars.
Miche		
lle	W.	Letting Canadians decide for themselves.
Jonat		Level of gov't funding directly affects regulation. For example,
han	G.	CBC should be extensively regulated, but Facebook shouldn't.
		Level of income, degree of establishment in Canada, or degree of
		popularity/followers/viewers. Small and medium-sized Canadian
		creators should not be punished for being on a different playing
		field than, for example, the CBC. Companies like Netflix and
		Youtube should also be exempt, as introducing strict regulations
		for producing Canadian content will not lead them to comply, but
		will more than likely cause them to pull service from Canada
Kit	M.	altogether.
rrr	Z.	Lgbtq

Т	T.	liberal propaganda
Frank	D.	Liberals along with their buddies in the CRTC should be closing down porn sites and stop wasting our time and money on limiting which news platforms we decide to view.
Ben	G.	Licensing should not put Bell etc in charge of major media deals forcing them into the half baked platform that is Crave.
Geral d	B.	Lies
Helen	W.	Listen to the people, keep our rights. Do not change things for the worse for us and the benefit for the government to take advantage and overstep and abuse their power. We are to STAND ON GUARD FOR CANADA! We are Canada. NOT just the elites.
Mary	T.	Listen to the users of said platforms instead of the fear-mongering Corporations who line there pockets with consumer targeted content. C-11 is a huge mistake on the part of CRTC. It is shameful that you are letting Meta and Twitter run your commission now. It is a Canadian embarrassment.
John	H.	Lol imagine the government making Canadian Content decisions about porn websites: "Hmmm the actors are Canadian but it doesn't showcase our culture enough to qualify. Maybe try filming it in a Tim Hortons washroom?" "Hmmm your website does not showcase enough equity-deserving Canadians (translation: too many white people). I think you need more porn videos representative of ALL Canadians. May I suggest adding some more Canadian transgender BBC videos?"
Jessi e	O.	Look at the users of the platforms, look at how people view and watch content. Platforms like YouTube, and daily motion, tiktok. Those do not to be regulated Give Canadians a choice. Do we want to just watch the same 7 episodes of a show that's been cancelled Becuase reasons? Or do we want to watch a variety of content from places all around the world? If this is forced upon Canadians it will fail. If it's given to Canadians as an option show me Canadian content that's great. If we're all forced to watch only Canadian made content the people will revolt
Micha el	M.	Look hard at what China is doing to influence Canadians through Tiktok
Aman da	D.	Mainstream media could be blocked completely and I don't know one Canadian that won't miss the gov't funded propaganda like the CBC which is a complete waste of our tax dollars.

Len	K.	Mainstream social media should be left alone, and most other platforms as well. I think if more advertisements are made about Canadian content, that seems alright, but when it comes to altering things to search for, or changing what we see on social media recommendeds that are supposed to personalized to us as viewers, it feels very forced upon the viewer. More Canadian content could be aired on TV as a substitute, perhaps?
		Maintain an open internet with freedom of choice without
David	D.	manipulation as to what users can watch.
Rhon da	D.	Maintaining free speech for all Canadians should be of utmost importance
Charl es	H.	Major commercial platforms only; that's the realm and role for the CRTC to administer, not people's individual content sharing.
Marci a	T.	Major Media controls the spin, we have all accepted that I think. I like to have the Canadian version first, regardless of how it has been twisted. The U.S. is the elephant throwing the biggest shadow on the truth. I object to the persecution of Julian Assange. Today's society has no attention span for serious inquiry into anything but fads.
Ercan	K.	Major social media especially to those who are under 18
Jeffre		
У	P.	Make a platform of Canadian content available as a choice.
		Make all content available to all users, and no mandatory material
Kevin	S.	from any source.
Chris	M.	Make an artist commission decide, not a board filled with ex-telecom execs.
Taha	S.	Make Canada-specific or Canada-promoted services give more Canada content
Adria n	K.	Make Canadian content better - if it's entertaining, it will be watched. We shouldnt be forced to support poor content just because it's made in Canada.
Mark	B.	Make foreign internet platforms pay for Canadian news just like you make Canadian platforms pay for it. In South Korea, they sell their TV shows at very low cost to satellite and cable operators to market their domestic TV shows and movies internationally. You can find South Korean media all over Asia, and other countries are watching Korean dramas. That is similar to US-style Hollywood movies being made and adapt for international audiences. The United States and South Korea are exporting their

		culture around the world. By charging for Canadian content you are killing our cultural export and allowing international media to block our only sources of Canadian domestic news from Canada to the many Canadians living and working overseas. It appears that this government is not able to make good policies. Why not hire new people who can make better policies and regulations that help promote and not kill Canadian content internationally?
B.	M.	Make our access to the internet free! Don't censor us!!
Jodi	H.	Make over 16 year old sites and let people decide
Trevo		
r	J.	Make sure foreign entities respect Canadian content.
Morg		
an	E.	Make sure I can still see everything I like
Ki	B.	Market capitalization and existing Canadian content.
		mass media should have watch dogs to keep it real, stop the fake
scottd	d	news.
Danie	<u> </u>	Maybe the CRTC should avoid tailoring feeds and focus on more
I	H.	on protecting consumer from telecom oligopolies
Maks ym	S.	Media algorithms already making promotions based on Watch history and geographic location. In my opinion CRTC controlling media content is a huge leap to totalitarian regime where government dictates which propaganda it people should watch. About looking that appropriate content reaches people, all media platforms already have mechanisms that eliminate unappropriated content. Freedom is the main law of our country, C-11 is standing against that main law.
Sarah	L.	Media and Entertainment feeds should be controlled by what the user wants to see. There are already media companies that promote Canadian content as an optional source of content. There should not be any discrimination under the modification of feeds, and at the end of the day, the user must have control and final say over what types of content they want to see. Freedom of choice.
Steph en	G.	media companies that are held by holding companies like Vanguard, Blackrock and Statestreet have conflicts of interests when it comes to dealing with polluters, pharmaceutical companies and military related foreign policy. These are the types of things Canadians would be warned about if CRTC was doing its job.

Caed	M.	Media created by corporations should be regulated. Trying to control media created by Canadian individuals is a complete violation of their rights.
Jennif er	J.	Media feeds should not be regulated by the government. User created content should appear to whoever it is relevant to based on their personal choices and interests. If the CRTC wants to create their own social media platform, they could regulate that one.
Heath		
er	L.	Media should tell the truth, start doing that
Tom	S.	Media that doesn't require tax payer dollars.
Alan	B.	Mergers that reduce the options for gouging of services. Bell, Roger/Shaw. Deals done over dinner meetings.
Lorrie	K.	Mind their own business on what we want to watch
		Mind your fucking business. Controlling what Canadians watch and hear is DISGUSTING. I hope Pierre Poillievere makes you all
Dan	L.	Unemployed you sick fucks!
Geor		
ge	W.	Mind Your Own Business. Regulate Your Own Life!
Matth ew	S.	Minimal if any regulations should be used on Youtube as it is a social website where people can bond with each other through content creators that we genuinely care about and want to support. Platforms like Crunchyroll and Brit Box whose entire purpose is to provide access to foreign content should not be expected to provide content that they don't have.
Moniq		
ue	G.	Misinformation and misuse of algorithms. Hate speech and racism Moderate or limit the platforms of far right media where the effect is to foment division, hate and lies/bent propoganda. Fact check
Kay	C.	compliance should be mandatory.
Jen	F.	Monitoring hate speech and hateful broadcasts
Nanc		
У	M.	Morality ratings to control pornography.
harla n	L.	More access less control
Rose	D.	More attention should be paid to harmful content in all platforms. Hate speech, threats, racism, should be filtered out. Sexual and commercial exploitation of children is frequent in CBC ads featuring children and is also unacceptable. Legislation banning

		advertising related to children on the basis of research showing harmful effects has been in place in Quebec and other parts of the world for decades. Time it was adopted in the rest of Canada. In short, Support for Canadian content is a good thing but the content is not all flawless!
Gord		MODE ON ADDITION
on	Y.	MORE CANADIAN.
Brian	L.	More control = Less freedom of choice. I know it's amazing but not all Canadians want to watch indigenous or minority centered programming and that is the vast majority of what is being funded. Considering Canadian content couldn't compete in the international market without forcing us to consume it, it makes sense that the majority choose not to watch it.
Math		more options i dont want to opt in to exclusive canadian content, i
ew	R.	enjoy world wide content.
Ross	H.	More red tape. Quit it.
Andre		More regulation for primarily social media is fine, though I think
w	A.	Bill C-11 is the wrong way to do it.
		More regulation is not necessary. Less regulation might actually
Phil	M.	make the platforms with strict controls have a richer content slate.
Jame s	C.	Most Radio and Television are to filled with advertisements, and pumped with consensus science that pollute people thinking capabilities and limit the outcome of human resourcefulness.
Patric k	Z.	Most regulation of the media is wrong. As citizens we should be able to decided what we watch, read, and listen to. Once the government or a government controlled body regulates media we a big step closer's to becoming communist China.
Cand ace	N.	My concern is more about how they regulate the platforms, not which ones they do.
Chery	F.	My freedom as a Canadian on the internet is important I'm concerned what I want to watch that is important to me will either be taken away and me forced to watch Canadian content, if this happens I will shut of my social media. I want freedom not being told what to watch, if I want to watch Canadian content I can if I don't want to watch Canadian content that I have that option too. Thank you
Cindy	B.	My opinion. My free choice. I'm capable of making my own decisions and how to research any topic of my concern.

		My parents left a communist country for more freedom for
Anne	C.	themselves and their children. Must I leave as well.
		My rights and freedoms trump the meddling in my life of
		government policy, bureaucracy and politicians. Canada is one of
		the largest geographical countries in the world with on of the
		smallest population per square mile. Centralized government
	•	control has created a city state that does not represent the true
Mark	S.	diversity of its people.
		My searches and interests are my own. I do not need a
		government organization telling me what to look at. If you have a
Emer	0	problem with foreign companies not showing what you would like
y Barba	S.	more people to see, then make a Canadian streaming service.
	Α.	My sovereignty!!!
		My thoughts are I should be able to choose what to watch.
		Currently I resent big telecoms forcing me to "choose a basic
		package" and then billing extra for specific channels that I do
		watch. I estimate 97% of the basic package are channels I
		NEVER watch and NEVER will. For me to have only the channels
Maur		NEVER watch and NEVER will. For me to have only the channels I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is
	G.	
een	G. L.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is
een Jeff		I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.
een Jeff Dale	L.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A
een Jeff Dale	L. A.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric	L. A.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric	L. A. K.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli	L. A. K.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli	L. A. K. P.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  n/a
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie	L. A. K. P.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  n/a
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc	L. A. K. P. O.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc	L. A. K. P.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  NA  NA
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc	L. A. K. P. O.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  Na  NA  Neither Personal web-pages nor facebook pages should be
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc es	L. A. K. P. O.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  NA  Na  NA  Neither Personal web-pages nor facebook pages should be regulated under Bill c-11. As a free and democratic people, we
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc es	L. A. K. P. O.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  Na  NA  Na  NA  Neither Personal web-pages nor facebook pages should be regulated under Bill c-11. As a free and democratic people, we deserve choice and freedom of expression (provided we break no
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc es	L. A. K. P. O.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  NA  Na  NA  Neither Personal web-pages nor facebook pages should be regulated under Bill c-11. As a free and democratic people, we deserve choice and freedom of expression (provided we break no rules regarding hate speech).
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc es Susa n	L. A. K. P. O. P. A.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  NA  Na  NA  Neither Personal web-pages nor facebook pages should be regulated under Bill c-11. As a free and democratic people, we deserve choice and freedom of expression (provided we break no rules regarding hate speech).  Never regulated except for children perhapsbut parents can put
een Jeff Dale Matt Patric k Féli x Danie I Franc es Susa n Mary	L. A. K. P. O.	I watch, I have to pay for this basic package even though there is zero value for my money.  N/A  n/a  N/A  N/A  N/A  NA  Na  NA  Neither Personal web-pages nor facebook pages should be regulated under Bill c-11. As a free and democratic people, we deserve choice and freedom of expression (provided we break no rules regarding hate speech).

Jerry	b.	News
		News and events should be local Canadian. Global news and
Karen	C.	social media should not only be inlufed
Rock	V.	News platforms and to be hold accountable
		News should be worldwide. People should be able to choose their
Kim	l.	content without government over reach
Paul		News should never be filtered to meet the needs of the party in power, People already do not trust the news as far as can be thrown and filtering the news that the CRTC wants us to see is a step to totalitarian government.
Paul	L.	step to totalitarian government.
Atticu s	В.	News should not be allowed to sell advertising to keep journalistic integraty. The independent productions ie YouTube, Instagram should be allowed to broadcast freely. The networks ie Rogers, Bell, CBC should be controlled and not allowed to dictate what is and isn't Canadian content while calling their advertising Canadian content.
		News should obviously be excluded from this as it's an essential
Christ		service to be kept up to date with ongoing and breaking news
opher	L.	from all sorts of credible sources.
Nora	A.	News. We need a free press to have a true democracy.
Jim	V.	News. That's it.
Nicol		News/media should never be censored. Especially not in a "free
е	C.	country".
Sean	J.	no
Andre		
w	C.	No
Allen	H.	NO
Dusti		
n	B.	No
Brady	W.	No
	M.	No
Carlo		
S	D.	No
Christ		
ian	J.	No
Conni		
е	F.	No
Dami an	F.	No

Danie		
	R.	No
Daph		
ne	M.	No
Darcy		No
Darle		
ne	M.	no
Darrel		
	B.	No
John	M.	No
Jame		
S	A.	No
Don	N.	NO
Dylan		No
Erica	S.	No
Kathe	0.	
rine	B.	No
lucind	D.	
a	k.	no
Mark	F.	No
	C.	No
Ryan Christ	C.	INO
iane	G.	NO
		No
Gerry John	C.	
Ginett	С.	No
	\ /	
е	V.	no No
Rob	H.	No
M	M.	No
Ingrid		No
lleana		No
K	B.	No
Julie	S.	No
Josep		
hine	M.	No
Justin	_	
е	B.	No
Thom		
as	S.	no

jorda		
n	h.	No
Justin		No
Linda	D.	No
Loga	D.	
n Loga	M.	No
Matt	B.	No
Ralph		No
-		
Mayu	N.	No
Mikha	J.	No
ela		No
Nazar	K.	no
Natha		NIC
n	S.	No
Rickie		No
Norm		No
MIKE	Ο.	NO
Rober		
t	M.	No
Ralph		no
Wes	G.	No
Roy	M.	No
Savit		
а	S.	No
Sheri	G.	No
marie	S.	No
Joann		
а	S.	No
Tatya		
na	D.	NO
KEN	B.	no
Tori	S.	No
Vicki	K.	No
Vicki	P.	No
wayn		
е	u.	No
Yoon		
Но	P.	no
•		

Steve		
n	S.	No
Carm		
en	M.	No
Jay	A.	No
Sandr		
а	H.	No
Susa		
n	L.	No
Terry	F.	No
David	J.	No - leave it be.
Colin	R.	no , Bill C-11 should not be allowed or even considered
Euge		
ne	C.	No , free market
		No accepting C-11 and C-18 in their original unmodified form.
		Either the Trudeau government (nicknamed Skippy, sockboy,
		sparklesocks) is made to deal on the new evolving terms (not
		their own) or they are discreetly reversed and rendered and any
		attempts to revive the matter in any form automatically compel the
		Senate / Commission to secretly strip all active Liberal ministers
		and accomplices (particularly Jagmeet Singh) of their pensions
		and positions as Ministers by the evening. Outlets such as True
		North, Rebel Media, and Countersignal must be granted the right
		to continue operations with zero disruptions. They may also be
Rober		granted the opportunity to purchase space in these vacated
t	P.	buildings. Choose wisely, CRTC, because we will be watching.
ABD		No all of them should be accepted None of them should be
UL	B.	regulated under C-11
		No because NONE OF THEM SHOULD BE REGULATED. We do
		not live in a dictatorship despite what our prime minister would
Jaime	E.	like
Home		
ira	R.	No C-11 at all!
		No CANADIAN producer on the internet should be regulated in
		any way, except those acting in ways that are already illegal such
		as child pornography, inciting physical violence, etc. Every topic
Tony	V.	should be open for discussion, especially political issues.

		No Canadians have the protected right to feel speech, if someone
Kevin	_	or some platform upset you not watch it. They will eventually change or go broke.
	-	No censorship
	1.	No censorship
Blyth	Y.	No concorchin
e Gunth	T.	No censorship
er	M.	No censorship and no support to a failing product
Barba	IVI.	No censorship and no support to a failing product
ra	D.	No censorship at all. Allowing free speech fully.
ıa	٥.	No censorship, nothing should be excluded. One can only
		decipher what is valid, by having access to all. Therefore include
		all worldwide independent journalism. EXCLUDE ALL government
Mary	L.	funded MSM.
IVIAI y	L.	No censorship, period. The people must decide what they want to
Jens	W.	see and hear.
Gail	S.	No censorship. Defund the CRTC
Cuii	0.	No censorship. It's already bad enough in Canada with the liberal
Gerrit	L.	mainstream media pay off bias.
Sandr		No censorship,Ķ if the Canadian content is good and worth
а	G.	watching it will be viewed.
TERR	0.	Waterining it will be viewed.
ANC		
E	D.	NO CHILD PORNOGRAPHY SITES FOR SURE!
Anton	C.	No clue.
Simo		
n	C.	No Comment
Tonya	K.	No comment
Teo J.		No comment at this time.
Ryan	M.	No comment other than this won't work.
,		no content should be excluded thats discrimination of other
mike	h.	people n countrys its always best to get both sides of the story
		No content should be regulated unless it is illegal under Canadian
John	D.	law.
		No content should he regulated by the CRTC. The internet was
		created to share information the world over, and has grown to
		include less standard practices. News, movies, music; if not for
Gord	B.	the internet it would be harder to share around the world. To be

		stuck behind a controlling body would be no better than foreign governments controlling worldly consumption.
Sylva		
n	B.	No criteria is needed. Bill C- 11 is not wanted or needed.
Patti	K.	No criteria should be used and no platforms should be regulated. I should be trusted to watch what I want to watch, when and where I want to watch it. I am a free Canadian.
Lyne-		
Brigitt		
е	L.	no criteria should be used, the choice is personal to the user.
Orlin	O.	No criteria, Only dictatorships do that, The CTRC itself should be abolished. It should be up to the platform and the individual what will be regulated if at all. A government agency like the CTRC will basically do its political masters bidding and allow what that master decrees is acceptable. Only dictatorships operate that way.
Jakub	J.	No criteria. Nothing should be censor.
Nina	G.	No criteria. Let creators create the content they want and let Canadians view the content they wish to see.
Doug	M.	No criteria. No regulation. NO C-11.
Tim	G.	No drag shows. No trans lgbtq crap. Mo one idividual or group has more rights than any other! No technocratic version of morality from the world elites, especially WEF or WHO has any business regualting Canadian citizensour rights and freedoms and our sovereignty. The crtc being the arm of that publically admitting Mao lover should have any say in this coumtry. We are Canadian and stand proud to defend the Constitution of Canada and if you forgot, the Nuremberb code which overides the unconstitutional laws being put forth!
Rober		
t	H.	No e
Sergi u	G.	No exclusions and no regulations, let the free will of the consumers.
Paul	U.	NO FREE SPEECH SHOULD BE FREE
Marily n	B.	No government censorship based on Trudeau's personal agenda. This is not China!
Becky	M.	No government involvement. They should regulate nothing except things that could harm children: porn, trafficking, extreme violence etc.

Mick	M.	No government propaganda.
Peter	Ο.	No government restrictions to force Canadian based content or outcome of online searches.
		No hate speech, no abuse to animals, humans or the
Chris	d.	environment.
ian	W.	No I don't want any government meddling in what I chose to view on the internet.
Roxa nne	S.	No I just think that if you have to regulate anything then try to tell the government to stop right now. This isn't good for anyone. It just makes it look like Canada's people aren't as important when it comes to their right when it comes to their shows they want to watch online or on tv. Canadian content creators should be able to do what they want. That's what this country is supposed to stand for globally.
Jeffre y	D.	No I think Canadian content creators should just focus on making better content rather than relying on the government to make laws to help their usage numbers. I say let them fail. If they fail then obviously their content wasn't that good. It's absolutely ridiculous this is even a discussion.
Gillo	D.	NO INTERFERENCE WHATSOEVER FROM CRTCNONE!
Deme	D	No internet platform should be regulated. That includes the stuff that we as a society would disagree with as well. That's what freedom is about. You have the right to say and show all that you choose to say and show and I have the same right as you to say and show all that I choose to show. I do agree that some of what is shown on public platforms over the internet is offensive. However, most of what I see over the internet is not offensive. I exercise my freedom of choice to not watch or read things I find offensive and I also exercise my freedom of choice to choose to watch or read the things that I do not find offensive. That is what freedom of choice is! And real democracy is when the whole population is in on the decision-making not just the handful of persons who it would appear to me are not truly tuned into who
trios	P.	the real people of Canada are.
Rodn ey	K.	No it is all for political gain and has nothing to do with anything else
Zephr ine	W.	No it should support more funding for Canadian broadcasting not limit canadians information.

Aman		
da	C.	No it's not there place to tell us what we can and cannot know
Corin		NO Just leave them be!! Do not promote mediocrity and
а	F.	censorship!
Elvin	G.	No legal content should be regulated or forced on anyone at all. Streaming platforms and You Tube already have myriad filtering options to customize your feed and the content you wish to watch, and already employ algorithms which factor your location into your default content feeds. I LOVE lots of Canadian content already, and since I am a big boy, I can find and watch it perfectly well already! I got into and graduated from one of the top 3 universities in Canada (also highly ranked in the world), but I guess I am just to stupid to work You Tubestill figuring out my cell (smart? dumb?) phone too I am actually amazed and proud that I could fill out this form!
finlay	f.	No let the people choose
barrie	S.	No let them all play out. The truth will come to the top.
Austi		No media or information channels should be regulated or
n	F.	excluded.
Micha el	B.	No media outlets or content should be excluded from being viewed or accessed. I have the right to view what I want, when I want and to form my own opinions of said content regardless of its source or expressed meaning. This bill would essentially remove or limit these rights to information and inhibit freedom of the press.
Donn	M.	No media should be regulated under CRTC except for terrorist broadcasts from ISIL and other Islamic terrorist organizations. Keep your hands off Christian broadcasts that at least help people and give aid to those who need it. I was horrified when Franklin Graham was not allowed in our country to speak and yet Islamic terrorist is were fundraising and speaking in Canadian mosques. How stupid can we be as a country?
Chris Marti	G.	No media should be regulated. Last time I checked we're still a democracy and not a communist country. We all were told when we were young you don't like something you see or hear, turn it off. Nobody is forcing you to watch or listen. We shouldn't be dictating rules based on not hurting someone's feelings.
n	M.	no media streaming platforms should be regulated by c-11

h B. No more, not really  Nicol D. No need for regulation. We don't need any agency deciding anything for the people. We can choose ourselves.  No news or journalism should be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC. No algorithms on social media should included under regulation by the CRTC. Small media producers and small businesses should not be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC.  No news should be regulated or excluded. Including independent news outlets  Dolor No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedom es P. of speach  Blanc NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
e D. anything for the people. We can choose ourselves.  No news or journalism should be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC. No algorithms on social media should included under regulation by the CRTC. Small media producers and small businesses should not be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC.  No news should be regulated or excluded. Including independent news outlets  Dolor  No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedom of speach  Blanc  he T. NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
No news or journalism should be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC. No algorithms on social media should included under regulation by the CRTC. Small media producers and small businesses should not be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC.  No news should be regulated or excluded. Including independent news outlets  Dolor  Rick M. No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedom of speach  Blanc  he T. NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
the CRTC. No algorithms on social media should included under regulation by the CRTC. Small media producers and small businesses should not be included as criteria regulated by the CRTC.  No news should be regulated or excluded. Including independent news outlets  Dolor  Rick M. No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedom of speach  No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedom of speach  Blanc  he T. NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
Rick M. news outlets  Dolor No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedomes P. of speach  Blanc NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
Dolor es P. No news should be regulated what has happened to our freedom of speach  Blanc he T. NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
es P. of speach  Blanc he T. NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
he T. NO NON OF THEIR BUSINESS  NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
NO non-criminal internet content should be regulated by the CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
CRTC a small of people who have no expertise to determine what should be allowed and what shouldn't. This not only violates our basic human rights as you are not the arbiters of truth for us. That is ours to asses and determine with our own expertise given
multiple sources of information. Honesty, which people at the CRTC have done extensive non-linear systems simulation, non-linear control, and non-linear filter development. Which of you have first hand experience dealing with fluid models? This is ground zero knowledge for assessing whether or not the popular narratives surrounding CO2 are true. I'm tired of non-experts in any field telling those of us who do know the math and the real questions that should be asked what to think. I'm not impressed as they point to some 'expert' whose scientific positions they are incapable of assessing for themselves. Sounds harmless? Yes until you consider the potential consequences of adding particulate to the atmosphere to block out the sun, or seriously damaging crop yields because some politician sets an arbitrary limit on fertilizer application, or we ramp up the Nuclear energy industry without the capability to deal with long lasting waste or the development of cycles that don't produce long lasting wasteThere are many more possible bad outcomes. I implore the government to reject censorship to allow the people to openly discuss & debate issues. That's the only way that there's hope for
Karen D. Canada. Currently the CRTC is allowing many mathematically

		ridiculous statements about climate science to remain unchallenged. Canada is currently sounding like Mao's China where farmers were told seeds should be planted together so they could grow as comrades. No one could challenge this millions starved!
Dave	C.	No none
Kyle	B.	No nothing should be regulated. The government should not have any control in this.
Jeann ie	L.	No one has the right to regulate freedom of speech. The Internet was created to open minds, educate and bring countries together. No one has the right to decide what I should watch or do unless it's criminal activity
Josh	P.	No one should be forced into Can Con's antiquated rulesets, what the bill should be doing is as I suggested above, with giving us Canadian options within our For You sections, make it optional with giving us the ability to turn it on or off, and giving out more funding to more Canadian-based creators, don't try to force big companies and content providers into a situation where they're more likely to just leave, giving Canadians no benefit to trying to make things More Canadian. The US already films here whenever they want to save money, don't drive them away.
Joann e	D.	No one should be regulates, freedom of the press must be maintained at all costs. No platform except those of promoting crime or sex trafficking, or sexual deviation of any kind should be regulated. Trudeau needs to butt out.
Carl	S.	No online platforms should be regulated under this.
David	S.	No opinion
Steve		No person or party that receives benefits of any kind for the Canadian Government, or a Party should be allowed to express their opinion or try to enforce their will on what happens, due to manipulation of truth or to remove truth. Also all investigations NEED to be 100% public and a chance for the public to have their say. Nothing can be hidden on any investigation like the Liberals
n	P.	do for many investigations against them.
Julia	B.	No personal content should be regulated
Ginett e	L.	No plateform should be excluded and no one from us voted for Bill C-11
Marti	S.	No plateform should be put apart, exept for illegal usage
n	J.	rio piatoronn should be put apart, except for illegal usage

Charl		
es	P.	NO platform regulation!
Amy	W.	No platform should be censored or regulated under Bill C-11. If there is violence or sensitive content, a warning should suffice. If something is happening around the world, good or bad, we should all have the right to be aware and have open access to information. Each individual adult person should decide what information they are willing to view/read, and only parents/guardians should make the decisions about what content/messaging their children are exposed to.
heinz-		
dieter	d.	no platform should be excluded
Ed	M.	No platform should be fully regulated. Having Canadian content suggestions for Canadians or anyone around the world that wants to opt-in to Canadian suggestions is great. As long as it is voluntary for the end user and contains no quotas.
Angie	H.	No platform should be involved in this Ludacris Bill and the bill should have never been passed.
jayso		
n	l.	No platform should be regulated by C-11.
Alana	S.	No platform should be regulated except to remove and criminalize child pornography.
Bill	S.	No platform should be regulated or excluded by government. All media should be freely accessible and able to stand on it's own without government support by the taxpayer. The quality of it's content should be wide-reaching and not isolated to strictly one avenue of social or cultural programming. Regulation of and or exclusion of certain platforms further isolates our society and eliminates the democratic option of choice in media.
Troy	S.	No platform should be regulated or excluded by the CRTC or any government body. We are not children. We are adults and we can decide what we want to watch and we will decide for ourselves what we agree or disagree with. And as parents we will do any necessary censoring for our children.
1109	٥.	No platform should be regulated or excluded. News is news and
Lucia	P.	we should be able to share it everywhere.
Thors ten	W.	No platform should be regulated or influenced by the government. In the last few years, the government proved well to not act in the best interest of the people. I don't trust the government anymore

		as decisions by the government are not transparent and do not follow the existing law. Please email me a copy of my filled form. Thank you!
Jame s	L.	No platform should be regulated under Bill C-11, the bill must be repealed.
Debbi e	W.	No platform should be regulated when it comes to freedom of speech. People should have a right to say whatever they want even if we don't agree with it. Everyone is entitled to their own opinion.
Audra	L.	No platform should be regulated, I'm completely against this Bill C-11.
Andre w	B.	No platform should be regulated, if the content is good it will get the viewers.
Jack	R.	No platform should be regulated, people can decide what they want to watch or listen to all by themselves, nobody needs a bunch of overpaid bureaucrats deciding for them.
Jaime	C.	No platform should be regulated!!!!
Sarah	B.	No platform should be regulated.
Kim	A.	No platform should be regulated. Have those in power of this not learned from historical truths and facts and what is now just plain common knowledge. Wow! Who are the people in charge of all this? 1933 called and they would like their era back. Keep history where it belongs and let's move forward. And make history courses a mandatory requirement.
Clara	L.	No platform should be regulated. Let the consumer choose.
Stoya n	G.	No platform should be regulated. If you want to regulate any of them Communist China is calling you.
Ryan	L.	No platforms Regulate the companies that produce user data/mine /sell ie ad space to ensure the companies like Google, Microsoft, YouTube remain 100%transparent. Like what Elon Musk has done makes logical sense, improve the data accuracy and transparency.
Steph	<b>-</b> .	and danoparonoy.
anie	C.	No platforms be regulated!
Marg aret	P.	No platforms except pornography need to be Government censored!!! Children deserve to be protected until they are legally adults from pornography.
Claire	H.	No platforms should be excluded. Not familiar enough to comment on regulations

No PLATFORMS should be forced to comply with this bill. The CRTC needs to stand up for all communication for all everywhere.  No platforms should be impacted.  No platforms should be manipulated or regulated, period. This is pure censorship and why this is happening in Canada is beyond me. We are no longer 'the true north strong & free'. This is nothing but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated.  No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi  R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny, Ätthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.	_		
Marti n V. No platforms should be impacted.  No platforms should be manipulated or regulated, period. This is pure censorship and why this is happening in Canada is beyond me. We are no longer 'the true north strong & free'. This is nothing but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  Wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  No platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc ine C. funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free Lloyd H. individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  No platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny, Atthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Suza		NO PLATFORMS should be forced to comply with this bill. The
No platforms should be impacted.  No platforms should be manipulated or regulated, period. This is pure censorship and why this is happening in Canada is beyond me. We are no longer 'the true north strong & free'. This is nothing but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  Wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc ine C. funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äithis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People		H.	ckic needs to stand up for all communication for all everywhere.
No platforms should be manipulated or regulated, period. This is pure censorship and why this is happening in Canada is beyond me. We are no longer 'the true north strong & free'. This is nothing but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  Wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny. Aithis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People		\/	No platforms should be impacted
pure censorship and why this is happening in Canada is beyond me. We are no longer 'the true north strong & free'. This is nothing but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  Wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny.Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	11	V.	·
me. We are no longer 'the true north strong & free'. This is nothing but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always elads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			
Lora M. but pure censorship and it has no place in Canada!  wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free Jason M. internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave Jung K. the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free Gord B. markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors. No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
wayn e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  No platforms should be regulated !  No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free Jason M. internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free lindividuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  No platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	l ora	М	
e h. no platforms should be regulated  Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			bat pare conserent and tride no place in canada.
Sydn ey r. No platforms should be regulated  No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc ine C. funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  No platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	,	h.	no platforms should be regulated
No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Sydn		
adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny, Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	ey	r.	No platforms should be regulated
is good or unwatchableand in the entertainment business - the strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äithis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			No platforms should be regulated - we are grown ups and
strong survivethe rest fail and disappear. If we like what we see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  No platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			adultslet us watch what we want. The ratings will tell you what
Steve W. see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.  Qasi m R. NO Platforms should be regulated! Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc ine C. funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			
Meter M. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated. Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			
m R. NO Platforms should be regulated!  Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free Gord B. markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors. No platforms should be regulated by the government. People		W.	see, we'll tune inif we don't, we will change the channel.
Peter M. NO platforms should be regulated . Sink or swim  Franc No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors. No platforms should be regulated by the government. People		_	
Franc ine C. No platforms should be regulated and Canadians should not be funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  No platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors. No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			-
ine C. funding mainstream media.  No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People		M.	
No platforms should be regulated by a government that uses it's bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			_
bought & paid for state broadcaster to spread propaganda and then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	ine	C.	
then acts on that propaganda. See: The trucker protest. Free internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			, ,
Jason M. internet, full stop.  No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			
No platforms should be regulated by anyone but the free individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	lason	М	
Lloyd H. individuals of Canada  No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Jasuii	IVI.	
No platforms should be regulated by CRTC. They should leave the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Llovd	Н.	,
Jung K. the creators and platforms alone. We do not want censorship.  No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	,		
No platforms should be regulated by government, only by free markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Jung	K.	
Gord B. markets.  NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased government censorship and regulation of information always e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			
Maggi e government censorship and regulation of information always leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Gord	B.	
e M. leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.  Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People			NO platforms should be regulated by the CRTC. Increased
Brent E. No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.  No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	Maggi		government censorship and regulation of information always
No platforms should be regulated by the government. People	е	M.	leads to tyranny,Äîthis is no exception.
	Brent	E.	No platforms should be regulated by the government censors.
Kerri A. should be free to see and say whatever they want.			No platforms should be regulated by the government. People
	Kerri	A.	should be free to see and say whatever they want.

Barb	S.	No platforms should be regulated for Canadian content. Bill C-11 should not have been passed, but certainly social media should be exempt from it.
		No platforms should be regulated in a free country. Funding to
		bolster support for more Canadian content should be the only
Jason	K.	thing being done.
Gay	M.	No platforms should be regulated in any way. That is censorship.
		NO PLATFORMS should be regulated or excluded - unless they
		are breaking existing laws related to criminal activity. The CRTC
		should not be dictating what Canadians can or cannot easily see,
Leah	K.	post or seek out on the internet.
Aman		
da	B.	No platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-1.
		No platforms should be regulated or excluded, period. Over
		regulation kills economies and freedoms. Stop the monopolies
Mac	R.	make markets fair competition only.
		No platforms should be regulated or excluded. Again people
		should have the choice as to what they want to look at. Yes there
		are lots of things that people should not be looking at or watching
		or listening to but once you start determining what that content is
		and who shouldn't be watching it you're going down a slippery
		slope of taking people's rights away so it should just be left alone.
		If anything illegal is happening there are already agencies in our
Lisa	G.	country that will take care of things like that the rest should be just
Lisa	G.	left alone to people's own choices and not be regulated.  No platforms should be regulated or excluded,Ķ.unless you are
Jane	W.	afraid of the truth getting out! #TrudeauForTreason
Jane	V V .	No platforms should be regulated per se. I would however be ok
Brock	S	with highlighting canadian content.
Brook	0.	No platforms should be regulated period. Let users decide what is
Mark	T.	mis or disinformation not the government or the CRTC.
Angel	1	NO platforms should be regulated under Bill-C11. I don't agree
a	M.	with it
Dome		No platforms should be regulated unless they are openly and
nico	V.	willingly posting images of child exploitation or abuse in any form
		No platforms should be regulated unless they are promoting child
Bob	J.	porn.
Arch	B.	No platforms should be regulated.
ken	m.	No platforms should be regulated.
		1

Edwa		
rd W	V.	No platforms should be regulated.
Vaug		
hn	R.	No platforms should be regulated.
April	S.	No platforms should be regulated.
Jason	C.	No platforms should be regulated.
arla	L.	No platforms should be regulated.
Mike	L.	No platforms should be regulated.
Nick	N.	No platforms should be regulated.
		No platforms should be regulated. Period. Free speech is the vital
		basis of any democracy, and that necessarily includes the right to
Erin	A.	access those speeches!
		No platforms should be regulated. All should be excluded and
Shon		allowed freedom to create content. Let the viewers decide what
а	M.	content they like by choosing to watch or not watch.
		No platforms should be regulated. C-11 grants the CRTC
Blain		enormous, dangerous, and threatening power. The most
е	G.	righteous course of action for the CRTC is to not use that power.
Danie		No platforms should be regulated. Canadians should be
I	J.	considered smart enough to parse content on their own.
Jennif		
er	C.	No platforms should be regulated. I decide what I want to see.
		NO platforms should be regulated. I've lived in USSR and
		anything was regulated by government is against our wish or will.
Gagik	A.	I want my freedom of choice remain as it is.
		No platforms should be regulated. If canadian content cannot
		stand against other popular content from other nations creators it
		should not be forcibly pushed to the top in an attempt to somehow
Nikod		make it more popular. If Canadian's are choosing not to consume
emos	S.	it then its obviously not that great.
Carm		No platforms should be regulated. It defeats the point of free
en	K.	speech.
		No platforms should be regulated. So long as criminal acts aren't
		being perpetrated (As in classic criminal issues, not the crap the
		govt has allowed/proposed) they should be left alone. Canadian
I/ o := t	C	content creators are good enough that they don't need to be
Kent	S.	propped up.

		NO PLATFORMS SHOULD BE REGULATED. The CRTC should
		mind its own business - stay out of social media! Please note: we
		long ago stopped listening to and/or watching Canadian TV
		services, and/or AM and FM radio stations and we do not
		patronize any of the companies that provide these services. They
		are corrupt, bought and paid for shills of the current political party.
		I hope you realize that a huge number of people no longer watch
		or listen to the bought and paid-for mainstream media, TV and
		radio because of the propaganda they've tried to peddle. Part of
		your mandate is to regulate telecommunications carriers,
		including major telephone companies so how about you look at
		reducing the disgustingly high costs of these services? Canadians
Adele	L.	pay outrageously high rates for these services.
		No platforms should be regulated. The internet was set up as a
		vehicle where free information could be accessed and shared.
		The government / CRTC has no business regulating it, controlling
Rober		it or stopping what can be access. In the name of Canadian
t	T.	content is BS!
Roby		No platforms should be regulated. We have the right to view and
n	S.	experience everything from everywhere.
		No platforms should be regulated. We should all have the option
		to create and view any content that is either from Canada or
		outside of Canada. As a Canadian, I do not want Canadian-only
		content. This limits us from seeing views and ideas from around
Danic	_	the world, thus limiting our ability to be inspired or creative on a
e Dania	P.	global scale.
Ronie	M.	No platforms should be regulated. ZERO
A rthur	_	No platforms should be restricted on what they say. The CRTC
Arthur		Incode to allow for complete free appeach
	Ρ.	needs to allow for complete free speech.
	Ρ.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is
	Ρ.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights
	<b>P</b> .	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and
	Ρ.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the
	P.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the last 3 years for sharing the truth of these criminals and their plans.
	P.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the last 3 years for sharing the truth of these criminals and their plans. It's not like they are hiding it. They put out all kinds of video from
	P.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the last 3 years for sharing the truth of these criminals and their plans. It's not like they are hiding it. They put out all kinds of video from the wef and the agenda 2020 2030 world depopulation plan. We
	P.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the last 3 years for sharing the truth of these criminals and their plans. It's not like they are hiding it. They put out all kinds of video from the wef and the agenda 2020 2030 world depopulation plan. We know that media the government and the big pharma companies
	P.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the last 3 years for sharing the truth of these criminals and their plans. It's not like they are hiding it. They put out all kinds of video from the wef and the agenda 2020 2030 world depopulation plan. We know that media the government and the big pharma companies and many many government corporations. They have all been
Ben	C.	No platforms should ever be regulated. Freedom of speech is written in the constitution. I have had my constitutional rights violated by fact checkers who lie and only give opinions, and community standards I have been banned over 30 times in the last 3 years for sharing the truth of these criminals and their plans. It's not like they are hiding it. They put out all kinds of video from the wef and the agenda 2020 2030 world depopulation plan. We know that media the government and the big pharma companies

		criminals aren't fooling any one. And none of us are scared of you. We have no fear of you or death. We are not divide we are all one and united against tyranny. Think again they have lost.
Jim	R.	No platforms shoulda even any regulation at all
		NO PLATFORMS SHOUOLD BE REGULATED but they should
Rober t	B.	not be allowed to collect personal information on the people who subscribed to them. Such as Facebook Google Microsoft Bing Internet Explorer or any other search engine.
-		No platforms, stories, media sources, or the like should be
		censored. We are free human beings. We do not need to be told what is and isn't right for us or what is true. Canada is quickly
		turning into a nanny state and once it goes far enough, there is no turning back. When the Government controls the media, all media is propaganda. We will be trapped in a bubble and our freedoms
Britt	M.	will have eroded to an irreparable point.
		No plattform should be regulated under any Bill. We should be
Luis	L.	free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC
Madis		
on	K.	No please stop attempting to regulate the internet
Glen	V.	No regulation
Colin	R.	No regulation
GEO FFRE		
Υ	S.	No Regulation
Jeff	S.	no regulation at all free speech must be protected
Cliffor		
d	D.	No regulation by government
Jeff	H.	No regulation changes on any platforms!
Kathr		
yn	A.	No regulation is necessary.
Shaw		No regulation is needed. Internet has existed for 3 decades now
n	P.	without interference, it is fine left alone
Kelly	B.	No regulation is required
Danie Ia	Н.	No regulation is the right way forward. Freedom of expression and choice.
Danie la	P.	No regulation needed. This is a restrictive bill that narrows our access to the world. I am not interested in getting my news censored by government. Let me watch my tiktoks from Latin America and fat cats in peace, damn it.

Cynth		
ia	B.	No regulation needed. We are adults and make our own choices.
Jame		
s	M.	No regulation or control
Harol		
d	H.	No regulation or exclusion.
		No regulation or interference, unless the platform is expressing
Gerry	M.	violence against other human beings.
Bryan	K.	No regulation please.
santo		No regulation what so ever. Let the Canadian culture survive by
s	p.	itself without regulating the rest of the world.
Ante	C.	No regulation would be the best in a free country
KATH		no regulationfreedom pleaselike we had before Liberals had
Υ	T.	power
janet	S.	No regulation.
Dono		
van	H.	No regulation. Free speech.
Jonat		
han	S.	No regulation. Keep free flowing ideas and no restrictive policies.
		No regulation. Free Speech is in our Charter. This bill should not
Miche		even be happening. Canadians should be able to choose what
le	F.	they see.
Trudy	M.	No regulation. Let public choose
Sean	L.	No regulation. You already make Canadian TV unwatchable
Marta	B.	No regulations
Darle		
ne	S.	No regulations
Philip		
ре	N.	No regulations
		No regulations are required. We have seen many platforms that
		have the truth about what was happening the last 3 years and
Anita	G.	others did not. Let us decide what platforms we want to use.
		No regulations at all, as a matter of fact we should take off the
Christ	_	CRTC regulations that are now in place on the spiritual stations
ina	F.	that you have to have balanced content
Ted	Z.	No regulations at all!
Patric	_	No versulations used as No suiterie versulad
ia	S.	No regulations needed so No criteria needed.

Nadin e	J.	No regulations or censorship are necessary in a country where people have a high level of education as shown by the latest survey of the World Bank. No public authority should restrict the right to access the public sphere. It is an antidemocratic move from a public entity that is simply trying to restore their past monopolistic position.
Joy	H.	No regulations required.
Sand		No regulations- except for government funded propaganda! They
y	C.	need to leave us alone!
steph		
anie	b.	No regulations, let the people decide.
Karen	P.	No regulations!
Geor		
ge	F.	No regulations!!
brayn	k.	no regulations.
Dami		
an	Ο.	No regulations.
Jame		
s	L.	No regulations.
Phil	D.	No regulations. C11 is a censorship bill
Roger	K.	NO restriction or regulation!
Dave	D.	no rules free market drives the media
Day	J.	No suppression or manipulation of search engines, social media for you pages, pushing down searches for Canadian content only. No thank you.
Paul	V.	No the existing rules are fair enough if you don't like what you see change the channel it's that simple
Shaw n	R.	No the people should be able to choose period this bill is a abomination to free democratic country
Thom	C.	No there should be nothing under the Canadian Radio-television on telecommunication I Commission doesn't have internet in it. We have moved to the 21st century why are we trying to add things to old regulations it just makes the government look foolish.
Lewis	О. Р.	No they shouldn't do anything to any platform
Floria	1 -	The they shouldn't do anything to any platform
n	R.	No to any form of censorship!
David	S.	No to any type of censorship.
David	J.	into to diff type of ochoorship.

Zac	C.	No user generated content should be impacted. Social media and YouTube are digital channels for personal expression. Regulating what people can post and see on these channels is directly inhibiting their ability to freely express themselves. Really no way to justify doing so unless the goal is to artificially shape a narrative. A made in Canada section of large streaming platforms does make sense, and would be welcomed. However, it would be a terrible idea to reduce our access to American shows and content Doing so would create somewhat of a digital island with way less entertaining, innovative, and inspiring global content. A percent requirement of Canadian content either means an injection of low quality Canadian content or the reduction of overall global content A lose-lose scenario for the consumer.
Kathl	_	
een Andre	P.	No- let us decide for ourselves!
W	L.	No, Allow the free market to decide.
Marg aret	B.	No, and most have not the expertise in justifying their level of judgement. To date Canadians have been over-censored to the extent they have little if any understanding of international affairs or conflicts. In-depth analysis has never been our medias strong point-is it any wonder we lack factual information to make individual decisions that are borne out over time.
Veron	_	
ica	S.	No, as I don't feel that any platform should be regulated.
Sapp hire	D.	No, as I feel that this Bill is completely morally wrong. There should be no more regulations as far as the Internet goes.
Lean		No, because I don't believe any platforms should be regulated. I'm fine with 18+ type labels on posts that aren't age appropriate, or warning labels to make viewers aware of violent or explicit content they may see in certain media posts. But I do not think any platform should be regulated further, and definitely not banned or censored. I believe we need to maintain the right and freedom to see whatever we choose, and share and engage with others openly. I don't think it should be the right of the Government's or the CRTC's, or any organization to manipulate what we are
dros	P.	allowed to see, hear and think.
Adela ide	H.	No, because I don't believe that any platforms should be regulated! I'll never change my mind about this.

Xavie		
r	M.	No, because this Bill shouldn't exist
Jane	T.	No, because this shouldn't be happening in the first place.
		No, bill C11 should be abolished or at the very least, the senate
Steve	B.	should abolish this.
Grays on	Н.	No, c11 should not exist. Online content creators weather they are canadian or not will be published on a worldwide stage. Youtube, Facebook, rumble etc. Canadian interference does nothing to help in this situation. If the content is deemed worthy by the viewers it will thrive Naturally. No input required
Jean-		
Seba stien	C	No, cauz they shouldnt. Its freedom of speach! Free julian
Suen	S.	lassange
David	T.	No, Censorship of any kind is wrong. It's indoctrination at its most severe. Instead of free will and choice, we are being forced to watch ONLY WHAT THE GOVERNMENT WANTS US TO WATCH. That's EXACTLY what George Orwell warned us all about in 1984 and instead of fighting against it, forcing CanCon on platforms is ENFORCING propaganda and brainwashing.
Cyril	G.	No, coz this Bill is wrong. It will very negatively impact the online experience that Canadians currently know, love and accept. I'm telling you, there will be a huge backlash if this crap is allowed to happen.
Matth		
ew	Ο.	No, CRTC should have limited power, in everything.
Alexa		
ndr	R.	No, digital world is over-regulated/
Anne- Marie	M.	No, I am not an expert in these matters. Why not ask the experts? Canada has a host of people who are real experts, not civil servants who could not and should not be asked to provide expertise in areas in which they have no knowledge or interest.
Andre		No, I believe all broadcast and social media content should be
W	L.	regulated.
Joshu a	C.	No, I believe the crtc should consider dissolution. At the very least consider complete reformation. Then issue a public statement acknowledging that they are nothing more than a propaganda machine for trudeau's socialist regime and globalist/corporatist agenda.

	1	
		NO, I do not believe the CRTC has the right to censor any
_		platform inside or outside of Canada. They do a lousy job of
Pegg		providing good standards within Canada as it is now. Why would I
У	M.	trust them any further?
Patric		No, I don't believe the CRTC should any control over Canadian
ia	H.	content via any news platforms.
Dami		
en	B.	No, I don't have anything specific
		No, I don't, but thanks for asking. We are just fine the way we are,
		if Canadian content is good we will watch it, what we will not do is
		have the CRTC tell us what to watch, we are adults, and we do
		not need a babysitter to tell us when and what to watch, it is
carla	l.	called choice. The Internet was meant to be free, let it be.
Laine		no, i dont mind cdn content if its comparable quality to american
y	K.	content. but dont force your beliefs on me. i will watch what i like
		No, I think the CRTC should leave the Canadian people alone to
		decide for themselves what content they want to see. I certainly
		do not need a big brother to watch over me and my freedom as a
		citizen of Canada. The CRTC should concern itself with FAIR
		communications practice, for instance, making Canada the
		CHEAPEST place in the world to own a cellphone not the most
		expensive, and NOT be greed driven for the almighty dollar for
Gil	R.	the government.
Taylor	J.	No, I'm unsure.
Jame		No, it's best if there are no more regulations placed appropriate
S	U.	media platforms
Brand		No, just leave it all alone already. Just stop, you're killing the
y	M.	country of Canada's democratic freedoms. It's stupid.
Maxi		
me	C.	No, leave us alone. Less funding from my taxes.
		No, let the people decide. There is parental Controls snd a choice
		to change channels. If elementary kids can learn about pride
Terry	S.	sickness, what is the issue here,Ķ,Ķ.?
		No, none at all, because they should all be left as they currently
Roth	M.	are. As they say, 'don't fix what ain't broke!'
		No, none. The whole thing needs to be scrapped. I recall they
		tried something similar in the 90's with cable tv programming and
		all it did was drive people to alternatives like satellite tv so they
Zac	S.	could consume american and other foreign content. The program

		was a huge waste of taxpayer money that did nothing to help
		Canadians and the government clearly has learned nothing from their past mistakes
Brian	F.	No, other than above mentioned pornography. Opinions, even if it 'offends' some segments of society are a by-product of free speech and necessary in a free society. Disagreement is the diversity we need to protect.
Kathe		
rine	L.	No, scrap the bill.
Mike	L.	No, stop with the regulation, save for hate speech (who determines what is 'hateful'?, slippery slope, just because one finds something disagreeable or contrary to one's own opinions does not make hate) and access to minors (less that 16 years) of harmful content (who decides what is 'harmful'?)
Gurpr		
eet	S.	No, the bill shouldn't exist in the first place
Tarik	Q.	No, the CRTC and the government shouldn't be regulating the internet for us.
Tera me	M.	No, the CRTC needs to not be regulating anything. This is just outright control of Canadian Citizens. No one should be making decisions for the Canadian people but the Canadian people. Bill C-11 is just about government overstep!
Micha el	G.	No, the CRTC should not be regulating any platforms but could work with private platforms to produce Canadian content. However the consumer should have the option of what to choose to watch and no content should not be restricted based on present laws and regulations.
Micha el	M.	No, the CRTC should stay out of my interests and content I wish to consume.
Marc	L.	No, the only criteria that needs to be regulated is the access to the services them selves. Make them for affordable and accessible to every Canadian so one could potentially start an online business from the great north as easily as someone in Montreal or Toronto. I DO NOT want the CRTC to regulate content online at all.
Sari	R.	No, they shouldn't be regulating anything.
Dwig ht	Н.	No, they simply shouldn't be regulating information in a free thinking society.

		No, zero regulation, we have the talent to survive in the streaming
Rob	Y.	industry. Censorship and control is contrary to the Canadian ideal
Chris	G.	no!
Denni		
s	G.	No!
robert	l.	NO! Bill C-11 needs to be removed!
Roch		
elle	F.	No! Leave it alone!
Geor		No! Nothing should be regulated . Free Speech! Free Thought!
ge	H.	Free Choice!
Kevin	۱۸/	NO! Other than what is mentioned above. Bill C-11 should have never been passed.
Christ	VV.	never been passed.
opher	1	NO!!
gail	t.	No!!! Just leave it open
gan	•	NO!!! There need not be any criteria, as I feel this is out of their
Gillia		prevue. The CRTC does not get to choose what I watch, be it
n	A.	Canadian or otherwise.
Chris	B.	No.
Ecate		
rina	C.	No.
Aeron	_	
Blair	Α.	No.
Greg		
ory	S.	No.
Joann	_	No
Mara	C.	No.
Marc Marti	M.	No.
n	K.	No.
Chris	S.	No.
Tanya		No.
Camil	1 \.	
a	A.	No.
Colle		
en	B.	No.
Mark	S.	No.
Hugh	M.	No.

Tama		
ra	W.	no.
Mark	T.	No.
Dany	D.	No. I do not believe that any form on government has the right to control the freedoms and basic rights of All human beings on this planet. I strongly believe in being respectable and responsible for the thing I can control and those I cannot. We need to start to understand what this truly means as decent humans.
Anna belle	S.	No. I strongly disagree with the CRTC interfering with my online experience in ANY way, shape or form.
Willia m	L.	NO. If I don't like something I won't watch it - if other Canadians feel the same way, then that 'product' will fail in our market. If it fails, then the platform will quit of its own volition. We do not need the CRTC or any other Government body to make the decision for us; Canada and Canadians are grown up now and can decide for themselves.
Matt	C.	No. no regulation is needed. This country is a communist nightmare as it is. Where do politicians and bureau rats get it in their small little brains that they need to tell others how to live or what to think. You don't define culture. You have it backwards. Get out of my life!
Patric k	P.	No. None should be.
Trista n	Y.	No. The CRTC should not be regulating content. Trust in the CRTC is extremely low, and nothing good can come from regulation. I decide what I want to watch. I should decide what appears in my feed. Government organizations need to butt out of my life. Less government, not more.
evan	k.	No. The CRTC shouldn't consider anything because they shouldn't exist.
Mike Faye	I. M.	No. Whoever delivers the best content and get the most views is doing a good job and should not be punished for their hard work.  No. But I think Bill c11 shouldn't have passed.
Dento n	V.	No. Censorship is a slippery slope.
Shan e	M.	No. Considering the people ramming the bill through, it's pretty obvious it's a bad idea altogether unless they have something to hide (and this is only going to confirm that fact further)

Derek	W.	No. Don't regulate anything. This is pandering nonsense. People still live in poverty in this country. Crimes happen. Education is atrocious. Fix that stuff
Jonat han	P.	No Don't regulate or evalude anything
nan	Γ.	No. Don't regulate or exclude anything.  No. Every platform, whether it is news, entertainment, or music,
		regardless of how it is served (written, audio, video), should
		adhere to the same criteria. The criteria are - the content should
		NOT be modified or filtered, without the user's explicit consent. If
		the content being served to the user HAS BEEN MODIFIED, it should at all times be made obvious to the user and provide an
Denis	K.	option to see an unmodified version of the platform.
		No. Everyone by a certain age has a sense of right and wrong,
		and they can also choose content that is right for them, while
		staying away from content that is wrong for them. What you are choosing to regulate, is the natural open hearts and minds of free
		thinking people. We didn't once sit down after a hard days work
		and think that our content needs to be regulated. What should be
		considered is all of the people that are forcing and working toward
		this agenda of content regulations on everyday people who want
		their content seen, and want the choice of content they wish to see available. I do not understand how one can work for a
		governing body and not weigh arguments and not have a
		worldview and sense of what is obviously something repressive
		happening across our country, and other countries. At the very
		least, you are a commission, and not a dictatorship. Believe it or
		not, most of us are not here to disrupt anything. We want to be able to share information freely, and connect with our peers, and
		hopefully make a living selling our creative content. We have a
Mark	V.	right to discern information for ourselves no matter the source.
Delin		NO. Free speech is free speech If speech is regulated, it's not
а	Y.	free What is so bloody heart to understand about that.!!!!
		No. I believe in freedom of the press, freedom of speech, and
		freedom of expression. I have the right to be offended by someone else's opinion, and I have the right to offend them back,
		should I choose to do so. Trying to eradicate this philosophy is
		impossible and will only lead to biased censorship designed to
Joe	Ο.	meet a specific agenda, and at great cost to us all.

		No. I believe they should apply c11 only to content creators, (not
		platforms), who are engaging in illegal child pornography. The individual creators should be held accountable, not the whole platform. Sharing information that people don't "like" or isn't popular with government should NOT be grounds for censorship or control. I am very concerned that opinions about what hate
		speech is will drift into censoring freedom of speech on
		controversial issues that have nothing to do with protected
Kate	M.	grounds under the charter rights and freedoms.
		No. I believe TikTok, for example, is quite harmful and there is lots of other internet content that I, personally, object to. But if you open the door a crack, all kinds of other controls seem to find their way in. Those who would give up essential Liberty, to purchase a little temporary Safety, deserve neither Liberty nor Safety.
		Benjamin Franklin I think Canadian content providers are able to
		compete with the world without being sheltered under the wing of
Joe	H.	Big Government.
Opal	C.	No. I disagree with this kind of regulation. I find it incredibly unethical.
Opai	0.	No. I don't believe any platforms should be regulated in this way
		because Bill C-11 goes against the very core of what the internet
Sheld		stands for: freedom of information. Not information served
on	M.	specifically to you because of where you live.
Shan		
non	B.	No. I don't think ANY platforms should be regulated or excluded.
		No. I don't believe in a state controlled media under any
BOB	S.	circumstances.
maria	.,	No. I don't believe in exclusion, or censorship. We do not need to
nna	V.	be told what we can see.  No. It is not any particular governments right to censor any form
		of information in a society that promotes freedom of speech,
		freedom of the thought or freedom of movement. It is up to the
Tyson	B.	individual.
		No. It shouldn't be regulated. The CRTC should only be regulating
		technical standards and the like, not determining what media we
Rod	C.	consume.
Natha		No. Keep it to CBC everything else should be free to broadcast
n	H.	whatever content they want and what is worth while

Andre w	W.	No. Like all the policies from these central authoritarians they are riddled with inconsistencies and fundamental contradictions. On the one hand they force upon us multiculturalism (don't recall ever having been asked to vote for that), and "diversity is strength", and tolerance (or else). Then on the other hand they force feed us "Canadian content", what ever that could possibly mean when half the population of Canada was not even born in Canada or identifies with any of the cultural norms that existed when I was born into this country. So it is so obviously just another ruse to exert ever more control over every aspect of our lives (the exact opposite of protecting democracy as they fake claim).
Dino	D.	No. No Bill C-11, period.
Phil	R.	No. No regulation. Freedom of speech is vital to a democracy
Andri		
а	V.	No. Stop the censorship
		NO. The CRTC has no business determining which online
IRINA	G.	platforms should be regulated!
Sue	D.	No. The freedom to speak/post/share should not be prohibited or blocked.
Willia		
m	S.	No. The government should not regulate platforms.
Peter	С	No. The very definition of free speech is that it is free. That means you have to take what you consider the good with the bad. Regulating or excluding views which don't agree with yours means you are afraid of someone else, and you are a coward. An educated population, which can recognise possibly destructive views, is more important than regulation or exclusion.
Sam	C.	No. There should be no regulation at all.
Darrel	J.	NO. They should concern themselves with providing FUNDING to
	S.	Canadian producers of Film and Music ONLY.
Sarah		No. This bill should not have been passed in the first place.
Lily	E.	No. This goes against our Freedom of Speech!!
Alex	Α.	No The CRTC should leave it alone. Who are they to decide! Freedom is a beautiful thing and the public can make or break bad things by the click of their mouse.
Dimer	۸	Nobody watches the watchers, there is zero accountability in the government funded and approved media. We need free
Byron	A.	alternatives otherwise how can a balance be found? Never forget

		in 1940s Germany Aushwitz was a legal means to regulate undesirable human content from the general population.
Peter	B.	Non
Charl	J.	
es	S.	Non
		Non il n'ont juste pas a faire a l'intérieur de cela ce n'est pas leur
Kim	B.	affaire
Eduar		
do	C.	Non should be regulated .
Wilm		
er	D.	Non should be regulated. Where did freedom of choice go??
HéI ène	L.	Non, cela doit rester tel que c'est actuellement. La liberté de choisir, de communiquer, de visionner, d'écouter ce que je veux. Le CRTC ne doit pas s'immiscer dans ce que les gens visionnent, sauf la pornographie infantile. Ça doit être réglementé, cela doit cesser. Laisser les enfants tranquilles, vivre leur vie d'enfants. Cela devrait être banni partout.
Brad	D.	None
Charl		
ena	J.	None
DON		
ALD	D.	NONE
Gord		
on	J.	None
shirle		
У	d.	none
Doug	P.	none
Earle	P.	None
Ed	G.	None
Ferna		
nd	S.	None
Jeffre	_	NONE
у	В.	NONE
Jodi	T.	None
John	Χ.	None
Lorne	T.	None
lynne	C.	none
marc	S.	none
Mark	S.	None

ı 'illegal'
phelia,
ng a
ain for
ıld be
an the
!!
cause
perience

John	C.	None of it should be regulated. No one should be regulating what content a person sees. That's not democratic
Brian	C.	None of our platforms require further regulation.
Jydee	0.	recite of our planetime require farther regulation.
Kyle	S.	None of the above
Ttyle	0.	None of the platforms listed in Question 1 (e.g. Netflix, YouTube,
		etc.) should count as broadcasters under Bill C-11. So perhaps a
		criteria to exclude a platform from being a broadcaster should be
		the fact that it is based on the internet rather than radio or
Jaden	S	television.
Jauch	0.	None of the platforms should be related. I know that would mean
		the CRTC wouldn't be able to justify their jobs. All we want from
		you is to make sure our crazy communist driven prime minister
Cindy	S.	doesnt censor us.
RICH	0.	NONE OF THEM SHOULD BE CONTROLLED. Where are
ARD	N.	Russia?
Ben	S.	None of them should be regulated in this way.
Donn	0.	Trone of them should be regulated in this way.
a	S.	None of them should be regulated or excluded.
Marc	0.	Trone of them should be regulated of excitated.
elo	L.	None of them should be regulated. Just leave us alone!
Aman	<u>-</u> -	Trone of them should be regulated, bust leave as alone.
da	D.	None of them should be unless they are Canadian owned.
du	<u>.</u>	None of them should be whatsoever, creators will be punished by
		the platforms and their content will not get placement in
		international feeds if their content isn't popular by merit alone. If
		Canadians don't want to watch their videos, if they skip over
		them, or if they watch only a few minutes, these videos get
		flagged as undesirable to watch and will not get pushed to
		international consumers feeds. There is NO WAY AROUND THIS.
		It's how algorithms work. Also, I deserve and expect a merit
		based feed that is catered to my interests. I have not allowed
		these platforms to collect my personal data for nothing, I expect a
		viewing experience catered to what they determine by merit.
		Government has no right to intervene in my media, and the mere
		idea of that is historically and literally frightening. There are also
		many ways around this, and those ways will only cost moderately
		tech-savvy Canadians more money to run, if they choose to do
David	C.	so.

PATR		
ICIA	W.	none of them you have no business controlling free speech.
Sloan		
е	W.	None of them! No platforms require meddling by the CRTC.
		None of them. More government propaganda. Instead of
		censoring the media why not work with the amazing artists this
Dale	W.	country has.
		None of them. We should be free from our feeds and content
Jason	C.	manipulated by the CRTC.
		None of these platforms should be regulated in the sense of only
		showing what Justin Trudeau wants me to watch or straight up
		only stuff from canada. If I want to watch a music video, a gaming
		video, tech review video, a rant video or a tutorial video from
		someone residing in a different country, I would want my
1 4:	_	recommendations based on what I watch, not just stuff from
Justin	F	Canada.
Dill	C.	None of these platforms should be regulated, as long as they're
Bill	<b>U</b> .	telling the truth; only the truth and nothing but the truth.
		None of your business at all don't need you near any contrv¥le on
Louis	G.	wich platform should be regulated. No crtc régulation on anything
Matth	G.	anyumig
ew	R.	None ought be regulated.
Edwa	1 \.	None regulated and exclude all. You will go after the true press
rd	V.	and not he bought press.
Doree		NONE SHOULD .BE REGULATED, ONLY THÉ LIÉS FROM
n	J.	THÉ GOVERNMENT.
Pauli		
na	K.	None should be excluded - period!
		None should be excluded and the same regulations should apply
		no segregation. We don't have enough Canadian contents to
		make streaming media work and be appealing and the news is
		poorly sourced and documented. It's a country we are
		geographically isolated and have one little choice and this is so
Sam	D.	depressing culturally speaking we are not spoiled.
stace		
у	m.	None should be excluded.
Phylli		
S	F.	None should be excluded.

		None should be excluded. We are able to choose what
		information we want to access ourselves. No governing body
Dana	B.	should be able to choose that for us.
Kristi		
ne	C.	None should be government regulated by a bill.
		NONE SHOULD BE REGUALTED. Covid coverage was PROOF
		of that. Unless some form of communication calls for violence,
LC	C.	ALL VOICES SHOULD HAVE A PLATFORM.
Yvon		
ne	M.	None should be regulated
Hilary	S.	none should be regulated
Bruce	D.	None should be regulated
Carol		
yn	D.	None should be regulated
Micke		
У	A.	None should be regulated
tara	G.	None should be regulated
Susa		
n	V.	none should be regulated
Natali		None should be regulated It is not their business nor call to make
е	B.	!
Norm		
an	S.	NONE should be regulated ! ALL are for MY CHOICE!
		NONE SHOULD BE REGULATED AT ALL. Bill C-11 is huge farce
		of a waste of time. GET THE GOVERNMENT OFF OF MY TV
Brian	M.	SET!!!!!!
Detek	H.	None should be regulated by bill c-11
		None should be regulated by our government. Freedom to create
		and release content Canadians are interested in will regulate
lars	n.	itself, if the content is what people want.
Cliff	B.	None should be regulated by them.
		None should be regulated by this nonsense. If the CanCon is a
		quality product, and is produced and advertised effectively (with
Steph		the help of TAXPAYER money nonetheless), then it should be
en	D.	able to succeed on its own.
TATIA	_	NONE SHOULD BE REGULATED IN THE DEMOCRATIC
NA	T.	SOCIETY
Wayn		L
е	W.	None should be regulated or excluded!

Nikki	H.	None should be regulated or excluded.
Scott	G.	None should be regulated or excluded.
		None should be regulated other than sex/porn,this is only a
		scourge to our society . Same as LGPTQ ,Ķwart If you want this
Kirby	T.	garbage it can be searched elsewhere!
		None should be regulated unless it involves criminal activity.
Shan		Unfortunately the government promotes this in the political realm
е	B.	currently so highly unlikely it will regulate others.
		None should be regulated, just put up front and center Canadian
		content on Canadian broadcasting media like Radio Canada and
		CTV, simple as that you already have Canadian gouvernment
		funded broadcast. Why control everything? Critic is essential in
		society, freedom of speech is a crucial part of it, if our country
		goes the wrong way we need to know and be able to express
		ourselves freely. I am from Quebec, we've got French promotion
		laws, lack of financial education and politics education, look where it's leading our people Straight into a wall, even faster
		with Legault's media control since the pandemic. I was ashamed
Benoi		of being Quebecer, now I will not even be comfortable telling that
t	M.	I'm Canadian if this continues.
	141.	None should be regulated, other than to ensure that they are not
Greg	S.	regulated from foreign sources
G	A.	None should be regulated, the market can regulate itself
		None Should be Regulated! Just adult content during hours that
Peter	B.	children are watching! That's it!
Jonat		
han	L.	None should be regulated!!
		None should be regulated!!!!!! All should be excluded from any
Elain		regulations and this bill should be publicly burned and never
е	L.	should have came into existence to begin with. End of story.
adjoy		
@sha		L
w.ca	J.	None should be regulated.
CYNT		NONE OLIOUUD DE DECLUATED
HIA	M.	NONE SHOULD BE REGULATED.
Nanc	N 4	Name about disease with the
y Danie	M.	None should be regulated.
Danie	L/	None should be regulated
ı	K.	None should be regulated.

Chest		
er	S.	None should be regulated.
Andre		
w	B.	None should be regulated.
		None should be regulated. People who want local content- search
Dale	D.	for it people who don't- don't.
Brady	K.	None should be regulated. The public has stopped watching the legacy media because they've been paid off by the PM with hundreds of millions of dollars. This is a desperate attempt to force attention onto the corrupt propaganda channels that were once legitimate news. Now they are political or culture war propaganda.
Geoff	NI .	None should be regulated. Have they never board of a VDN2
rey	N.	None should be regulated. Have they never heard of a VPN?
Sylvie	L.	None should be regulated. I didnt vote for any of this. Trudeau didnt ask us at all to vote on this because it wouldnt of pass!!! freedom of speach, freedom of press,freedom of thought we are born Free and no Government has a right to take my freedoms away!!!
Tracie	S.	None should be regulated. It's the Internet. The CRTC has no business telling anybody what they can and cannot watch on the Internet. Maybe they should consider going after paedophile networks, child trafficking, illegal activities, and people who are actually HURTING other people. Stay out of my business! I am a hard-working mother and adult and I don't need to be babysat by the CRTC.
Neil	M.	None should be regulated. Let the people choose freely. None of the CRTCs business.
Jos√ ©	R.	None should be regulated. Other than crime prevention, biased misinformation, libel and defamation by strengthening laws and making access to justice affordable and fair for all.
Alexa		
nder	E.	None should be regulated. That is not their function to begin with.
Brian	W.	None should be regulated. We already have laws to protect people from threats and hateful speech. All people in online platforms need to be held to account for the things they say in public forums. Enforce the existing laws, and block or unfollow the people who's opinions you don't agree with. Free speech means I have the freedom to choose from the things I agree with and

		those I don't. That doesn't work when it's filtered for me. I also don't agree we should be silencing dissenting voices, even outlandish ones. If someone claims the holocaust wasn't real, we need to let that person speak so we know what an idiot they are. They can shout it from the rooftops if they like. But if they start to threaten the Jewish community, then they are crossing into legal trouble. If someone wants to subtly suggest pedophilia is not so bad, let them talk. Then we know who they are, and can keep an eye on them.
Lee	J.	None should be regulated. We are not communist,Ķ,Ķyet.
Anna-		
Marie	S.	None should ever be
Marie		
-Fran		None should. We who are adults can détermine what vantent
се	G.	we view or read.
Geral		
d	F.	None that I can think of at the moment
joann		
е	r.	none this is a free country and should stay that way
Maeg	L.	None this is communist russia style control over what you can and cannot watch and I think it should be left to Canadians to decide that for themselves don't need government approval
Chery		
ı	W.	None we can make our own decisions about content.
andre	I.	None whatsoever regulation of content on the internet should not be something that the federal government or the crtc is involved with if the crtc is going to do anything in Canada than lower our rates of access for web services and mobile internet and Mobility phone plans as in 50% less to bring us on par with Europe
Kyler	B.	None, Bill C-11 is bullshit, and I'm Canadian born and raised.
Trevo		
r	M.	None, enough is a enough
Bradl		None, none of them should be regulated. Canadian content will
еу	L.	do better without this bill.
Ghisl		None, they should not be regulating any, freedom of speech and
ain	L.	choice should prevail.
Paul	B.	None, they shouldn't interfere in an open and public internet.
Glen	R.	None, we are suppose to be free.

Steph	_	None, whatsoever. Just keep doing what you were doing prior to
an	T.	this bill. Classifying instead of censoring.
Juliet		none; we do not need more regulations censoring (or dictating)
a <del></del>	C.	what we are allowed to see/view/hear in the internet
Tino	P	None!
Barry	T.	None! Do not regulate anything.
		None! Leave be! The crtc should not regulate anything or any platform from the internet! By forcing Canadian content or
		regulating approve news sources you're losing honesty and
		content! The only internet or platform you should monitor is the
		dark web! you won't stop child porn already why do the easy!
		Crack down on child porn please! If you can create an
		investigative agency to do that, it would be a start! I really don't do
		Facebook, instagram! Most of my online is gaming,
		YouTube,twitter atm! Twitter and YouTube do a decent job of
		monitoring hate speech and bad content! The government is not
		always the best to do this! I have not seen or heard of an outcry
		for this bill! Only from the government! When the government
		wants something society seems to suffer! Free speech and
		Canadian content are thriving in Canada atm! Mostly Canadian
		content but free speech is under attack! I have to question why
		does the government want this? Society did not ask for this!
		Corporate interests did! Global, ctv, cbc! Thank you for your time
Justin	D.	and efforts in ready this!
		None! No regulation! It's none of your business what the fee
B.T	G.	population sees.
Nona	K.	None!!!
Paul	D.	None.
Norm		
an	H.	None.
renee	b.	None.
_	_	None. Because this shouldn't even be a debate. Very
Aaron	P.	disappointed in our country, and so called leaders.
Christ		
opher	H.	None. Repeal it
		None. The CRTC should not be determining which platforms to
0		regulate . Let us determine that for ourselves. I do not want a
Gwen	U.	bureaucracy regulating what I am allowed to view or read.

Tamm		None. There should not be any kind of regulations. This goes
У	R.	against freedom of speech. Period.
Ron	P.	None. But if they're government funded get rid of them.
Gillia		
n	A.	None. Hands off.
Trudi		None. I honestly would prefer if you didn't try to regulate platforms
е	M.	and just let people watch the stuff they want to.
		None. If a platform can't support itself without the help of the
		CRTC, they should go out of business. You should not force
Scott	G.	Canadians to watch whatever you deem important.
		None. If CRTC wants me to watch Canadian content that content
		must be of a quality equal or better than foreign content. I should
		be able to select for myself based on my preferences. Some
		Canadian programming isn't worth broadcasting and I shouldn't
		be forced to indulge it. Some foreign content is also unworthy. I
Elean		am intelligent enough to make my own choices. Let me make
or	S.	them.
0		None. Keep your nose out of those that pay an internet bill's
Corey	S.	business.
		None. Leave this alone the way it or expand it Canadian content
		or not! Do not force me to watch a certain type of viewing as I'll
Ctoph		cancel all my Canadian subscriptions and in all reality you'll never
Steph	L.	stop anyone from watching what they want! There are ways around everything!
en	L.	None. No content should be regulated. And if content should be
		regulated, let the parents do that like they have always done.
		Censorship was never done by the good guys. This bill needs to
Brad	W.	be reversed.
2.44		None. No regulations for individuals and online services.
		Particularly since they don't (typically) receive any funding that
		would require oversight. Those broader public MEDIA services
		(CBC, CTV, etc.) that are funded with tax dollars to provide a
Amy	V.	Canadian service - regulate them, as they receive public funding.
		, , , , , ,

None. No regulations. Everything excluded, or its all over; everything henceforth only deserves to be pirated, taken by the people for free, absolute. These are the terms, and will be unbendable, as I don't wish to support a business that doesn't value me. That is inhuman, for humans to devalue other humans. It's not really what I meant about my secret solution to bypass this corporate invasion, that was something different. I'm just advising that I and many others would likely have no more qualms about illegal distribution if we people, or customers are no longer valued properly in the trade of goods. What business operators expect to succeed by immobilizing their customers from being satisfied with the product? Did you ever think of that, CRTC? If you think our choice no longer matters, or never mattered, wellI never accepted anything under contract. Every click on terms of agreement are just lies. Just because the action is made doesn't mean it's sincere, so it doesn't even count legally. I came in from day 1 only valuing free, no prices, all free and open knowledge, and no suit in a workforce has any choice or say in my mind. Now they never will, there's not even a chance of redemption. So the feeling is mutual. My aggression may not reflect that well, but I should hope my purpose for it is clearly just and would be seen as acceptable in the eyes of higher powers. My passionate burning drive for freedom, justice, fairness, the peaceful easygoing day utopia I was promised from babyhood is why I am hereby revoking your rights to even enforce or attempt to pass Bill C-11. CRTC, what I would like you to do isreally think long and hard about my above question I presented. What business operators would expect to succeed by making decisions/actions that ultimately immobilize their customers from being satisfied with the product? Get back to me when you come up with your findings. Thanks for your time. God keep our land glorious and free  NONE. Open it up and let the people decide.  None. Period. If you have	
Thanks for your time. God keep our land glorious and free  NONE. Open it up and let the people decide.  None. Period. If you have to regulate an industry, let it be PBS TV stations.  None. See above.  None. The government of Canada or CRTC has no business telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to regulate what every single user can publish online is	everything henceforth only deserves to be pirated, taken by the people for free, absolute. These are the terms, and will be unbendable, as I don't wish to support a business that doesn't value me. That is inhuman, for humans to devalue other humans. It's not really what I meant about my secret solution to bypass this corporate invasion, that was something different. I'm just advising that I and many others would likely have no more qualms about illegal distribution if we people, or customers are no longer valued properly in the trade of goods. What business operators expect to succeed by immobilizing their customers from being satisfied with the product? Did you ever think of that, CRTC? If you think our choice no longer matters, or never mattered, wellI never accepted anything under contract. Every click on terms of agreement are just lies. Just because the action is made doesn't mean it's sincere, so it doesn't even count legally. I came in from day 1 only valuing free, no prices, all free and open knowledge, and no suit in a workforce has any choice or say in my mind. Now they never will, there's not even a chance of redemption. So the feeling is mutual. My aggression may not reflect that well, but I should hope my purpose for it is clearly just and would be seen as acceptable in the eyes of higher powers. My passionate burning drive for freedom, justice, fairness, the peaceful easygoing day utopia I was promised from babyhood is why I am hereby revoking your rights to even enforce or attempt to pass Bill C-11. CRTC, what I would like you to do isreally think long and hard about my above question I presented. What business operators would expect to succeed by making decisions/actions that ultimately immobilize their customers from being satisfied with the
None. Period. If you have to regulate an industry, let it be PBS TV stations.  None. See above.  None. The government of Canada or CRTC has no business telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to regulate what every single user can publish online is	Thanks for your time. God keep our land glorious and free
stations.  None. See above.  None. The government of Canada or CRTC has no business telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to regulate what every single user can publish online is	
None. The government of Canada or CRTC has no business telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to regulate what every single user can publish online is	
telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to regulate what every single user can publish online is	None. See above.
unacceptable.	telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to

No

a Danie

Nicol

N.

A.

B.

Keith D.

Lydia P.

Dougl		None. This is a slippery slope and many legitimate sites may be
as	G.	affected intentionally.
		None I will regulate it myself If I don't like what a platform or a News Outlet put out I will not visit that site and will get my news from somewhere else. I will regulate myself no the
Richa rd	V.	Government/CRTC should not block it form my view. It is my freedom to view it.
Simo	V.	ineedoni to view it.
n	F.	Nono should be .the c-11 should'nt be .
Brian	M.	Nonr
Mark	Н.	Nope
Rober		Nope just leave it be theres nothing wrong with a free market on
t	M.	information.
Colle		
en	C.	nopebill c-11 should not exist
KEVI		
N	F.	Nope don't touch it. Leave it as is.
Ralph & Judy	M.	Not all Canadian for sure & not subsidizing the CBC, ITV & CTV to WHAT they want printed & watched! This is suppose to be a free Country until Trudeau & lackeys decide who can, cannot talk & is dictating what can be seen or said! I will pay for honest news, not disinformation from Govt BUT paid media & rest easy at night that I do indeed have the truth of the World today, NOT what the government wants me to see or hear. I could go live in RUSSIA if that is what I wanted! My grandfather escaped from there at 17 for a better life & here we are with an oppressive, hypocritical, dishonest government!
Kerry	T.	Not anything too add.
edwar		
d	g.	not at this time
Diak	N/I	Not at this time. I am an adult and can make these choices for
Rick	M.	Myself.
Brady Maxin	L.	Not censoring anyone  Not comfortable with letting governments in newer, at the time
e	J.	Not comfortable with letting governments in power, at the time, deciding what we should read etc. Including CRTC.
Antho	J.	acciding what we should read etc. Including Ortro.
ny	M.	Not content delivered over the internet.
Samu		
el	T.	Not everyone wants Canadian content

Cindy	H.	Not everything global is beneficial to Canada!
Viorel		Not familiar with them
Murd		
ock	M.	Not really bad the criteria is clearly shown.
Kimb		
erly	C.	Not regulating at all! Don't agree with this bill.
Vane		
ssa	S.	Not regulations
		Not restrict adults personal choice but somehow set things up to allow parents to protect young people from predation or exposure to excessive sexuality and violence. We should be consumers of online experiences not the other way around. We, and our
J	M.	information shouldn't be the consumed.
Cheu		
h	_	
Yang	L.	Not sure
Sean	F.	Not sure
Justin	D.	Not sure
Miche I	D.	Not sure
Rober		
t	B.	not sure
Rosel		
yn	D.	Not sure
Andre		
w	T.	Not sure
richar		
d	h.	Not sure about this either.
Bever		Not sure who should choose,Ķso political,Ķa non partisan
ley	C.	committee should be available.
Denni s	Z.	Not sure! Need more information. CRTC needs to be completely out of any government control whatsoever. No government interference allowed!
Debor		
ah	B.	Not sure.
Rober		
t	D.	Not sure.

	Not that I believe that the CRTC will listen to anything that anyone
	says because they never listened to us the last time we told them
	we did NOT want to have Canadian CONTENT shoved down our
	throats. That there was better ways of doing it. Video sharing and
	Social Media should be excluded. These are not able to be
	regulated. Seriously. How is CRTC going to regulate YouTube?
	There are MILLIONS of videos. So you are going to block
	everything? So I would not bother to watch anything then. I would
	just not watch anything including Canadian content. I will not have
	CRTC dictate to me what I will or will not watch. CRTC does not
	have the right to tell me what to look at. So go ahead make
	everything Canadian and I will shut it off and then what. So I will
	have no TV or Internet and then what? Shaw/Rogers is going to
	be happy with you then right? You wanting to kowtow to the
	legacy media is not going to work. They are a dying breed. You
	cannot keep them relevant. They killed themselves and they have
	to pay the price. Like the malls and other things that used to rule
	the roost they are now paying for their hubris. Newspapers and
	TV are no longer king and if the CRTC screws this up, Canadian
	Content will go the same way. Learn from your mistakes from the
	mess you made with Canadian Content on TV and radio. Radio is
	dead now too. Listen up CRTC. Learn from the past and stop
H.	listening to Legacy media.
	Not the CRTC or any Government should be regulating our online
m.	content. Canada is not a Communist Country!!! Wake Up!!!
	Not too sell your rights online to big corporations who will dictate
	what we can or cannot watch as well what obvious limitations they
A.	will impose on us users and consumers.
G.	NOTHING
	Nothing Internet must stay free or canada will be simply excluded
T.	from the rest of the world
	Nothing needs to be regulated, leave things alone, it's fine the
M.	way it is. I'm sick of this Govt. & all their bills.
R.	Nothing needs to change
	Nothing online should be regulated, the Internet was always
L.	intended to be open and free.
r	т. G. Т. М.

Debra	W.	Nothing requires regulation with the exception of nefarious items, spend your money on sex trafficking, podophiles, illegal drugs, crime (i.e. suspected bombings, murders, kidnappings, theft) and other definitely illegal items and let people choose what they want to see that is legal (not recently made illegal by the government) and vote with their clicks on the internet.
Tamm		Nothing should be censored, it is up to us as adults to censor
у	M.	ourselves and our children from content we do not agree with.
Gwen	W.	Nothing should be excluded
		Nothing should be excluded, nothing should be controlled by the
Ed	K.	government where they can dictate the outcomes and sources.
Harry	В.	Nothing should be excluded. Let each of us decide what makes sense, or entertains us. And what to ignore. Perhaps a grading system similar to that for movies would help us decide what to pay attention to. Perhaps crowd-based rating/voting could help change a content's grade.
Ron	C.	Nothing should be forced. Leave it alone.
Beth	D.	Nothing should be regulated
Eli	J.	Nothing should be regulated
Tracy	C.	Nothing should be regulated
Andre		Nothing should be regulated
Lanzi	U.	Nothing should be regulated at allfreedom of the algorithm tools and what surfaces to the search criteria and so on . Forcing Google and the algorithm is hijacking free enterprise and arm twisting . It's backwards to force google to provide what this bill wants .
	<u> </u>	Nothing should be regulated at all, unless it is found illegal under
scott	C.	federal law.
Gavin	M.	Nothing should be regulated by bill c-11
		Nothing should be regulated by C-11. It is a bill that shouldn't
Dante	B.	even exist.
Rida	M.	Nothing should be regulated by you guys when it comes to media.
Luba	V.	Nothing should be regulated especially Rumble, Rebel news, TikTok, etc. These news platforms should be allowed to post whatever news they have. Information was never regulated so why should it be now
Rober ta	J.	Nothing should be regulated except certain graphic content like pornography and content containing assault and death. (it should be unavailable to minors). Every adult person should have the

		choice of what they want to consume. Forcing Canadian content is unreasonable. We are not children. We can discern and make
		our own choices.
		nothing should be regulated in any forms, keep Internet and
tony	l.	media platform free.
		Nothing should be regulated in my oponion. Let people choose for
M.A.	L.	themselves. We are supposed to be a free country.
Steph		Nothing should be regulated in this manner. This is not what the
anie	P.	people want.
Terry	T.	Nothing should be regulated its supposed to be a free market.
Deay		
ne	S.	Nothing should be regulated on the world wide web!
Karon	F.	Nothing should be regulated or excluded at all period
Debor		
ah	S.	Nothing should be regulated or excluded under Bill c-11.
	_	Nothing should be regulated or excluded. But I wouldn't mind
lan	B.	having Canadian content easy to access when I choose to.
		Nothing should be regulated or excluded. It should be my
A m dina		personal decision as to what I watch and allow through to my feed
Andre	C	and what I don't. I am an adult. I do not need the CRTC to make
а	S.	my decisions for me! Thank you very much!  Nothing should be regulated or excluded. Freedom of speech
		should be for everyone not just those we agree with. Let adults
		decide for themselves. It's called freedom of choice. I feel the
		remedy for bad speech is more speech with counter arguments
Karen	M.	not less speech.
10.10.1		Nothing should be regulated u der bill c11 because there shouldn't
		even be a bill c11. The ramming through it received so the
Shaw		Liberals can become the communist dictators that Juston so
n	B.	admires just shows you waht they will do to get and keep power.
Kevin	B.	Nothing should be regulated under this illegal authoritarian bill.
		Nothing should be regulated unless lives are directly threatened
		with violence and also the sexualization of children must be
		stopped in all forms on all platformsthat includes in the schools
Sharo		as welladults have no place in the the gender identification or
n	B.	sexualization of minors EVER!!
Moha		
mma	N.	Nothing should be regulated!

d-Ami		
n		
Carla		
М	W.	Nothing should be regulated! Abolish the bill!
Keeg han	W.	Nothing should be regulated. It's a shame that we operate like a communist country.
Carly	R.	Nothing should be regulated. Bill C-11 should not have passed and should be removed immediately.
John David	E.	Nothing should be regulated. I do NOT agree with hate speech but there should be freedom for people to say whatever they want.
Kevin	D.	Nothing should be regulated. If I want to watch something let me watch it.
Micha el	C.	Nothing should be regulated. There is no need for insuring Cdn content. Stations should have to compete for viewership by putting on content that viewers want.
Marti an	D.	Nothing should be regulated. This is a wrong way of thinking. Freedom of content is the way to go. Also, communist content from China should be totally abolish. Same for other dubious regimes in the world (Russia and other in kind). C11 should not exist.
Cindy	G.	Nothing should be regulated. We are sentient beings, able to decide what we watch or don't watch. If we can allow grown men to parade around naked in public, we can let people decide what they want to see or hear on the media.
Ruper		
t	B.	Nothing should be Caradian tales are the higgest
aidan	G.	Nothing should be. Canadian telecommunications are the biggest joke in the world. We have the biggest oligarchy for telecommunications in the world and yet we still pass bills like this thinking we have a strong infrastructure when last year we lost half of the nations telecommunications for a whole day - even
Ethan		Nothing should ever be regulated by the CRTC.
Linda	S.	Nothing should ever be regulated by the CRTC.  Nothing should excluded as I am adult and can monitor for myself what I watch and don't watch and I can figure for myself what is "misinformation and disinformation. He is treating us like we are a bunch of simpletons and I for one do not appreciate that.

wayn e	f.	Nothing should regulated or excluded except pedophilia and any form of child exploitation.
Rob	M.	Nothing specific beyond not regulating them, period.
		Nothing specific, but whatever they do it should be VERY
		specifically targeted and not be too broadly applied so that it
Tracy	G.	negatively impacts online access to any information.
		Nothing specific. One thing I believe will increase on TV and radio
Darrel		will be the First Nation content. It's good for them but too much of
I	S.	fringe content, you will lose you viewer all together.
		Nothing too grotesque the can be offensive to others or harmful to
Fadia	K.	children.
Nicol		
as	G.	Nothing. Let the people see what they fucking want.
Pame		No dit - Obild to fishing Doctorit - Original Hate
la	D.	Nudity Child trafficking Profanity Criminal Hate
lonio		Nudity. Child pornography. Child luring type sites. Should all be
Janic	N.	excluded. Pornography in general (since it exploits women - many trafficked)
е	IN.	O am against any government body regulating media beyond
Mark	C.	criminal content period.
WIGHT	0.	Obvious exclusions we are manipulated daily by false news
		feeds. This is the largest task we face as consumers of social
Laura	S.	media.
		Obviously some things should not be allowed but not when it
		supports corporate interests, pharmaceutical, political agendas
Dean		and doesn't allow equal opportunity for both sides of an issue to
е	S.	be expressed.
		Often because an actor is Canadian, the production is seen as
		Canadian. That is obviously absurd and there should be stricter
		laws for large corporations to make productions in Canada using
Kerry	C.	Canadian talent.

On the one hand, I don't like the idea of forcibly surfacing irrelevant or poor-quality Canadian content to people who don't want to see it, including Canadians. I think our breakout hits are few and far-between. However, I don't know how you get the next Rick Mercer Report or the like in todays digital climate without some sort of promotion. Technically, I think most of these sites with algorithms or that \*make content decisions\* are either a broadcaster or a publisher, so do with that what you will. Sites that DO NOT make those decisions and just display exactly what you've asked for, I think don't count. If it's a mix it's a grey area - if you have discoverability sections that make some form of recommendations. I don't think it's a terrible idea to have a way to surface local content, if it's feasible. Be lenient on the small guys. That's why I neglected to answer the question above of Which online services do you think should be regulated as broadcasters under Bill C-11?, I think it might be more nuanced than that. I also want to say, just giving funding to Canadian creators wont necessarily help on its own, we need homegrown streaming services (No, not Telus' PikTV or whatever junk the telcos peddle), and we need creators with businesses that aren't tied to platforms. Think Linus Media Group (big enough to be building a streaming platform), LoadingReadyRun (has a website and store to have a hub outside of major platforms), You Suck At Cooking (YouTuber that made a cookbook). We need more indie, local people/business making things by us, for us, so that's how I think this bill should be used - to spur that. This is a little difficult to keep straight because there's 2 types of content being discussed here - social media and TV/movies, but hopefully what I've written makes sense. Once again, I am against the CRTC regulating \*any\* platform. individual, or company for the purposes of Bill C-11, but to get into particular areas of concern for me, any site where an individual can post their own user generated content should \*not\* be regulated under Bill C-11, full stop. This is included, but not limited to YouTube, internet forums, Pixiv, Newgrounds, and even blasted platforms like Tumblr, Reddit, deviantART, Twitter, Twitch, Facebook, and Instagram. Side note, reminder that TikTok still needs to be banned. One absolute is that the CRTC, which is a Canadian main stream media dominated board, is in conflict with content creators

Jacob P.

Myles M.

C.

Steph

en

		particularly the little guys! I don't think I can trust their impartiality in regulating online content!
		One criterion: FREE SPEECH, NOT WOKE SPEECH!!
Gary	W.	CHARTER RIGHTS RESPECTED, NOT WOKE AGENDAS!!
		One of the main objectives of Bill C-11 is to strengthen privacy regulations. However, critics argue that it falls short in safeguarding individuals' privacy rights. The bill grants more power to the government and corporations, allowing for increased
		data collection and sharing without adequate transparency or consent. Such provisions open the door to potential abuses and
laor	\/	infringement on Canadians' privacy, undermining the very
Igor Nanc	V.	essence of privacy protection.
y	A.	ones that provide royalties to writers should be identified on their displays
Danie I	G.	Ones that receive funding from the government.
Tony	C.	Online censorship should be abandoned and resisted at all costs.
Natha	<u> </u>	Online platforms are not broadcasters and should not be
lie	C.	regulated as such.
Jame s	C.	Online platforms should all be excluded and bill C-11 should not exist as it is.
	<u> </u>	Online search engines, and social media should absorb be
Peter	Н.	excluded.
Fadi	K.	Only age appropriate content
Valeri		
е	T.	Only age appropriate content should be regulated.
cornel ius	V.	Only age related regarding sex scenes and violence. Younge people should not have access to explicit materials.
Larry	G.	Only as described above.
		Only broadcasters that are heavily subsidized by the government
Dylan	B.	should be regulated.
Sarah		Only canadian ones.
Jeew		
an		Only Canadian platforms or companies that receive tax payer
jyot	S.	money should be regulated.
Grah		
am	M.	Only canadian television, nothing on the internet.
Harde		
V	S.	Only cbc!

		Only commonsial whatfarms (and the ODO) that intentionally
		Only commercial platforms (and the CBC) that intentionally
المرينة ما	۱۸/	compete with Canadian private sector platforms deserve some
David	VV.	regulation.
01 - 1		Only content providers receiving Canadian government subsidies
Stuart		for media production.
Sylvie	L.	Only criminal activity should have some form of regulation.
		Only government funded should be regulated- and which they can
Б.		fund should be regulated. Leave google and YouTube out of it go
Paul	D.	sure.
		Only harm harassment and hatespeech should be moderated and
		regulated that aside the decision should be left to the viewer what
		they watch at home as long as it doesn't show the
Owen	N/I	marginalization, harassment or extreme hatred of other human
OWEII	IVI.	beings.  Only how much Canadian content makes sense to push for (a
Julian	P	minimum of 30% should be reasonable).
Lamb	1 -	Thirminally of 50 /0 should be reasonable).
ert	D.	Only illegal ones. Illegal because of pornographic nature.
CIL	D.	Only large commercial operators of streaming services - likely
		with a threshold of paid subscribers in Canada should be
Amos	L.	regulated this way.
Alisha		Only large commercial platforms, not social media
Alisiia	11.	Only large, TV like platforms like Netflix and etc with production
		budgets over certain amount could be even considered for
		regulation (and I'm not saying they should), but trying to regulate
		small companies like, say, BritBox (the whole point of which is to
		serve foreign content), let alone sites like YouTube is
Volod		undemocratic and it is bot Canadian government business to
ymyr	G.	know or decide what videos I am watching there.
yiiiyi	J.	only official channels of the Canadian government should be
		regulated and fact checked because the Canadian government is
		one of the largest sources of misinformation on the internet. You
		all know what needs to be done just start doing your job for the
		people of Canada not your globalist bosses and everything will be
Jason	T.	fine.
2 2.2 3.1		Only one: butt out and let Canadians make their own media
		choices. Zero platforms should be regulated or excluded
Sarah	M.	regardless of Ottawa's ideological and authoritarian meddling.
Kerri	M.	Only ones that are inappropriate.
		and and markers make along to

Diana	M.	Only ones that say only what the government tells them to and won't speak truth, they should be censored because I want to hear truth from the news, not lies. That is what media is supposed to be, a voice of truth!
Gerry	L.	Only phishing or scam articles that cause identity theft or theft of money or other frauds.
David	G.	Only platforms that do not perform due diligence should be regulated or fined, if any. Truly harmful content should be regulated by platforms. Instead there should be reports to assist consumers/citizens to make educated decisions. Eg pornhub's changes to remove un-validated content creators, is meant to discourage sexual abuse otherwise. No mandates otherwise. Enforcing nationism is a seemingly dangerous notion.
DAN	K.	Only platforms that produce legitimate shows that are a series should be considered.
Lisa	K.	Only platforms that push for pedophile or child/human trafficking or call to harm children or anyone/anything needs to be excluded and or arrested.
Carla	P.	Only platforms/services that produce primarily Canadian content and benefit from supports like the Canada Media Fund should be regulated under Bill C-11.
Julia	A.	Only pornography should be prohibited especially of minors!!!
Doug	В.	Only publishers should fall under regulations. Platforms are simply an exchange of ideas. Mainstream news who purport to only publish verifiable facts need a public mechanism to question and hold them accountable. Mainstream news that receive government funding must report all facts, not just facts popular with those funding or their advertisers. Platforms should be held accountable that they are only platforms with failure to do so resulting in being reclassified as publishers.
Melan ie	B.	Only regulate adult porn and eliminate child porn or sex trafficking promoting video content.
Thom	_	
as	B.	Only regulate public broadcasts
Eric	S.	Only regulate subscription services that are obviously replacing cable subscriptions or broadcast TV.
Manu el	G.	Only safety and misinformation in social media/video sharing should be considered. For the rest they should stay away from consumer choice and a free content market.

		Only services that provide television and radio broadcast should be subject to regulation. Not sites were the public make content.
Rael	J.	Since forcing this will only censor and hurt them.
Scott	X.	Only services which are publicly funded or benefit from public financial support in some manner should be considered for regulation.
Julia	G.	Only sexually inappropriate or extreme hate speech should be regulated. All other content needs total freedom of expression no matter how true or untrue, Canadian or not it may be. This is why we have the Charter of Rights and Freedoms.
Andre		Only that Canadian internet users have a choice and not be
w	F.	forced or denied content
Lori	J.	Only that it is unconstitutional to uphold this law. Be of and for the people, not against them. I have faith that you know what's right and will act accordingly.
		Only that which contravenes Canadian law, NOT that which
Anna	D.	disagrees with current ideologies promoted by government.
Andre w	A.	Only the largest platforms should be regulated under C-11.  Netflix, Disney+, Prime, etcetera. I don't have a specific criteria, but it should only be those streaming giants which effectively replace cable for users that have these requirements placed on themand even then, the requirements should be carefully selected so as not to damage Canada's entertainment landscape. Niche content should be excluded, as should smaller services.
Kit	J.	Only the queers that are in my world pedophiles getting turned on when dancing for children and i have seen videos with their genitalia falling out. This is the most disgusting thing happening in our own countey right now.
Dr K.	A.	ONLY the truthful facts with valid evidence can be the criterion for self-regulation. NO external regulation needed. Are you a Communist?
Jason	R.	Only thing that may possibly require regulating is kids channels
Kara	Н.	Only traditional broadcasters. Don't ruin streaming. People will just go back to pirating
Loga n	B.	Only TV and radio should be filtered. The Internet? NO. Do NOT filter the Internet. It's always a slippery slope filtering the Internet. I want to watch what I want to watch instead of someone telling me what to watch.

Gabri el	N.	Only TV should be regulated. Not the internet. If you start regulating the internet then you might as well call yourself China or North Korea. I'm exaggerating but little by little it could get there.
Scott	G.	Open internet
April	P.	Other than breaking existing speech lawsthere should be NO regulation of contentCanadian or otherwise.
Terra nce	R.	Other than child pornography, the flow of information and content should not be regulated.
Victor ia	L.	Other than discouraging violence and pornography, we should have the freedom to choose what we want to watch.
Edwa rd	D.	Other than truly offensive material (hard core porn) (challengeble) they should basically keep their distance.
Troy	G.	Others know more than me on this.
Luc	F.	Oui L'age pour la diffusion, Les streamers ne devraient pas $\sqrt{\mbox{\tiny TM}}$ tre circoncis qu'au Canada. Le contenu des diffuseurs autres que les canadiens devraient $\sqrt{\mbox{\tiny TM}}$ tre r $\sqrt{\mbox{\tiny B}}$ glementer. Nous devrions partir un r $\sqrt{\mbox{\tiny C}}$ seau social canadien pour contrer Meta et autres. Une loi pour qu'ils paient des redevances en vertu des publicit $\sqrt{\mbox{\tiny C}}$ sur leur site am $\sqrt{\mbox{\tiny C}}$ ricain.
Morg		
an	S.	Our freedoms as citizens
Charl	N.	Our fundamental rights and freedoms Our rights and freedom of religion, freedom of beliefs, our freedom of speech, freedom of the press according to our charter of human rights and freedoms. We the people should be allowed to decide for ourselves what we want to see, say and watch even if others do not agree with it. This is what makes Canada a democratic country and gave us our human rights and no authority should be able to over step that
Rach elle	S.	Our personal content/and online creations should not be regulated unless it's abusive content. This is a secret ploy to censor real information from Canadians. The Federal government needs to back off. STOP this abuse of power and trash this bill.
Chad	C.	Our right freedom of thought and information. You do not decide anything for any one.
G	S.	Our rights being taken from us just because we're Canadian we shouldn't be forced.
Jorda n	S.	Outside of ethical reasons, the government should not determine where and how Canadians get their information and

		entertainment. If they want to promote Canadian content, which I do as well, provide more resources for Canadians to create competitive content.
Christ ain	J.	Over I hate the very idea of this bill and it's utterly disgusting that our government is trying to control our life's like this. I believe this affect our rights and it's definitely not in any way for the better. I don't think the bill should exist this is just working of some power hungry people and it's sad I fear for what I future looks like
Ron	H.	Ownership Matters. All of Social Media is owned by individuals (or Gov'ts), who could not care less about the damage their platforms are causing to our societies. Demand Canadian Autonomy, on our side of the border. Offer to pay for it. If they don't agree? Ban the whole damn platform. (We'll all bitch & scream for a while, but we'll get over it Maybe even go outside again?) We need to somehow enforce a No Mis/Disinformation system, which protects Canadians from the Damage Of Lies. Somehow block access to proven Bad-Actors, from Canadian screens; force these owners to hire a (oh my) gatekeeper team, that stops the garbage from being available in Canada. I know Pipe-Dream But we gotta do something. No?
Earl	C.	Paid account online services are not broadcasting.
Lan	<u>.</u>	Paid streaming services should be regulated only in the manor I
Adam	F.	described in question 3.
Charl es	B.	Pedophilia and any sexual related content of any kind that could be directed towards children should be regulated
Craig	B.	Pedophilia should be censored and any violent crimes. They are available and contribute to the massive child sex trade that is going on.
Debra	C.	People can make their own decisions about what they wish to see and not see. Parental control options can assist not government!! The government over reach in Canada is threatening and despicable.
Marle ne	S.	People gave their lives so that Canadian individuals could have the freedom to choose how they would live their lives so long as it did not restrict or inhibit choices of others. We are free people who will not be dictated to - in every area of life.
Lee	S.	People Good news DOES NOT SELL its that easy to figure out the Media(NewsStations and channels)will always sensationalize everything they broadcast and are funded by the Governmentenough Said!!!

Philip	M.	People know how to google Canadian content if they want it. I cancelled Netflix and Crave already due to content creation. I stopped watching most current tv shows due to mandated content. Additional changes will likely lead to me seeing less.
David	G.	People should be given the right to determine which platforms they want to browse and not be regulated or excluded under the government's Bill C-11.
Derek	S.	People should have the freedom to voice their opinions as long as it is done in a respectful manner.
L	B.	People should have the right for an opinion and our tax dollars should not be wasted on trying to change our moral consciences.
Karen	M.	People should have the right to choose what they want to watch or listen to.
Micha el	C.	People's rights to free speech and privacy.
Sheri da	L.	Perhaps a platform with roots in a country that ignores civil liberties, is militarily aggressive or has expansionist desires.
Brend an	H.	Perhaps Canadian promoted content can be flagged with a symbol so consumers know what is being promoted and what is naturally suggested based on their actual preferences.
Micha el	M.	Perhaps NVMOs & Internet access, including PIR/DIR (peak information rates and dedicated information rates) for wholesale/resell of Canadian Internet Connections. If the CRTC allowed Starlink 'Canada' to sell internet to Canadians, why not open the floodgates to AT&T Canada / Charter Canada / Comcast Canada Or, abolish the whole BITS requirement altogether as it clearly doesn't protect the smaller independent ISPs, just the national service providers. Regulate the providers, the connections, and the costs thereof, not the content on the internet itself! It reeks of the great wall of Chinanada.
Justin	V.	Perhaps only platforms or publishers over a certain size threshold. Making restrictions on new or small businesses makes it more difficult to start up a new platform or business.
Aman da	D.	Personal feeds should not be controlled by the CRTC. Videos claiming to be of journalistic nature should be regulated by the same laws that apply to journalists. Canadian content should not be promoted above other content, but more funding should be made available to Canadian producers.

Sean	S.	Personal interests. Offering Canadian content is great and highlighting it is lovely but it should not be at the cost of other content
Alisha	K.	Personal opinions and input should never be dampened. Local businesses and individuals within the country absolutely have the exclusive right to express their values and views regardless if it promotes Canadian culture for the sake of CanCon volume.
Erwin	D.	Personal or not-for-profit endeavours should be excluded. For-profit endeavours that fall below a certain threshold (say, up to \$10,000 in annual revenue) should be excluded. The criteria for determining what is Canadian content should be drastically revised. The current rules lead to ridiculous results.
Phillip	R.	Personally I believe that Bill C-11 should be abolished and there should be no regulation.
Zane	S.	Personally I think the CRTC should not force anything onto any streaming platform or onto the audiences of those platforms. But as a primary YouTube content creator I can see this hurting me more than helping. I really hope they don't force new algorithms onto YouTube or we will likely see more pushback making it actually harder for Canadian content creators. The current system is working for content creators that invest time and energy in creating content that people actually want to watch. If you create videos that people like, the algorithm will push it out to people regardless of where it's made.
Leslie	N.	Personally I think they should consider not being involved. I do believe that because we have no choice they should not make canadian content the primary information we see otherwise we just will expand CBC which I feel has lost its former journalistic role. I think they should careful consider what is really censorship and why free speech debate and having diversity should continue to be allowed and encouraged. The CRTC should not become an arm of the government and have any political leanings and have no censorship role influenced by government.
Jo-An n	R.	Personally, I like to choose what I want to or don't watch I'm a grown up. I'm educated and I think I have enough brainpower to decide what I want to watch or listen to. I'm not a fan of somebody's making decisions for me. I don't know how the government thinks they know better than I what's good for me. They don't.

John	S.	Platforms containing fake news- hate- predigist- anti gay- promotion of all religions - politics promoting only one point of view- The above needs to be controlled- regulated after investigation Scam sites shut down if proven to be Otherwise freedom of choice should rule over monetizing control by governments and big businesses.
Rober t	V.	Platforms controlled by hostile foreign states should be regulated or even banned. TikTok has close ties to the CCP which makes it an information warfare tool. Independent reports have shown the vast differences between the content TikTok surfaces for people in China versus in the West. Foreign users get fed all kinds of divisive, polarizing content and content that is potentially damaging to their mental health.
Solo mon	S.	Platforms don't get to censor the people using them or violate the privacy of said users.
Peter	J.	Platforms from communist China, Communist Russia, and all countries supporting communism in the free world.
Esthe r	L.	Platforms grooming/training children for pornography & pedophilia which violate Canadian laws to protect minors! School curricula and libraries are being illegally used to do the above; mutilating children's minds & bodies with falsehoods that are unscientific, untrue & irreversible also destroying adult ability for choice & children! Gov't. should not be funding some media with tax \$\$ while obstructing some politicians from access and then shutting out/down alternative media we have to pay for to acquire true Canadian news completely! That is Communist/Marxism! We didn't vote for a dictator!!
Scott	V.	Platforms in which individuals create their own content should be left unrestricted. Individuals who modify pre-existing content - such as fan-created video edits of popular Canadian and/or international films and television programs - should also be unrestricted. In both cases, this kind of content should be allowed without restrictions so long as such content does not violate the platforms' rules regarding hateful or abusive content.
Doug	Т.	Platforms need to be regulated if they are showing an obvious, political, religious, or anti democratic agenda. Anything calling itself "News needs to be able to prove their statements with factual data within a reasonable time frame from their posting or lose the designation of being a News source i.e. FOX News is NOT News and should not be allowed to call itself news. Satire

		needs to be designated as satire and not just in some small print nobody will read. It should be at the beginning and end of the piece.
Braed en	C.	Platforms of primarily user generated content, like Instagram, Twitter, TikTok, YouTube, and Twitch
Frase r	E.	Platforms operating in Canada should be required to provide a representation of the cultures they operate in. Otherwise, we lose what it means to be Canadian. What that looks like is the difficult question. I like the idea of being able to customize my media apps to promote Canadian content and I believe media businesses operating in Canada should have to produce and/or give preference to some amount of Canadian content. I also agree with giving grants to support and produce Canadian content. The fear that the govt is going to take away our favorite show or podcasts doesn't make sense. If we didn't have regulations like this we would have very little cultural content or the strong creative industries that exist in this country. We can see the results in countries that have little to no representation in their media landscapes.
Erik	W.	Platforms owned by multi-national companies could be regulated, but any platform hosting primarily user-generated content from the general public (regardless of how business-y the platform or content it) should remain unregulated.
Peter	F.	Platforms should NOT be excluded. The bill is dangerous with government's inherent bias.
Jody	В.	Platforms should not be regulated and individuals should have the right and ability to choose what they want to read and watch without censorship or any kind. A lot of great innovative Canadians have had to move abroad to allow their businesses to grown because Canada doesn't offer the best opportunity to build a global business. This is where we should focus our efforts, support Canadian entrepreneurs not on censoring of what Canadian can access.
		Platforms should not be regulated by either the government or the CRTC (which seems to listen a whole lot more to Bell, Rogers and Telus than to ordinary Canadians or small producers of Canadian content. Bill C-11 is another attempt to fix a problem
Russ Paul	H. H.	that doesn't exist for me or probably most Canadians.  Platforms should not be regulated.
i aui	11.	i lationno silvala not be regulated.

Court		Platforms should not regulated. Citizens have the right to seek out
ney	R.	sources of information and media of their choosing.
		Platforms should simply have warnings about content (nudity
		language, violence, graphic content etc.) And slow people to
Miche		make up their own minds about what they should/shouldn't
lle	m.	see/hear. Let people think and form their own opinions.
		Platforms shouldnt be regulated. Freedom of a open internet for
		all. Freedom of speech on the net. Coporate media being taken
Jonat		off the internet would.be a good start. Independent media should
han	P.	be the new media outlet for people. True news.
		Platforms such as TikTok, YouTube, and other social media
Nadia	A.	should be deliberately excluded under Bill C-11 at least.
		Platforms that actively promote graphic physical violence,
		actionable calls to violence, and pornography should be
		regulated. Any platforms that already have rules against that kind
		of thing and actively work to remove and filter such content should
		be left well enoug alone. Freedom of speech should be
		emphasized on these platforms, even platforms that allow
		so-called hate speech should be left alone. However, there should
		perhaps be stipulations on such platforms that if the CRTC
		receives sufficient complaint regarding alleged hate speech that
		the platforms or the CRTC will provide a trigger warning, a
		disclaimer, and perhaps links to content from the other side of the
Matth		issue, middle ground views, and resources for classes in crictical
ew	S.	thinking and anylasis.
		Platforms that allow anyone with an account to post content
		should only be regulated insofar as they host content from actual
		broadcasters (i.e., news stations, film studios, etc.) and not the
Caleb	M.	general public posting, for example, plumbing tutorials.
		Platforms that allow average users to produce and grow their
		content/brand should not be subject because they are just starting
Karen	G.	out and getting their feet under them.
Thom		Platforms that are based on user-created (such as YouTube or
as	B.	Twitter) should be excluded from Bill C-11's rules.
Cassi		Platforms that are dangerous to children are the only ones that
е	S.	should be regulated or excluded. ( R rated sites and porn )
Marle		Platforms that are funded by governing should be regulated or
ne	B.	excluded

		Platforms that are meant for individual creators (TikTok, YouTube,
		Twitter, Instagram, Etc) should not be regulated by the CRTC.
		There is no reason for our government to influence what content
		we see on the basis that it is supporting Canadian creators. If I
		happen to stumble upon a Canadian content creator that I enjoy, I
		will watch them. The same applies to American and every other
		nationality of content creator. Having content artificially fed to you
		takes away from the personalized experience that each of the
Matth		aforementioned platforms offer. Canadians should be allowed to
ew	C.	determine what they watch without governmental influence.
Gord		platforms that are monetized or create revenue for the video
on	W.	posters . not un monetized social media or personal sharing
		Platforms that are primarily well funded and large should be
		included, and have little of their existence based on user posts.
Will	M.	i.e. Netflix over a Reddit.
		Platforms that are run by or host large multi-national companies
		that intentionally insert known pedophilia symbols & other sexual
		content into products, especially if done surreptitiously & directed
		at children (e.g., Sonic pizza trucks and subliminal words and
		images in Disney films), should be regulated & denied/restricted
		market share. Platforms that are key to ensuring the health &
		viability of the public square, must be excluded from Bill C-11.
		Venues for personal experiences & opinions must be protected. If
		victims & ethical MDs had been allowed to speak freely about the
		devastating injuries from the patented nanotech genetic
		engineering devices/bioweapons falsely marketed as vaccines,
		safe, effective, and ethically approved, then the adult's rollout
		would have been halted & the genetic manipulation & sudden
		death of our children wouldn't have rolled out at all. If Indigenous cultures were shared without the overlay of supranational
		ideologies, traditional stories would be common knowledge. If the
		media ecosystem in Canada was able to openly acknowledge US
		manipulation of Maidan Square, Canadians would not support
		Ukraine Banderite/Nazi leadership & meat grinder. If parents had
		a media voice, porn, dysphoria, indecent exposure & perversion
		of pride (according to members of communities allegedly
		represented) would not promote child grooming & sterilizing
		surgery; our Criminal Code would protect their innocence & allow
		families, education & media to value traditional models. If
Tara	M.	Canadians were allowed to share an accurate depiction of our

		financial infrastructure, they would demand personal & national sovereignty. If our formerly beloved CBC/CanCon actually represented Canada and Canadians, I wouldn't demand it be turned off when I hear it. I don't trust our censorious gov't to define or fund what is Canadian any more than I trust it to define what is safe, effective, the law or an enemy. The CRTC must not censor free speech on any platf
alan	B.	Platforms that essentially broadcast - set CBC-Online as the bench mark for online broadcast and regulate similar online entities and services. Subscription services like AppleTV+ are in the same class as Cineplex - you pick an item and pay for it. Back in the 1950's we watched lots of serialized movies from Hollywood, complete with favourite characters cliff-hanger endings. I don't see that episodic programs like 'Silo' are any different than that. If there is no CanCon for movies at a Cineplex, why would there be one for movie viewing at home?
Judy	D.	Platforms that have shown any legitimately proven evidence of promoting division, hatred & porn. An independent commission that is not receiving any government funding or favours should be the decision makers regarding which platforms need regulating.
Diane	V.	Platforms that have violent or sexual content, should be regulated in the same way television programs and movies are given ratings. Example: G, PG, 14A, 18A, R, A
Brett	D.	Platforms that rely on traditional large scale content and budgets. Examples being TV shows or films with large casts and crews, not independent projects with smaller teams or budgets. As I'm not super familiar with the creation of media I would assume the most important things to monitor are large budgets (and returns on investment.)
Brian	D.	Platforms that seek to interfere with freedom of information.  Platforms that would have a perverted agenda. Platforms that are misleading or unjust, platforms which promote false news which cannot be substantiated.
David	W.	Platforms that show specific content at a specific time, like broadcast tv, should be regulated. Platforms that let one choose what to watch on demand are more akin to selecting a DVD from a box of DVDs and should be excluded.
Radu Andre i	S.	Platforms that stream movies and TV shows are maybe OK but anything that deals with social media should not be included and regulated under bill C-11

be eligible for regulation beyond existing child protection laws.  Jeann e L. regulated Edyth Platforms that use a lot of ˌÄòprofanity' and ˌÄòcrude' expressions should be regulated, or even excluded.  Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor ge K. organ.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like vittler  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			
Evely n Social media by individual creators or creator groups should not be eligible for regulation beyond existing child protection laws.  Jeann e L regulated Platforms that teach our children anything evil should be regulated Platforms that use a lot of "Äöprofanity' and "Äöcrude' expressions should be regulated, or even excluded.  Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Platforms that stream professionally produced media by
Deek C. Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce, heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			corporations should be viable for regulations. Content created on
Deek C. Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce, heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Evely		
Platforms that teach our children anything evil should be regulated  Edyth e C. should be regulated, or even excluded.  Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor ge K. organ.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the		S.	, ,
e L. regulated  Edyth C. Platforms that use a lot of ,Äòprofanity' and ,Äòcrude' expressions should be regulated, or even excluded.  Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Berek C. should not.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Jeann		
e C. should be regulated, or even excluded.  Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	е	L.	, ,
e C. should be regulated, or even excluded.  Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Edyth		<u> </u>
Rober t H. media platforms should be regulated. Open social media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment berek C. should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend On C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	e	C.	· · ·
t H. media platforms should be excluded from this legislation.  Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment berek C. should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Platforms which directly stream content that they have paid for
Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Rober		and that they are charging for should be regulated. Open social
Sean O. from all sources must be removed.  Rege Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	t	H.	
Rege na B. regulated.  Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Platforms which limit, sensor or otherwise exclude open content
na B. regulated.  Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Sean	Ο.	from all sources must be removed.
Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that las S. are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Rege		Platforms with pornography and extreme violence should be
misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment should not.  Geor Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	na	B.	regulated.
Derek C. should not.  Geor ge K. organ.  Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Platforms with the potential to spread fake news and
Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			misinformation should be included, but popular entertainment
ge K. organ.  Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like v G. twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Derek	C.	should not.
Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Geor		Please butt out altogether. The CRTC is a largely discredited
Nicho las S. free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least. please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country. Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like v G. twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	ge	K.	organ.
las S. are good with them, I can live without either.  Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Please do not regulate anything that appears on the internet. Feel
Tim W. Please get out of the way of Canadians.  Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Nicho		free to grab cable and radio and eliminate the the only things that
Brian B. Please give Canadians choices  Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	las	S.	are good with them, I can live without either.
Brend on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Tim	W.	Please get out of the way of Canadians.
on C. Please leave social media alone at least.  please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Brian	B.	Please give Canadians choices
please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Brend		
about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	on	C.	Please leave social media alone at least.
ce c. heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.  Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			please make your consideration as a Canadian and how you feel
Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	beatri		about being Canadian and what that means ,really means in your
Arnau speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	ce	C.	heart not your pocket book,lets keep Canada a proud country.
v G. twitter  Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Please only regulate explicit content and illegal content. Ban hate
Please permit continued free use of the WWW without government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Arnau		speech, fear mongering, genuinely fake news. Do not act like
Carol K. government interference. Should be doable.  Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	V	G.	twitter
Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Please permit continued free use of the WWW without
not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the	Carol	K.	government interference. Should be doable.
Math enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the			Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain we are
			not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had
ew M. option to get real news from non biased news sources and or	Math		, , ,
	ew	M.	option to get real news from non biased news sources and or

		regular streamers. The majority of the misinformation has been coming from our liberal government. We can't allow them to have authority over this. Take it down!
Sanje ev	H.	Please see above.
Beck ee	S.	Please truly honour freedom of speech and consider what is true online harms vs what the government doesn't want us to see in case it goes against their beliefs and goals.
David	S.	Please, eliminate child pornography. Do not censor independent news outlets.
josep h	m.	Podcasting should never be considered as broadcasting. The consumer already has so much individual control over what they would like to hear. Regulation in this space will only serve to stifle the grassroots voices.
Jeff	S.	Political entities, including the federal government and corporations, should have strict limits on platforms they may use to promote their interests/agendas.
Tracy	L.	Political party funding that try's to control the narrative based on the current elected political party's agenda, Corporate medicine and big Pharma advertisings
Nanc y	E.	Political platforms and/or groups who promote their own ideology and religious beliefs that is potentially causing harm to any human being. Politicians are supposed to be neutral and act for for all the people in their ridings/provinces/country, not spew their own personal beliefs.
Annet te	M.	Porn
Denni s	B.	porn
Lisa	K.	Porn Pedos Human trafficking
jennif er	b.	PORN and CHILD PORN IS ALL OVER THE SOCIAL MEDIA CHANNELS AND NOTHING IS FUCKING DONE ABOUT IT! PEDOS USING SOCIAL MEDIA TO GROOM CHILDREN AND NOTHING IS DONE ABOUT IT THIS IS WHERE THE FOCUS SHOULD BE!
Donn a	M.	Porn and transgender content and anything from planned parenthood should not be allowed. what we choose to watch or share outside of this is our business. We shouldn't have to be regulated on how we find and share our info.

Elain e	M.	PORN FILTH I DETEST, THEREFORE I REGULATE WHAT I VIEW MYSELF BY GIVING ITS PRODUCERS NO AUDIENCE
Eilee	D	Porn sites should be regulated to ensure they take all possible steps to ensure that children do not access their websites. Also, there should be time limits implemented on minor children's
n	B.	access to social media.
kate	e.	porn with violence should have warnings
Maggi e	Т.	Porn, programming our children and grandchildren into believing they can change genders which is funded by Globalists to create chaos, governmental bias towards NORMAL people, and lies of the media should all be banned and regulated to express more truth rather than the lies we see nearly every day. The CRTC should stop CBC, from receiving anymore government funding. The government should NOT BE ALLOWED to BUY MEDIA like our current government has while preventing self funded media from attending functions and only allowing their funded media to attend. CTV, CBS, and any other media should be allowed to present contrasting views on any and every subject not just what special interest groups or government want presented. The government should only be allowed TO PRIORITIZE & DETERMINE thru the CRTC censorship regulations and laws for the above mentioned content.
Mervi		
n and		
There		
sa	H.	Pornographic and child exploitation sites should be regulated.
Jame s	S.	Pornographic and/or Satanic materials during primetime viewing hours. Foul language and violence that younger children are picking upI have noticed some stations have become less strict over these issues.
Ann	D	pornographic content, hate speech defined as someone threatening to kill someone else. Not as defined that it may be offensive
Ann	D.	offensive.
Gloria	L.	Pornographic material
Debbi	D	Dernography & perversion should be bessed
е	P.	Pornography & perversion should be banned
Mary	C.	Pornography and abuse of children is the only content that should be regulated.
Cindy	S.	Pornography and Sex/Human trafficking

Cathe		
rine	S.	pornography human trafficking sites
		Pornography, child prostitution/trafficking, sexual exploitation of
Linda	H.	any kind, LGBTwhatever! These are demonic platforms.
		Pornography how to make bombs & guns we should be
		spreading helpful information not hurt & distrust we should all be
		able to have a conversation is it helpful, important, necessary &
Carol		kind & then let us make our voices heard we should be able to
yn	S.	agree to disagree without being banned that is Freedom we Canadians deserve!!
уп	<b>O</b> .	Possible harm to minors (specifically regarding social media).
Cheri		Spreading of misinformation/conspiracy theories. Otherwise, why
sh	B.	does the government need a say in our media consumption?
		Possibly have a CRTC-funded streaming service that is regulated
		to host this content that Canadians can subscribe to or
Elmar	S.	unsubscribe from at will and leave everyone else alone.
Bonni		Possibly yes, unreputable platforms that purposely spread
е	G.	misinformation to create chaos.
DAVI		
D	G.	Prefer warnings to censorship
		Prevention of child pornography and human trafficking are
		criminal issues that should be addressed by CRTC. Supression of
	1.	information or expression are not hallmarks of a democratic
joni	k.	SOCIETY.
Linda	B.	PRIDE/porn parades on our streets in front of our children.
David	M.	privacy and data collection: there needs to be options for the user
Noel	J.	of how much data the platform can collect  Privacy and unauthorized data gathering
Jere	J.	Frivacy and unauthorized data gathering
my	B.	Private content should not be touched.
cynthi	D.	Produce quality work that competes with others countries that is
a	g.	free of government intervention and interests
	3	Programing censorship by government agencies should NOT
Sally	H.	even be a consideration.
Alexa		Programs for children should be considered for regulation,Ķ
ndra	F.	adult content should have options and complete transparency.
		Prohibit media from being biased or unbiased based on
Lillian	B.	donations, grants or monies given to them. The media is

		supposed to report the truth, not take a position of favour based on who is padding their pockets.
Victor		on who is padding their pockets.
ia	C.	Promote independent media. Demote legacy media.
Cassi e	O.	Promote the creation of Canadian content, sure. But do not restrict, filter (by whatever definition of "Canadian" content you make up) or take away any other content available.
Brend a	1.	Promote/advertise Canadian movies, but DO NOT REMOVE TO ABILITY TO SEE OTHER MOVIES ETC.!! CANADA FOUGHT FOR FREEDOM, WW1 AND WW11! NOT CENSORSHIP! DON'T START SNEAKING AWAY THE ABILITY OF CANADIANS TO SEE WHAT IS AVAILABLE FROM OTHER COUNTRIES!
Mitch	M.	Promoted content needs to be both opt in/out. Where the default depends origin of user. Most importantly, the focus should be on promoting Canadian content, to all individual platform consumers, no matter their country of origin .
Glen	Z.	Promotion of porn should be controlled, especially child porn and porn rooted in slave labour. They should not be permitted to make political decisions.
Angel	B.	Promotion of sane & scientific programming instead of the antihuman, antilife, antifreedom WEF & UN SOGI 123 & CRT lies, no promo of Big pHARMa & its noxious pills & injections, but protection for natural, wholistic medicine & wholesome programs. Recommendations: input from Cdn physicians, e.g Drs Byram Bridel, Roger Hodkinson, Mark Trozzi, Francis Christian, Charles Hoffe. Broadcast schedules for truepatriots e.g Action4Canada, CLEARBC, Strong & Free Canada, Druthers, Librti & other truth & freedom groups. (Druthers lists plenty.)
Cathy	D.	Protect children without programming them
Bob	C.	Protect children, keep the truly horrific stuff off the internet, NOT opinions that disagree with current political babble and toxic extreme left or right cults. Other than that, butt out. Reduce the censorship and the political interference. Canadian content stands on its own or fails.
Ronal d	P.	Protect everyone in Canada from all spying agencies, including the Canadian government, US government, all foreign governments. Target the hackers who are data-mining for the purpose of manipulation, blackmail, extortion, etc. Apprehend and charge those doing these nefarious activities!

Gerar		
d	P.	Protect our children.
Steph		Protect small creators. Big businesses and media companies are
en	A.	more able to deliver Canadian content
Carla	B.	Protect the freedom of choice of the consumer.
		Provide more funding for Canadian creators so content will
		naturally be Canadian without forcing anything. We have amazing
		talent right here and the world is becoming more and more aware
Brittn		of that. We just need to make sure we're supporting creators here
ey	K.	so creators can stay and work in Canada.
		Public large sectors can be moderated not personal content
		unless it sabotages societal values. No one section should be
Rohit	S.	catered to. Equality needs to be maintained.
Aman		
da	H.	Public opinion as it affects the public.
Janic	_	Public outrage. Protest. Switch to US satellite, cancel services
е	E.	with Canadian broadcasting companies. Class action lawsuit.
Ron	R.	Public safety
		Public safety and dis/misinformation. That's it. Start dictating what movies I may watch or music I may hear or documentaries I may
Philip	A.	choose to view and we have a problem.
Timp	/ \.	Public vs private, size and profits, red tape, especially for smaller
		organizations. Don't forget small news companies who don't have
Amie	W.	money to jump through hoops.
		Question #2 of this survey, should services that produce primarily
		Canadian content and benefit from supports like the Canada
		Media Fund be required to contribute the same as foreign
		services that do not? Has only 3 options for answering, but does
		not allow for addressing whether or not Canadians even want
		their tax dollars going to charitable public-private partnership
		funds for Canadian media. If we have no say in which
		organizations/publishers benefit from these funds, nor whether or
		not the funds could be put to better use elsewhere, why on earth
		would we want the CMF to demand or be able to coerce more
		content? Do Canadians even enjoy that content? As far as I am concerned the CRTC and the CMF can all be dissolved. Let
		private Canadian companies and citizens produce what they
		want, when they want, using the platforms (and infrastructure)
Kate	M.	they want. If Canada isn't the leading producer of great and
		iner, manual canada ion care roading production of groat and

		entertaining content, then let Canadians access the content from those leading countries freely. The best content is not created by subsidies.
bob	r.	Quit funding CBC
John	C.	Quit interfering with anything I choose to watch . Period . I trust your guidance about zero percent !
Andre a	K.	Quite simply, the least amount of regulation possible, and absolutely no platform should be excluded.
Jacqu eline	S.	R-Rated Content Why Hone in on Stuff Like this That Does Not Matter Get Rid of Any Porn Related Material
lhor	K.	Re read the old statues as written and re work to new
Shell ey	W.	READ ABOVE
Vince		
nt	P.	Read questions 4.
Diana		Really I don't think any should be regulated under C-11, but if you must, then focus on the bigger platforms that will be able to actually be able to comply and leave small content creators alone.
Otto	S.	Rebel News and other independent News outlets should be excluded from the regulations and also included into streaming platforms to accurately inform people about international news which might not be shown by the networks funded by the government such as CBC City TV etc. I don't see in the news the big riots in France against the globalist agenda that my friend over there is telling me about here is silence this is A MAJOR ISSUE AND CRIME AGAINST THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION!!!!!!
Shalo		
m	W.	Reduce the red tape. Stop imitating the USSR.
Thor	S.	Refer to my answer for question #4.
Lesle a	Н.	Refine the Canadian content laws so it's CANADIAN CONTENT.  Made in Canada, by Canadians for example. I've seen claims where productions made outside of Canada with literally NO Canadian content, meet some of the current criteria to get Can-con funding. In short, simplify things so funding for Can-Con stays in Canada.
Morg	_	Regulate all Canadian legacy media news websites like global
an	B.	news, CBC, CTV. Exclude all other websites.

VICKI		
E	F.	regulate and do not permit child pornography or pedophilia!
		Regulate any media outlet that receives government funding.
Derek	L.	Other than that, butt out and back off.
Kimb er	В.	Regulate as little as possible and allow us to use our brains to discern for ourselves what is and is not. Foreign language content can use the resources to ensure propaganda from foreign governments and countries doesn't reach our population.
Val	J.	Regulate CBC!!
Stew art	J.	Regulate content which is cleanly dangerous, such as pedophilia, instructions on how to commit yerrprist acts, terrorist recruitment, human trafficing, etc.
Arbi	A.	Regulate free platforms by giving the user an option to see more Canadian content.
Kyle	B.	Regulate harmful content not platforms.
Sunil Kuma		
r	P.	regulate hateful content not make us live in bubble.
Gloria	K.	Regulate if any Chinese interference or any other Communist country
Frank	B.	Regulate nothing! Hands off -nstop trying to control everything just because you think you can.
Dave	C.	Regulate nothing. Deregulate everything.
Chad	N.	Regulate nothing. Period. Keep illegal content (porn, child porn, scams, etc off the internet). We are a free market country. Let the market be free
Ryan	F.	Regulate online news sources
Jacky	Y.	Regulate only platforms that seek to redirect traffic away from creators of Candian Content. Platforms that aggregate content without linking off their website to the source material. Exclude all else.
Peter	K.	Regulate only those who already have an unfair advantage due to their financial and political clout so that the playing field is actually leveled.
Trevo	17.	icvolou.
r	D.	regulate or defund the CBC!
Danni	J.	. ega.ace e. aciana are epe.
ca	T.	Regulate porn and children shows.
Bev	Н.	Regulate porn propoganga, gender ideology,
DEV	ΙП.	negulate point propogatiga, gender ideology,

Troy	R.	Regulate pornography and graphic violence should be harder to view as a minor. News and information should only be rated not regulated, rating spectrum between fringe to widely promoted (let the view decide on relevance, truth, propaganda or excepted fact).
Thom		
as	P.	Regulate pricing so Canadians can afford it
Daks h	P.	Regulate Telecommunications companies like Bell, Rogers, Telus for their TV services before online ones.
Lou	C.	Regulate the Big Tech companies that are increasingly interfering in the political arena,Ķ. and have taken the role of "gatekeepers" in determining who is eligible to participate in their platforms and who should be cancelled.
ALAN	W.	Regulate the fake news on Media outlets on television and radio. I call them Justin's puppet media. Keep the warmongering and Liberal agenda out of the internet. Why do you want to disconnect Canadians from what is going on worldwide?
Ashur		Regulate the large media corporations and hold them accountable to providing true and accurate content without warping the broadcast news for their own or political agenda. Accountability in the form of fines isn't good enough for the large corporations, they should be taken off the air when purposefully misleading Canadians or driving misinformation. Smaller platforms should be defined, such as a YouTube channel that has 5000 subscribers. Which specific individuals from these channel are accountable to publicly delivering the news? Which specific individuals are providing the information or citing the source of this news? Is the board of the CRTC going to be held accountable in ensuring Canadians receive an unbiased and fullscope source of news?
Nick	S.	Regulate the lgbtxyz crap
Mandi		
е	S.	Regulate Tiktok, but leave YouTube alone
Lynn	S.	Regulate to create a fair playing field for small players to increase competition.
Jorda n	Н.	Regulate? How about stop regulating,Ķ How about true Canadian strong and free content. Unfettered by nonsense narratives and agendas,Ķ

Rega n	R.	Regulated only to a limited capacity, there has to be flexibility and compromisewillingness to learn, grow and adapt. Must be fully inclusive
Ruth	K.	Regulated or excluded platforms would be promoting content which is criminally illegal, for example pornography of underage persons, promotion of use of illegal substances. Internet is not radio or TV. It should have international regulation. Internet is not a Canadian it is a world wide phenomena.
Ljubo mir	N.	Regulated platforms should be limited to those that mostly mirror legacy media (i.e. Netflix, Apple TV, etc.). The option to include clearly identified Canadian content as such, or not, in search terms on large regulated platforms should be respected in the interests of transparency. Social media and Video sharing platforms however (i.e. Facebook, Youtube, etc.) should not be exclusively regulated under Bill C-11 due to the difference in their content structures from that of legacy media. Social and Video sharing platforms for example already also perform the function of modern local or townhall news sharing which large legacy media platforms do not perform. Thus the needs of Canadian content regulation for Social or Video sharing platforms is not required.
Omar	C.	Regulated platforms should be those that could influence opinion. Streaming services that stream content like TV shows, Documentaries and Movies should be exempted.
Colin	В.	Regulated: graphic content that is already against the law as commented above. Excluded: Free speech, when it comes to the criticism of the government.
Gloria	W	Regulated: Insofar as the following can be implemented: An option we could turn on or off to make more Canadian content visible in our recommendations or searches A mandatory "Made in Canada" or "Popular in Canada" section on our platforms Funding for more Canadian creators Specific rules should be implemented to encourage and facilitate appropriate individually produced content under the auspices/or linked to nation states that have shown evidence of utilizing these forums to spread disinformation/misinformation in the guise of individual, Canadian based commentary. Excluded: Content contributed by individuals, eg Instagram, Facebook, Vimeo, etc. Podcasts that individuals can choose to subscribe to no matter where they originate
Gloria	VV.	can choose to subscribe to, no matter where they originate.  Regulating and limiting news content on social platforms like
Jane	D.	FaceBook and TikTok to reputable unbiased news reporting

		outlets should be considered due to the divisive nature of entertainment news commentary programs. But not to be
Kevin	T.	regulated based on country of origin.  Regulating communication for the safety of 2 - 5% is not better for the 95% it blankets. The criterion should be benefit to Canadians. If the CRTC thinks that Canadians overall require censorship, then we have lost our basic freedoms and what makes us the true north strong and free.
Matth		Regulating content should not be based on location it was
ew	E.	sourced from or the content thereof.
Eric	P.	Regulating one platform leads to regulating another platform and the another as we start down the slippery slope to a form of dictatorship of which there is no turning back. That being said I am against any form of media or a platform that is being used to purposely harm someone or some faction of society. But then comes the issue of who decides what is harmful and what is not and again if someone disagrees with you on a subject can they make a case to say you are being harmful or hateful. Again this can open a whole Pandora's Box of issues that runs down an ever ending road with no end to regulation and exclusion until all that is left is what the government wants you to see and hear. Yes as with anything in society we have to have some rules to govern our actions but where do you draw a line in the sand to prevent the regulation or exclusion to go from the realm of regulation to dictatorial control of topics and discussions that someone or some group takes offense to just because they do not agree with your point of view. In other words we will have you blocked or excluded or more obviously silenced and I include the government of the day as being guilty of wanting this as well. Less is best when it comes to regulation and control and let freedom of choice reign supreme
Jenni	_	Decodation commissions Otom with the control of the last
е	R.	Regulation = communism Stop with the regulation!
Helen	M.	Regulation and exclusion are part of the reason we don't have the diversity that would enrich everyone.
Doug	D.	Regulation equates censorship.
John	S.	Regulation is a form of censorship which I abhor
Jame		
S	W.	Regulation is not the answer

Micha el	A.	Regulation of Canadian content should be based on monies distributed to companies who have produced such content and would be great if they have a separate channel or platform to let people decide to watch them.
Philip	K.	Regulation of media needs to go the way of the dodo bird. Aside from a ratings system so parents can avoid accidentally showing their kids a gory movie, let people watch what they want. In an open marketplace things that are good will succeed, and if you make crappy content you will fail. Government should get their fingers out of the creative business.
Т	14.	Regulation should be applied to any for profit content. People's
Doug	S.	personal content that is for their personal use should be left alone.
Jame s	M.	Regulation should be for that which involves pornography, pedophilia, and entrapment of individuals. No regulation for freedom of news, social media or entertainment.
Karin	P.	Regulation should he for what is appropriate for different ages or come with warnings on what the content shows and ask for if we want to review and proceed
Karin	M.	Regulation should only happen minimally for any platform, no excessive control of freedom of speech or choice of viewing preferences should be allowed, with the exception of pornographic and sex trafficking application or providers.
Steve		Regulation(s) would continue to erode our freedom of choice, so there should be no regulation that does that.
Kim	S.	Regulations and exclusions bread manipulation.
Karen		Regulations and exclusions should be appointed to a new and/or un-corrupted person(s) with the complete concerns and welfare of the people freedoms ONLY!
Jane	F.	Regulations should be in place for pronography, pedophile rings and what transgenders are pushing at our families. If they choose to live like that then do so but you don't see good people with families out there having parades flaunting that we are heterosexual and demanding our rights and agendas to be pushed on people. Social Media pushes this kind of BS and people are now refusing to watch the news because it is untrue and full of negativism and garbage. Where's the integrity here are you there for the people or for the governments monetary incentives.

MARI	_	Regulations should include platforms which profit form the input of
Α	T.	users.
Barret	1.1	Regulations should not have the effect of reducing access to
t	H.	non-Canadian content.
Tionn		Regulations shouldn't be placed on canadian viewers and what
Tiann	G.	they consumer, but rather the channels that operate and
а	G.	capitalize off of our consumer pool.  Religious programming should be open to where it comes from.
		That does not need to be Canadian content. As a catholic I have
		interest in what comes from Rome and the US as there isn't that
Patric		much generated from Canada except Services on U-Tube and
ia	M.	Zoom.
		remember that we are are free people in a free country who can
Oran	C.	make our own decisions about every aspect of our lives
		Remove C11. Stop being vile at every turn. Nobody wants your
		pathetic control-driven censorship. Stop stop stop. LEAVE
Mark	S.	PEOPLE ALONE
Rober		
t	G.	repeal c-11
Geor		Rescind bill C-11. Just more government tax, spend, borrow,
ge	V.	waste, corrupt and control policies.
		Restrict access to all known pirate and pornographic sites,
Monic		including the child sex trade industry. Use your powers for good
а	S.	not evil.
Ken	P.	Restrict violence, discrimination and drugs.
Geral		
d	G.	Revoke Bill C-11
jody	p.	Revoke the bill
		Rhetoric that contains hateful or hurtful words and content should
Chery		not be allowed. No one should be allowed to spread that kind of
<u>l</u>	A.	content in any form against any one.
Dean	D.	Rules and regulations are running things DOWN
Rober		
t	G.	Run Trudeau and the clowns out of office.
Shaw	_	
n	T.	Same answer as above.
Lorrai	_	
ne	D.	same as above

N 4 = 1 = .=		
Melan	N 4	O a mara a a a la a cons
ie	M.	Same as above
		Same as above. I believe Canadians should have the ability to
		choose there own programming and online content. I think
		Canadian are at a point where they don't feel that the government
0		knows whats best for them. We need less involvement in our lives
Owen	<b>U</b> .	from government.
Rube	_	Coornario
n Alam	T.	Scamming.
Alex	B.	scarp C-11 as dictatorships tool
_		Scarp the whole of Bills C-11 and C-18. Save Canadians Rights
Byron	M.	and Freedoms from Dictatorship control.
Chery	_	0
I	Α.	Scrap bill C-11
Ron	N.	Scrap Bill C-11
Glenn		
a	L.	Scrap Bill C-11. Our media should never be state run (like China).
Gary	W.	Scrap bill C11
Elain		Scrap Bill C11 Content which is worth watching will attract its own
е	C.	followers. We don't need trashy content pushed down our throats.
		SCRAP BILL C11!! Canadians do not want it and we made that
		clear. The CBC should stop receiving funds to push propaganda
		and the money should go to make true Canadian content by
		Canadians. I don't want to be told what to watch. FREEDOM for
		the win! Tell it as it is this is a censorship bill. The government
Nicol	_	wants us to only see what they want us to see and not THE
e	F.	TRUTH!
mike	d.	Scrap c-11
Nigel	W.	Scrap C-11
		Scrap C-11 there should be no government control over content
		on the internet, it's a form of censorship and goes against the
		principles of consumer choice, free enterprise, capitalism and
		Canadian democracy. The internet should be free from any
		government controls over content. Let the consumers decide what
		they want to watch, no matter whether the content is made in
		Canada or any other country, Canadians don't care they just want
		to watch what interests them. If the obsolete legacy media that
Drian	N 4	has been over charging and ripping off Canadians with poor
Brian	M.	content and high cable prices can't adjust to consumer demands

		of better prices and the selection found on the internet than they can go out of business. That's called free enterprise, in which consumers will decide what's best for themselves.
Yassir	K.	Scrap C-11!! Leave it the way it is
Brian	B.	Scrap C-11!!!
Mark	M.	Scrap C11. Freedom of speech is essential in a democracy,
Jame		
s	A.	Scrap it. The internet isn't made to hide information.
Jad-S		
hams	Z.	Scrap the bill immediately!
Linds		Scrap the entire bill. It is a blatant over reach that encroaches
ey	B.	upon Canadian freedom to information.
Laure		
ntius	V.	Scrap thsi Bill
carol	m.	see above
Lisa	F.	see above
Blair	F.	See above
Jane	W.	See above
Rober		
t	P.	see above
Shelly	P.	see above
Christ		
ie	W.	See above
Mary	R.	See above
james	m.	See above, should give you a good enough idea, that I have and will always feel governing bodies are an out right curse. Instead of worry about Canadian content, how about affordable internet and cell phones. Instead of greasing your pocket with propaganda money, work for people, instead of foolish nonsense.
Chad	M.	See above!
Albert	M.	See above.
Pame		
la	V.	See above.
Micha el	Z.	see above. Do not allow any political party to control truths that are being sent on the webs, about them or their party. As Trudeau is doing now with certain media outlets that don't tow his party line.
Charl	_	
es	B.	See above. Nazi.

		0
Lauri e	S.	See above. When we are paying for a streaming service we expect rightfully to have the services and products offered by that streaming service, not a "doctored" service regulating creative control by whatever standards. When we search YouTube for instance we want to see the relevant items for our search, again, not a doctored selection deemed by,Ķ. Who??? A new regulatory body that will decide what content they want us to see? This is such a complicated matter but over-regulating in this way is not the answer.
Dionn		
е	E.	See charter of rights. CRTC should not be regulating the internet
Terris	S.	See my answer to number 4.
Cathy	R.	See my statement above.
Denni		
s	F.	See point 4.
Dougl		
as	H.	Sensors hip leads to totalitarianism.
Cynth		Seriously consider why their institution would even consider Bill
ia	M.	C-11 to be reasonable.
		Services like Crunchyroll and other niche streaming services
Patric		should be excluded as the content they show is from other
k	P.	cultures around the world.
Andre w	M.	Services that are serving Canada based on filtering should provide a platform to promote Canadian content without having to block other content instead.
Aaron	G.	Services that benefit from supports like the Canada Media Fund. Otherwise, mind your business.
Raym ond	Н.	Services that charge money for content access should be regulated a LITTLE. All other sources need to be freely open!
Russ		Services that specialize in a certain type of content, particularly that of specific countries or cultures, such as many of the small streaming platforms, should not be required to carry CanCon. Nor should socially-currated services like YouTube, Facebook, etc. Although perhaps the latter could give more weight to Canadian
ell	M.	creators to Canadian users in searches and lists.
Miche		
le	S.	Share more information with us.
Jeff	G.	Shoiuld be based on quality of production not nationality.

D:	_	Short of a confirmed criminal intent from any platform - most
Diane	Ι.	should be excluded
A = ===		Should actually help all canadian content creators, big and small
Aaron	C.	instead of potentially hindering them.
Ehsa	_	
n 	Z.	Should be fully unbiased and impartial.
Irek	C.	Should be just minimum regulations.
		Should be platform agnostic and allow ALL content without bias or
		filtering! This will give all points of view a chance instead of just
		liberal govt, big pharma or big oil and gas (and the like)
		propaganda!so basically honest unfiltered content instead of the bull shit we constantly see that is aligned with gatekeeper wannabe agendas which always attempts to distract from or avoid the truth of the 'bigger picture'! Also, in relation to question no 2 Services that are funded by Taxpayer dollars should be required to allow/interact/relay with free thinking global services, produce better Canadian content and relay ABSOLUTELY NO propaganda whatsoever from any particular group or body! Since they get so much of our tax dollars (which we do not agree with but are forced to fund them anyways), we should be in charge of what they produce, not the liberal (or any) government! If any particular group wants to pump out propaganda, they should pay ad agencies through the nose for their campaigns instead of stealing
lan	M.	the money out of my pocket.
Penn y	Y.	Should consider if there was enough public consultation and why there wasn't a plebiscite should concern all Canadians. Again it should be up to Canadians what they want to watch when they want to watch. There should be user and content protection and should not silence our rights of speech and opinion.
		Should get common people to review content rather than
Tim	A.	automated systems or AI.
Mario		
n	T.	Should not be regulated at all!
G	B.	Should not be regulated- period.
chuck	S.	should not regulate anything
MICH EL	N.	Should the platform have the ability to manage the content in this way and the purpose of the content. For instance, Crunchyroll with Canadian content would be weird and forcing them to carry Canadian content would likely remove them from Canada.

impede access of those that are not aware of the change and we should educate folks that it exists and that they can enable it should the choose to do so.  Should? How about none. There really isn't a criteria where this fits. I don't listen to Canadian content and I don't watch Canadian content and if it's forced on me in any circumstance I'll simply sto using the platform or take measures to avoid it.  Colle en M. shouldn't be regulated - period!  barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such.			
fits. I don't listen to Canadian content and I don't watch Canadian content and if it's forced on me in any circumstance I'll simply sto using the platform or take measures to avoid it.  Colle en M. shouldn't be regulated - period!  barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Edin	P.	mandated to enable or disable this mandate. It should be an opt-in system, meaning it should not be on by default so as to not impede access of those that are not aware of the change and we should educate folks that it exists and that they can enable it
fits. I don't listen to Canadian content and I don't watch Canadian content and if it's forced on me in any circumstance I'll simply sto using the platform or take measures to avoid it.  Colle en M. shouldn't be regulated - period!  barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			Should? How about none. There really isn't a criteria where this
n C. using the platform or take measures to avoid it.  Colle en M. shouldn't be regulated - period!  barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate Scott P. or whom originated the content.			fits. I don't listen to Canadian content and I don't watch Canadian
Colle en M. shouldn't be regulated - period!  Barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Natha		content and if it's forced on me in any circumstance I'll simply stop
en M. shouldn't be regulated - period!  barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	n	C.	using the platform or take measures to avoid it.
barry c. Shouldn't have censorship  Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Colle		
Grah am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden Wayn  B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate Scott P. or whom originated the content.	en	M.	shouldn't be regulated - period!
am M. Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?  Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden Wayn e B. Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate Scott P. or whom originated the content.	barry	C.	Shouldn't have censorship
Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers' access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Grah		
access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	am	M.	Simply put if you don't like it turn it off or are people that stupid?
platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must also change its definition of Canadian content to help these y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			Since the internet is not Radio or television and content makers'
Nanc y M. also change its definition of Canadian content to help these canadian content producers instead of restricted them. Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate Scott P. or whom originated the content.			access to it is not limited, as it was with those services, no
y M. canadian content producers instead of restricted them.  Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			platform should be regulated under Bill C-11. The CRTC must
Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator should be left largely unaffected.  Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Nanc		also change its definition of Canadian content to help these
<ul> <li>Kevin R. should be left largely unaffected.</li> <li>Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.</li> <li>Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden</li> <li>Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.</li> <li>Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.</li> </ul>	У	M.	canadian content producers instead of restricted them.
Benja Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			Sites similar to Youtube where everyone can be a content creator
min S. regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.  Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Kevin	R.	should be left largely unaffected.
Kay B. Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden  Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate Scott P. or whom originated the content.	Benja		Sites taking funding from the Canadian government should be
Wayn e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate Scott P. or whom originated the content.	min	S.	regulated more than sites that are foreign owned and funded.
e B. Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.  Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Kay	B.	Sites that promote hate and disinformation should be forbidden
Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	Wayn		
platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters. They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.	е	B.	Sites that promote violence and terrorist's activities.
They should have some regulation around certain critieria of the content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such.  There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			Sites that provide user-created content (eg social media
content such as age of whom the content is suitable for, prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such.  There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			platforms) should not be regulated like conventional broadcasters.
prohibition of hateful material, curtailing of misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such.  There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			
misinformation/disinformation in non-fiction works, and such.  There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			
Scott P. There should not be regulation about where the content originate or whom originated the content.			
Scott P. or whom originated the content.			
			There should not be regulation about where the content originated
Curtis C. Size			-
	Curtis	C.	Size

John	C.	Size and Production capacity. Giants like Netflix and disney who produce their own content on a corporate level, who have editorial control, should spend more money here to make more stuff here. I would like to see them make more stuff with Canadian settings. but what i don't want to see, is less stuff from India, Korea, Japan, Mexico, France, Sweden, etc give these companies incentives to make more canadian stuff, don't threaten or bully them like they have to meet a certain Token Amount of canadianness before they can add other stuff. I don't want to see smaller creative teams or individuals shut out because they happened to be located outside of Canada. Dropout is an excellent little streaming service offered by a very small team of people compared to Amazon or AppleTV. they could not make canadian content because that's not where they're from, or where they live. Empower low level or even bigger name creators who stream on twitch or youtube or wherever so that they have an edge in international markets. (if I had 8000.00\$ for a proper VTuber model, i would have a lot more appeal as one getting off the ground.) and make it way easier for creators of web content to be CanCon without needing to go through massive mountains of paperwork for each f*&%\$g video and/or live stream. And most importantly give me, give US, the choice of if we want increased canadian content or not, in our Chosen feeds.
Ralph	G.	Size of entity/platform and user base. Size/nature of the service, size/nature of the creator, specificity
Kevin	S.	(YouTube is highly general while Crunchyroll is very specific), is the purpose of the content commercial.
Davie	C.	Small-scale production studios or self-funded content creators should be excluded from the regulation. Instead, broadcasting firms should be encouraged to increase identification of CanCon but not required to change the algorithm to specifically promote CanCon; the content should be the main driver and not arbitrary external controls.
Viotor	N 4	Smaller and individual content creators, up to medium sized
Victor		creators, should be excluded from regulation.  So much of streaming media is already filmed in Canada and has Post Production done in Canada. We should be proud of the work we do in film and stop obsessing about promoting some kind of narrative. Let's just celebrate our contribution to the great work Canadians are already enjoying rather than force platforms to

		promote content that matches such a narrow definition of what
		Canadian content is.  Social and video sharing should be left alone. A huge percentage
Holly	B.	of that is personal and should not be edited/banned by the government
Priya	υ.	government
n	K.	Social media
Victor	C.	Social media (including YouTube) should be excluded. Streaming services are technically broadcasters, because they have direct control over what content they stream. However, social media like YouTube, TikTok, etc. lack such control. Hence, social media shouldn't be regulated as broadcasters.
Danie I	A.	Social Media and private creation should be free to do what they want without including hate speech or law breaking
Benja min	S.	Social media and video sharing platforms should be excluded, especially YouTube.
Kerry	C.	Social media is communication amongst citizens and should be excluded. Mainstream media news should also be excluded and granted the freedom to share real news rather than government approved news.
Aman		Social media is freedom of speech. Leave it alone!!! I'm tired of
da	E.	you taking away our constitutional rights.
Thom as	M.	Social Media is off limits. If the content is under 5 minutes long, it should be off limits. Personal posts should be off limits.
Carm	В.	Social media platforms should definitely be exempt, like Facebook, TikTok, YouTube, etc. We should be free from our feeds and online content manipulated by the CRTC! If I want Canadian content, I know exactly where to go to get it! No need to regulate!
Micha el	В.	Social Media regardless of where it originates shouldn't impose a community standard on anyone speaking about any subject that pertains to the country in which one lives as is may conflict with the ideals of the country in which the social platform originates. Social Platforms or social media hosts should remain neutral on all content whether it be political or personal unless it places someone in Danger or suggests or implies a way to cause harm to another person.
Jeffre y	J.	Social media should be mandated to reduce disinformation, but it doesn't need to be particularly Canadian. Big streaming platforms

		could be mandated to have a Canadian content section, but it doesn't need to have a certain percentage of overall Canadian content on the platform. Smaller/niche platforms shouldn't require such mandates
Ares	N.	Social media should never be forcefully modified and changed to screw over Canadians and force them to lose their favourite non-Canadian creators. Informational websites should not be modified. Yeah issues in Canada matter, I live here, but stuff going on in the US and other countries affects us too and I refuse to lose access to that.
Adam	D.	Social media should not be regulated by the CRTC as this is creeping into person realm of conversations that should not be dictated what can or cannot be done.
Gary	B.	Solely criminal/ illegal, terrorist or hateful information.
Thom as	P.	Some platforms have become dumping grounds for content which varies greatly in quality and variety from other markets. This is an opportunity for the Commission to make certain that providers are treating the Canadian market equitably.
Juni	Y.	Some platforms' content deliver exclusively foreign content (eg. Crunchroll, with Japanese animation), and should not be forced to include CanCon as it interferes with the purpose and business model to satisfy their market niche.
Sandr a	K.	Some stuff for youth should perhaps be screened more. Also porn. Alternative health information should not!
Reen	R.	Some warnings are acceptable -especially for minors. Warnings for sexual content/financial and other exploitable or fraud related content are acceptable. All platforms could be required to clarify or make it easy to identify Canadian content so users can access easily. Television and radio should continue to have Canadian content requirements but access to international news and internet content should have less or no restrictions.
chris	m.	Sould regulate nothing! We want Freedom!
Linda	O.	Sovereign humans should have no government regulation or interference in our choices Stop governments over reach and Tyranny
Susa n	E.	Special interest groups are famous for spinning news the and leading people down the wrong path - all of that is allowed to stand loud and proud on our social media while actual news seems to be hidden from view! Protect actual news and the rights

		of Canadians to have free speech and freedom to post on social media outlets!
Emily	A.	Specialized platforms shouldn't be forced to serve Canadian content. Crunchroll and Britbox shouldn't be forced to serve Canadian content. That would be absurd and a waste. The customers of those services clearly want to see Anime and British television respectively. User-generated Content sections like youtube are at risk of punishing small creators unless CanCon is applied automatically for example, if any video uploaded in Canada counts as CanCon. If the government is unwilling to apply such a broad definition of CanCon, then it is best they don't regulate User-generated Content sites.
Mary	H.	Specialized TV that we pay good money for because it is a genre. Disney should show Disney Britbox should of course be British TV. We pay for the service to be what it is. Now with things like Facebook TikTok, Instagram. I think it should just be the advertising that is Canadian Content. Those are just people and not Broadcasters. I have friends in other countries, don't decide who I want to interact with. However it is very disappointing to see something fantastic then discover it is not available in Canada without a huge amount of extra money.
Joann e	A.	Specific criteria I believe the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should consider when determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11 is platforms which promote anything that is against the laws already in place/illegal content.
Ken	V.	Specific Criteria that should be considered are: Freedom of Speech should mean unregulated speech. Freedom of Speech does not include the right not to be offended. There is no such thing as hate speech. Freedom of the Press must not be stifled by any government Bill C-11 is an infringement on Canadian Rights and Freedoms
yves	C.	Standard cable Tv programming might be the only real option. Streaming and on demand services should be left alone as these are services that function based on the user choosing the content they are willing to be paying for. If we saturate these services with forced Canadian content or place any such restrictions, we may be limiting what a user has access to, which in turn will result in users cancelling or stopping to use these services. Furthermore, a lot of these services provide their content outside of Canada, and

		Canadian citizens should not end up with a watered down version of said services simply because Canada has this special bill C-11 that forces these providers to have CanCon first,Ķ
		Stated above in response to your question 4. For the sake of your ease, I will repeat my response here, now In response to your query about potential changes to my online experience under Bill C-11, I must first acknowledge the importance of the internet as a global platform that has facilitated a seamless exchange of ideas and content across borders. This access to a broad spectrum of information has immensely enriched our collective cultural experiences. My primary concern is that any involvement of the CRTC in regulating online content could compromise this open access and potentially undermine the core principles of internet freedom. The internet's value lies in its unrestricted and unregulated nature, and any move to control or direct the flow of information, even with the well-intentioned goal of promoting Canadian content, would be a disservice to the very essence of this global platform. The possibility of any government body influencing my recommendations, personalized playlists, or 'For-You' pages based on nationality or region compromises the objectivity and diversity that I currently enjoy. It could limit my exposure to global ideas, cultures, and innovations, thereby creating a narrower, potentially biased experience. In essence, I believe in maintaining the internet as a free, global entity, unrestricted by regional or national boundaries. I am concerned that any attempts to enforce Canadian content rules in the digital sphere could detract from the rich diversity of content that we currently enjoy, limiting our access to a variety of perspectives and voices. In a society that values freedom of expression, it is essential that we also uphold the principle of freedom of access to information. Therefore, I am inclined to advocate for minimal
Trish	P.	interference from ANY regulatory bodies such as the CRTC in our online content consumption.
Alan	M.	Stay away from personal posts, and news services.
Arlyn	G.	Stay out of it completely!
,		Stay out of it completely. The government should have no
Brad	F.	influence on online content.
Antho ny	C.	Stay out of it.

Micha		Stay out of it. Canadians are quite capable of deciding what programming they want to consume. Unelected political appointees are the worst persons to have any say on what is
el	G.	broadcast
Sean	C.	Stay out of it. Don't do anything. Free speech is necessary.
MARI		Stay out of my news feed, it is solely my decision to choose what I
LYN	R.	watch not yours.
John	D.	Stay out of online content and stick to radio & television,Ķ
Dougl		
as	A.	Stay out of our lives
Brian	M.	stay out of our lives.
Brent	P.	Stay out of our personal lives and allow the internet to be free from government restrictions on what we as Canadians search and view on the internet. Our current Liberal government wants to block any news that it doesn't want Canadians to view that doesn't support their person way of government policy. Ie you do as the government says so there is absolutely no free speech anymore and we're become controlled like in China by an every changing laws to be like a communist Run Country
DIEIIL	г.	Stay out of regulating all media. The CRTC is a useless
Dougl as	Н.	organization that should be disbanded for allowing canadian news media to lie about facts and cut videos to purposely and falsely perpetuate a narrative that is objectively false.
Judy	B.	Stay out of the big brothers watch dog of Trudeau's life style. No thanks!
Ted	H.	Stay out of this
		Stay out of this! Government has no buisness regulating the
Eric	G.	internet!
Kelly	I.	Stay out. Period.
Jasna	K.	Stay true to your profession while broadcasting, particularly the news. I haven't seen the true version of what's happening in Canada and in the world for the past 3 years, since CRTC is run by politicians and it seems report to them only. I lost all trust I have had in Canadian journalism and Canadian media. Never thought Canada will turn into China.

Ludov	N.	Stick to conventional media (radio and television) You can't have a say over what is viewed on the internet. Radio and television where old technologies that could be overviewed by a organisation such as the CRTC. Internet is viewed unfiltered by 10's of thousands of people living in country with state control with the help of private VPNs, Tor or other means. It's a modern technologie that was created with the idea of it beeing free and boundaryless. It's 2023 what kind of gouvernement official thought it was a good idea to involve the CRTC with online content????? If you want to Push Canadian content, help them financialy so they can advertise themself and make general advertising on a federal level on online outlets and traditional medias as the gouvernement already do with public interest messages, road safety, alcohol consumption, national parks and so on.
Josly	1 4.	Stick to restricting porn, radical race issues, abuse, Al
n	N.	interference. Stop pushing people to a certain outcome.
Christ		
ian	M.	Stick with the status quo.
Stanl ey	S.	Stop all regulations under C-11 and exclude all platforms!
Mare		
k	F.	Stop all this censorship nonsense.
Hires		
h	M.	Stop being a tyrant
Marily n	F.	STOP BILL C-11. Throw it away - completely.
Usma		
n	K.	Stop c11
		Stop censoring and tipping the scales. We are capable of making choices for ourselves. The CBC is proof that you CANNOT have a few (radicals and commies) decide what is fit for Canadian consumption, no one will watch or listen to any of it. I for one will get a VPN or join the Tor network or go back to dial up and BBS instead of being forced to consume commie radical pablum. As a country will will quickly lose relevance and our entertainment industry along with the telecom services will languish. We won't
Ed Wend	R.	pay for state curated garbage.
	K.	Stop censoring the public
У	17.	Stop censoring the public

Jesse	C.	STOP CENSORSHIP
Danie		3.3.3.3
	M.	stop censorship
Chery		Stop censorship altogether on all platforms. We have the right to
l	P.	all points of view
Claud		Jam penno en unen
е	L.	Stop communism
Jere		
my	B.	Stop controlling everything.
Geor		
die	G.	Stop funding any media.!!!
		Stop funding the media as your own propaganda outlet. I do not
Darle		want what I watch to be determined by the government. I am not
ne	D.	a child.
		Stop government propaganda. Stop government funded media,
		everyone survives on their own merit see how long CBC would
Eric R	C.	last with out taxpayers money.
Lee	E.	stop making Canadian crap.
EFRE		
M	V.	Stop over regulating everything
Came		Stop platforms banning. The only time CRTC should be
ron	T.	concerned is when people make specific threats of harm!
		Stop playing the role of big daddy. You are not given to be a
David	G.	parent to us.
Micha		
el	B.	Stop regulating and subsidizing. Less government.
Kevin	D.	Stop regulating the internet, this is actually stupid as hell.
Taylor	R.	Stop regulating things.
		Stop regulating! While you're at it, piss on Bell and bring back the
		American Super Bowl commercials! Shocking that one of the
		richest companies in Canada needs to cry foul and protect me
		while they completely leech off an American product while
Steve	H.	providing zero additional value to the consumers.
Elizab		
eth	H.	Stop regulating. Don't do it.
		stop subsidizing certain or any media outlets. Let them "compete"
Donal		on a level playing field. NO MORE SUBSIDIZED CBC
d	B.	ETCETCETC Free and open discussions and debated alternative

		viewpoints, freedom of speech and expression. Who will censor?
		The government? NO!
Tom	P.	Stop taking away our freedom! This is Canada, not China!
Jill	C.	Stop the C-119 now!
Chuc k	P.	Stop the CBC and main stream media's paid propaganda, lies and fear mongering. Give independent media a level playing field for Truth and Honesty and investigative Journalism.
Adna		
n	A.	Stop the control we are not living in CHINA
Marci		
а	A.	Stop the lies and tell us the truth
Debor		
ah	D.	Stop the whole thing!
Corrin		
е	K.	Stop this bill.
S	R.	Stop this communist action
Kyle	В.	Stop trying to control everyone and everything. The backlash's will only grow. It's already happening you just refuse to see it. It's apparent to the rest of the country and the world however. This bill is doomed to fail and it's already happening.
Nigel	R.	Stop trying to control the digital world
denis	t.	Stop trying to force subpar content on unwilling viewers.
		Stop trying to regulate anything. We are not a bunch of children. The only thing this will cause is a increase in piracy. Doing more harm then good. You want to censer something censer school teachers and their LGBTQ conversion of underage kids, i dont have anything against adults making adult choices but leave other
Kyle	A.	peoples kids alone.
Curt	K.	Stop trying to regulate news in Canada. Protect freedom of speech!
Killian	S.	Stop trying to regulate our content altogether!
jonath		
an	r.	STOP VIOLATING OUR RIGHTS!
Robin	P.	Stop! Just stop
Trace y	C.	Stop!! Way more important things to focus on! Inflation, public education, housing, food and gas prices, just to mention a few!
Brigitt e	M.	Stream from all over the world and let people decide what they watch. Hopefully they opt for a much better quality. It should be free of charge and free of commercials.

		Streaming content is not broadcast content. Streaming services are not broadcast services. The CRTC should stay in it's own lane, and worry about fairer prices for Internet and Cell service. The CRTC should stop bowing down to Bell and Rogers. Every time a significant change is considered that would force large corporations to reduce costs, the CRTC drops those regulations, or alters them, to please the large corporations. Canadians need real changes in affordability for our phone and internet services. We do not need a additional regulation on internet usage and media consumption. The CRTC should actually be helping
Evan	P.	Canadians, not large corporations.  Streaming services should allow options to find content ,Äî french
lan	D.	language, indigenous, and english Canadian productions.
Kathri ne	W.	Structure and monitor all aspects of regulation and exclusion under Bill C-11 to ensure transparency and unbiased access to the internet. Measures are needed to engrave freedom of speech and reach in accordance with human rights to honest and unbiased information.
Jame		Subjects such as sexual exploitation of minors or discrimination
S	D.	by hate speech should regulated, removed and prosecuted.
Alex	L.	Subscription-based services providing primarily content of cinematographic nature (Netflix, Amazon Prime, Roku) must be made explicitly exempt from the covenants of the bill as they do not match the classical definition of broadcasting, due to the audience being in full control of selecting the content to be received.
Mitch	H.	Suicide
Jonat		
han	B.	Support independent and don't censor.
Elean or	R.	Support independent media. Minimize government-sponsored news sources. Stop mandating Canadian-born artists to provide more Canadian content.
Ofelia	F.	Suppose to be free speech
Erin	M.	Sure. Is it harmful? Obviously that's a loaded question in itself as everyone has different ideas of what causes harm (and more importantly, the line where potentially harmful content intersects free speech). One criteria we shouldn't regulate around is have we met an arbitrary quota. That just pollutes the media landscape and makes Canadian culture even less visible - both within Canada and abroad - as nobody is going to wade through piles of

		garbage in the hopes of finding the handful of gems. People will just turn to content produced elsewhere (using VPNs if they have to) or simply tune out all together.
Bonni	N.	Sure. As long as it's very specific. For example threats of violence -actual physical violence - should not be tolerated. Obscenity, nudity, and what constitutes "harm" should be very specific and unbiased. Anything that exposes children to "adult" or pornographic content should be very closely guarded. The rest should likely come with warnings and adults can choose what to partake of as long as the content is legal under already existing laws and protocols. Adult language or content should come with a warning but not suppression. Canadian independent media should be allowed to grow and flourish - providing a wide range of thought and opinion without funding or interference from government or its agents. Bill C-11 is a threat to a free and democratic society. The fact that these questions are even being asked is a red flag to me. This country has already established laws and has been doing just fine without intervention. The only people who don't like it are one who only want to hear their own views reflected back at them. Not healthy for a cohesive, open, democratic society. All regulation should prioritize principals of freedoms of speech.
Peter	K.	Sure. Freedom. Its what made the internet wonderful. Get rid of Bill C-11. Why regulate information. Allow people to decide for themselves.
Bilal	J.	Survival of the fittest, if you can't make content then get out of the way. People are tired of hearing the same old diplomatic response bs
Luke	M.	take a hands off approach, but have a fund available for Canadian Content creators to make shows and movies that are good and people will want to watch.
USM AN	S.	Take out all porn and other destructive content. We do not need it and they are worsening or societies.
David	B.	Tax payer funded news should be defunded.
Caroli	Н.	Tax the large companies for not endorsing more Canadian content on their platform. No more only having content from America because it's Hollywood I want to see more Canadian productions be given a chance even if it's a trial time on the bigger platforms to see how they perform. I also would love to see

Cliff	M.	That's easy. Don't regulate any CanCon. It's not necessary or useful.
е	S.	That you are unelected and have no rights  That's easy Dep't regulate any CapCop. It's not necessary or
Janic		
yn	S.	should be in charge of censorship for everyone.
Carol		That would be censorship and I don't agree particular people
Lisa	H.	that we are not fed only that which the government deems appropriate!!! we can make sound decisions on our own
Louis e	S.	without government's interference. I pay the bill to the provider for the internet not the CRTC.
		That they should not be controlling our decisions on which platforms we decide to be on, it is our own personal choice and none of their business what I do online. I want control over feeds
Dion	L.	That there messing up the free country we live in by regulating what we see an produce.
Jeff	T.	That the government shouldn't be any near the business of producing entertainment content, period.
Jaime	R.	That the citizens make their own decisions, it is not for them to allow us what we should or shouldn't see.
Kim	N.	Maybe increasing CBC the way BBC is and more independence and less reliant on whichever government for funding.if it had a more consistent and larger budget to become able to produce more content and over more media platforms.
& LUII	1 .	That it not just favouring big new outlet instead of large tech.
Doyle & Lori	P	That it is available to all.
а	W.	access whatever content we want without impediments.
I Thes	M.	That all programing have Close Captioning available.  That Canada is a free country and we should be allowed to
Holly Danie	P.	Th truth about about liberal- ndp coalition
Peter	T.	Terrorist material, pornography  The truth about about liberal, and applition
Wally	G.	Tell Trudeau to screw himself and just go. Ack to what we were doing before the traitor came into power!
Jere my	D.	Tech companies with millions or billions of viewers, with large influence over the public, should be regulated as broadcasters.
		it marked with "made in Canada" on the movie title cards to have it stand out more.

		The 'government' (CTTC) should stay the heck out of the 'free'
Wayn		market. They shouldn't be sticking their noses into this. Bill C-11
е	W.	should have died upon review.
Steph	Н.	The ability of people to communicate freely with each other Must be the singular priority principle to be upheld. 'Regulation' to ensure the smooth flow of information is desirable; 'Regulation' to act as a universal censorship mechanism is purely an Evil and Machiavellian ploy. The Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should be protecting the rights of the Citizens of society as a part of governmental responsibility to uphold Constitutional rights. Only those few hand-picked to operate the institution can decide whether to be honest in the execution of their duties, or to be partisan political lackies to drive a purely political agenda which eliminates the constitutional rights of the citizenry. I think everyone knows which way things will go.
CII	11.	The ability to filter things that are specifically Canadian to give
		those creators an opportunity to be seen but not specifically
Maria		regulating how the content shows up, rather having the option to
nna	F.	have that content show up.
Cheri	F.	The amount of false information spread to social media platforms. I don't feel content should be removed - or only Canadian content available - but more responsible information sharing would be a fantastic idea.
Kimb erly	S.	The big telecom businesses in Canada have to be 100% Canadian owned and rates for all Canadians have to come down as speed and ease of communication gets faster and more accessible. I personally feel our internet networks and services are properly public utilities like our highways and bridges. Every Canadian should have free and equal access to all of it. Give the Canadian people Open Media. Make the Multinational Businesses pay more for the privilege of Canadian attention. Do not allow them to exploit Canadian Audiences while sucking subscriptions. Encourage and reward them for producing and distributing Canadian Stories, Drama, Comedy, Music, Documentaries, Children's programming, etc.
Dave	R.	The biggest challenge with censorship is who is to say what is censored, and who monitors the censors themselves? Less regulation and governmental involvement may not be perfect but it is likely better for a free society.

Finn	K.	The biggest issue here is unintended consequences due to poorly written policy. Too much time in parliament is spent pushing one of two narratives that are both false - one that this is 1984 and will lead to censorship, and the other that this will meaningfully benefit Canadian creators. The reality is that CanCon standards are ineffective at distinguishing Canadian content and need a rework. Pushing Canadian content into feeds could hurt their favourability within algorithms if people are not receptive to it, meaning Canadian creators could be disadvantaged on the global stage.
		The bill could over-regulate the internet, with critics warning it
Jeff	C.	could give the CRTC broad powers over digital media companies, including the ability to impose financial penalties for violations of the act. Google and Meta are already threatening to withdraw
	C.	Canadian news links - not a good start.
Micha	V	The bill is against the abortor
el	Y.	The bill is against the charter.
Decal	N.4	The bill is completely flawed. Short of not enforcing it there isn't
Brad	M.	any criteria that can be applied to make it work.
Lene		The bill should be discarded and focuse established on the
ath	M.	economy.
Justin	Н.	The bill should be rescinded altogether.
David	F.	The bill should be rescinded. It will be used for corrupt purposes and practices.
		The Bill should be revoked as it is going to do more damage to
Josh	G.	canada as a whole then it will help Canadian creators.
Vioric		
а	R.	The bill should not exist if Canada is a free country
		The bill should not have been implemented in the first place ,Ķ
		nothing enacted over the last years that has claimed to be for the
		safety of the public has benefited the members of the public ,Ķ
Rob	Ο.	Just a steady stream of government gaslighting!!
Kalen	M.	The bill shouldn't exist. It's anti freedom of speech.
Lois	E.	The Canadian Bill of Rights
		The Canadian charter of rights and freedoms which states: We're
		free to think our own thoughts, speak our minds, listen to views of
		others and express our opinions in creative ways. And The media
		also have certain fundamental freedoms, and are free to print and
shaw		broadcast news and other information Limiting our rights and
n	d.	freedoms by unfairly and inequitably promoting government

		funded media while censoring information that the government does not control or agrees with. Stands against everything this country was founded on, and thousands have died for
Steve	S.	The Canadian government is not morally or ethically qualified of fit to censor or regulate media, because it lacks a moral, ethical, and therefore legitimate foundations. The Canadian government stands on criminal foundations, from the colonial period when it practiced colonial terrorism against its indigenous hosts, and after Confederation [1867], when it attacked 150,000 native children for their land wealth, and committed endless crimes against humanity. Canadian governments lack legitimacy because of their crimes against indigenous humanity revealed in the TRR. This roadblock has paralyzed 128,000 Canadian lawyers who cannot move ahead ethically, until the old colonial or feudal relationships are broken, and reset on a level playing field. The Canadian government must be held to account for waging hideous, secret war against native children for their heritage, their lands, now known as the Canadian Holocaust. John A. Macdonald launched a secret war in Residential Schools which destroyed millions of Canadian lives, in a prolonged religious war that lasted more than one and half centuries, in remote places where local police had no jurisdiction.
Willia m	L.	The Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should consider the size of productions when planning Bill C-11 regulation. Netflix, for example, features high-budget, highly-regulated productions in the form of movies and television. These productions are backed by powerful studios and companies that may not be impacted heavily by a swaying of attention. Internet streaming platforms like YouTube, however, feature many single-man channels that do not have such high budgets. In this case, a focus on certain types of creators over others creates a major advantage gap that can unfairly create/kill careers. On these platforms with more independent creators, Bill C-11 should not apply.  The Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications
Adria n	D.	Commission should not regulate or censor anything. They are an outdate organization that needs to be dissolved.
Denni s	S.	The category none at all should be the correct choice.

The cbc should be defunded, they are no better the another liberal propaganda machine. They haven't	en cnn just
rd W. anything good since the original raccoons back in t	put out
Joe J. The Charter of Rights	
Roder not. Spamming someones feed with Canadian contick V. them more likely to watch it.	
The constitutional rights afforded each citizen to de themselves what information to view, gather, and the c-11 does not seem to be considering the legal ram ,Äòdeciding' what each person is capable of consulare children and will not be able to regulate ourselve seems to be more gov't overreach. This bill will only Canadian creative content further; yet needs to focus Tanya V.	believe; this bill nifications of iming, as if we wes; this bill by restrict cus more on
The content creator being Canadian. Also the subject topic if it relates to Canadian affairs. Or features the related to Canadian culture or individuals who may Canadians. Regardless of platform. However they promoted. And other none Canadian content should and L. accessible to the greater audiences.	ings that are be prominent should be
The content should be accurate, fact checked and discussion. As long as we encourage violence, ignormal H. conspiracy theories we will dumb down our popular	orance and
The criteria is really quite simple, don't be corrupt. because you don't like what you hear. Covid highlig dirty the underbelly of our political class really is. Thom as C. absolutly.	ghted just how he proof is in
The criteria should be research the content, and located and the many viewpoints on it, and then decide the according to reality, not based on fiction. Get non be journalists and researchers to do the research. My and reading should be mine alone and the legislate out of it. They have become Big Brother. I do not not not brother telling me what to do. This is Canada, NOT a B. Union or Germany 1940.	e truth biased personal ideas ors should stay eed a big
Nanc The criteria should be that the more corporate the t	feed is the
y E. more likely to be regulated, the more user-oriented	l less.

Ali	G.	The criteria should be to focus on misinformation and illegal activities as opposed to CanCon.
	В.	the criteria should be: 1. Lesser known platforms should be excluded 2. Smaller but more recognized platforms should be excluded as well such as rumble odysee mastodon etc. 3. Platforms from the Fediverse should be cleared from this bill and never be regulated by any sort of government 4. Bigger known platforms, such as Facebook, YouTube, TikTok that are concerned about the potential this bill could cause to their services are excluded as well
Blake	S.	The criteria should be: Is it explicitly illegal? If so, regulate. If not freedom reigns.
Rene e	W.	the criterion of free speech - thus NO content should be regulated or excluded - Canada already has laws to censor actual hate speech or incitement to harm - no more controls are needed or wanted.
Lauri e	A.	The CRTA should not be excluding ANY platforms. This is censorship point blank, and government has no business regulating online content. The public will decide what they do or do not watch. The only role of government is to ensure a level playing field to allow natural market forces dictate.
Betty	C.	The CRTC allows too much in the way of mergers, of huge companies/corporations and now they want to regulate everything we watch? It is sad that they don't seem to know where their boundaries are and what they should be putting up barriers, for? Maybe they, need to be regulated and take more options for education in the area they seem to lack knowledge or care for the Canadian public?
Alexa		The CRTC and the Canadian Government should STOP
ndra Andre w	T. L.	regulating internet platforms. Let the free market regulate itself. The CRTC are all shills for the big three. Until you pay content creators for their time and labour, you don't get to dictate what the rest of us consume. Why don't you do what you do best and find more ways to keep Rogers, Bell, and Telus rich while the citizenry continues to go broke.
Donn a	K.	The CRTC can continue to regulate major Canadian media outlets that receive government funding and can continue to offer incentives to boost Canadian content. However this Canadian content should be additive rather than being made available by

		restricting access to other content. Consumers have a right to
		choose what they want to watch, hear and read.
Paul	K.	The CRTC can regulate Canadian content and Creators.
		The CRTC cannot be trusted. Full stop. There is no negotiating
		with an entity as corrupt as the CRTC so it does not seem like a
		good idea to make recommendations to them. It is akin to asking
		someone to stab you at perhaps a different angle when being
		stabbed. You're still being attacked and shouldn't have been
		stabbed in the first place. But by all means, please may you stab
Jame		me at more of an incline? The level of corruption in the CRTC is
S	H.	seemingly insurmountable at this point in time and there's no negotiating with terrorists.
3	11.	The CRTC censorship board should be very careful in deciding
		what should be regulated or excluded. Just because some
		snowflake is offended by something does not make the comment
		hate speech. Even at the height of its power, the Catholic Church
		never managed to burn all the books or heretics. Usually, in a
		healthy society, extremist views are dispensed with by vigorous
Cecil	M.	debate.
		The CRTC could stop allowing garbage from foreign countries
Lesle		that encourage pediphiles , stealing children and the like. We do
y	P.	not need to know all the garbage around the world
Gord		The CRTC does not have the authority to modify or regulate my
on	S.	Canadian Charter of Rights
		The crtc has failed to protect the interests of citizens gathering
		information by continually allowing media mergers to control more
		and more of the market and stifle variety and voices. The CRTV
Geoff	D	should be closed and restarted with a new mandate free of political and corporate interference. They are done
Ocon	11.	The CRTC has had their rules in place for decades now. They
Lesle		shouldn't change, we have Canadian content always have, should
у	T.	we choose to watch it. Allow actual news to be broadcast.
Berni		The CRTC has no business in the internet and is a waste of tax
е	G.	dollars.
Louis	B.	The CRTC has no business in this period.
		The CRTC has no business regulating web content, especially as
		they can't seem to do anything correctly with the tasks they
Etien		already have. When will Canada not be among the most
ne	G.	expensive telecom countries?

		The CRTC has no business regulating what I watch. CanCon is
Doug	D.	an outdated concept from a bygone era.
		The CRTC has no ethical business in regulating communication
Susa		platforms. Bill C-11 is not a bill of the people, by the people, or
n	H.	even for the people.
Dwig		The CRTC has no right telling me what I can and can't see on a
ht	K.	public, world wide forum like the internet. Period.
Devi	P.	The CRTC has no right to regulate any media platform.
Max	K.	The CRTC has to regulate the spread of government propaganda and radical left ideologies, which happens regularly on state media organizations such as the CBC, Global News, and CTV, as those platforms have long ago stopped being unbiased and instead of news mostly show content to alter viewers opinions, instead of unbiased informing of news as they happen.
IVIAX	rx.	The CRTC is a complete waste of tax payer money and should be
Rober		abolished. Let the market decide what type of content is produced
t	F.	based on the populations tastes and interests.
L	1 -	The CRTC is a useless organization. Why do I say that? Because
Glenn	L.	the CRTC created the CCTS to handle what the CRTC couldn't do and the CCTS has ZERO authority. Dealing with the CCTS is a Total waste of anyone's time. It is a total waste of the Taxpayer's money in having the CRTC and the CCTS. Bell Canada Regulates both the CRTC and the CCTS. As a Taxpayer and customer I have the experience of dealing with both the Useless CCTS and ignorance of the CRTC and Bell Canada ignores the CRTC regulations. It's so obvious (in a David vs Goliath example) the CRTC is David and Bell Canada is Goliath. (Bell Canada can do whatever they want).
Richa		The CRTC is an institution designed for old school media of the
rd	M.	previous century, that is where legislation like this belongs.
Willia	_	The CRTC is an outdated government mechanism struggling to
m	P.	maintain relevance in an environment they can not understand.
		The CRTC is canadian propaganda and in the globalist world they
		wanted so badly we've come to that conclusion. As a member of
		gen z and growing up on all the globalist propaganda idk why we
Dilev	NA	can't just call a spade a spade. The CRTC doesn't need to worry
Riley	M.	about the internet, YouTube, or anything.
Pete	H.	The crtc is controlled by the Prime Minister!!!

		The CRTC is taking an approach that is far too intrusive and that
		overreaches to restrict user's choices. The CRTC is refighting
		past battles and does not understand the modern media
		environment. Their rules and restrictions will end up being pushed
John	0.	aside and ignore as participants learn to work around them.
Tyler	G.	The CRTC is useless and unnecessary.
		The CRTC must be both actually and visibly independent of all
		government and corporate influences. Since this is not happening
		now and has not happened in the past, the original concept of the
		Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission
		(CRTC) has not been realized. In fact, it appears that it has taken
		on entirely different shape, intent, and powers that were ever
		intended by Pierre Juneau, Harry Boyle, and the others in forming
		the 1960s CRTC. I believe they would be disappointed and
		shocked at what is happening now with the CRTC. We are an
		administrative tribunal that operates at arm's length from the
		federal government. We are dedicated to ensuring that Canadians
		have access to a world-class communication system that
		promotes innovation and enriches their lives. Our role is to
		implement the laws and regulations set by Parliamentarians who
		create legislation and departments that set policies. We regulate
		and supervise broadcasting and telecommunications in the public
		interest. The above quote is from the current CRTC website. How
		is it possible to equate the above quote with what the current
David		CRTC does and decides in the 2020s? Is it reallyin the public
Paul	M.	interest?
		The CRTC must be willing and able to charge fines to companies
		that manipulate customers. Companies that commit fraud must
		also be under the control of the CRTC. Currently, the CRTC has
Nool		no such power or refuses to use it when they create their own
Noel	L.	platforms for whatever purpose.
Corol		the CRTC must understand that they Must follow the Charter of
Geral	ш	Rights and Freedoms, and the Constitution, Censorship is not Freedom
d	H.	
		The CRTC need to regulate itself only. They should have no other
KENI	_	authority. There are other authorities in place to deal with these
KEN	E.	issues provincially and nationally.
Miche	C	The CRTC needs to collaborate with these platforms rather than
lle	S.	regulate or exclude them.

Louis	В.	The CRTC needs to focus on making internet availbe and affordable for all Canadians. All the platforms need to be excluded.
Noel	C.	The CRTC needs to leave the internet alone. No regulated or excluded is not needed
Isaur	О.	excluded is not needed
0	M.	The CRTC not be doing any of it plain and simple.
	101.	The CRTC or any government organization should not be
		regulating anything on the internet. If the content is worth
		watching or listening to it will be. If it is not worth watching or
Clifto		listening to it won't. Stop interfering with the free flow of content
n	L.	and information.
Jeff	B.	The CRTC refuses to regulate internet prices to keep costs down for Canadians and yet wants to force its regulation on what Canadian's see and post online while forcing even small content creators to give them money for the sole benefit of legacy media to produce what it chooses and claims to be Canadian Content, often penalizing & excluding actual small and digital-first Canadian content creators. This is just another bill pushed along by big legacy media companies to tilt the playing field even more in their favor and once again the CRTC does nothing to actually help Canadians & Canadian content creators. This bill should be scrapped and new Internet-specific legislation should be created with the help of people that actually understands the internet to create a bill that is not centered around Canada's outdated Broadcasting Act for that only helps legacy media and gives more power of control to the outdated CRTC. Government policies need to respects our choices and supports all digital Canadian content creators big and small; equally.
		The crtc should allow for a free market place and not regulate or
Denni	_	censor platforms due to some arrbitrary rules the government
S	F.	wants set in place.
Jonat han	S.	The crtc should be abbolished
IIaii	J.	The CRTC should be abblished. It has already destroyed radio,
		and damaged television. Old school ideals should not be applied
Greg	W.	to new media.
Alexa		The CRTC should be abolished. They have no right to decide
ndre	D.	what we want to see as a Canadian.

Philip		
pe '	P.	The CRTC should be disbanded.
Benni		The CRTC should be disbanded. It's a dinosaur from a different
cke	T.	world.
		The CRTC should be disbanded. Let consumers decide what
Andre		media they consume. I will consciously support Canadian creators
w	E.	that do not receive government subsidy or regulatory promotion.
Rober		The CRTC should be dismantled since it no longer holds the
t	W.	interests of the Canadian people.
Micha		
el	D.	The CRTC should be dissolved
richar		The CRTC should be elected and replaced every 4 years . The
d	h.	CRTC should not be allowed to be anything but an arbiter.
		The CRTC should be hands off. The majority of Canadians have
		enough common sense to determine what is News and what is
Ted	R.	not.
		The CRTC should be making sure that platforms are not trying to
		shut people up. That platforms, no matter their home, should be
Andre		allowed all different scopes and angles and thoughts. They should
а	B.	be allowing the banter between peoples
		The CRTC should be more concerned in shutting down obscene
Cynth	_	content (e.g. pornography) than restricting free speech/diverse
ia	P.	opinions.
		The CRTC should be totally transparent when reporting the news.
	_	People have the right to know the whole truth without government
Linda	S.	interference!!
	_	the CRTC should be wound down. We don't need a big brother to
Brian	R.	try to create competition".
Willia	_	The CRTC should butt out and not censor any content on the
m	B.	internet.
		The CRTC should cease to exist. It has not served Canadians in
Brad	K.	decades.
		The CRTC should censor government narratives for political bias.
Joann		Otherwise, do not regulate or exclude information - that is why I
ie	H.	have an on/off switch on my media platforms. My mind my choice!
		The CRTC should consider abandoning the notion of keeping
Det		cable and going fully digital. There are more long term benefits
Patry	_	than negatives, which also results in working and competing with
k	C.	fellow Canadian content creators. The current system does not

		work and it shows with the lack of polish and quality shown on Cable TV.
Rober t	B.	The CRTC should consider butting out of totalitarian control in broadcasting.
Paul	V.	The CRTC should consider itself unauthorized to exclude content on the internet. All Canadians must have access to the full web as it is without government interference.
Mark	M.	The CRTC should consider only regulating large platforms such as Netflix due to smaller platforms like first time content creators being more personal and less likely to profit more as a result from the small popularity of the content creator. If the CRTC decides to include regulating smaller platforms then it should be optional, and personalized to the individual and small creators to ensure that both the CRTC, the content creator, and the customer can gain something from the regulation.
John	S.	The CRTC should consider section 2 (b) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The CRTC should also consider the free market of ideas and allow Canadian content to compete on the World stage based upon its own merit without subsidies or Government involvement / interference.
John	S.	The CRTC should consider that Canada is supposed to be a democracy and there is absolutely no need for bill C-11. Bureaucrats with too much time on their hands waste taxpayer's money mulling over how to regulate the population. The question about, which platforms should be regulated, should never be asked in the first place. Those who want to continue controlling Canadians should find another job, hopefully in another country. Canadians don't require Bill C-11, so get rid of it!
Chari ssa	N.	The CRTC should consider that if they push content in people's feed that aren't actually interested in it, it will hurt the creator with less views, less clicks and less engagement. The beauty of having the feed uninhibited is that when truly Canadian content comes up on my feed organically, based on the creators work and skill, it has more value and promotes creativity and innovation among the creators.
Bruno	E.	The CRTC should consider that we must have freedom of speech in a democracy. If we don't, we won't have a democracy. We'll have what occurred during Covida shameless destruction of our rights.

Adria n G.	The CRTC should consider what kind of content is being served by these online platforms. Netflix for example serves primarily content such as television shows and films which are already regulated by the CRTC on traditional cable networks. However, platforms like Crunchyroll specialize specifically in international media and would severely suffer from this same regulation along with other similar sites. Social media such as Tik-tok, Youtube, or Instagram, however, serve primarily user created content which does not fall under traditional broadcasting media. There is a hard line that should protect these services, which are already subject to surveillance and scrutiny by government bodies, from this sort of regulation. If they were to begin to alter my feeds or who sees my posts, I would see this as nothing more than a gross overstepping of bounds which has the very real potential to be misused and harmful. This attempt to regulate user generated content in this way speaks either to a misunderstanding of what these platforms are, or are an active attempt to control citizens' freedom of expression.
Micha el C.	The CRTC should decline to utilize the powers given them. Full Stop!
Dillon M.	The CRTC should disband it's an utterly useless organization as a whole because Internet content in general should be to Removed from consideration of regulation
Rajbir B.	The CRTC should dispose of any plans to regulate platforms and should rather focus on incentivizing the creation of Canadian content.
Stefa n A.	The CRTC should exclude all social media, and all video sharing services.
Joann e B.	The CRTC should focus on demanding lower rates for cell-phone usage from Canada's cellular service providers - the efforts exerted in this regard to date have been an international embarrassment, and demonstrates the utter incompetence of this body to effectively undertake SERVICE to the public in any meaningful arena. The CRTC must keep its ham-handed, incompetent and *clearly* unqualified mitts OFF of the internet - broadcasting refers to RADIO and TELEVISION, *not* the internet.
Natas ha B.	The CRTC should follow The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

		The CRTC should have no business regulating anything on the
NOR M	B.	internet. We have adequate laws now to protect users from criminal activities.
Starr	G.	The CRTC should have NO control over platforms at all
Diann	G.	The CRTC should have no right to control user-generated content on platforms like Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, and TikTok. These platforms aren't directly hiring content creators to begin with, so controlling content on these platforms will only serve to limit Canadians' awareness of things happening beyond our borders and will not actually provide any new jobs. The Canadian Content rules are also outdated and focused entirely on whether or not the content is FUNDED by Canadians, not whether or not it's actually MADE by Canadians. Until this is addressed & Canadian content means Canadian-written, bill C-11 will not create real change in media industries.
Jessi		The CRTC should have no say in what Canadians create or what content they access under Bill C-11, it is not and should not be in their purview. It is thanks to their current and past regulations that has sabotaged Canadian content creators - online and in broadcast - for decades. Canada can do without this bill's
е	L.	misinformed, outdated, and harmful legislation.
Brian	B.	The CRTC should invest in a mirror and restrict its actions on curbing its own authority. Perhaps it should be closed as it servers no purpose to the Canadian public.
Tina	N.	The CRTC should keep there hands off podcasts and other media. We need free and open broadcasting. Spend more on Canadian content creators, that's how we'll support Canadians in broadcasting.
steve n	b.	the CRTC SHOULD LEAVE FREE SPEECH ALONE AND MAYBE GO AFTER GOVERNMENT FUNDED CBC WHO HAVE IGNORED CANADIAN CONTENT LIKE THE TRUCKER CONVOY AND HAVE ONLY REPORTED ONE WAY POLITICAL ATTACKS ON FREEDOM AND CANADIANS
Layne	K.	The CRTC should leave the Internet alone. Platforms ought not be regulated or restricted by the CRTC for any reason. Parents are the only ones to have the right of media censorship over their minor children. Canadians don't need a nanny to tell us what media we can consume.
Gerry		The CRTC should limit itself to controlling the outright greed of Canada's service providers and the way they rape their

		customers. Content regulation should be restricted to ensuring that reporting is accurate, balanced and unbiased. That's all.
		The CRTC should NEVER be sat at by former lobbyists or anyone remotely close to that. Canadians are victims of big 3 telecom
Λ := al := a		companies being in collusion to keep prices high on everything
Andre w	S.	related to cell service. From price of phones to plans. Highway robbery.
VV	0.	The CRTC should never know what I'm searching for. My search's
		is my privacy. If I'm searching for Canadian info then I expect
Brian	M.	Canadian results.
Christ		The CRTC should not attempt to regulate the content of platforms
ine	L.	beyond keeping things like child pornography off the internet.
Marti		The CRTC should not be allowed to censor our use of the
n	T.	internet.
		The CRTC should not be allowed to decide what Canadians can
Wayn		or cannot watch. There job is to make sure that any or all of the
е	S.	media platforms follow the same rules set for the industry.
		The CRTC should not be allowed to manipulate our feeds, control
		the content we consume, and downrank the content we love in favour of government-stamped CanCon. Listen to the people
		you're trying to help, to make sure you are actually helping them.
		Make sure CanCon is actually Canadian, made in Canada, made
		by Canadians, lots of Canadians working on the content, ect.
Kyle	l.	Large corporations should pay, not the creators themselves.
Jeann		The CRTC should not be controlling any web based content.
ie	V.	Period. It's the World Wide Web.
Miche		
lle	C.	The CRTC should not be determining what I see.
		The CRTC should NOT be determining which platforms should be
Tanni	^	regulated or excluded under Bill C-11. This authoritarian control
S	A.	over Canadians that we did NOT ask for or agree to.
		The CRTC should not be funded by any government or political party. Otherwise you will be bias on your regulating of what we
Chris	F.	see or post online.
20		The CRTC should not be interfering with corporations that are
		providing entertainment in Canada. It's entertainment and that is
Jody	M.	it. Let the public pick and choose what they want to pay for.

		The CRTC should not be involved in the Internet in any way. It is neither possible or desired for the CRTC to try to regulate the
Todd	R.	Internet.
		The CRTC should not be involved whatsoever. Leave the
Peter	W.	platforms alone and I will choose to use them or not.
Christ		
opher	P.	The CRTC should not be looking to censor content.
Gord		The CRTC should not be regulating anything except perhaps porn
on	M.	sites.
		The CRTC should not be regulating or excluding any platforms.
Lisa	D.	Users should be free to view and access all content equally.
Sylvia	B.	The CRTC should not censor any platform.
Katin		The CRTC should not control what Canadians see. They can
а	G.	decide for themselves.
		The CRTC should not govern outside its original jurisdictions. The
		CRTC has no place in governing the internet. The Canadian
		people do not need the CRTC to spoon feed us content the
		government decides we should have access to. And, then, block
		content the deem inappropriate, or not Canadian enough. The
		government should be helping commercial content creators make
		better content, then to force the low budget content down our
Bill	B.	throats.
Christ		The CRTC should not have any added powers than what they
opher	S.	already have.
Shaff		The CRTC should not have any power to regulate any online
er	B.	content PERIOD.
		The CRTC should not have any say or power over what a
		Canadian independent content creator makes or posts online.
Olivia	S.	Doing so would be a grave mistake, and even dystopian.
		The CRTC should not have anything to do with online services.
		There should be a separate department for online. You shouldnt
		be using a radio and television conglomerate to dictate how online
		services are governedThey are 2 separate entities and should
		be treated as such. This Bill, along with Bill C-18, should not be
		governed by the CRTC or the governmentleave it alone.
		Our government only want control over everything we watch.
Darle		More Canadian content they say, yet they cant even tell you what
ne	L.	that is, right??

		TI ODTO I II (I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Jason	F	The CRTC should not have exclusive rights in regulating platforms in censoring media content in Canada. There should be other mechanisms in place to monitor potentially harmful media that may propogate or attempt to corrupt Canadians' freedoms.
J43011	1 -	1 1 1 1
Oliver	s	The CRTC should not have this power. In a more globalized world creating such a classification simply becomes political and arbitrary.
211401	<u> </u>	-
Rick	M.	The CRTC should not interfere with freedoms Canadians enjoy in the selection of media content.
Ronni	C.	The CRTC should not regulate any online streaming service like it does with traditional broadcasters especially those on social media. The Government attempt at trying to submit online streaming services to 20th Century Broadcasting laws will fail epically as majority of consumer would rather watch and listen to their preferred contents even if that means not watching hearing their own countries contents.
e Trovo	<b>U</b> .	their Own Countries Contents.
Trevo		The CDTC should not require to a soil 1.
r	G.	The CRTC should not regulate any social media or news outlet
Fran√	_	The CRTC should not regulate anything concerning the content
ßois	B.	we see nor promote CanCon.
chen	S.	The crtc should not regulate anything under bill c-11
Marc	C.	The CRTC should NOT regulate or exclude any platforms under Bill C-11
Stanl	P.	The CRTC should not regulate video sharing, Social and most small streaming platforms in any way. The internet is not meant to be a small walled garden where one occasionally finds foreign fruits. The internet is meant to connect everyone no matter where they are around the world. Most people would agree that it would not make sense to find Canadian content on an Anime streaming platform such as Crunchyroll (Japanese cartoons in layman's terms) or Canadian content on Britbox which exclusively serves British content.
еу		The CRTC should not weaken independent digital content
Brend		creators, while strengthening bought off legacy outlets. I want to
a	S.	continue to live in a free and open society.
<u> </u>	J.	The CRTC should only be concerned with law breaking such as
WILLI		child porn or any other law breaking acts that may harm law
AM	P.	bidding Canadians.
	•	

Willar d	R.	people choose what to watch and believe. Censorship has no place in Canada and this exactly what C-11 is. Who has the right to determine what is misinformation or disinformation other than the viewer. The government has already destroyed the credibility of Canadian mainstream media by paying them to recite the acceptable narritive.
Ingrid	C.	The CRTC should respect the freedom of choice for Canadians and refrain from excessive regulation of platforms. Let Canadians have the autonomy to decide what content they want to consume and which content creators they wish to support.  The CRTC should stand down and allow a free internet where
Lisa	P.	The CRTC should remember were adults who make our choices. We do not need to be policed & forced to navigate media within the parameters of government regulations. This is not a free media & this is not a democracy. Less government involvement. They work for the taxpayers.
Chris	S.	The CRTC should remain unbiased, and should allow smaller media outlets to have freedom to operate as they see fit.
Andre	G.	The CRTC should refuse to participate in political censorship. The CRTC should be disbanded and allow the free market to determine cultural. Canada is a Post National State and no longer needs an agency to censor the needs of a true multicultural nation.
Ferrin		The CRTC should only concern itself with government funded and corporate news media in a way as to ensure mainstream media is kept honest, non biased and non partisan. That should be done at its source. If there is concern that "false information" or "misinformation" is being spread then they should hold the corporate and government funded news sources responsible to address the issues in a logical way using critical thinking, opening debate and discussion publicly. True transparency. The only way to stop false information is to educate without an agenda.
Rand	L.	The CRTC should only be promoting Canadian content in a respectful way so that more Canadians and the online world will be aware of what Canadian content creators have to offer. Other than that, all other platforms must be excluded from Bill C-11.
Peter	A.	The CRTC should only be concerned with the regulation of over-the-air broadcast content, signal frequencies, and strength.

Olivie	D.	The CRTC should start thinking about Canadians and their rights to watch whatever they like. We already pay subscriptions through providers like Bell and have to sit through ours of ads per day. Is it still not enough ??? Corporate greed is just out of control right now. The CRTC should be a fully independent commission with non of its leadership having any ties to the media industry in order to be make the right decisions for Canadians. Now it's just a room full of former Media ceo's and lobbyist. How can we even consider this farce ? SO here it is! The answer is : STOP messing with my private media experience.
Carol		The CRTC should stop censoring all together. They are not God
yn	M.	and are biased.  The CRTC should stop trying to manipulate what Canadians can and cannot use. This bill cannot be in the best interest of Canadians.
Jacob	Н.	The CRTC should take into careful consideration the smell emanating from Trudeau's rear end as they continue to kiss it.
Ronal		The CRTC should ve dissolved. Look at how well (sarcasm) they
d	W.	do at providing affordable internet and cell phone service.
Allan	S.	The CRTC should work towards deregulation and the concept of freedom of speech for all people of Earth and free trade allowing foreign companies access to set up shop in Canada.
Blake	B.	The crtc shouldn't follow or assist Bill c-11.
Jacob	K.	The CRTC shouldn't be controlling ANY online content. Canada is not a communist dictatorship and freedom of speech needs to be respected.
Manu	_	The CDTC about dold be use their bounds in requilating content
el	A.	The CRTC shouldn't have their hands in regulating content.  The CRTC was originated to ensure that Canadians saw
Seag	H.	Canadian content, in the era of analogue TV. This is no longer the case. Bill C-11 is an attempt at the Telecom companies to further force Canadians to watch ONLY their content, using the CRTC as a crutch to get what they want. Hobbling us to watching only CanCon is unfair to Canadians, everywhere. I have worked in the broadcast industry for over 50 years, and the Telecoms DO NOT WANT TO MAKE TV! They make NEWS with the minimum of crew, no sets, no talent just to MEET current CanCon regulations. They want to buy US programming. PERIOD and force us to watch it on their feeds! Case in point. I have a Crave subscription I watch through my X-Box. EVERY SINGLE TIME the app loads,

		it needs an update. Sadly this is a lie to Canadians. They are using each launch to verify my account. Netflix doesn't do this. Prime doesn't do this. Disney+ doesn't do this. Do Canadian broadcasters distrust their customers so badly that they need to verify their account three times IN THE SAME DAY from the same IP address? At this point the ONLY CanCon programming worth watching is the CBC. The CRTC should remain OUT of what the rest of the world has to offer. It is not their strong-suit, looking at past history.
Chris	B.	The CRTC's regulatory power over user content platforms should only apply to professional sound recordings. User generated content should be excluded.
Scott	L.	The CTRC should check the factual reporting of news channels for starters, who make up fake news which the Government wants them to report on. They should all be free of Government handouts and succeed on their own by reporting the truth of things.
Kenn		The CTRC should only control and regulate Child Porn and any
eth	L.	kind of exploitation like human trafficking material.  The current CanCon laws regarding television and radio are kinda
Derek	C.	stupid. Let me stream what I want!
Walte		
r	C.	The desires of the people
Jeffre y	M.	The difference between regulation or not should be based on who selects the content available on the platform. Streaming platforms have content curated by the platform, limiting what is available to the end user (usually based on contracts with content providers and often have production quality expectations) and should be regulated. Social and sharing platforms allow anyone to provide content and provide a more open economy for creators to produce engaging content to win audiences. These should not be regulated, but could have an option to prioritize verified made/popular in Canada to make it easier to support local content.
Mary	d.	The entire Bill C-11 should be repealed.
Kevin		The entire bill C-11 should be scrapped. Protect are rights and stay out of are lives. Every politician is a criminal that needs to be removed and punished for there crimes of insider trading, money laundering, as well as crimes against humanity. Their wealth

		needs to be seized for profits of crime . With this C-11 and the heath Canada trying to ban NHP . And forcing the biological
		weapons known as the vaccine. This is the real news that needs
		to be addressed first and foremost.
		The entire bill is censorship disguised as Canadian content
Marc		promotion. But small content providers that do not receive any
0	T.	substantial federal grants should be automatically excluded.
Matth	N.	The entire principle of mandating additional Canadian content is outdated and does not make sense with the majority of current content providers. It made sense with older models of content delivery, where each television channel could play only a single program at a time and ran on a fixed schedule. Under that system, Canadian viewers could only watch Canadian content when the network chose to air Canadian content; we could not make a choice to go find it. It is still reasonable to require Canadian content on cable networks of this kind and on radio stations (which have the same problem). However, it is entirely inappropriate to regulate on-demand media platforms that are accessed via the internet because Canadians are more than capable of making their own decisions to seek out Canadian content or not. If we choose not to do so, the CRTC has no business forcing it upon us; the CRTC is intended to serve us, not the other way around.
Betha ny	S.	the fact that places like Facebook and Google have already decide to take away Canadians access to news is telling. I would urge the CRTC to not think about what specific platforms to regulate but more importantly what the ramifications of ANY regulation would have.
Andr√		The federal government should consider the fact they need to
©	B.	minimize their intrusion into individual liberty.
Ezra	M.	The fewer platforms the better.
Octav	D.	The first priority to CRTC should in bar on is to protect online information. That means hunt down the scammers that use social media and the Internet platform to take advantage of unsuspecting Canadian residence. The elderly, the uneducated, the immigrants etc. are most vulnerable and yet with all the resources the government has they haven't been able to stifle the scammer, the betrayal artist etc. that search the Internet for vulnerable prey

Prof.		
Micha		
el	P.	The foreign monster media platforms that refuse to self-regulate.
Karla	В.	The free market doesn't thrive under arbitrary restrictions. We already get second-class treatment in terms of what media we can access. Let's promote and support Canadians instead of tearing each other down. No restrictions, just support for those of us living in this high cost of living country.
Nicho	S.	The freedom of individuals guaranteed under The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms namely those listed below, because limiting data (content) is censoring, stifling content is stifling freedom of expression and controlling knowledge to prevent free thought and awareness. Fundamentally blinding Canadians by only allowing political correctness! 1 The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms guarantees the rights and freedoms set out in it subject only to such reasonable limits prescribed by law as can be demonstrably justified in a free and democratic society. 2 Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication; (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and (d) freedom of association. 7 Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of the person and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice. 8 Everyone has the right to be secure against unreasonable search or seizure. 12 Everyone has the right not to be subjected to any cruel and unusual treatment or punishment. 15 (1) Every individual is equal before and under the law and has the right to the equal protection and equal benefit of the law without discrimination and, in particular, without discrimination based on race, national or ethnic origin, colour, religion, sex, age or mental or physical disability.
Cindy	B.	The freedom of the people to choose. Not government dictatorship.
Eliz-s	K.	The fundamental rights of Canadians to live life with minimum of controls. I am very much against legislated viewing listening and limited partaking of information. It will cause Canada to be isolated and will basically dumb down our content. To everyones basic ability to deal or understand whats happening in the world.
Ted	M.	The funding of the cbc and ctv

ric E		
	В.	The gouvernement need to stop this bill that will hurt most
samm (		the goverment should ideally only have control over platforms that they fund or producers they've given grants to. anything purely user generated (like social media & youtube) should not be restricted in this way.
		The government and CRTC should not be interfering with
Jytte E		Canadians access to internet content, be it Canadian content or from elsewhere
		The government dog media need not be regulated as ots content is already controlled by government and everything else should be left alone. I would like to make my own choices based on the best available information and the government dog media really pushes a lot of manipulative lies.
Johan nes F		The government funded media like cbc should be regulated and checked for content
Curtis N		The government has no business deciding what kind of online content should be consumed by citizens.
David N		The government have no right to regulate what we see or do not see hear or say and definitely not where we can see these things I feel as tho Bill c-11 violates section 2 of the Charter of rights and freedoms
adam r		The government should be able to freely regulate platforms it has funding directly in, such as televion broadcast. The canadian government doesnt host youtube or twitch, so they do not regulate it. I Should be able to find what i like on the internet, which is global.
Rober t F		The government should NOT be allowed to implement C-11. The media in Canada SHOULD NOT bee subsidized by government!
		The government should not be involved in regulating streaming platforms. It should be up to the individual platforms to create
		regulations
Jorda n k		The government should not regulate free speech online regardless of their rational as to why they believe they should.
11 1		The government should not regulate media in general only the
Felipe		content and their respective target ages and recommend advisory
Duter		parental guidace ( protecting young viewers from being exposure
		to excessive violence, drugs, etc)
		The government should stay off contents. Period.

Ron	M.	The government should stay out business.
Mike	L.	The government should stay out of people's personal lives
		The government should stay out of the media business and
Josep		should concern itself with the country's infrastructure and
h	Z.	defense.
		The government shouldn't regulate a damn thing. You're
		supposed to work for the people instead yous et yourselves up
Chris	C.	above them like the petty egotistical tyrants you are.
Kimb		
erly	K.	The government shouldn't regulate any platforms.
		The govt. should stay out of any censoring on-line. People can
		choose what they wish to read, hear and in any way, subject
Jan	C.	themselves to. Less govt. involvement in our lives the better.
		The income that the companies or entities generate, but also
l lama		whether or not the content is user-generated. I don't believe
Hann	Б	user-generated content should be regulated by any government
es	P.	entity.
		The individual person should have their own feeds and results not
		limited or altered by Bill C-11, it almost seems like they are trying
		to completely change what I see, watch, and read on a daily basis. We live in Canada, not North Korea, the government
Dono		shouldnt have that much control over our lives, as online media is
van	W.	a very large portion of them.
Vari	* * .	The intention should be to best facilitate freedom of information
Christ		access and distribution; while advocating independent critical
ian	S.	thinking; toward a thriving diversity of ideas and skills.
		The internet and other services provided through the world wide
		web given to us by Sir Tim Berners-Lee is not radio, nor is it
		television. The CRTC has no business regulating the freedom of
Andre		expression and entertainment that the online world has allowed
w	L.	us.
Chanl		
еу	W.	The internet does not qualify as crtc.
		The internet is a free world where anyone should be able to
Aaron	M.	express their views without being censored.
		The internet is a global market. Canadians should be able to
		compete within the global market. I do not believe in special
		protections nor do I believe that Canadians feel their productions
Roger	B.	are of an inferior quality that they require such protections. I do

		however believe that Canadian users should have advertising from local companies prioritized, in the language they are using.
		The Internet is an open ecosystem, any video restrictions based
Eric	B.	on a specific countries will not work.
Danie I	G.	The internet is for everybody and the CRTC has to business in regulating what I decide to see on ANY platform!
Ryan	P.	The internet is international, not Canadian. It is not up to the CRTC to determine what Canadians consume online.
Sara	K.	the internet is not radio nor tv and should not be treated the same.
Josep h	T.	The internet must remain free. The more rules, regulations and requirements from governments stifle innovation and reduces our access to what consumers want. In the modern world, we want and consume media from all over the globe. These types of restrictions are short sighted and would limit viewers from other countries to watch Canadian content. We are global citizens
David	Ο.	The internet needs to be free and open without government interference
Gonz		
alo	H.	The internet should be free and open to all
Allan	L.	The internet should be open and running free. I don't need anyone telling me what to watch and when. Stay out of it. Dissolve CRTC.
Scott	B.	The internet should not be regulated
Tanne r	S.	The internet should not be regulated
Paul	E.	The internet shouldn't be regulated by a governing body which is composed of many former Telecom executives. Instead of focusing on real problems that need to be solved one was manufactured to give former control to censor real Canada creators online while giving the CRTC the power to prop up legacy media firms. #Disgraceful
Micha el	H.	The internet was created by the people the language was created by many people HTML was the original people's language and we have the rights to choose what we want to watch and share
Ross	W.	The internet was founded upon the idea of Open-Access to all, I don't need this government to determine the types of content I can see because of where I live. Free education for all, stay true to the borderless cornerstone of the world-wide web, and if you are to manage access to content at all it's to place all morally

		nourishing content at the top and ALL polarising content at the bottom.
Andre w	J.	The internet, radio, podcasts, search engines, social media, and the like should all be opened up to feature content that is relative to the website's or show's message and platform.
Dave	R.	The large media platforms don't need Canada, Canada needs the media platforms. Any attempts to force what content people can watch will fail. Help Canadians make better content in order to compete
David		The large providers are interested only in turning maximum profit. I believe all online streaming platforms regardless of size or type should be required to place a country of origin flag for the content they broadcast. That does not mean we should be regulating the quantity of Canadian content for all. That role should be for the large multi-national corporations.
Craig	M.	The large subscription platforms. Disney+, Apple TV, Netflix, etc Maybe determined by subscription numbers, e.g. 100+ million subscribers would fall under Bill C-11.
Christ ophe	C.	The less regulation, the better.
Kyle	G.	The Liberal government didn't approve any of the recommendations to protect our feeds and what we searched on or anything else. If you let them take that away from us, then people will no longer watch any of these CanCon that the Liberals approve. My advice think before you go through with this, or else your company will get what's coming next.
Diana	M.	The main concern and focus is to ensure that what is presented is legal. OVER regulating is dictatorship. As per the statement within this survey, We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC
Rober t	K.	The main criteria should be if the user has the control over what content they consume or if they are a passive participant.
Bob	N.	The main stream news needs regulation they are full of lies and paid off
Denni s	C.	The major issue is financial. If subscribers are paying a streaming service, then I'd prefer that search algorithms highlight those with Canadian content (when someone from Canada is using the service). Free services (particularly those which use content generated by individuals versus corporations) should not be

		asked to alter their search algorithms. That should be left to the users themselves.
Denn		
у	K.	The market and its users will eventually adjust!
Howa rd	R.	The media has been only feeding us what the government wants us to hear. Enough open up the air waves.
Jack	J.	The more regulation, the more chances there will be for other alternatives to grow unregulated. Mankind always finds ways around regulations. Give the people choices and less people will look to find their own.
Laura	B.	The more regulations you put in place the fewer smaller content creators there will be. Access is important. Don't restrict access to the existing content or make it more difficult to create new content. Regulations should be used to encourage new content creators, not restrict, limit, or remove access to existing content or existing content providers. There is a very fine line here between regulating an industry and telling people what they are allowed to watch. The media around this bill and the social media hype around this bill have not been good. Regulation of content is a very slippery slope and a dangerous one at that. Using this bill to force Canadians into accessing only government approved content is not a good look for the Canadian government or the CRTC. Please tread lightly with this bill.
Miche I	P.	The one that would be regulated is that there is a platform that uses cursing work and death threats. Even though the government may not like to take accountability for their actions, there is still not a reason to ban the streamer. That is a great contradiction in the charter of the right to speak our opinions. This Trudeau federal government is very dangerously attacking our charter rights ever since the Covid mandate.
Micha el	S.	The ones that have the largest impact on how Canadian content is consumed
John	W.	The only actions I would support would be to promote Canadian commercial-quality content that cannot be marketed fairly, because the distribution of that content is already under the control of a monopoly.
Erik	N.	The only content that should be regulated are those that include hate speech or incites violence. Leave the internet alone.
Aman da	B.	The only content that should be regulated, is under age access to age inappropriate content. This would include all content that

used have age ratings and restrictions. Content that was behind curtains that could only be accessed by someone of legal adult age.  The only content that should be removed is child sexualization, gender ideology, and any content promoting racial preference
age. The only content that should be removed is child sexualization,
gender ideology, and any content promoting racial preference
over any others.
The only criteria I think we should consider when it comes to what platforms we should regulate is, are they completely blocking
access to Canadian content? Are they favouring other content
over Canadian content PURPOSEFULLY? Is yes, then regulate. If
no, then leave it alone. We do not need to be told what we can
and can't watch. I am a content creator, but since I'm not big time
or whatever, you aren't gonna care. I'm sorry but corporations can
figure things out on their own. They have enough money to do
whatever it is they need to do to help themselves and if they can't,
then too bad. The rest of us non corporations don't get any help
whatsoever and we don't have the money or resources, so let
them flounder. Only the strong will survive as they like to tell us.
Perhaps we should worry more about Canadian access to the
internet at an affordable price than what trite garbage we might
find in some social media feed. Please just get with the times.
The only criteria is sexually abusive or of a hateful nature content.
Investigative journalism should be encouraged and promoted not
regulated or excluded. The government in Ottawa must not have
controlling powers or influence over the CBC content or any
media funded or supported by them.
The only criteria is to mind their own business and stay out of this.
The only criteria should be one that pertains to ethics ensuring
communications are free from hatred, criminal activity, false
information, profanity etc.
The only criteria should be the ability to choose Canadian content
if that is what you want. Made in Canada sticker just like on
products you purchase in stores is ALL that is needed to identify
Canadian content.
The only criteria that should be considered is whether the service
is hosted in Canada and whether they receive funding from
Canada to produce content. We, as a country, should not be
trying to force online platforms to feed us Canadian-produced
content.
Ráchách Viblita Irch

		The only criteria that should be considered: 1) Pedophilia, 2)
		Illegal pornography, 3) Advocating violence against persons or
Keith	M.	groups, 4) Criminal activities
		The only criteria that should be regulated are that content calling itself news should be verifiable as factually correct if it's going to be peddled to the masses. Don't let corporations, telecom companies, cable networks or any other big organization buy up so much of the internet traffic as to drown out the voices of each person and their user created content no matter how small they happen to be. Don't let algorithms drown out the little voices either. When people select an option to see more of their friends content over any other content then that option shouldn't be overridden by anything but the user changing their own minds about what they want to see. Also science papers shouldn't be
Danie	_	locked behind paywalls because access to accurate
lle	P.	data/information that affects us is important to our human rights.
Steph	G.	The only guaranteed way to promote Canadian Content is to fund it. Attempting to regulate cultural output is an exercise in futility. It has historically never been effective, and only results in more problems.
David	M.	The only monitoring or blocking of anything should be prevention of terrorist messaging or child abuse or grooming.
Jessi ca	K.	The only obvious considerations would be anything that involves criminal activity and especially any kind of lewd or sexual content should be censored/removed. It always leaves me confused that our CRTC can begin to regulate and censor media and/or news content but somehow it can't eradicate pornography, especially child pornography. Maybe shift your focus to going after the criminals involved in child exploitation.
Micha el	N.	The only one I can think of is Tik-Tok due to the terrible effect it appears to be having on the youth today.
Jonat han	R.	The only ones that should be regulated are the ones already funded by the govt itself, such as Radio Canada, which should clearly state a disclaimer of them being funded by the government and thus paid to inform as per the administration's desire.
Vicki	S.	The only platforms I am for is anything children can watch that should not be watched by children
Melin da	P.	The only platforms that should be monitored, censored and cancelled and investigated are porn and child porn sites.

John	M.	The only subject that come to mind at this time is the privacy of the Canadian consumer .As we know the world of information has become one of digital identification and personnel information, the right to stream from a foreign country is not the CRTC business concerns as they do make a profit from Canadian consumers ,the prices reflecting the cost of outsiders doing business in Canada has become outrages that has to be addressed .I once said to telus that the internet i use at home is the internet i use in my car
Trevo r	W.	The only regulations that should exist is to monitor on-line information that is overtly promoting hate crimes and/or violence. There needs to be a hard set of criteria that determines what would qualify as this, not just bots that target key words ans concepts. There needs to be forums for every type of opinion and discussions available to maintain freedom of speech. The politically correct movement has been allowed to run rampant which leaves no room for nuanced conversation that might be contrary to so called popular opinion
Debra	D.	The only regulations on content should be to protect children from seeing age inappropriate content. AND NO LIBERAL PROPAGANDA!
Jason	S.	The only platforms that should be regulated should be non-user created platforms, like Netflix, Disney+, Amazon, and Hulu. Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube should be unregulated. Anything you do to promote Canadian content should be positive. For example, platforms should fund Canadian creators, put money towards Canadian content, but viewers shouldn't be forced to watch it. We should want to watch it because it's good.
Richa rd	A.	The only platforms that should be regulated in any way, if we had to choose - which we should not, are platforms with significant dominance compared to the mean.
Andrii	Z.	the only platforms that should be regulated by the CRTC are those that are funded or owned by the government. Leave everyone else alone please.
Marg aret	K.	The only platforms that should be regulated are porn and sites that promote REAL violence, not platforms that might disagree with dictator TURD.
Brend an	D.	The only platforms that should be regulated are ones that distribute studio content only. Content from individuals, groups, or semi professionals should never be subject to regulation (regardless of its origin).

		hotel room, outside in my garage, there is no reason i should have to pay more . The infrastructure used was built by Canadian
		taxes and some commercial investment the foreign company use the same system ,Canadian content is only what the Canadian profit allows
Hani	S.	The only thing bill C-11 should do is demand that platforms such as Netflix, Prime, Apple and so on, provide a Made in Canada option for people to look at. C-11 shouldn't control what I see and don't see on my internet feed.
Roop esh	R.	The only thing that makes sense to exclude would horrific violence and sexual predators - especially sex offenders and pedophiles they should not have access to the open internet, nor should anyone have to be exposed to those users nor their content.
Salva tore	D.	the only thing that needs to be regulated is age of individuals! it's up to the parents to be parents & watch there children & find out what they are doing on P.C & it's up to the parents to program there P.C to lock out any kind of under aged information! it's not up to any kind of So-Called organization to dictate on what people do on there P.C and anybody with any kind of Common Sense would know & understand that!
		The only thing that should be considered is separating Social Media from News Media. Social media (such as Instagram, Facebook, TicToc etc.) is for entertainment and Social Interaction between Citizens of the World, and should not be considered Defacto News Sources. This include Mainstream and Alternate News. If Social media Outlets want to broadcast News then they should pay, yes and contribute to the Companies and their respective Countries for the prinviledge of broadcasting the News through their Platform. The CRTC can regulate on the Classification of News sources and whether these are valid or not Mainstream or Alternative News Agencies and Broadcasters. Should the Broadcasters be Certified then they should receive payment by whatever platform uses them, and the Broadcasters who are not Certified would still be able to broadcast on platforms but not get paid for it. Also on all Platforms there should be amessage sayinf This is Certified Mainstream/Alternative News Broadcaster This way Citizens know that the news is not totally fake and authoritative and there is factual news in the message
Jean	P.	and it is not someone from their Home broadcasting mere

		opinions. Other platforms from Youtube to Netflix, Disney+, Apple
		TV, Roku, Tubi Crunchyroll to Britbox etc, should not be regulated or forced to offer Local Programming at the detriment of International Programming. I am not saying that Canadian programs should not be present in these platforms but not force
		out Non Canadian ones either.
Yves	В.	The only thing that should be regulated is the political, cultural and news content. It protects our culture against the USA information flood, politics and propaganda. Science, TI and other technical stuff should be free to circulate. Don't let Canada be blind to global technologies and cultural diversity knowledge.
		The only thing that the CRTC should be regulating is the fact that
Tiffon		we have a monopoly in this country and the rates we pay are
Tiffan	V.	astronomical compared to anywhere else in the world and it needs to stop and now! This is nothing other than corporate greed
У	V.	The only thing the CRTC should regulate is the option to allow
Moniq		Canadian users to individually toggle on or off what they want to
ue	M.	see, not what the CRTC believes we should see.
<u></u>		The only thing the Government should regulate are the things it
		funds. If you take money from the Government you have to take
Derek	S.	the medicine to cure what you've contracted.
		The only thing they should regulate is to make sure there is more
		of Canadian made content(TV,news,radio,ect) and less American
Dany	C.	made ones not the other way around.
Kinse		The only thing you should regulate is porn and sex trafficking
y	H.	online. Leave the rest up to viewers.
Allan	B.	The only things that needs blocking is illegal activity and porn, but especially child porn!
Sherr		The only things that should be regulated are hate speech,
y	M.	violence, and lies.
		the original Idea of the World Wide Web was to be open and free,
		but American financial interests have already made half the world
		inaccessible with geo-blocking, preventing access to anything
		they can make a profit from by showing it on subscription
robin	f.	platforms. Hopefully this bill doesn't morph into another means of
robin	1.	restricting access to anything BUT Canadian content in Canada  The people of Canada can decide for themselves what content
Miche		The people of Canada can decide for themselves what content they find interesting and fake. The CRTC does not need to be
lle	L.	involved. Google and Facebook have already told us this will
110	<b>-</b> ·	involved. Google and I accook have alleady told as this will

		cause the removal of all news feeds being available. Are we going
		to be a free country any longer?
Javon	K.	the peoples freedom of speech
mitch		the persuasion of homosexual content for children's young minds
elle	m.	are impressionable by deviant sexual behavior
		The platforms shouldn't be regulated. This isn't communist
Keeg		Russia. Canadians should be able to decide for themselves which
an	S.	content they consume.
Chels	_	The platforms that need more regulation are the ones that can be
İ	D.	used to spread hate more effectively.
Rafae		The platforms with user generated content should be regulated,
I	A.	the spread of fake news and fake science content is horrendous.
lowni		The privacy and freedom for every Canadian to watch whatever
Jerry	M.	they want to watch and not have something that is pushed onto them.
d	IVI.	the promoting of pornography and sexually explicit material
Ray	K.	especially towards children. Any political bias
Dougl	1 4.	The proposed inbreeding of knowledge and information will
as	M.	ultimately lead to the decline of our society.
		The Public interest - no big business, big telecom. Or Lobbyists.
		Foreign interest such as China be excluded. Facebook and others
		should be paying their fair share for content and expecting a free
Alan	K.	ride.
		The purpose of internet is to have the world at your fingertips. Any
		control over it, will defeat the purpose. Instead of limiting and
		controlling platforms, support local content creators and help
		promote CanCon. Netflix as an example already has a made in
		Canada section which is fabulous and I use it often as I enjoy the
Pootri		quality of our productions, but the option to choose should remain
Beatri	S.	a very much mine, as to all Canadians, and not government controlled.
Z	J.	The quality of the Canadian content on the platform. If the
		Candian content on the platform is trash, then it shouldn't be
Jesse	B.	forced to promote it. Canadian will just find other ways around it.
		The quality of the programming; whether they provide services,
Carol	S.	content not available through Canadian channels.
		The question is WHY do we feel the need to regulate. Make better
		content and people will watch. Beiber, Dion, RUSH, Bublé,
Mike	B.	Drake became international stars not because the CRTC imposed

		a Canadian content rule but because they were incredibly
Raffi	K.	talented artists that just happened to be Canadian  The reality is that none of the internet based platforms should be regulated at all. It is basically nationalist based censorship.  Making extra spaces where Canadian content can be shared and grown is probably a better spend. Grant programs, and optional canadian media spaces that can feature can-con only.
Mark	G.	The reason for the existence of CRTC was to manage the limited finite resources of radio bandwidth. Internet is at least in theory unlimited, therefore there is no need for you to be involved in it, at all. In fact, the CRTC is nothing but a tyrannical parasite serving no valid purpose and providing no real benefit to society whatsoever. Your paycheque is at best a waste of tax dollars, more like a crime. Children somewhere go hungry so you can make a living censoring us. It's to to shut you down.
Jane	В.	The regulation of radio destroyed many unheard canadian artists. If you don't run the industry which you shouldn't be able to do by any means you have no insight to the Canadians being denied access to ever reaching the level of regulation. This regulation has never worked. Canadian radio stations are now stagnant because of it.
Cody	S.	The regulations are archaic and don't mesh with social media. People also tend to view significant amounts of Canadian content on social media in Canada. Over regulation will stifle creativity and prevent economic growth in Canada. Simplify and reduce regulation.
Marcy	S.	The regulations should only be limited to a very few subjects which need to be limited to only hate speech or pornography. Anything else should be allowed and this bill C11 is just going to introduce wide sweeping controls that are completely unnecessary.
David	B.	The right of an individual to make decisions on what they wish to access online and what is presented to them based on their decisions!
Kevin	В.	The right of Canadians to choose for themselves. This bill basically says that Canadians can't compete on the world stage. Very sad.
Cori	F.	The rights of citizens to access all content and make their own choices must be paramount.

Robin	C.	The scale and feasibility of the implementation of their plan. That and the knowledge that if it's Promoting Canadian content, people will feel more positive about it than if it's forced down their throats. Nobody likes to be told what to do.
Kristo pher	M.	The should consider what benefits Canadian consumers as well as the big media companies.
Jame s	K.	the size and revenue should be considered avoid hurting small creators especially but also maybe actually include limitations on what is and is not Canadian content
Joann e	L.	The size of some of these small businesses and their capabilities. Let's encourage business not create rules that are so hard they don't bother
Loic	C.	The size of the creator's company in net worth, income and shares. Also, the popularity of the creator. For example: Netflix is a big company that creates its own movies, shows, and that is very popular, while Mister beast is a guy with a small crew making entertainment videos.
Judy	K.	The social media platforms that allow apps owned by China, Russia and Iran should be banned. Too much fake news appears now.
Keith	H.	The specific criteria is to keep your mitts off of our freedom of choice. The government does not own the platforms so leave them and the content alone!!!
Kathr yn	D.	The specific criteria is to stop bill C-11
Jonat han	S.	The specific thing that should be excluded from the bill is anything to do with regulating user-generated content. Users must have the freedom to create and share whatever they please and let the audience and/or the market decide whether to watch the content or not, just like YouTube is already doing tremendously well with their algorithms catering content to each individual who has their interests that are not always the same as others. In short, Canadian users of video-sharing sites like YouTube should not be force-fed CanCon if they don't want it. YouTube's algorithms already do a great job catering content for each specific user of the platform.
David	R.	The state funded media should be severely reprimanded when caught spreading disinformation. Unlike independent media, their responsibility lies with the tax payers since they are the share holders. In my opinion they've(state media) already gone too far,

		and should be immediately defunded. Once they are defunded they can publish whatever they want.
Deb	M.	The TRUTH is what should be broadcast! Dismantle ALL government paid media - global, cbc, etc they are not telling the truth, they are following the government propaganda (lies) they too must face Nuremberg 2.0
Derek	H.	The two most important criteria should be formatting and active users. Youtube and Twitch's formats and functions are very different than Netflix or Disney+'s; the prior is almost entirely individuals just making and sharing things they enjoy, building communities of people with similar interests and tastes, and if they start doing well then the Algorithm starts putting it in other people's recommended sections; while the latter are multi-million, if not billion, entities with budgets in the millions with teams of dedicated specialists in audio, visuals, editing, makeup and costumes, etc., etc. making full-length shows and films. It's not even comparable. Also, how many users have viewed any of the Marel movies or Stranger Things? Millions of individuals, whereas a lot of Youtube creators are just starting to be successful if their 20-minute video gets a couple of thousand views. They are operating on completely different scales. One guy from say, Poland, making 30+ minute history videos that get ~10k views a piece should not be held to the same standards and regulations as Hollywood.
Ron	C.	The use of disinformation and lies on those platforms should be a disqualifying criteria, not just posters on YouTube for eg, but even big media. Fox specifically has through the courts been shown to lie and dispense propaganda and as such should lose the broadcast rights to Canada until such time that they clean up they're act. Propaganda is the biggest threat to the quality of life to Canadian citizens.
Ray	R.	The very basics of the supply and flow of information and knowledge unhindered
ray	1 \.	The viewers should be choosing what they can watch. Who needs
Bruce	Ο.	these commie babysitters? And so it starts.
Kim	M.	The viewing platforms named in question one are not broadcasters. CBC, NBC, ABC they are broadcasters. They viewing platforms charge the individual user and yes, our government should get a piece of that income for supplying access to the population.

		1
Shay	F.	The violation of our rights as Canadians to choose. If I want to limit I can do that on my own website if I want to protect children. But I am not a child and I do not need protection. Are we forming a totalitarian government? I lived in Africa I can see the writing on the wall
Leslie	K.	The whole bill is a problem, but certainly all small scale media, independent media, and personal or organization based information should be exempt
Aman da	F.	The whole bill is over reach and an infringement on free speech.  Trudeau is trying to harness power that doesn't belong to him.  He's trying his hand at dictator and will back fire on him and his crew and those that support it.
Lyn	T.	The whole idea behind the internet is communication, and the Web has done, on the whole a good job. Of course the CRTC should regulate against such things as hate, child pornography, and crime. If there is positive proof that Canadian Consumers information is being collected and shared illegally yes the CRTC has the right to step in. But once you begin telling people to watch this and not that, well we may a well be in a communist regime with the government telling us what to think and what is allowed.
Paul	M.	The whole point of content on the internet is that it should be free of government intervention. Please stop trying to force me to watch what YOU want me to watch. I don't watch cable for the same reason The propaganda.
Jeff	C.	The whole thing is a mess and there's no way in hell the CRTC is going to get this right. But: anything with more than 50% of the canadian population as a user could be regulated, anything less should not be.
Debra	Н.	THE WHY of why one man, Trudeau, without consulting the citizens of this Country is trying to stifle our rights to freedom of information wherever we find it. We are the actual employer of the Prime Minister and he should consult with us via a referendum before he requests Parliament or the Senate to pass a bill that will effect the lives of the citizens of this country.
Jame		The world was just fine without bill C11, no determination is
s	G.	required
Lory	F.	The. RTC should not regulate ANY content, I will do that.
Clem	M.	Their aim should be as little to zero effect on creation and availability of content.

Tracy	W.	Their idea of right and wrong isn't necessarily everyone else's idea of right and wrong. If they are going to take away people's freedoms, then they should be first to have some of their freedoms taken away. Then they'd know how it feels, and they would hopefully be more gracious to others just trying to make and watch the content that they love.
DeeD ee	N.	There absolutely must be the individual's choice to choose if they want to have Canadian Content be manipulatively placed in any suggestion feeds. This manipulation shouldn't be happening at all, but if it does, then at the very least it must remain the viewer's choice to include that function.
Charles	T.	There are enough geo-based and other restrictions on foreign content already. Leave the algorithms alone and let the best content win!
Paul	C.	There are no areas in which the CRTC should have the power to regulate content that is not fraudulent or directly inciting actual violence - not the horseshit that the lunatic left considers violence like unpleasant truth or words/phrases they do not or will not understand.
Joy	K.	There are no circumstances where I believe it would be in the best interest of Canadians to limit their access to all sources of media available.
Steph	C.	There are no consideration the CRTC should take Who are they to judge?? It runs all the risks of Pay to play by big players and shuts out the up and coming creators. The CRTC are just acting on behalf of the big Corporations.
Daani sh	M.	There are no criteria that would ever be fair. This entire system of regulating platforms and broadcasters to play Canadian Content (whatever the hell that means) is a corrupt system where the largest companies continue to dominate individual creators who are now the bulk of content creators in recent generations. End this system of deals made with larger media conglomerates, tear down the concept of Canadian Content and flood all this money back to individual creators to use to create art and content and let it go out to the world to stand on its own two legs. If it's good it will do well, and if it's not it shouldn't be propped up artificially.
Greg	S.	There are no criteria. There should be no platforms which should be regulated or excluded beyond what was already being done prior to the bill C-11

Micha el	В.	There are three things that should be considerations in both what should be regulated, and how: 1: Tolerance of depraved advertisers. 2: Tolerance of hate speech. 3: Tolerance of propaganda or misinformation.
Saura	S.	There are unlimited distribution and monetization options for content creators, CRTC regulation was required when there were only a few options available.
Sherri	D.	There has always been companies the are meant to be challenging to MSM. I believe democracy is all about having debates & listening to other sides of a story, as new reporting is not always accurate, especially today. Having control over what we are allowed to see & hear, is not a choice in a society with rights & freedoms. Forcing boring Canadian content down our throats is unacceptable. I want to have a choice. I want to watch & hear broadcasting that is biased & offers another view, not a government sponsored media outlet forced to comply to their mandates. Alternative media is very informative to Canadians & is useful for us using our god given minds to determine what we believe as true, or not. Today news is accepting millions from the Federal government & that is a conflict. You can not report honestly against the very people you need to hold accountable & are not allowed to report on. A fine example of media bullying is not a single story of all the heroes, turned into zeroes, when they all got fired from their jobs. Under any other circumstances, media would report & interview the nurses, doctors, care aides, pilots, police, or any person losing their careers, to medical blackmail. Media lied about the vaccine being safe & effective. I watched alternative media reports, that reported on the injuries & deaths related to the bio weapons. No interviews with any of those people. These 2 huge stories would be reported on in any other circumstance. Why is it then, nothing about them? Just cancel them out of society. Censor them & silence them & threaten them. This is not the Canadian way. Our government is too busy interfering into people's live. The CRTC's job is to not be told who is allow to be a broadcaster. The misinformation is coming from a lack of ability to really report the truth.
Lorett	C.	There has to be certain regulations but not on my choices.
Andre	<b>O</b> .	There in less the problem when the government starts to meddle
as	H.	in what your can and cannot see. I'm for a few and open internet.

Lise	G.	There is a Canadian Bill of Rights in this country that GUARANTEES freedom of speech and of the press. Any restrictions will be challenged in the courts.
Greg ory	W.	There is enough censorship already many things that get censored are truthful more so than the lies paid for by big pharma on the networks. Canadians need protection from Government censorship.
sheril	m.	There is far too much regulation of our lives in all aspects. George Orwell's 1985 comes to mind as in the direction the controllers would like to take us. Restricting pedophile, physical, emotional abuse and extremism and war mongering Should not be allowed at all.
KEIL	S.	There is good reason to filter hate groups and false information but it should be done delicately and with good reasoning behind the decision.
Garry	H.	There is no need for government regulation of online content.
Morri		There is no need for this. We don't need more government control
S	L.	of what I do in free canada.
Willia m	H.	There is no need to change anything. Censorship is the end result.
Laura	Α.	There is no place for censorship in this country.
Teres	В.	There is no reason for CRTC to be monitoring or manipulating what we choose to read or view. Something one does not like then one can just ignore like I do now. Regulating so larger media over rule smaller companies is wrong. We should be able to have control over our news dtc that we want!
Christ		
opher	G.	There is no reason for the CRTC to be involved
Rod	N.	There is no reason for the CRTC to regulate any platform and any platform that is contrary to criminal law should be investigated and charged according to the RCMP and go through the courts for judgement.
Richa		There is no reason or justification ever for government censorship
rd	C.	period.
Jeffre y	E.	There is no reason to regulate content at all, the Internet is meant to be an open platform and to allow creative content to be produced organically.

Gord on	G.	There is NO requirement for CRTC involvement. The Criminal Code already contains sufficient protections and any further involvement by the CRTC is an invitation to political interference.
Ugo	G.	There is only one idea i'd consider: having an opt-out option to see a 'Made in canada' section appear on plateforms like streaming, book sellers, amazon, etc Aim for the LARGE ones: they have money to implement, small initiatives can't implement this kind of localisation options
Sandr	Z.	There may be appropriate criteria the CRTC should observe when regulating online content but I do not know how to name these criteria. I only want the CRTC to uphold individual Canadians' free access to World Wide Web content ,Äî as well as to uphold their unrestricted ability to create content.
Dmitri	R.	There must be free flow of information. Regulating content distribution is going to harm the society and will make finding good solutions for ongoing issues more difficult. No regulations should be imposed by the government.
jane	p.	THERE SHOULD BE **NO** BILL C-11 !!!
Marti ne	Т.	There should be a clear, well-communicated option for Canadians to opt in or out of having Canadian content pushed to the forefront.
Joyel	Н.	There should be a distinction between regulating big companies vs small creators.
Eric	M.	There should be a firm cut off if you are not a very large business like the ones listed above. These sort of laws bleed out the content creators who can't afford to pay lawyers millions to represent their interests and hammer on the finer points of the law with expert precision. The world has enough issues with big business always wanting more, more, more, and giving us less, less, less, and I'd rather not have it keep sliding down everywhere until it ends in freaking guillotines.
		There should be a level playing field for all concerned. Thus, Disney or Netflix should not be allowed more access to Canadian markets than a homegrown streaming channel, user-generated content, or any other domestically produced content or service providers. Essentially, a return to what used to be known as the free market, when service providers compete based not on preferential treatment, pay-for-play, and especially not on arbitrary regulations intended to micro-manage content in any way. This
Arthur	J.	model forces media companies to compete based on the quality

		of their content, to strive for excellence rather than relying on government lobbying or commercial cartels to capture audience share. Consumers will reward quality with increased audience share.
Chant		
al	A.	There should be absolute freedom for journalism.  There should be absolutely zero censorship. 8 years ago Canada
		was a free and democratic country and will be again. C11 is
		insulting to Canadians and should be repealed. Canadian content
Wayn		needs to stand on its own 2 feet. Truthful, unbiased, not funded
е	K.	for and by political gain.
Shan e	M.	There should be an option to turn off Canadian Content or (CRTC) controlled providers, and partners / agents of the CRTC. We should not be forced into their agenda or algorithms. I try as hard as possible to avoid all Canadian Legacy Media, and there partners. I even went as far as writing an email to GOOGLE, in order to have it removed from my news feed, and although I never use it. Now all I get is CBC, Toronto Star, and other Canadian Government Funded Media. I asked politely for it all to be blocked and removed, and was Stonewalled (ignored). This happens on almost all my devices regardless if I have ever accidentally clicked on a link or not.
Olivie r	C.	There should be as little limitations as possible. In fact, no offence, but the CRTC itself should probably be disbanded altogether. There is no real benefit in these modern times to protect cancon. Telecommunications make it more and more easy than ever before to communicate and be heard. cancon protection is simply unnecessary nowadays and even if it wasn't, the threat of the powers of the CRTC as to what pertains to control of expression is simply too high a risk and too grave a danger to be allowed to be left to it's designs.
		there should be considerations for platforms that collect
Alliso	N 4	personal/private data, have an agenda for activism or political
n	M.	control of content.

Brock	S	There should be delineation between user generated content and studio platforms with large budgets an team's. User generated content tends to draw interest based on what someone is interested in far easier then movies or TV shows and the quality of that will. Naturally improve as the creator responds to interest. Movies, TV shows and mini series that have studios, larger budgets put out by platforms and studios would still fall under and be the same as the rules already in place. The problem as mentioned is purely Canadian content is very hit and miss on the quality. More work, education and tools should be dedicated to improve the quality of what's being produced before requiring more to be made. The better the quality produced, naturally more people will want to see it and will draw more interest in more Canadian content produced. Look at Villeneuve and Cameron's work. Two of the most known directors are Canadian and their quality is very high. Create avenues for directors, writers, technicians and other positions to learn their craft at a high level, if that's already being done, ask those filmmakers and others what else needs to be done. They'll know better then someone not in the industry.
Natha	0.	There should be language in the bill that excludes small content
niel	B.	creators, as well as independent creators in general.
don	b.	There should be less regulation
Kevin	S.	There should be no ability for the CRTC to regulate what I see online.
Arthur	K.	There should be no Bill C-11
Chery		
l	R.	There should be no Bill C-11 - the internet should not be censored
Shelli	C.	There should be no Bill C-11 and no regulation at all.
		THERE SHOULD BE NO CENSORSHIP OF ANY
		COMMUNICATIONS, on the internet or airwaves. We need to
		learn about the world - and we do so by accessing information
		from all around the world. Do not try to predetermine what is in
Lion	_	our individual best interests: you CANNOT know. To say you
Lisa	Е.	know is paternalistic authoritarianism, not democracy.
Audra		There should be no Censorship.
April	K.	There should be NO child pornography.
aari	a.	There should be no control. Of course hate speeches, crime ad vocation,

Shau	W.	there should be NO criteria because it should not have ANY regulation except what minors should not see due to maturity, for example explict sex and or nudity.
Hart	L.	There should be no criteria that the CRTC needs to be meddling with. If history is any indicator, political establishments and bureaucrats very seldom serve the interests of the people; they end up serving the politicians that employed them and who wish to be dictators.
Scott	F.	There should be no criteria used to regulation these platforms.
Peter	N.	There should be no criteria. This whole process is a charade.  There are NO criteria the CRTC should consider. This bill should simply not be implemented.
Mariu		
SZ	G.	There should be no CRTS censorship at all.
Marg aret	G.	There should be NO exclusions or regulations in any platforms by the CRTC.
Brent	V.	There should be NO interference from the government on the internet! None zero nada nothing!
Paul	D.	there should be no platforms regulators let the free market do what it does best will weed out the problems. there should be no regulations. Let the people of Canada make intelligent decisions for themselves.
Debbi e	W.	There should be No platforms that should be regulated or excluded. Those that break the criminal laws will be charged under those laws. The CRTC has NO RIGHT to determine what Canadians want to watch. Who the fuck died and made you assholes God?
Kate	K.	There should be no regulating our content for what we as Canadians can and cannot view.
Carol	B.	There should be no regulation
Ryan	F.	There should be no regulation
David		there should be no regulation at all this bill should have never passed the canadian people should have voted
Steph en	C.	There should be no regulation by the CRTC.
Brend en	T.	There should be no regulation for online content.
Liam	G.	There should be no regulation of any user generated content whatsoever

Tabet ha	D.	There should be no regulation on any platforms. Free and open internet is the only way to ensure that Canadian content is quality content. If they aren't, then they will have to up their game to be noticed and relevant just like all content providers outside Canada must do. The cream will rise to the top. Most content providers do not consider Canadian success actual success anyway. Success in the US is their standard.
Trace y	B.	There should be no regulation under Bill C-11, there should be no Bill C-11
Jere	V.	There should be NO regulation whatsoever by the government UNLESS those that are posting are intentionally breaking the law: coordinating murders, child sex trafficking or treasonous offenses Perhaps the same laws relating to the mainstream media for libel and defamation might also be applied to those within and behind Facebook? Twitter? Tiktoc (a Communist run entity that should be BANNED in Canada)? The problem we have in Canada, is that for far too long, Canadians have been dumbed down by their educational systems, a fake news and agenda driven media and a thoroughly - and perpetually - corrupt government edifice stemming from the Socialist - Communist aligned - Pierre Trudeau's tenure. Canadians have know idea of politics or the political ideologies that are within and behind the parties - and sadly the radical elements behind them and our media pushing entirely anti-Canadian propaganda: Socialism/Communism, Globalism and now Islam Those within, and behind, our government, our media and our 'educational' system, must be held accountable. Should you wish examples, I'd be glad to supply in multitude
Laura	T.	There should be no regulation.
Zubin	J.	There should be no regulation.
Rick	M.	There should be NO regulation. This is dystopian
Sand	D	There should be no regulations
y Rocky	P.	There should be no regulations  There should be no regulations as paying taxpayers and adults.  We know what we want to watch. Why should we be dictated to?  We are not a dictator ship country.
Becky Carol yn	R.	We are not a dictator ship country  There should be NO regulations or exclusions and Bill C-11 should be scrapped! The Gov't should stay out of it all together - let the market decide. Canadian content producers should produce content that people actually want to see, just like

go towards supporting them.  There should be NO regulations that restrict my access to legal content under freedom of speech no matter the creator. And don't go changing what is legal or not legal speech.  There should be no regulations. Things should be only given a ratings such as PG, R, Mature etc.  Jane B. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be no regulations  O. our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. karla  C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  V. There should not be any regulation			everyone else on the face of the earth. If they produce crap, then they reap the consequences of that. No tax payer dollars should
Donn a F. go changing what is legal or not legal speech.  Donn a M. ratings such as PG, R, Mature etc.  Jane B. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be no stupid regulation like c-11 and stuff that ruins our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.  Peter S. governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should be ZERO regulation for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.			go towards supporting them.
a F. go changing what is legal or not legal speech.  There should be no regulations. Things should be only given ratings such as PG, R, Mature etc.  Jane B. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be NO regulations!  Antho there should be no stupid regulation like c-11 and stuff that ruins our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. Karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should be ZERO regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.			
Donn a M. There should be no regulations. Things should be only given ratings such as PG, R, Mature etc.  Jane B. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be NO regulations!  Antho our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.	Donn		·
a M. ratings such as PG, R, Mature etc.  Jane B. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be NO regulations!  Antho our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.		F.	
Jane B. There should be no regulations  Debra M. There should be NO regulations!  Antho our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.	Donn		
Debra M. There should be NO regulatuons!  Antho our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.	_		-
Antho ny O. there should be no stupid regulation like c-11 and stuff that ruins our news and freedom of speech  There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the oway it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. karla  C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.			
ny O. our news and freedom of speech There should be none, as a Canadian I do not support Bill C-11, I do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the o M. way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. Karla  C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria	Debra	M.	-
do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone who supports this.  There should be nothing the government controls - anything I would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.  Karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria		Ο.	
would suggest would be to stop promoting the woke agenda - stop pushing the gender agenda into schools - and go back to the way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.  karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the I C. rewards.  Maria	Sally	W.	do not want Bill C-11 and I will be using my vote to show how displeased I am that Bill C-11 exists. I will NEVER vote for anyone
o M. way it was in the 90s where children and adults were protected.  There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not.  Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.  Karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide.  CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the I  C. Maria			
There should be on regulated platforms. Fraud and Bot elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not. Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation. C.  There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria		M.	
elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature citizens to determine what is misinformation and what is not.  Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.  Karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria			
Peter S. governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.  Karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide.  CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria			elimination technology should be improved. Leave it to us mature
karla C. There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC  There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria			Wuhan lab leak theory is a great example of how the media and
There should generally be LESS regulation. The government and the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria	Peter	S.	governments got this wrong and actually were the misinformation.
the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller outfits get the short end of the stick where the big guys reap the rewards.  Maria	karla	C.	There should be ZERO regulation by the CRTC
I C. rewards.  Maria	Dania		the CRTC should have no say on what we want to watch based on whether it's made in Canada or not and should not force platforms to make this decision for us. Let the market decide. CanCon/Canadian Media Fund in it's current state is broken and only helps large businesses. Instead of wasting money and feeding funds to large media conglomerates (ie Bell Media), the Canadian Media Fund should focus more on smaller creators and businesses. There have been too many stories where smaller
	Janie	C.	
nna V. There should not be any regulation	Maria		
	nna	V.	There should not be any regulation

Katha		
rina	G.	There should NOT be any regulation of content.
Olivia	M.	There should not be any regulation on any platform
Beatri		There should not be any regulations. A democracy can only thrive
ce	P.	if all information, platforms are available all at any time.
Celes		and an another services of the
te	K.	There should not be no interference. this is censorship!!!!!
		There should not be regulation, Canadian Content should have to
Arthur	A.	stand on merit not on heritage.
Phil	D.	There should not be regulations put in place to block independent news content from Canadians. If the government isn't doing shady things then they shouldn't be worried about what we see and hear. But clearly they are and they are afraid of Canadians finding out and what we may do about it all!!
Kayla	R	There shouldn't be any regulation in this regard. Instead of making platforms push existing Can-con, help creators make more. By helping people make more and better content, they will naturally find their audiences. In my experience, many Canadians already prioritize Can-con in their own searches and get excited to support Canadian creators. It doesn't need to be manipulated into our algorithms.
Rayia	1 .	There shouldn't be any regulations, let the market speak to what
Diego	F.	we want.
Danie		There shouldn't be a C-11. It is just another tool for more
I	D.	government overreach.
Simo n	P.	There shouldn't be any criteria. This should never happen. If this in any way impacts my experience online I will use a VPN and I will engage in as many anti government actions as humanly possible and encourage others to do the same.
Dorin	C.	There shouldn't be any platforms regulated or excluded. Under the freedom of speech, anyone has the right to an opinion. The CRTC should have absolutely NO right to regulate/silence other platforms.
Jonat		There was never a Bill before this one so why start now to
han	P.	determine what we should watch,see,or hear.
Fran√ ßois	S.	There's should be a difference between corporation and individuals. Content shared on platforms like youtube by smaller creators should not be treated in the same tier as netflix productions and the like.

_		
Barba	_	There's no real protections that cover "discoverability". I want
ra	P.	wider inclusion of content in the so called definition of CanCon.
Darie	_	theres alot of co-productions, see if they want to haemorrhage
n	B.	more money to put in executives back pockets for this
Micha el	M.	They are doing a poor job and playing crap on the television to prove it. When did gay become the mainstream focus. Why are not family values being portrayed and the rap crap is so rude and nothing is being done about it. (Not all of it) but for god sakes listen to some of the songs
Aisha	B.	They can all suck a fkg dick because I'm donw with censorship.
Julie	P.	They can regulate the government funded media content all they want, leave the rest alone.
Jason	W.	They can watch for hate or criminal activities. Everything else is up to me.
Ashle y	E.	They can't regulate peoples personal voice by manipulating the algorithm. The concern is that the can silent any point of view is concerning. I have a platform about gardening in Canada. Instagram and facebook have started already making changes based on Bill C-11. My views have been cut in my half by this and I do not have controversial content.
Dean na	M.	They do not have any right to determine what is regulated unless it's abusive in nature. Porn especially child porn should be not be allowed anywhere. We the people should be allowed to follow what we see is acceptable otherwise. I feel the government is corrupt and is only trying to sensor people from warning others to that said corruption. C-19 was a perfect example. If you posted information from qualified doctors that was against the government narrative then you were restricted in what you could post for a time period. Let us the people vote on what is acceptable not the government. Everything I posted for C-19 turned out to be true even though the government said otherwise.
Tama		They don't need regulation. Leave it up to each Canadian to view
ra	K.	or not view something. We don't need to be micro managed.
Evie	J.	They don't need to regulate any of it! We don't need babysitters telling us what to watch or listen too. And I wish the CRTC would just go away all together. I advocate for a totally free unrestricted internet! No CENSORSHIP!!
Lynda	Н.	They don't need to waste our time regulating anything. We are quite capable of doing that ourselves.
,		, , <u> </u>

Keith	В.	They have already gone too far. The only thing I would have concerns about is any group or individual that attempts to incite violence or war. If I am quoted, my complete response should be quoted. Quotes taken out of contents can change the meaning entirely.
Dami an	V.	They have no business censoring anything. Censorship is totalitarian. That is not an opinion.
Billie	C.	They have no right to control platforms unless it is to eliminate porn and pedophilic material.
Chris	M.	They need to clearly understand the difference between regulation for the purposes of fairness and protection of its citizens and silencing and controlling narratives. The CRTC has proved over and over that they are purely just a puppet of Trudeau and the communist liberal government.
Garet	M.	They need to exclude the large and small media platforms, video sharing and social media because they can not censorship everything, but if they want to regulate, then it should be Justin Trudeau all together.
Bradl ey	F.	They need to get rid of or limit the amount of non Canadian ads on social media feeds (Facebook, YouTube, etc).
Shell	M.	They need to understand that as adults we have the right to make our own choices. I can regulate my choices and I can exclude what I do not want to see. I do not need the CRTC to make these decisions for me. There will always be dis and mis information which we even get from the current government. I think the government has to trust Canadians, we didn't ask for this.
Dan	В.	They need to understand that as government they live in their own bubble. That does not serve Canadians. Right now they are forcing ideology, which free people do NOT want or need. We decide.
Jesse	B.	They should abolish the bill and stop meddling in Freedom of Expression as laid out in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. From the Charter section 2 - Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms: (a) freedom of conscience and religion; (b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media communication; (c) freedom of peaceful assembly; and (d) freedom of association.
Marlo n	B.	They should act as though C-11 doesn't exist.

John		
J	A.	They should all be excluded
TOM	T.	They should all be excluded
Gord		
on	M.	They should all be excluded and the bill should be scrapped.
		They should all be excluded. Information should be evaluated by
		the individual for the individual's unique intent and purpose.
Rhys	G.	Anything else is bias and censorship, or censorship by proxy.
		They should all be excluded. If CRTC goes along with the insane
		censorship, they are just part of the very serious problems
Ron	P.	government has caused. It' time to just say NO
		They should all be excluded. Stop trying to get your hands in my
		feed. There are literally only a small handful of good Canadian
		creators. This whole thing is giving me North Korea vibes, I like
		my freedom thanks. If this annoys me anymore than the bill, I'm
Caleb	N.	using a VPN LMAO.
		They should all be excluded. There should be no CRTC
		regulating free speech and determining what platforms are good
		and which ones are bad - they should be up to the each individual
Kate	S.	to decide. I do not need a nanny, I am a grown woman that can
Anne	-	choose for herself what is appropriate for my eyes and ears.
Allile	L.	They should allow all content and allow the viewers to make
		They should allow all content and allow the viewers to make informed decisions on what the truth is. This is opening a door to
		a dictatorship where the government decides what the truth is
Jason	W	clouding reality
uason	٧٧.	They should be concerned about the huge risk of censorship.
		Promoting CanCon is not the same as preventing other content
		from being viewed or discovered. Why no one watches CanCon
		anyway, because it's horrible and biased. No one has the right to
John	T.	restrict what show or news I watch.
Sydn		
ey	H.	They should be disbanded.
		They should be huge international platforms such as Google and
		Facebook. Regulations should only apply to foreign funded
		content that completes with Canadian content. Support and tax
		incentives should be given to Canadian publishers that publish
		Canadian content. Foreign publishers should disclose what meta
Phil	W.	data they will be gathering, using and sharing

Dusti		They should be looking to provide the options for Canadian
n	H.	content, not forcing it on people.
		They should be looking to restrict pornographic content, other
		content shown to be harmful to youth (I.e. Gore). They should
Olivia	K.	*not* be seeking to restrict content based on political persuasion.
		They should be more independent from the governments! All
Tina	L.	platforms should be allowed.
Jonat		
han	B.	They should be staying out of it
		They should be striving to be showing the best on offer and
		supporting free choice to find what is the best, most accurate
Franc		(hopefully Canadian) and therefore something to strive to achieve,
es	D.	keeping standards high.
		They should be telling the government to stop interfiere and and
Eliel	Z.	start doing his job.
		They should consider current usage and percentages of what is
		currently created by Canadians on each platform. It will be useful
		to know where content creation volumes currently stands and
		reflect that in future decision making. We are a small country,
		could we are already be producing and watching a relative
		amount of Canadian content already. If not, incentives could
		encourage creation rather than removing quality productions from
Brian	B.	Canadians feeds.
		They should consider how well they sleep at night destroying
		democracy. Have the humility to realize you don't know everything
		and that the only way to discover truth is through the free flow of
Bram	K.	ideas.
		They should consider if they even have the moral right to do
Steph		anything. Forced modification of any platform only acts to warp
en	C.	the real data and takes free choice away from citizens.
		They should consider leaving it alone. Canada is a free country
Jayne	S.	and it's citizens have the right to view whatever they want!
_		They should consider that as elected officials, if any content
		regulation is to be even considered, it should reflect the users
		interests and not their own. Content is often regulated for the
		safety of minors and I believe that reflects the interests of most
		Canadians. As an age of majority adult, no one should have the
		right to censor or regulate the content I chose to enjoy. That
Troy	S.	includes any content. Our government actively promotes all
- 1		,

		manner of sexual deviance. My ideological notions, morality and
		religious freedoms are not for our government's regulation.
		They should consider that Canadians do not want anything
		regulated or excluded. If the content is worth watching, or to a
		persons liking, then they will watch it. If I am forced to have
		content on my feed or television then I will simply not watch at all
Sheri	E.	and possibly consider leaving my once great country.
		They should consider that everyone needs to see and hear all
Miche		sides of issues, not just what one side wants them to hear so that
lle	S.	people can make up their minds based on information.
		They should consider that this is supposedly a free country where
Susa		people should be able to navigate the internet without government
na	E.	interference. This ridiculous law should be ditched
		They should consider the fact that people need there to be
		competition in the marketplace. The latest trend to allowing the
		few existing companies like Rogers and Bell, to gobble up all their
		competition and thereby ensure that they set the prices and the
		access to services needs to stop. You aren't doing the public and
		Canadian content any favours with letting a couple of monopolies
		sit back and rake in money while trying to then force companies
		not in Canada to follow a bunch of rules that don't make any real
		sense to their business models and that will only allow those
		monopolies to flourish as their competition dries up. It also seems
		very confusing that the CRTC thinks policing social media,
		whether it makes money or not, is somehow going to help
		Canadian content flourish. In essence, this money grabbing ploy
		ends up looking like an excuse to act like China in forcing rules
		onto what can be on the screens of the populace. I understand
		that it's not easy to gather funds from people more attached to
		their phones and less interested in paying for cable tv; for
		Canadian content production funding. However, there has to be a
		better way then trying to have these platforms pay for their
		existence here. They don't need us, and unless there is an actual
		viable and money making alternative already running here, this
		smacks of being an idea coughed up from people who are totally
		out of touch with the digital world. At the end of the day, and we
		all know the monopolies don't want this to happen to them, the existing giants that are already gouging us for our meager
Ambe		services; should be the ones paying more. I know that seems
r	E.	idealistic, and in practice means that us consumers WILL only
1		indumento, and in practice means that as consumers while only

		end up paying more; but I think that should be the way. We already pay far too much for cell and internet service, and there are still large parts of the country without either. Further limiting people's access just to give the telecom monopolies here MORE power isn't the way to go.
Joshu a	M.	They should consider the fact that their rules were made for an era that has come to an end. Bill C-11 is an attempt at staying relevent by making Global communication providers play by physical and local media standards.
Miche		They should consider the fact that we are grown people and
lle	H.	deserve to choose for ourselves. Trudeau is not my daddy.
Susa n	Н.	They should consider who are paying for these online platforms. We the people that have hired the government, pay for our own services. If the government wants to start regulating what I as a free Canadian citizen, paying her taxes, perhaps they should start paying for them and give back some of my tax dollars.
Brand	<b>T</b>	They should exclude everything. Just because I live in Canada there should be no reason for my content to be regulated unless I'm breaking the law. We live in a "free" country I should be free to browse the internet, watch television, or listen to the radio without the government intruding
on	T.	the government intruding.
Shaw n	T.	They should exclude primarily user generated content platform. It will be expensive, and error prone to regulate. Large content creator platforms (ie. Crave, Netflix) are much more effective bodies to regulate.
Denis e	S.	They should get rid of all the government funded news stations that say only what the government tells them they can say.
Leon	M.	They should have a button to set on and off for non Canadian content players as it would make it fair as well as also have a two section for Canadian content and non Canadian content.
Cody	R.	They should have not force anything over any platforms.
Kori	A.	They should have zero say
Matt	O.	They should just stop regulating, there is no criteria to their regulating right now, why would that change, they regulate only what they want to regulate, there is no rhyme or reason to it, no consistency. The only consistency is doing what the primary lobbyists pay them to do.
Monic a	K.	They should leave all media alone. There is no need for this very politically biased organization to determine what information it will

		feed me so that I do what it is the govt would like me to do or believe. It is politically biased as the Heritage minister, Pablo Rodriguez is in charge of this and he is a liberal cabinet minister that has not taken any steps to ensure no censorship will occur with this new law.
Sue	D.	They should leave the Canadian people alone. We are adults it should not be anything the government should stick their nose in
Yola	K.	They should leave us alone and allow each individual to make his or her own decision
Frede ric	V.	They should let the government know that it is none of their business, government should focus on human trafficking and sex trafficking, willingly ignoring these issues while trying to steal any freedom Canadians have left is outrageous
Jean- Marc	L.	They should let the market decide. They should not fund current canadian producer. They failed. No one une 35 years old follow, listen or trust them. Go regulated the internet and phone prices down so we can compare to the rest of the world. This will leave us the money needed to support the content creator we like and CHOOSE to support and like. Remember we are a democraty and people are supposed to be free.
Marti n	D.	They should make sure that there is not censorship.
Petru	U.	They should mind their own business . Stop telling us what to think
Darcy	W.	They should mind their own business nothing should be regulated
Chan se	P.	They should mind there own bissness
Luigi	E.	They should no regulate anything period.
Jean-		
Marc	T.	They should not be able to control any content that I chose to see
Ryan	C.	They should not be able to control Canadians. We are their pay check.
Carlin		
ton	В.	They should not be able to modify the algorithm
Hazel	H.	They should not be able to regulate us.
Sonja	L.	They should not be against Christians. They should not play up lgbtq or whatever and shove it down our throats. Men and women are different in structure. They can't evenly compete. We should

		have senarate change rooms & hathrooms fir overvone's cofety
		have separate change rooms & bathrooms fir everyone's safety.  No one is against any group or single person but they should not be honored fir being different. They are different, OK. We don't
		honor this difference, we accept it. They should accept male &
		females that are Christian as we accept them.
Jacqu	V.	They should not be allowed to monitor my emails according to the Charter of Rights.
es	V.	5
		They should not be controlled and influenced by gvnt funding.  Gvnt funding means they do as told or get cut off- they already
Cathy	D.	control the liberal narrative- its criminal
Dorot	υ.	They should not be controlling any of the platforms. This is not a
hy	F.	nanny state.
Anne-		They should not be controlling any self-publishing or
Marie	B.	self-broadcasting platforms like YouTube, TikTok, etc.
Jean	J.	They should Not be determining ANYTHING.
		They should not be doing anything in these areas. Its none of
Jim	C.	their business.
Paula	H.	They should not be doing this.
		They should not be in the business of restricting our freedom of
Ted	d.	choice.
Kathe		
rine	K.	They should not be interfearing.
		They should not be involved in accessing the internet, content
		that Canadians can share on social media and they are well out of
		their depth of understanding on social media and policing content
Sara	U.	produced for those mediums. Stay out of it
Tim	M.	They should not be involved period.
		They should not be passing c-11 as this will lead to implicit
craig	m.	censorship.
		They should not be regulating anthing except: Adult content for
		underage persons CRTC should focus on getting better pricing for
		cable, internet subscriptions, and better monthly pricing for mobile phones. They are not entitled to tell taxpayers what they must, or
		are required as a Canadian, to watch. We need less government,
Irma	S.	not more.
Ross	Н.	They should not be regulating any content
Lynn	S.	They should not be regulating any platforms
Barb	F.	They should not be regulating any platforms.
24.5	ļ.,	may ended not be regulating any platforms.

Alexa		
nder	M.	They should not be regulating anything
		They should not be regulating anything and stop giving out
Antho		monopolies to liberal elites where all they do is over charge and
ny	G.	under deliver on any product they offer!
		They should not be regulating anything unless it's terrorist or hate.
		Unfortunately the Liberal government called the Trucker rally
		terrorism. They also blocked all the news coming out of Russia.  No one can be trusted to manage the course they are directing us
s	D.	to take.
Jean		to take.
Mary	K.	They should not be regulating platforms at all.
, ,		They should not be regulating platforms. It is my choice NOT
Reg	C.	theirs.
Rose		
mary	M.	They should not censor any content.
Laure		
n	D.	They should NOT censor content at all.
Cryst		
al	V.	They should not have control of platforms in the first place.
Julie	S.	They should not interfer with searches at all.
SHA		They should not regulate or exclude any social media because
RON	S.	people depend on being able to have friends all over the world.
Guy	V.	They should not regulate any platforms.
Jame		
S	W.	They should not regulate anything I want to see.
		They should not regulate anything that does not pose a real and
		iminent threat to Canada and even that should be left to the
Shau		viewer to discern what they want to watch. There are plenty of parental and content controls available to be user selected and
	M.	not government mandated.
n	IVI.	They should not regulate anything, nothing at all! It is none of their
		business, what the individual chooses to watch or consume
AJ	H.	online!
Marle		
ne	B.	They should not regulate anything. Bill C11 is unnecessary.
Rolan		
d	S.	They should not regulate or exclude any platforms

Cipria		
n		
Terry	Z.	They should not regulate speech. Period.
		They should not use this to censor information we as citizens
		have the right to choose and do our own research from all areas
jerad	m.	of content and information gathering No censorship please.
Dorot		
hy	H.	They should only exclude illegal activity
		They should promote more Canadian content and not remove
R	S.	foreign content. They should keep foreign content in Canada too.
		They should realize this is Government overreach and
		undermines our charter and bill of rights. It shouldn't be applied to
Karen	W.	anything since this is tyranny.
		They should really be focusing on breaking up the telecom
		monopolies that are costing Canadians so much compared to
		other G7 countries. Not pushing certain content on individual
		Canadians. Objectivity is something we should all be able to
		practice, this can not be done if searches and media content are
		automatically skewed to a certain content. The idea that just
		because I live in Canada that I will be FORCED to see this
		content above other results and other media feels wrong, we
		should be free to seek our own content without governmental
		oversight like this. What if a political party of any side uses this to
		push certain narratives, and obscure others? Well then we have
		government lead propaganda that is being force fed to any citizen
		without a vpn. I cannot abide by the overreach of this and
Riley	E.	seriously cannot believe this bill has gone so far in legislation.
		They should regulate child pornography, all pornography to those
		under 18 and have the ablity to turn over data that will help law
		enforcment prosecute those who abusing children and involved
		with human trafficing. All pornography sites should be regulated
		and monitored against human rights abuses. All platforms that are
Arian		not funded by the government should be excluded from regulation
а	M.	under Bill C-11.
		They should remember Canada is a free country. And that any
Jessi		regulation should be carefully considered. As one day regulations
ca	A.	should block their own views
		They should speak and serve the consumer and not the
mark	n.	government. ( that'll never happen.)

Willia		
m	L.	They should stay out of it all together
Kathl		
een	B.	They should stay out of it all!
Jeffre		They should stay out of it completely. I'd go as far as saying
У	D.	disband the crtc.
Mike	T.	They should stay out of it. We are all adults and can regulate ourselves.
Leo	K.	They should, at least in part, be regulated if their financial power is deemed a threat to our government's regulations. if they attempt a high-handed cash grab from our government or it's citizens. Or, as is happening at present, if they are attempting to punish us by removing aspects of their platform that users depend on. We have evolved into nations that are controlled by corporations. Dialogue with other nations and develop policy that will present a united front to all corporations.
Alan	N.	They shouldn't be allowed to regulate any platforms. We shouldn't trust any Government, and or corporate appointed individuals to make decisions for our best interest. Are we not capable of making our own? Do you think they might just decide what's best for them? You think?
Matth		They shouldn't be regulating anything regaridng the content
ew	B.	canadians are exposed to.
Ron	E.	They shouldn't be regulating anything, this is simply an attempt at money grabbing
Joone		
е	L.	They shouldn't censer anything
Scott	M.	they shouldn't get to force me to see anything. I should choose it. if I want to consume canadian made content make that content desirable for me to look at and look up on my own.
Cindy	M.	They shouldn't have to regulate anything.
Prabh		
jit	S.	They shouldn't it over regulate & censor the citizens.
		They shouldn't regulate anything -just the things that relate to
Annal		criminal acts/ inappropriate content ( nudity etc.). Leave
isa	C.	everything as it is
Ethan	H.	They shouldn't regulate it. Canadian creators don't need a handicap to do well. If the government wants to help Canadian

		creators they should invest in them so we can share our culture with not just each other, but with the rest of the world too.
Ctoph		with not just each other, but with the rest of the world too.
Steph en	S.	They shouldn't be able to regulate it.
Leon		
ard	G.	They shouldn't be regulating or excluding any content.
Judith	M.	They shouldn't determine what is to be regulated
Micha		They shouldn't exist. A waste of tax payer dollars on useless
el	B.	people.
Tamm		They shouldn't have the right to censor anything! We the people
y	M.	did not elect them and they are not above the law
Tina	K.	They shouldn't regulate any content.
Kris	R.	They shouldn't regulate anything and bill c-11 should be repealed and scraped. if Canadian content can't make anything good to stand on its own then it should die.
	W.	They shouldn't.
Tyler Brend	VV.	,
	Б	They shouldnt be involved in any platforms That thought scares
an Cham	D.	me
Cham inda	H.	They stick regulate TV, Radio and Movies only.
Jan	K.	They'd better be the massive gigacorps with thousands of employees. Small to medium sized businesses shouldn't be hamstrung with with garbage like this when they are trying to establish a foothold in an industry where the gigacorps already hold all the cards.
		Things like pornography and other things that are aimed at brainwashing our children eg;sex Ideology ,gender issues,etc should not be any part of Canadian Content pushed on social media. As far as news is concerned we should be able to choose for ourselves ,news stations such as CBC and others paid by the government should not be forced on the people as they have been proven to twist things to whatever the governments wants or does not want them to report. If these companies can,t afford to run their businesses then the government should not be using tax
irene	T.	payer dollars to fund them.
Louis e	R.	This bill goes too far. It needs to be rewritten to give Canadians freedom of choice.
Cindy	S.	This bill got ramrodded through without proper channels and should be deleted

Gabri		
el	S.	This Bill is a sham full stop.
-		This bill isn't needed. If they want to find things and promote
Cheri		Canadians, do so. It's not their job to change our content or limit
sh	1.	it.
Jennif		
er	P.	This Bill shouldn't exist in a free country. I do not support Bill C-11.
		This bill specifically needs to target large multinational streamers
		and not niche services such as fitness, sports or smaller niches.
Nicol		Furthermore, this bill needs to not touch algorithms or searches or
as	S.	playlists.
		This is a waste of time and money. Canadian content is not very
		good. We don't want Indigenous or LGBTQ+++ to be all that we
		see. I am not racist but why is Mr. Trudeau so concerned when he
		even wants to ignore Canada Day or call it something else? He
		just wants us to be controlled once again like the communists. I
Sandr		hope Canadians wake up before all their rights are gone. Leave
а	M.	us free to choose please.
		This is all very tricky. The fact that anyonea body of
Colett		peopledetermines what we can hear/read/see and what we
е	A.	cannot is truly Orwellian.
Chris	R.	This is an injustice
Jessi	E.	This is infringement on our rights
		This is the problem with censorship. I like to hear other peoples
		ideas and what they think. I may not agree fully of what they say
		but it is my decision to make not yours or anyone else. When you
		say Platforms, what do you mean by that? This is a red flag for
		me, the internet was once a free open space for people to create
		on. The third question scares me with the options given. That is
		what 1984 talked about. You can not restrict or modify what we
		see for what someone thinks is harmful for me. If anything, does
		not the information that came out in hearings about how Media
Alles		Platforms censored information during COVID and how
Allan	C.	governments used it to their benefit show this is not a good idea.
		This is typical Canadian federal government overreach and intrusion, creating a problem where one did not exist before. We
		intrusion, creating a problem where one did not exist before. We
		do not need government censorship or manipulation of feeds and
Steve	G	search results that artificially elevate Canadian content. Leave the internet and all the streaming platforms alone!!!
SIEVE	G.	internet and all the streaming platforms affile.!!

Bob	V.	This is unjust infringement on the Charter of Rights' guarantee of free speech. Provide more support for Canadian creators and let the marketplace take care of itself.
Geoff	T.	This is unnecessary politicizing. The bill is unneeded.
Kimb erlea	D.	This isn't even something that should be asked. None of them should be. Bill C-11 is a set of limitations and handcuffs on the freedom of choice that is inherently a human right in Canada, thinly masked as patriotism. See above answer for further details.
j	I.	This isn't rocket science. Nothing should be regulated outside of straightout pronography, violence etc. Do they not know the difference? If not then maybe they ought to be trying out for a less demanding occupation.
Iclal Berrin	S.	This should be about funding Canadian creators and boosting the industry in Canada, not suppressing platforms and viewers on what is considered Canadian content.
SALO ME	V.	This should be covered as freedom of speech and the government should not have any say whatsoever.
Rodn ey	P.	This should be up to each individual Canadian, not controlled by any entity of this country. It's about the people of Canada, not an entity to have control over any part of us.
Shmu el	L.	This should not be the purview of the government. This grossly oversteps the purpose of government and is a violation of my personal interest and business.
Geor	M.	This whole bill C-11 is a violation of every Canadian's right to freedom of speech. It's our right to tell it as it is even if it is offending to someone. Big brother shouldn't involve in everyone's business /speech. We want democracy not a communist country running on creating passive population that have no creativity nor voice to speak their minds. Let the this bill apply only CBC and other funded government outlets, it's very shameful anyway but let's keep it in those legacy media that no one believes anyway.
Henry	T.	This whole thing is a joke. None of the need to be regulated. If you want Canadian content to be put on shelf made something that is worth watching and streaming platform will love to promote it as that will bring them revenue. Don't be like because your product sucks and can't sell, you prevent other companies selling a similar product by banning them or put a extream tariff on them so its not marketable.

	Those networks, sites or individuals that promote hate speech or
K.	seek to incite violence or harm to anyone
	Those platforms which engage in disinformation, hate speech,
M.	should be more scrutinized and fined.
W.	Throw out the whole thing! Freedom and love is the natural order of the human species. The purpose of this bill is an attempt by unethical politicians to control the flow of information so war profiteers may more easily propagandize the public and silence those who object. It's twisted and sick.
F	Throw the bill out
	THOW the bill out
	Throw this out. It is not needed.
	tik tok
A.	Tiktok
Н.	TikTok is controlled by the Communist Party of China and they collect and mine our data. It should be banned. Everything else should be free to view. Why should you have the right to tell me what I can see or not see? You wonder why government's are not trusted! This Canadian government is not trusted at all and this Bill further undermines their credibility!
S	TikTok since it was alleged tire to the CCP and I don't fuck with the CCP.
C.	TikTok which is owned by China and it should be banned from Canada
ı	Tired of the government ad there passing of bills without citizen involvement and votes
	Titok(in it's present state) should be banned in Canada. It's clearly
K	destructive.
	To allow our freedom of choice.
1.	To allow our modelin or oriolog.
 	To be honest I don't want bull c 11
F.	To be included should be Porn, child abuse and anything related to the harm of children, and/or even adults that are being harmed in the same dreadful way. Confirmed illegal and actually dangerous activities. Actual long known crimes should be regulated, any crime that brings actual harm to innocent parties. ACTUAL HARM not just because someone does not like what a
	W. F. I. P. A.  C. L. K. I.

		party stands for so they whine about it and complain how it is harmful to them. That is abuse of the system is it not? What should not be regulated is - If some persons hold a public protest to let it be known that they do not like the way that they are being
		treated. Providing people in said protest are not destroying say statues and or any other property not belonging to them. That is a crime and should not be allowed, no matter what prompted them to do so. Let's all be grown ups and not act like we are still living
		in the dark ages.
susie	t.	to let choose what the people want to listen to in one way or another,we are in the process of disappearing as french canadian citizenz
Steph	M.	To me they should mind their own business and get their own house in order.
Louis		To me, none should be regulated or excluded since I believe in the freedom of speech. Warnings can be added to anya content that has any forme of violence, abuse or sexuality, as it is currently
е	P.	done, and has been done since the '70, if I recall well.
Keri	F.	To not restrict or hide international content.
Peter	C.	To start with, I think they'd have to be broadcasters, which these platforms are not really. On-demand content should be what I demand above all else and not what the government wants me to see. I understand we're going in the direction of everything being streamed and not broadcast so people can easily choose to not consume local content, but that doesn't mean the government has an obligation to force users to consume anything. At all Platforms like Tiktok already recommend things that you like to see, so if it sees you really like local content, it'll give you more of it. No need for a mandate.
Gusta	O.	Today's platforms are different from traditional broadcast systems. Users have the ability to select the content they want to view, I don't believe that is in anyone's best interest to limit or regulate the options viewers have, in order to promote one content over the other. Especially if there are no Quality guidelines.
Robin		Too many to list
vinitiu s	f.	Too much already controlled by CRTC
Marc o	R.	Total yearly revenue earned by the platform. This regulation shouldn't affect small players.

Isabel le	V.	Tout dépend à qui s'adresse le contenu, car l'observation de ce contenu mérite sa crédibilité. La compréhension est une dimension obsolète bien souvent démontrée!
Rave n	M.	Traditional broadcast media is the only system that should be government regulated, and possibly the largest streaming platforms like Netflix. All online sites with User Generated Content should be exempt from any government control, such as YouTube or Facebook.
Mauri		
се	R.	Transgender grooming of children
Paul	C.	Treat all platforms equally, TV, internet, streaming, radio, print - all of them need to be treated equally, if this forces CBC and Rogers to create better content then great! As a taxpayer I shouldn't be expected to subsidize any of it, news is free nowadays regardless of source and credibility
Weld on	F.	Trudeau is Hitler censoring and jailing all who disagree with him. Fu*k Trudeau
Eric	D.	Trudeau must go. Stop censoring Canadians.
Adam	P.	Trudeau's Reich.
		True freedom of the press and the people to know and hear
Larry	J.	platforms whether they like them or not.
Paul	J.	Truedopes live feeds and social media accounts!!!!
Alain	M.	TRUTH
Erik	G.	Truth
Jade	S.	Try considering that most of us are adults. We can decide for ourselves if the content of a video is something we want to watch. Parents have controls to monitor what their children see. Adults do not need this.
Noah	О.	Trying to regulate anything on the internet is a losing proposition for everyone; less access and hollow echo chambers is terrible for anyone. We should look at more funding for better content that competes on a world stage.
Collin	N.	Turfing C-11 should be the only option.
Reid	N.	TV should be regulated because we don't have the choice to decide what is broadcast, when. Streaming providers should *not* be regulated because it is the user who decides what to watch, when. The user should *not* be inundated with recommendations, etc that are biased toward anything but the desired type of content.

Dan	S.	Two things: 1. Consider audience size. The larger they are the more they should be required to have CanCon available. Smaller platforms don't necessarily have the budget to rely primarily on CanCon and still be competitive/profitable, thus should not be held to the same bar as larger platforms. 2. Foreign platforms should be held to at least the same standards and Canadian platforms.
Louis	P.	Unable to answer this question
e Norm	Г.	Unable to answer this question Under no circumstances should C11 be used to stifle those who
an	M.	criticize the Government across the country.
Ken	A.	Under the current form of the bill, the CRTC should have no involvement in any media formats. I have always been a strong supporter of Canadian content, but absolutely do not support this bill.
Zooe y	S.	Understand that recommendation algorithms are too complex for the lay people in the house of Commons to understand. Let people consume the media they want to consume as long as it is legal, and if you want people to support your isolationist vision and consume more Canadian content, then fund it more, advertise it more. Governmental fiddling with what platforms are more or less likely to show you is a hairs width away from manipulation, censorship, and propaganda. I'm not comfortable with the fed having this much power.
Doug	R.	Undue influence by non-democratic countries like China and Russia need to be constantly evaluated and exposed
Matth	N.	Unfortunately I can not recommend specific criteria of regulated or excluded. However, I will say that User Generated Content should be excluded, or have the regulations be altered slightly to not be impactful while still promoting CanCon (a toggle, a setting adjustment, or a made in Canada section instead of messing with the for you page). In this global world, it should be up to the user what content the user chooses to watch on these social media sites, not a regulatory body, and the user should be the master of their own feeds.
Tamm y-Lee	G.	Unfortunately, the guidelines for what this sitting government calls misogyny racist is a blurry line. I would have said anything that is hateful against a group should be removed, but in Canada that line has even become hateful for making a medical decision. We have far too much division already in this world. Maybe if the

		CRTC went back to their mandates from inception, I could handle
Dom	L.	Unknown or unverified contemt contributors, bot farms, etc, often foreign, spreading fake news, bad or fake info, info not supported by science, to sway the gulible conspiracy prone belivers promoting ideas that go against our canadian values such as equality for all, etc.
		Unless it is federally produced media (meaning produced by or for
Wren	H.	the government) I don't think it should be.
Danie I	C.	Unless it's kiddie porn or human trafficking or on a par with it no regulation.
Micha el	F.	Unless the platform is making huge profits, leave them alone. Stop with the sensorship and stay out of my business. How can you justify censoring what I or others care to read?
Emil	E.	Unless there is a Canadian platform, like Crave, I believe none of the current platforms - either commercial or social media - should be regulated under Bill C-11. All laws that police the internet are detrimental to the access available to Canadians, and only benefit Corporations who are able to exert further control over what private citizens can see.
Antho	R.	Unless there is a criminal complaint or the content is covered by criminal law ( and I don't mean someone said something offensive; I am referring to child pornography, revenge porn, etc), then the content should be left alone, and let the public decide whether the content is truthful, factful, or offensive. Finally, there are already methods in place privately to regulate videos, often used as clubs against individuals of the wrong or controversial opinion. YouTube as an example already has its Strike system. Facebook and Twitter both have similar methods for resolving content they deem offensive. One criterion often cited for regulating online source is misinformation. Government is the last place anyone should look to when it comes to misinformation. If information is true and factual, then it does not need to be verified by government edict. Oftentimes, information a government considers true is often advantageous to itself rather than its citizens.
brian	K. S.	Unless you're paying their bills, leave them alone.
Jessi	J.	offices you're paying their bills, leave their alone.
ca	J.	Unsure

Christ		
opher	B.	Unsure.
		US built recommendation engines are too frequently
		recommending eastern Canadian content to Western Canada.
		Eastern Canadian content, should not receive any promotion in
		western Canada. Content from Washington state and Oregon is
		often more relevant for people in British Columbia, and should be
Nik	E.	giving an equal chance to trend here.
		Use hard-earned tax payer money to help citizens have better,
		more affordable internet access versus more red tape, limitations
		and manipulation of our internet feeds. Seriously. Canadians pay
		some of the highest mobile and internet services in the world.
		How is government hindering or helping this trend? Any criteria to
		regulating platforms should have the focus and intent of
		maintaining end-user citizen freedom of choice at a maximum and
		Govt regulation and censorship at an absolute minimum -
		emergency only basis: Specific Criteria includes limiting criminal
		activity as identified under Canadian and international laws.
		Individuals should be free from having our feeds and content
		manipulated by a centralised government controlled entity. By the
		way, Question 2 in this survey "Should services that produce primarily Canadian content and benefit from supports like the
		Canada Media Fund be required to contribute the same as foreign
		services that do not?" is a terribly worded, misleading and
		confusing question; which services are you talking about? ISP's?
		And how much /what type of benefit is being provided? And
		contribute the same amount of what? Money? Movies? Internet
		access? Terrible question. Should we even be providing hard
		earned tax payer money to Canadian entertainers? Who gets to
		decide what is deemed "Canadian" based on what criteria? And
		what limitations do we place on funding how much is too much?
		Accountability? Transparency measures? Wouldn't tax payer
		money be better spent on internet accessibility like the Australian
		Govt has done with their nation wide NBN fibre optics initiative
		providing fast reliable internet to all its citizens instead of limiting
		and manipulating their feeds? Thank you for providing the
Tom	K.	opportunity to share our thoughts.
Christ		
ine	F.	User created content should not be regulated.

Geor		
ge	V.	User created content should not have any restriction or regulation.
		User generated content and services should not be up for
		regulation at all. This would interfere with the natural discovery of
Chris	N.	content from around the world and neuter the experience.
		User generated content and/or YouTube should be excluded
Cory	C.	under C11. It should not be regulated.
		User generated content should be excluded under C-11. If only
Caroli		because attempting to regulate it would be unmanageable and
ne	W.	waste a lot of time.
Micha		
el	S.	User generated content should be exempt from regulations.
		User generated content should be unregulated in regards to the
		CRTC (the comments on a video, or a video uploaded to a given
		webhost in the way Facebook or Youtube has historically allowed)
		if a given user wants to, of their own volition, create content that
		complies with CRTC standards or modify to publish on traditional
Trista		broadcasting mediums then that is on the user NOT the
n	H.	webhost/government to force compliance.
		User generated content should be untouched. If you want to
		improve the internet make platforms such as Tik Tok, Facebook,
		and You Tube publish a guide to their algorithms. Now obviously
		that's a pipe dream, but you could have a positive influence on
		Canadian society by working to counter propaganda. Canadian
		content is a non-issue when compared to Russian and Chinese
		propaganda that people are being inundated with. Some of this
Darre		propaganda would be considered 'Canadian content' as it's paid
n	A.	Canadians pushing CCP and Russian messages.
Andre		User generated content should not be included and regulated
İ	M.	under the Bill.
		User generated content, such as Social Media (including YouTube
		and similar services) should be entirely outside the CRTC's
Justin	Y.	portfolio.
		User-created content must be exempt from any/all of these
		restrictions. People are not companies, companies are not
		people. People must always be completely free to choose,
		discuss, create, and share anything they want. The CRTC has
		absolutely no place here choosing what gets seen and heard.
T	_	Corporate media should be regulated insofar as ensuring they are
Troy	D.	respecting Canadian media, and providing an avenue to connect

		with it (like with the made by Canadians lists you mentioned.) They should not be regulated into showing one thing at another's expense, but I would support mandating features like the above which label and display Canadian content without hiding something else a user may like. Supporting Canadian media and artists: thumbs up. Eliding search results or recommendations to make Canadian content more attractive: huge thumbs down.
Rach el	B.	User-generated content or content by smaller creators should not be impacted.
Kate	C.	User-generated content should be required to be tagged as Canadian in its metadata, but should not be subjected to tariffs/CanCon requirements.
Zabri na	G.	User-generated content should definitely not be regulated. We have to do all we can to promote free speech. It is the key to a healthy democratic society.
Ga√Ί	S.	User-generated content should never be regulated to promote local content. What if that user is actually not making any money and just want to share a passion they have? Should their content be blocked or heavily hidden because they are not local? The world we now live in is more interconnected than it ever was and local content isn't something that is as relevant as it was before the Internet became as big and ever-present as it is now. Large production content, like feature-lenght movies and similar medias, are another thing altogether. Large companies like Netflix, who enables the distribution of that type of media, have lots of users and could actually be considered a broadcaster and some promotion of local content would be interesting, but it should also not be forced upon users and it should be easy for them to just dismiss the content if they don't like it. The ability to choose is much more important than promoting content. Preventing someone from consuming content from another country because they are not local is not a good reason, especially if the content is better for the consumer who's looking to have a good time.
Danic a	K.	User-generated content should not be regulated/manipulated including social media, video platforms, and podcasts. These are vehicles of freedom of expression between individuals. They are art. They are conversations. Is the government looking to censor conversation? This is a step too far.
Peter	C.	Users should be able to determine what news comes up in their news feeds. If Canadian's want more Canadian content then they

		should be able to like and follow Canadian broadcasters. I want my online experience to be free from government interference.
		Users should be able to express themselves with self made online
Vince		content without being censored because it doesn't match the
nt	L.	dominant narrative.
		Users should have control (activate/deactivate, weighting) on all
Fran√		parameters that determine the results of searches or
ßois	D.	recommendations
Dan	M.	Veracity of content for news and current events
Marcy	S.	Video sharing and social media are used by personal users as well as big media producers. I have no idea how the CRTC would distinguish between the two, but big media producers have multiple platforms (ie. TV or radio) with which to distribute their content. Individuals do not. Whatever criteria the CRTC uses to determine the platforms to be regulated, they need to ensure that content by personal users is excluded from Bill C-11.
Gabri		
el	B.	Video sharing and social media should not apply to this.
Brad	M.	Video sharing platforms should not be included. I can understand streaming platforms like netflix or Disney+ being regulated as they should play by the same rules as tv channels.
		Viewership, revenue - smaller operators pose only a minor threat
Robb	W.	to the interests of Canadian content producers
Miche		Viewing that hurts children/young adults via trafficking. Porn has been proven to support kidnapping and capitalize on trafficking. That content should be monitored closely in partnership with Canadian authorities to help protect our Canadian kids from becoming a victim.

Vimeo for the massive majority is for educational and work
demonstration purposes and should not be regulated. Only
repugnant or repulsively offensive content on the Youtube
platform should be regulated and removed unless it is to help
LEAs(law enforcement agencies). Content that is inhumane to
either humanbeings or animals on platform Tiktok which tends to
have the worst intent and sends the most damaging message out
there should be subject to removal unless it is to be provided as
evidence to LEAs. Any false posts deemed to contain fake
lifestyle videos or shorts (that is except second life content which
is free virtual life simulator freedom self expression applicable) or
fake services incl. misleading ads of which tends to carry intent to
cause irrevocable life damage should be removed and the user
should be revocated from the service, regardless of which
platform this media or whatever such said content is on, the most
oftenly found area which it has been trended on is Instagram.
Twitch has had a reputation for failing to remove sexual content
off of its platform, kids of all ages even toddlers and teens view
the content displayed by streamers on this platform, at times they
choose to enforce their rules and at times they choose not to, they
must at this point be ordered to remove such violatory and
life-flaming content as it is not the appropriate place to showcase
said type of content and as well, in addition to the aforementioned
as stated above, they should be liable and answer to the
Canadian Government by summons (virtual presence via online
meeting is fine) and if they are found to be guilty, they should be
forced to pay a fine and be commanded to either remove the
content willingly and vow never to violate the rules again and
uphold their word or an issue will be issued to the platform
moderators to remove it and at which point a public IP ban
applicable to the access which enables them to reach that
platform shall be carried out. Twitch Staff mu
Violence, pornography, exploitation
Violence, substance abuse, child porno, perverts and everything
that is subject to criminal law should be regulated.
Virtual Private Networks and the delocalization of network
accessibility.
Void the regulation and allow access to free speech per the
Charter.
watch the overreach

Susa

Dylan L. Andre

n

w

Mark

Angel ique

Quin

S.

Z.

S.

P.

G.

	Ma all league the embración de pull considerá substante de co
G	We all know the only criteria they'll consider is what gets them their next job at Rogers/Bell/Telus.
M.	We already get 30% mandatory Canadian music by this logic is 30% not enough? Must it be 50/50? If Canadian music would like to be heard we should have more artists creating music for everyone and not just Canadians to enjoy. Instead of trying to hijack the existing American dominance we should strive to create content that would be enjoyable to the American market. Most of the world enjoys content from other countries and we shouldn't be punished for doing the same.  We already have all the law we need, no crimes, no fraud should
d.	be tolerated
M.	We already have enough regulations. We do NOT need any more. Plain and simple.
S.	We already have far too much interference from governments and the TNI on legacy media platforms. Extending any kind of content control to the remaining information sources is a further slide down the dystopian authoritarian devolution of free society and must be prevented. The CRTC already has far too much control of available content on various platforms. I am highly opposed to them micro-managing even more of what we can consume! Let the market sort itself out freely. The only inhibitory role I support from government in this area is ensuring we do not end up with monopolies and they've already failed spectacularly at that, IMO.
Z.	We are a free country and government should not be allowed to control or regulate internet content except those ruled under laws. The reason is simple that government is a political body and it may be bias and even force their ideology onto people. Free speech must be protected.
L.	We are able to make up our own minds about what we want to see and what we believe to be true. We have seen what happens when the government starts to control what its constituents have access to and it's a slippery slope.
H.	We are already over regulated in Canada. We do not need more government regulations. We need less government regulation.
	We are capable of making our own choices. Canadian TV is
V.	awful. I will stop watching. Period
I.	We are not China (YET). These powers are to great, and Silencing Canadians is one step closer to Communism.
	d. M. S. L. H. V.

Mark	R.	We are the land of the free. Let us be free
		We as Canadians have every right to choose what we want to
Odett		view. Censor programs that can be harmful to ours children ie
е	Ο.	Sogi, LGBTQ etc
	0.	We as people want to live free from more regulations that's what
Berdj	G.	freedom is all about
Deraj	<u> </u>	We could definitely do with out all this woke and transgender
		nonsense that affects our kids. I believe in trying to hold people to
		account and bring stability to our country, and not what is going
Linda		on now, and being told that is normal. It is going to have some
Eilee		pretty dire consequences in this country's future. Leave our kids
n	D.	alone, and let the parents be their guide.
		we do not need a group of privileged, last century minded, people
Jason	C.	regulating anything.
Dann		
y	N.	We do not need C11
		We don't need any changes. The current system works perfectly.
Stew		Stop pushing the agenda of, Canadian content. If people want
art	W.	that they will seek it out. Leave the system alone.
		We don't need any more regulations at all. An open and free
Rees	H.	society is the main source of trust in a truly democratic society.
Micha		We don't need that kind of regulation. Why not go after child
el	H.	pornography & get that off line instead!
		We don't need a Government agency to determine what we
		should or shouldn't hear, see or communicate to others. Freedom
		of speech and expression is a God given right not to be
Victor	J.	determined by a Bureaucratic system
Faith	F.	We don't want to see no gay shit
		We don't want you messing with the internet. We don't want your
Brend		interference with our way of life. If we know where to go to if we
an	F.	want this content.
Matth		
ew	M.	We dont need more regulation
		We have a God given right to free speech and no government has
Alice	M.	the right to take it away
		We live in a global environment,Äîwith a circulation of global
		ideas. The best ideas and content should be allowed to surface
Cole	B.	according to merit, not according to government censorship.

Thom		We live in a global world where we benefit from a diverse global
as	N.	options and do not want any restrictions whatever.
		we must ban pornography and graphic content for children. we
		must hold creators that make the above content easily available
bono		accountable law. this includes the current hyper sexualization of
hans	S.	children in schools and on canadian media.
		We need less regulation, I do not need my government telling what content is acceptable, I am very comfortable making my own
		decisions. We already subsidize Canadian content providers and
		spend way too much on maintaining Canadian content that is of
		not great value to me. The CBC gets how much of our taxes
David	D.	every year to maintain Canadian content.
		We need to have foreign content available to keep our sense of
		community with the rest of the world and to keep current with
Bruce	M.	foreign need and views.
		We need to make content people want to watch. Not force bad
		content to the top of results making people skip past it. Forcing
		legal sites to house a specific amount of CanCon content, or
		making their sites annoying to use (as everyone skips the forced
		top results) will just encourage piracy. If the illegal site provides a
Brian	H.	better user experience, people will use the illegal site.
		We need to stop the content we can see in USA that promotes
		lies packaged in news. They should be an outright ban on all
		Murdoch properties (Fox News, SkyNews, Wall Street Journal, NY Post). Also there are several racist and hate and incitement of
		violence online based The Blaze, Daily Caller Coasttocoastam
		is US bases radio broadcast into Canada too. Nearly every major
		C2Cam quest for the last 15 years was involved in Jan 6 Coup.
		C2Cam promotes lies, hate, violence in USA but promotes this
		same media into Canada. If you cannot block the radio waves at
		least ban C2Cam internet in Canada! I go to youtube and often
		see lying promoting hate/violence on/about Canada from Fox
		News, Skynews and not big brand name independent
Lee	S.	channels/videos. I wish i could post the screenshots here!
Harol		We pay for Canadian TV and radio. The others are free and
d	M.	should remain that way.
FRAN	_	We should abolish the CRTC .CRTC never work for the
COIS	D.	canadians ,they work for the people in control.
Giana	VV.	We should all have free speech. Good or bad.

_		
Rega	<u></u>	We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC
n	G.	
Jane	U.	We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC.
Rosa		We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the
nne	R.	CRTC.
Andre w	S.	We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC. Government overreach üëé
lan	M.	We should be free of control from CRTC!
		We should be looking to see that the source of the media is
Neil	R.	legitimate, that we are not being fed misinformation by a foreign body disguised as someone else. Related to this is the Al generated content that could potentially deceive us. We need to be confident of the source but open to all sources.
		We should have access to all journalists so we can come to our
		own conclusions and not be aware what is truly happening in our
Lauri	K.	country! No news outlets should be government funded!
		We should have access to several news outlets and not just hand
randy	C.	picked by bureaucrats.
		We should have freedom of speech and freedom to choose where
		we get our news from. This is Canada. There is no room for
Tracy	D.	censorship here.
Carol		We should have the choice to choose which opinions we want to
yn	K.	research.
		We should not be told what we can or can not see! I live and
Rigas		was born in the freedom loving country of Canadanot Russia!!!
Adele	B.	We should not have so much government censorship
willia		we should not regulate any platform unless it is promoting criminal
m	W.	activities.
Lars	L.	We shouldn't have such a Bill
Lucy	P.	We The People DO NOT WANT THIS.
		We voted against this bill. Trudeau forced it through. No one
		wanted this or asked for it. You should concentrate on getting the
		media to start reporting more responsible news and stop lying to
		people. I think the media should all be ashamed of themselves
		the way they have behaved the past 3 years. It is shameful
		whether you think so or not. I have caught CBC in so many lies, it
JASO		is incredible. You want people to watch Canadian content, make
N	M.	it real and stop forcing agendas on us through media. It is

		sickening. If the news wants to be taken seriously, then start reporting real news. A news story doesn't have sides. It reports the story objectively and lets the viewer decide. I am sickened by forced legislation and the liberal government has been doing way too much of it. I think you can all take bill c-11 and stick it where the sun don't shine. That's my honest opinion. I can only hope that Poilievre gets voted in cancels bill c-11 and de-funds the CBC. Take your lies shove those where the sun don't shine too.
Aaron	A.	We want freedom to choose what we watch
Liz	K.	We want view points from all angles, all voices and all view points. We want all the info available to be able to deduce our own view points. We don't want to see all CNN and CTV as they are funded by the feds and therefore, pushing the feds viewpoint.
Rober	Ш	We're becoming a communist state, where our government (big brother) thinks we need their protection. Let us decide what we
t	H.	want to support.  Welcome to communist china and north korea where the
Asif	Q.	government gets to decide which voices are favourable to its Dear Leader and will shut down any other voice. Ironic that they adopt Putin's policies yet condemn the same practices in Russia.
		Well funded media, media benefitting from the protections of incorporation, and foreign state media deserve the most regulation up to and including exclusion. Anonymous media of any kind deserves greater scrutiny and regulation but cannot be excluded in a free and democratic society. Small Canadian media and personal and identifiable opinions should completely
Craig	F.	excluded from regulation.
Ngos a	M.	Well I think all media should be intellectually independent, and not regulated under bill c-11, but more especially private media should be excluded from c-11
		Well, I can't record a video and then have it broadcast on CTV, for example. But I can upload a video to YouTube. I'm just a regular person, and I shouldn't be considered a broadcaster, nor should YouTube as a whole. There needs to be protections for user-generated content, and distinctions for companies that allow
Dana	Ο.	it and do not allow it, such as in my example of CTV vs YouTube.
Andre s	P.	What about VPN? What about the terrible no good roll out of IPv6 in the USA? Who will be made accountable to the people living in the USA with no health care as the rich get richer? I just have a lot of questions about where the earth is going.

at are the longterm harms from a bad criteria.
at began as an economic bill for a specific but well
vernment) funded industry at the expense of so called 'big
', has become emblematic of this government's lack of
sight and intelligence.
at does it matter,Ķ.we will no longer be able to access
adian news online.
at is best for Canadians.
at is the extent of the regulation?
at is the purpose of this regulation and why do we need it?
ney? Power? control over the people? we need less
ernment and more educated Canadians who have the ability
erform critical thought but whatever we seem to be doing now
aking sheeple here everyone this is what you get don't go
ing for anything other than what we tell you is ok? Why do
need this?
it is wrong with the standards that is place right now
at kind of people are making the content on it and whether or
the canadian public really wants to see more canadian
tent. Sure, it would be nice to see my hometown on TV but I
't want the creativity and freedoms of the industry and its
ng to suffer as a result.
at the population really wants. Not what politicians think the
ulation wants/needs. We are adults not children that need
ysitting
at they need to NOT consider excluding or regulating are
nions or information that might be contrary to the political
y/parties in power at the time ,ĶCanadians need to hear all
rmation and views,Ķbecause our legislation says we are a
material and views, the earliest earliest earliest

What they should be getting involved in is using the rule of LAW, NOT vague regulation. For example I would recommend the Gov / CRTC step in and do something to prevent: 1. Unfair business practices by the Big Tech companies as it pertains to content creators given copyright claims, and that are unfairly being demonetized 2. Manipulation of algorithms by the Big-Tech themselves. 3. Violations of content creators rights by misleading, shadow-banning, removing, banning or terminating users and content creators unfairly and unjustly. 4. Checking their media services and content is free of explicit nudity and pornography. Otherwise they are no better than an X-Rated site. 5. They should go to lengths to protect minors online and make sure there are guidelines and verification so these younger people are protected online from what should be considered adult content and or of a sexual nature or sexualization. Must be protected from online deviants and predators and anyone making such content and profiting from it. 6. Introduce electronic online and media rights to protect ALL users and creators online not just specific groups of people, lifestyles and ideologies; should not be inversely biased and discriminatory to begin with and a disclusion of the whole or majority of society. 7. Make the Big-Tech companies establish better methods to prevent hacking of Google, YouTube, Instagram and Facebook accounts. Numerous users, content creators are the victims on online scams and have had their social media accounts hacked with nothing they can do to recover them.  Meanwhile the perpetrator(s) is, are never held accountable by the Big-Tech companies, and the hacked, stolen accounts continue on their platforms even though the rightful owner has
informed the company through verification  What we have now (before C. 11) is good enough, there is no
What we have now (before C-11) is good enough, there is no need to add anything more.
What's next, cameras in your house. Give me a break. This is going backwards into the dark ages of control.
Whatever we search online will give us all results not just what the government wants. This is Canada, not a dictatorship. Let us watch what we want.
When choosing the platform to censor, consider the historical source. Historically, politicians haven't been truthful. Considering the current Canadian Prime Minister has been caught lying from

Marc ello

Cindy B. andre w

Terry

Kaga

n

D.

t.

J.

M.

		one news broadcast to the next. There's a history of falsity. His
		content should be withheld.
Jon	K.	When determining policy, the CRTC should first be asking themselves, How will this policy make the average Canadian's life better?, followed by Why does the average Canadian need this policy?
Chris	В.	When determining what platforms should be included under C-11, the CRTC consider the size of the content provider and whether or not a streaming service is focused on a broad or narrow audience. Content providers such as Netflix have a wide range of programming and have the means to support more Canadian content, but more niche services such as BritBox or Crunchyroll shouldn't have to meet the same criteria.
		When determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11, I believe that the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should consider the following criteria: 1. Scale of Operation: Larger platforms have a broader societal impact and thus should be subject to more extensive regulation. Conversely, smaller platforms, particularly those nurturing local communities or niche interests, should be subject to lesser regulation to encourage innovation and diversity in the digital ecosystem. 2. Content Creation: Platforms that primarily host user-generated content should be handled differently than those that produce their own content or work extensively with large production companies. The former are vital platforms for independent creators and should not be burdened with regulations that could potentially hinder creativity or diversity. 3. Nature of Content: The type of content hosted on the platform should also be a consideration. Platforms focusing primarily on entertainment, news, education, or cultural content may each require different regulatory approaches. 4. Audience Demographics: The age, geographical distribution, and cultural background of the platform's audience should be taken into account. Regulatory measures should ensure that they don't disproportionately affect certain demographic groups. 5. Canadian Content Promotion: The existing level of support and promotion for Canadian content on the platform should be considered. Platforms that already have robust mechanisms in place to
Andre		promote CanCon should be acknowledged. 6. Potential Impact on
w	M.	Competition: Regulations should not create a barrier to entry for

new platforms or give undue advantage to established platforms. Competition in the digital space is critical for innovation and to prevent monopolies. Finally, the CRTC should remain open to ongoing review and revision of these regulations. The digital landscape changes rapidly, and it'... When determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under bill c-11, the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) should consider a range of criteria to ensure fair and balanced results: 1. Content Scale: Platforms with a large base of Canadian users and significant influence over the cultural and informational landscape should be more rigorously regulated. 2. Business Model: Platforms that generate profits by exploiting Canadian data and content should be given a higher regulatory priority. 3. Freedom of Speech: The CRTC should be mindful of any potential dampening effects on free speech and should ensure that any regulation does not inhibit the free exchange of ideas or information. 4. Privacy: The commission should also consider whether a platform adheres to Canada's privacy laws and respects user data privacy. 5. Transparency: Regulation can also consider how transparent platforms are with their algorithms and procedures for content promotion or removal. Regarding potential problems and ensuring preservation of democratic principles, several points are critical: 1. Overregulation: There might be a risk of overregulation which could stifle innovation and limit the growth of digital platforms. 2. Censorship: Rigorous regulation can lead to accusations of censorship, particularly if it appears the government or regulatory agency is controlling speech. 3. Bias: There could be concerns on potential favoritism or bias if some platforms are handpicked for regulation while others are not. 4. Economic Impact: Excessive regulation may deter foreign platforms from entering the Canadian market, potentially reducing the competition. 5. Privacy infringement: Over-reaching regulations could result in privacy infringement, going against democratic rights of individuals. The Danie CRTC Regulations should not be used to promote any particular Η. viewpoint When I search for a good documentary on, say, particle, physics, or astronomy, or even for a good cop, thriller, its origin has literally Micha nothing to do with its quality. We live in a global world. I really do el W.

		not wish my search or suggestion results to be skewed in favour of some particular geography, in this case, Canada.
Doug	C.	When it comes to media like CBC and CTV they lie and mislead to Canadians. These media outlets are becoming obsolete and the government has no business funding them and adding regulations to keep them going.
Deidr e	S.	When it comes to video streaming platforms I don't see the need to for the CRTC to make changes to platforms like YouTube, Facebook, Tik-Tok, etc. for the CRTC to control what comes on our feeds is not fair. As long as nobody is being harmed, I should be allowed to see what I want to see especially on YouTube to be my choice.
Gillia n	G.	When people register for niche streaming services, like Brit Box, the content they're expecting should correspond with the product advertised.
Zane	R.	Whether or not a significant amount of content created on that platform is big-budget content, such as Netlfix, HBO Max, etc. Youtube has big-budget content as well as movies however, many Youtube Canadian channels will never benefit from being Canadian and will instead be hurt by being artificially boosted to audiences that don't care about that creator thus hurting their analytics and them on the global stage. Just because a Canadian Youtuber falls under the Can-Con doesn't mean that they will benefit from that. Linus Tech Tips is a Canadian youtube channels and has be outspoken about the fact that the Canadian government has not been able to provide proper support to them. If the CRTC can't help all of the Can-Con creators on a platform then they should just simply not exist on that platform.
Karle ne	J.	Whether or not that content harms CHILDREN, on sites that children frequent. Anything that involves children, especially very younf children and ANY sexual content.
Mark	W.	Whether or not they act as a broadcaster. Netflix and related services are basically cable broadcasters. YouTube and TikTok and Twitch etc are not.
Robin	S.	Whether the content is created by companies or individualsthe latter obviously makes significantly less sense to have these rules applied.
Joshu a	S.	Whether the platform was created or primarily run in Canada.  Trying to regulate a foreign run platform, with foreign content is not reasonable. It would be like asking, say, a Japanese

		animation studio to make more Canadian content, it does not work.
Sean	E.	Which content creators (for any medium) could stand to do worse financially if your efforts with Bill C-11 are missteps? The answer is none of them.
Kim	S.	While I am generally against regulation and manipulation of online planforms by government I would make an exception for TikTok who has a well know reputation as a bad actor and compiles information for the Chinese government to enhance their influence. They definitely should be banned.
Dave	S.	While I believe that Canadian content should be promoted and such, we, the viewers should still be allowed to search whatever we want, because sometimes, the content we want, isn't from Canada.
Ryan	D.	While promoting Canadian content is great for our country doing it at the expense of all other content is a horrible idea. Especially when it comes to social media where I connect to American family, and my wife connects with her Thai family. If Canada is truly a multicultural country we need access to our heritage. It is becoming painfully obvious how poorly this will work in our advantage when you see Google, Facebook, YouTube and other platforms response. It is easier and better for them to just shutoff all access to Canada going forward.
Steph	D.	While this bill may come from a place of helping highlight Canadian art, I think the bill is not thought out fully as a practical template for enforcing strict guidelines on small to solo internet/social media content artists, and global media platforms. Vastly scoped legislation like this can have an impact on the creators as well as the audiences/users of these worldwide platforms for uncertain, and potentially limited benefit.
Andre		Who decides what has to be regulated. I think that the CRTC
W .	M.	should regulate itself and butt out of our lives.
Danie		Who does this help and how does it affect the producers of low /
lle Nick	Н.	no budget content?
Carla	L. S.	Who it will negatively impact. Charities and individual freedom.  Whoever regulates will always have a biased slant or connections to certain products and services and general content that could lead to corruption on their part and the creation or increase of the black market dark web and democratic freedoms

		Why does the government not regulate or oversee the release of accessibility to pornography, where the industry is known to have
Troy	S.	a history of human trafficking and enslavement?
		WHY exclude any of them? This is supposed to be a democracy
		in which EVERY voice has a right to be HEARD! Treating us all
		like children without conscious thought or intelligence is NOT
marjo		LIBERTY! How about limiting the Chinese content of the
rie	C.	Telecommunication company that was purchased by them!
		Why not keep it as a free market. If a media source produces
		good content people will want to consume it. If not and a specific
		media organization gets inadequate public support, like some of
		the biggest government endorsed ones in Canada, then maybe
		there is no market for their content and they should be allowed to
Jean	S.	fade away due to lack of public interest.
		Why regulate any of them? Make compelling content and I will
Jenn	R.	watch it; don't make content that you compel me to watch.
Will	C.	why regulate global platforms? no other country does this
Melin	_	Why should any content be regulated just because it's not
da	T.	so-called "Canadian?!"
		Why the CRTC need to regulate something that was supposed to
		be total freedom. Is there anything better to do than more
Miche		regulation and more regulations? CAN I WATCH WHAT I WANT in My home? I do not have anything against CanCon but the
I	F.	world is there to be discovered.
I	1 -	Will other countries implementing equivalent national laws impact
Kai	H.	Canadian creators?
		will regulating a platform silence or suppress the freedom of
		expression of ANY Canadians (INCLUDING anyone with
Lionel	L.	opinions/perspectives opposing those of the Liberal party!)
		With online content where I choose what to consume and it is not
		chosen by a media company to be broadcast I can choose to view
		Canadian content or not. If the content is not providing me value I
		will not watch it Canadian or not. Forcing content to be shown is
Marvi	_	not a fix if it still gets ignored. If it becomes annoying people can
n	Z.	easily circumvent it with a vpn.
		Work for a Canadian heading or popular Canadian. Don't make it
		too hard or expensive. Support small Canadian content creators.
Jane	D.	If payment can gain access to more airtime, make it scalable.  Small amounts for smaller creators. Even if they don't top the list
Jaile	D.	ornali amounts for smaller dieators. Even il they don't top the list

		they may get more views than before. Want more, pay more. But
		do not prevent small producers from posting on YouTube or Instagram. Times are hard enough for people who are not among the rich.
Shau n	M.	Work with content creatorsnetflixthe big boys. Leave user created content alone. You are not prepared.
Ryan	H.	World Wide Web. www. Let us keep the internet, please.
Brett	F.	Ya, none should be regulated. Why regulate them if not to control what people see? We don't want to be controlled. We are already being controlled and coerced enough as it is and people are OVER it!
Patric k	M.	Ya, they should consider not regulating anything and stay out of commerce and a free market system
Rob	M.	Yah scrap the idea entirely
Trista		
n	N.	Yea, don't regulate anything. Exclude all platforms.
TYLE		
R	M.	Yea, how about you leave us the fuck alone.
NA-44	Ь	Yea. The big one is they need to find a way where we see it, but can chose to ignore it. At least then we have the choice if it is
Matt	P.	there
Blacki e	N.	YEAH: BACK OFF, CRTC!! IT'S BAD ENOUGH YOU FORCE SIMULCASTING THAT ROBS ME OF MY CHOICE TO WATCH THE AMERICAN BROADCAST OF A PROGRAM, BUT NOW YOU'RE FIXIN TO OVERSTEP EVEN MORE??? BACK OFF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Keith	V.	Yeah they should consider fking right off!!
Alexis		Yeah, create long debates with professionals on relevant subjects so that every Canadian can choose the future of his country. The government will be our employee and not the other way around.
Elle	W.	Yeah, scrap the whole fucking stupid bill
Tyler	G.	Yeah, unless the CRTC is willing to make internet access providing for free, then they should not censor or modify any search results or content, etc.

		Yeah. Just don't regulate. You are wasting alot of time and
		taxpayer money to dictate to the people of Canada what to say, see and do. Instead of getting with the times and being a
		presence which promotes the culture like you once did. Being for
		the culture and supporting creatives is your job while keeping
		people like our children safe. Maybe make commercials like back
		in the 80's and 90's that we all loved and spread those around. I
		still remember the piece you did of my home town of Flin Flon and
		the story of Flinty, it is with me for life! Preserve and create those
Paige	L.	good memories and we might be on board with you.
Lori	H.	Yeah. Take your censorship and move to China
		Yeah they should require any government or government
Dusti		funded source to completely disregard opinion and offer FACTS
n	D.	ONLY
		Yes - nothing. Let creativity flourish on its own. Get back to
David	M.	running the country rather than ruining the country.
		Yes very simple: ALL platforms should be excluded. Bill C-11
		(and even the CRTC itself) should not exist. The government has
Jeff	R.	no right to dictate what I watch, listen to, read or think.
		Yes child abuse and neglect. Peoples privacy as long as they are
Tony	K.	not hurting or coercing or manipulating other people .
Gaela		Yes consider taking your hands off trying to censorship of the
n	F.	Canadian people. That simple !!
		Yes do not regulate this. I do not want not do I consent to such
Nicho		practices of regulation with any form of media regardless of
las	B.	whether I agree with that form of media or not.
		Yes don't regulate anything. Regulate your own lives leave the
		rest of us along. You have done such a good job of regulating the
		main stream media that we no longer have a free press or a
Mike	S.	democratic system so stop turn back.
		Yes I think they need to look a little harder at the things that are
lan II		not acceptable such as porn, adult and especially child porn.
kelly	b.	Which I thought was illegal. Why does that exist?
Rand		Ves it's called EDEE SDEECH
olph	D.	Yes it's called FREE SPEECH
		yes make sure companys report news that is unbiased and
hulo	h	truthful Is which we don't get now!!the highest paid
lyle	h.	progams(news) have no problem spining things to help the the

		gov that subsidizes them yet you tubers trying to report truthfully get nothing!!
Cathy	C.	Yes NO CENSORSHIP !!!
David	M.	Yes quit interfering in everything, people can choose what they like, So butt out.
Paul	D.	Yes scrap it and worry about the prices we pay for our internet, TV, satellite etc,
Paula	P.	Yes tell the government to stay outta my backyard. I want to be able to see what I want when I want and don't appreciate bringing told otherwise
Glen	G.	yes the crtc should not have anyone regulate my content
Peter	K.	Yes the freedom to collect unfiltered information to make an informed decision, that's what should be considered. I don't consent to servants filtering information from their boss, the taxpayer. The CRTC must be disbanded as it is unconstitutional.
Diann a	E.	Yes they should specifically listen to what Canadians want, we want the right to choose.
Erik	C.	Yes they should stay out of everyone's business and let us keep our freedom
Leslie	M.	Yes those platforms that charge astonomical fees for there services. If they want to do something thats actually useful then get prices lowered or abolished.
Rob	B.	Yes to stay the f out of Canadians lives and just plainly fuck off.
Sava ge	R.	Yes we have minds of our own.
Karen	B.	Yes- see above. Stop restricting my information- I will decide what I wish to see and believe.
Colin	W.	Yes, any platform that uses the MAD model of moral outrage to foster discourse and allow hate to be normalized online.
Pete	K.	Yes, do away with the fanficies of today and do not teach them to our children.
Rodn ey	N.	Yes, does the platform %100 permit/endorse freedom of speech.
Jamie	В.	Yes, does the platform exist entirely in Canada? Then regulate it. If the plat form is accessible in Canada, but is based elsewhere, that is not our right to regulate. It goes both waysif we start trying to regulate how foreign companies and platforms operate, they will attempt to do the same to us, or choose not to play ball and not serve us at all. We all know the content on Netflix for

Ronal d	G.	Yes, its pretty obvious, just read our national anthem. This is supposed to the land of the free and home of the brave. This bill is like spitting on the faces of Canadians. Any government that is scared of the voices of it's own people should not be governing. Ever heard of taxation without representation? It is essentially theft at this point. A government that would rather rule and dictate instead of equal treatment under the law. Rules for thee and not for me. This bill should have been voted for with a referendum. I do not consent to this and I never will.
Sam Ted	В. С.	Yes, if the content originates from Canada it should not be blocked, that is it, full stop. All Canadian content should be recognized, if it comes from a Canadian IP it is Canadian. This feels like another money grab/tax. The only people who will suffer is the everyday Canadian. All the big Corporate heads will line their pockets while Canadians who are trying to make a little extra money are going to get screwed. There should be a revenue limit that excludes the little guys from the CTRC's reach. Exclude uploaded user content from regulation.  Yes, it's called FREE SPEECH,Ķnow there's a novel concept!
Brian	Н.	Yes, I believe the whole lying media should apply for the MAID program, so Canada can become a free country again!!!
Loga n	A.	Yes, I believe censoring the internet and regulating it should be the ultimate last case scenario. The only thing they should be regulating is sexual or graphic content.
Maso n	В.	Yes, how valuable a time slot is. When radio and television first swept through the nation that content was expensive to produce, and needed that regulation because of financial and time restraints. With the internet, there's practically no expense to make content. You're phone camera and an internet connection.
Ari	S.	Yes, how about no criteria since I do not want the CRTC to regulate anything, but instead leave all content up to the people to decide. Hence, have the CRTC 100% excluded from regulating all platforms in any fashion. We the people can choose what to watch and what not to watch for ourselves.
Syed	Н.	Yes, hate and violence promotion content and promoters should be marked as such so consumers of such level can decide and others can be protected from such content
		example, is not the same in Canada as the US thanks to antiquated licensing laws, contracts and processes. I want to see less of that.

Dan :1		
Rand	_	Ves lesson very lesson de eff
al	B.	Yes, keep your hands off.
micha		Van let the fore med at the day of the Safe cont
el	C.	Yes, let the free market work on the internet.
Geral		Yes, Let the pepole shoose what they want like in all mormal
d	V.	democracy.
Lance	H.	Yes, none of them
Livina	Н.	Yes, our constitutional rights and freedoms that allow for freedoms of expression no longer respected by our governmental regime that is currently refusing debate and due process in law that is just and true.
roxian e	e.	Yes, platforms that are backed by states that do not hold the same democratic values should be bannedstates that openly seek to undermine Western values, Western culture, & Western democracy should be completely banned. Any country which is a threat to Cdn soverignty should be treated as such and have no access to Canadians in any medium whatsoever.
Adam	P.	Yes, please regulate the legacy media. They are a state controlled platform which has been compromised. They are used as a propaganda arm of government and the main reason they are going bankrupt. People will flock to truth which is why the mainstream is suffering. They lie and manipulate and it has caught up to them. Online content should remain open and free
Samu el	W.	Yes, pushing any agenda, the LGBT agenda, antifa or any other agenda
Lilly	F.	Yes, revisit the Canadian constitution and Charter of Rights. This will go down in History as one of this Government's most disastrous attack against its citizens right to see, listen and speak to their diverse personal choices and vast variety of interests and beliefs.
Jame s	L.	Yes, stay away from the internet, you've already corrupted telephone, cell, tv and radio. Disband and hang your heads in shame. If you can't lower our prices and seem to work to create monopolies with the big telecoms, stay out of our online choices. Your out of your league and your area of expertise. Hands off.
Gord	M.	Yes, stop promoting Canadian only content.
Prade ep	P.	Yes, Stop trying to control content like China's great fire-wall or North Korea.
76	· •	

Matth		Yes, the charter of rights. Infringing on the law because somebody in Ottawa thinks I might hear the truth instead of their
ew	W.	narrative is not for them to decide.
Willia	• • •	
m	C.	Yes, the CRTC should regulate no platforms.
lohn	ŭ	Yes, the CRTC should take serious thought if any aspects of these regulations would cause hardships on Canadians, especially those that create content and whose incomes are a majority from outside of Canada. Will the control of information be overall detrimental to Canadians, even when content is sourced or created outside of Canada? Finally, ask; is having more Canadian content really going to enrich Canadians? There's a reason some content sourced outside of Canada is accessed, some are because no one in Canada is providing the same information, and some is due to geographical differences, ie. the prairies don't always have the same access to content as southern Ontario so it's rerouted via the US then back to Canada and this is a provider issue.
John	H.	and this is a provider issue
Nanc y	S.	Yes, the Internet belongs to the people and not the government.  The Internet should not be regulated. It should remain an open and free Internet.
jill	S.	Yes, there needs to be room for free speech, open dialogue, no restrictions. This whole this is a like a dictatorial leadership taking away our freedom of information from all sides and points of view.
Gary	R.	Yes, there should be no censorship or control over what I am allowed to see, if you want to include an OPTIONAL fact check on certain content that is ok, as long as it does not censor the actual information. Throughout the whole covid 19 pandemic there was much censored information that caused harm and even death to Canadians because certain information was withheld from us. Information about the testing of the vaccines and how dangerous they really are was deliberately covered up or omitted. This information has since come out and proven that there was a dangerous and deliberate cover up of pertinent information. That information was censored by corporate interests in the name of profits and should therefore not have been allowed to happen. This bill could cost more Canadian lives if the free flow of information is hampered in any way.
Lane	C.	Yes, they should consider leaving it be!

	I	
Lee	D.	Yes, they should consider wether they are part of a liberal democracy or a Marxist regime.
Marc el	S.	Yes, they should refer to our national anthem which indicates that all our inalienable rights and freedoms should be protected recognizing that they are God-given. Therefore, platforms that would violate, or potentially violate these freedoms and rights, should be excluded.
		Yes, this should apply to government funded media only. The
Glad	B.	market will filter out the rest of just left alone
Keith	F.	Yes, try dropping the dictatorship
Keith	T.	Yes, when determining which platforms should be regulated or excluded under Bill C-11, consider whether you want to destroy Canada's democracy and reduce us to a banana republic. Realize that that is what you will be doing.
Alain	G.	Yes; the internet experience should be open and unfiltered at the source with those who access it having the responsibility to "filter it" for themselves, and those under their responsibility (e.g. parents) as they see fit. The government has no business in regulating this since it limits access to what the people can have access to. It is but a small step from there to completely control what people see and, by extension, what they think. Oh, it's wonderful for government propaganda, not so much for freedom of speech and thought.
Serge		Yes: abolish the bloody C-11 completely !!
		Yes: DON'T REGULATE OR EXCLUDE ANY. We are supposed
Lissa	A.	to be a free society. Get out of my entertainment preferences!
Hops	F.	Yes: No viewer of any platform.should be subject to synthetic manipulation of content recommendation. Canadian content produces have learnt to produce CanCon that is already favoured by existing platform recommendation algorithms, through its quality and entertainment value. The platforms are for-profit organizations, and they already promote great content because it generates more views, and hence more revenue for them. Forcing more CanCon to be promoted just because it's Canadian rather than because it's good is a major disservice to all of our outstanding Canadian creators, and us Canadians. We both deserve better. The only beneficiaries are, unfortunately, low-skilled or lazy creators who don't want to grow their skills and improve their content quality.
, 10p3	٠.	improvo tron content quality.

Richa	Н.	Yes: the CRTC should understand, that they do NOT understand what it is to be Canadian if they are even considering censoring or regulating Canadian speech and attempting to shape a false identity. Canadians do not want this.
stuart		Yes: they should be focused on content that spread lies and hate.  Not where they are produced nor who produced them.
Allisa	D.	yes! why do we need this even?
/ tilloa	υ.	YES!spend my tax dollars going after and shutting down
Mary- Elen	K.	on-line child pornography. That is the ONLY sort of censorship that is acceptable in a free society.
Mary		
Ann	R.	Yes. A public forum should be required.
Ron	B.	Yes. Consider the dead bodies that lined Juno beach when these men fought and died for freedoms that are being eroded by petty bureaucrats. All socialism (including National Socialism - NA ZI ism) starts with good intentions, and ends with internment camps. If you think this is hyperbole then you are ignorant of history.
Jame s	M.	Yes. Give the public the ability to view a wide variety of content and decide for themselves what is appropriate and what is not. Pursue freedom of choice and support the liberty and freedoms that have sustained us and made us the envy of the rest of the world.
		Yes. If they advocate specific physical harm to any identifiable
Ullric h	F.	group, they should be regulated. No other criteria should result in regulation.
Patric k	L.	Yes. It would be best if you didn't regulate any of them. We, the users, will find ways to bypass this. You're well aware of this. Will you send me to prison for installing software that stops your unfair promotion of content I likely do not want to see? It would help to realize that your regulations would mean less Canadian content. How many platforms will withdraw from Canada, pass the cost onto the customer or never come here? That's not something that I can bypass with the hundreds of free and paid software available to avoid as much of C-11 as possible. I won't pay more to see Canadian content or subscribe to a Canadian content-only platform. What you are doing is turning people away from Canadian content. This bill is about control, not about Canadian content.
Deid	R.	Yes. Leave it all alone.
<b>5</b> 010		100. Edato it dii diorio.

Chery	K.	Yes. Nothing should be excluded. Regulations should not exist. C-11 shouldn't exist. Paid narratives should not exist. You're weak.
I Поста	r.	
Rond a	P.	Yes. They should consider forgetting the idea altogether. People won't stand for it.
Shaw n	P.	Yes. All platforms should be excluded. Especially companies that aren't Canadian. Consider that Canadians don't need their government to make decisions for them about who or what to watch in their free time. Consider that legacy media should not be able to lobby the government to knee cap their competition and instead the onus should be on them to do better and earn their viewers instead. Consider that a democracy and this Bill are actually mutually exclusive.
Geor		Yes. Anti Christian or pro atheist propaganda and critical race
ge	D.	theory should not be allowed.
Debra	N.	Yes. Defund all media that is in the back pocket of govt.
		Yes. Do they own the platform? If yes, regulate away, if no, keep
G	M.	your fingers off.
Sheld		Yes. Don't. Just, don't. Stop trying to censor everything. Let
on	B.	people choose for themselves for crying out loud.
Sean n	G.	Yes. If it's not a legacy media outlet operating on a legacy media system (cable, satellite or radio), it should not be regulated like one. It's the whole reason we subscribed to services like Netflix - they aren't legacy media and allow me more control over what I watch.
Uniqu		
е	D.	Yes. Just please leave us alone.
Kim	В.	Yes. Limit the streaming of highly sexualized content to protect children and youth as this is far too easy to access. Limit violent content for the same reason. Reflect ALL of the population, including those who are now marginalized as this fierce attempt to be inclusive has excluded many of the rest of us. (who are not racist but have a different point of view that a DEMOCRACY should protect not attack)
Richa		
rd	J.	Yes. No regulation at all is needed.
Dean	E.	Yes. None of them.
Daila an	S.	Yes. Our sovereign Rights under Canadian law and the Charter rights. Who is funding the platform. Is it legal, lawful, moral and

		,
		ethical. Restrict porn and pedophilia. For ALL ages. Otherwise people can make up their own minds and shouldn't be treated like children who need to be told what to believe.
Lloyd	A.	Yes. The CRTC should consider itself redundant and disband.
Matt	B.	Yes. The number of subscribers per creator. This is not a decision that should be made on the platform level. There's nothing about the platform that will tell you whether this should apply or not. Again, think about it as a broadcast medium. All of the platforms are broadcast-able mediums. Then you need to look at what's reasonable. Is it reasonable to ask a huge producer like Ninja Kids to add some Can Con once in a while? Sure! Is it reasonable to ask Uncle Joe with 10 subscribers? probably not.
Diann e	M.	Yes. The only content CRTC should ever be involved in censoring Is Child pornography. If they focused on this it could help bring an end to the psychos and pedos who target and hunt the innocent children through media.
Maya	D.	Yes. They should be censoring no content under Bill C 11!!!
David	K.	Yes. They should be focused on the companies selling our data illegally and harvesting it. Like Microsoft and Facebook and not the end user.
Geral d	Н.	Yes. They should not be regulating any of them, especially under the guidance and direction of the Government, as it's supposed to be independent of them. They should stick to Radio and Television. Stay out of our internet content.
Prest		
on	M.	Yes. What I watch is nobody's business.
Jamie	L.	Yes large corporate services that have market power and the ability to dominate or quash content. I do not believe they should be forced to pay but incentivized to produce CanCon. A mandated Canadian content section for these services is fine with me.
Mary	Н.	YESTHE GROOMING OF CHILDREN IN THE SCHOOLS LIKE THE DIABOLICAL SOGI GARBAGEIN SCHOOLSTHIS TIES IN WITH WHAT'S GOING ON IN THE BILLION DOLLAR HUMAN TRAFFIKING AROUND THE GLOBETHE TRAFFIKING OF CHILDRENSOGI IS A SEX GROOMING TOOL RIGHT UNDER YOUR NOSES

cyril	I.	Yes. Seeing how they have already ruined T.V & Radio lets leave the Internet for the people. People have short term memory's originally the Internet most suppose to be for the people & for the people only. T.V had been ruined for people so just for 18 & older was suppose to be for the people & no Government was suppose govern the Internet but coarse of they have to have their nose in it to. No one watches the CBC news anymore & because of this Trudeau keeps them afloat with 1.2 billion a year & now he is going to try to make us watch it on the internet, so we can tune into the CBC news & hear what a great Prime minister he is. No one watches the CBC news anymore for a reason they tire very quickly from the lies, misleading facts & half truths. Trudeau is now trying to cancel Fox news why? Well because they are the most watched T.V news in North America. Why are they the most watched news well because they have high stats for telling the truth. Trudeau can control Canadas Radio, T.V & Internet. He can bring in 4 times the Immigrants than Canada is able to house but he will not be elected Prime minister again.
Diane		Yess, let the people choose what they want to check
Jacki e	T.	You are not able to do that when everyone has a different point of view on what is acceptable and what is not, especially in todays world. Leave it alone and stop messing with it.
Mike	B.	You are not my parents. You have no business guiding my decisions, within (what I thought to be) obvious guidelines. Where the content I choose to view originates from is none of your concern. We have more immigrants now that ever. And you are attempting to force (or remove the ability to see) the content they want to see. Mind your business, and get lost. No content or platform should be regulated. Mind your own business. And let content creators and/or platforms succeed on their own merits.
Pegg y	M.	You can leave all of our streaming choices along. It is none of your business what we are paying to see on Netflix, Prime, Britbox, Acorn etc. I am paying for what I want to watch. It is not the business of the government.
Rahul	P.	You can promote Canadian content but don't put limits on content from other countries.

		Vou coult just mandate that a private service purchase the service
		You can't just mandate that a private service pushes the content you want people to watch and disincentivizes foreign content. That's a purely fascist methodology designed to censor the free marketplace of ideas and only serves to promote xenophobia. If you wanted better content made in Canada, and spread to larger audiences (inside or outside of Canada), then you would pay more to the creators to incentivize them making better content, and then you would pay for marketing to spread awareness of that content to broader audiences. If you instead just mandate that the content appear where it shouldn't naturally be, then that will only serve to further antagonize potential audiences and still doesn't improve the quality of the content, which means the content now being forced onto everyone's feeds would be low-quality content. If the content was high-quality, valuable content, and was marketed/advertised correctly, it would be able
Jadon	P.	to rise to the top of the algorithm by merit of its quality.
jorda		you do as you wish. idc i dont follow the canadian propaganda. its
n	F.	just gov funded bs that i refuse to give any of my time to.
David	J.	You have no business interfering with what I can and cannot see. That's out of your wheelhouse. The same as goes for what I can and cannot post as long as it's legal.
Miche le	G.	You have no right to determine what I can see or read, or participate in.
Bever ley	E.	You have stripped away our charter freedoms! No content should be funded by government. No oversight or censorship on any content is right. Police should continue to go after child trafficking and pedophile rings that use online to spread child pornography, and victimize children.
Ryan	M.	You need to allow freedom of speech. It doesn't matter where it's posted. Everyone has the right to speak their mind.
Andre w	F.	You need to think about what the platform was designed for and if Canadian content really fits into that platform. If it does not then why is it being put on there. Also further to the point instead of pushing content on platforms that nobody cares about there should be more of a focus to get content creators in Canada to produce content that Canadian people actually want to see and want to watch. If nobody wants to watch the content being put up then we are just wasting money.
Jason		You should allow people to decide for themselves
Jasuii	1 .	Tou should allow people to decide for themselves

		You should concentrate more on getting preventing monopolies
Scott	W.	and reducing our over-priced cellular and data plans.
		You should consider the base of operations of the businesses,
		whether they receive Canadian funding, the size of their
		audiences, whether they are using the individual platforms for
Naom		broadcasting or for advertising to their actual broadcasting
i	E.	system.
Rebe		You should exclude porn. It's terrible for our children and adults. It
cca	H.	also supports a sex industry that is horrific on all counts.
Myali		
sa	L.	You should have to regulate any platforms!
Cindy	D.	You should keep out of it altogether.
		You should not act at all since this seems to be political stunt
Roch	L.	made to control what we see and hear on the www.
Adam	V.	You should not be regulating anything.
Desta	B.	You should not be regulating anything.
Melin		You should regulate nothing. Any regulation put us in line with
da	Z.	China and North Korea. This is anti Canadian.
Morg		You should regulate the volume of the adds on YouTube because
an	F.	they are ridiculous compared to The content you are watching
		You should stay out of it and maybe explain why you allowed
Daryl	B.	Rogers to buy Shaw?
		You shouldn't be dictating to anyone on what they like or don't
		like. Free speech and censorship is like the ccp ideology and it's
		quite clear this federal government funded politics has no place in
Tracy	T.	a SO CALLED FREE AND DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY
		You shouldn't block content on any platform. Freedom is
Annal		important, don't strip it away systematically because
ise	M.	mother(Government) knows best.
Shan		You shouldn't regulate anything. Free speech is what the west
е	W.	fought for and it is being stripped away little by little.
		you shouldn't regulate anything, we should have the choice to
cathie	f.	watch/listen to what we choose
		You tube uses should have a chance to to get out of Canadian
Sylvai		content if they are subscribe to at least ten to twenty Canadian
n	L.	channels
		Youtube already recommends me Canadian content creators I
		don't need the Canadian government dictating what kind of
Lucas	G.	Canadian content I should watch on top of that anything I watch

		outside of youtube is primarily Anime, I don't watch basically anything besides anime and youtube
Donn	_	Youtube should be excluded as its a publicly accessible platform
а	R.	globally -
Matth		
ew	C.	YouTube should be excluded from Bill C-11
Kaeli		YouTube TikTok, instagram, Facebook exclude . It's ridiculous to
Linda	A.	force this
		YouTube, Google search, instagram, Twitter should all be
		excluded Crtc should really only regulate that which the
Christ		government/ media fund pays for- but this should also be
ina	D.	restricted. We need information from around the world, not less.
Janna tul	A.	YouTube, Instagram other social media used by many people should be exempt from such regulations, unless the content is criminal in nature. It's genuinely an impossible task thay causes more harm than help even with the best of intentions. Netflix, paramount, and likes, maybe could be altered to highlight Canadian productions, but that should come at the cost of discovering other media around the world. If you really want Canadian art to thrive, fund the arts instead wasting however much money for a bad law that helps no one!
Miche	Λ.	YouTube, Rumble, Odysey, Instagram etc should not be
lle	D.	regulated.
Abbie		
Abbie	Ь.	Youtube, Twitch and other video sharing should not be regulated
		Zero criteria, zero regulation. Except for violent porn and human
Derek	C	trafficking used in the production or deistribution of media
	<b>S</b> .	products on and offline.
Charl es	S.	Zero government involvement
<b>C</b> 3	<b>3</b> .	-
		ZERO! C11 should be scrapped at once. The CRTC should have no say on what platforms, both foreign and domestic, supply to
Edgar	۱۸/	their audience.
Edgar	VV.	Zero! Let us choose what we want to see and read! Who is the
Dhan		CRTC to tell us what we can watch and read?? Canada is
Rhon	N 4	becoming less and less free with trudeau/Singh,Ķ.which NO
da	M.	ONE voted for!!
Alan	M.	Zero!

## Appendix E:

What if anything are you concerned could change about your experience on online platforms under C-11?

Clare	K.	My FREEDOM to speak my thoughts freely would be limited and my freedom to continue working on my community projects that are not just for Canadians would be taken away. This not right ,not just. This is socialism / communism .
Francina	L.	eventually having to pay for emails
Larry	L.	Leave the internet alone . I don't believe the CRTC should be checking up on what myself or anyone on face book posts . This is taking away freedom of speech .
Matthew	R.	I am concerned with the fact that this bill has been passed in Canada at all! It is CLEARLY against the interests of the people, and meant to serve the interests of those in power to control the flow of information, and thus increase control of the few over the many. The resurgence of censorship in democratic societies, coupled with collusion of mainstream media and social media platforms with governments, to push propaganda, is a direct attack on democracy itself, and ought to be fought against as such. As a Canadian tax payer, I am insulted, albeit not surprised, at the audacity and arrogance of our governing bodies at all levels in this country. These political "elites" seem to be confused as to the nature of our relationship: they are my employees, and indeed, the employees of my family and neighbours and co-workers. We pay their salaries. They do not pay ours. They govern with, and only with, our permission. And as far as I, and many fellow Canadians, they no longer govern with our permission. This bill has been shoved through against the will of the people, and until it is abolished completely by the next administration, it best be left to the sidelines and not enforced by the CRTC. As individuals in a "free" country, we will determine what is true and what is not. We will determine what government, or "experts" have credibility or not. Those at the CRTC, and those politicians who have voted this bill through, ought best remember their place in the hierarchy of power in this great country.

Gnanes	A.	Privacy and data protection: New legislation may introduce stricter requirements for platforms to protect user data and ensure privacy. While this can enhance user trust and data security, it may also impose additional compliance burdens on platforms and potentially impact the way they collect, store, and process user information. Content moderation: Regulatory frameworks could require online platforms to implement more stringent content moderation policies to combat harmful or illegal content. While this aims to create safer online environments, there might be concerns about potential biases, censorship, or limitations on freedom of expression. Liability and responsibility: Legislation might establish clearer guidelines for platform liability and responsibility for user-generated content. This could lead to platforms adopting stricter measures to monitor and control user activities, potentially affecting user privacy, freedom of expression, and the open nature of online platforms. Innovation and competition: Regulatory frameworks may impact the ability of smaller platforms or startups to enter the market due to compliance costs and requirements. This could potentially hinder innovation and limit competition, as larger platforms with more resources may have a better ability to comply with regulations. International implications: If C-11 refers to legislation in a specific country, it could have implications for cross-border data transfers, international cooperation, and the global nature of online platforms. Platforms may need to adapt to varying regulatory frameworks in different jurisdictions, potentially impacting user experiences.
Gerard	J.	I'm not familiar enough to know what you are talking about. I'm not familiar with most social media. What concerns me most is all the government funding going to media. I don't trust those getting government handouts to properly report events that show government wrong-doing and illegal actions by officials or elected representatives. Government funding should end. Censorship should be kept to a minimum. I don't care for the coarse language being used on TV these days, and I know many people are upset about it. I have no problem with nudity itself, but would object to specific sexual actions on regular TV programs available to children.
Peter	Н.	The pandemic was completely miss managed by the main stream media. The freedom of speech disappeared. Allowing the facts to be hidden by those who were profiting from the situation. The CRTC failed Canadians by allowing this bombardment of false narratives. If content is forcibly Canadian we will lose the freedom of Choice!

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Ray & Karen	A.	Censorship
James	M.	I am a Canadian and live in a free country. I should be able to watch what programming I so desire and feel that it should be our choice. Our freedom is gradually being taken away. Stop intruding on the content we are allowed to watch and give our freedom back. The programming we choose to watch should be up to the viewer, not the government.
carla	I.	I am concerned that the government will control or censor what we want/ what to watch in favor of the government propaganda or preferred artists etc. The government should leave the Internet alone we are just fine without the minister's involvement
Dustin	T.	None of this seems necessary. I go to the Canadian subs when I want news about Canada. Stay away from the internet, government. Just make it so everyone can have it and it can never, ever go down. Should be nationalized.
Shawn	T.	User generated content should never have been included in c-11. Regulation of user generated content Canadian content (for platforms like Youtube, TikTok, Facebook etc) should be removed/made explicit it will not be done under practice. This will only decrease the amount of money passed to content creators (as the platforms will decrease their % paid out in response to the increase in costs for administering it.
Adrian	C.	As a survivor of authoritarianism/communism, I'm extremely uncomfortable with the government (even an elected one) having any control over what we watch and/or read. This latest scamdemic is a perfect ilustration of why we shouldn't give government affiliated commissions such influence over our media content to promote ruling party values with the publicly stated goal of promoting Canadian values. For example, the LPC wasted Canadian tax payers funds on promoting vaccine acceptance, paying people to not contribute to the economy, and buying experimental pharmaceuticals using public funds while the PM's mother profited off the vaccine related stocks she bought with the advice of her son. We need to let the free market of ideas let people choose what ideas are most convincing even if they are against the establishment narrative. I already fled one authoritarian regime. I don't want to have to leave another
Shel	M.	C-11 is government propaganda promotion and censorship, plain and simple.

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and margenous content
Steven	S.	Censorship of what I want to see. I don't need Big Brother CRTC to decide what I can or can't see.
Louis	L.	Censure
Terry	F.	Content controlled to push one political ideology ( liberal Marxism) on all.
nicandro	G.	content I search are better from USA and other country Canadian media have poor content and less professionalism in producing per example YouTube video
Bryan	D.	Government 'regulation' of any form of media is defacto censorship. It stifles freedom of speech. Freedom of speech is one of the cornerstones of democracy. Regulation of the media, or the modern communication 'platforms' we enjoy as a part of our democracy, is a slippery slope to a bland, totalitarian state.
Samanth a	M.	I believe everyone deserves a voice, I am concerned about discrimination, and I do not believe in censorship. Freedom of speech is critical to me.
Jim	C.	i don't like Government thinking of regulating anything because they don't regulate themselves and it is a bondage to want to control what others say or do.
Mardi	D.	I don't want CRTC to determine what is prioritized in my news feed
Α	M.	i will be fed only what regulatory govt chooses.
Tina	G.	I'm worried I won't have access to timely news and important local information under C-11. I'm worried Canadians will be less informed as to what is happening in their country and/or neighborhoods.
Jennifer	R.	I'm concerned about censorship and losing our freedom of speech and choice to watch whatever we want. We are not children and are capable of making our own choices. If you continue to treat us like children, what happens when you severely limit children, A¶they rebel.
Kim	М.	If the Canadian content made is good, I will take part. Historically it's been crap but getting marginally better. I do not want to be faced with the choice of local public service or APTN as my Canadian viewing content.
TerryLynn	G.	It appears to me that this legislation will only deepen the current and disturbing lies, censorship and propaganda arising from our government and those who control it.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Nona	K.	It is none of your business what I watch! Butt out!!
Kamran	Н.	Limitation on outside news and perspective thereof in and about the world at large.
Squire	V.	limiting free speech
Lorne	T.	More regulations its bullshit
Nathalie	C.	Online platforms offer a wider variety that traditional broadcasters do not. I am concerned that there will be fewer choices on music and video streaming services as platforms may remove content to comply with C-11, or may decide to leave the Canadian market. I am concerned that platforms will promote content I am not interested in.
GORDO N	В.	Pedophilia sites and promotions for . Should always be illegal regardless of the WOKE voices. Stop grooming minors with Woke ideology
Beatrice	D.	Propaganda - brainwashing and being manipulated. I want to control my media content.
Jonathan	J.	The destruction of freedom of speech, opinion, and belief. It's an enforcement of ,Äòculture' which history shows is only the gateway to much worse things. We live in a great country, strictly due to the way of living, and freedoms we've been graced to have. Let's stop regressing ourselves.
Ralph	C.	The internet is the internet. No one should control what I see or what I research. I should be the one to decide what is right, or appropriate, not the government. This is not China or Russia!. We are a free nation!
Ofelia	F.	Well the evidence we lost our freedom Violation of our principal of our constitution land of freedom was the only reason we and many choose to came here But now it seen that we don't exist bill plus bill but wish and hope be CANAD $\sqrt{\text{Å}}$ a land of freedom again .
Edward	G.	What I know is going to happen is more crap CBC Liberal junk on the Canadian internet . I very much doubt that anything the people complain about will see any light . The Black Face libs do not want it to , they want total control . This is just the start .
Donald	M.	- Filtering the content I wish to see I really don't like the idea of "Big Brother" monitoring what I choose to view.

Mark Denise	S. F.	<ul><li>whenever, whatever and whenever my muse may take me.</li><li>#3. That I choose my own content and what I want to see or not see. I'm an adult I can make my own decisions on the subject presented in front</li></ul>
Morle	c	#1 Unfettered access to ALL information from ALL sources at my personal discretion. #2 I demand the right to watch, listen too and search who never whatever and who never my muse may take me
Wendy	W.	*All media becoming one sided propaganda *Brainwashing of the public to match what the government wants us to think *Denial of any debate or conversation and loss of freedom to express other viewpoints. *Abusive power resulting in punitive actions against those who do not hold the acceptable way of thought or opinion *Full blown Marxism/communism/totalitarianism *More misuse and wastage of our/ my tax money!To name a few of my cincerns
Jackie	M.	**The Internet is for EVERYONE and I don't agree that our Canadian Goverment should have the right to CONTROL and block what platforms were watching from. **They also shouldn't have the right to alter, cancel and manipulate the content we have written just because they just might disagree with it. ** I can understand if people are intending there message for harm etc
Jacob	M.	(a) money going to Bell, Corus and others who donate to the correct political party. (b) Increased distrust of the CRTC and the Federal Government by Canadians, as we see them aiding companies that donate to political parties, at the expense of Canadians (c) Canadians paying more for online services, and getting nothing more in return. (d) CRTC donating more of our money to non-Canadian content that somehow meets their criteria. e.g. In 2021, they donated money to New Tang Dynasty, a US media company that broadcasts QANON conspiracy theories. https://cmf-fmc.ca/funded-projects/?_fiscal_years=2021-2022&_genre=d rama https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/New_Tang_Dynasty_Television#cite_note-:1-30 It is absolutely insane that Canadians would be asked to pay (through our online media consumption) for nonsense like that.
Rob	S.	'Content curation' of this sort is merely a form of censorship and should NOT be permitted to occur on any platform. The simple logic of something being 'upgraded' in the search results means something else had to be DOWN-graded to fit in the same results space and what gets down-graded is even MORE concerning than upping results of 'cancon' as that is where the dark hand of government censorship begins.

		of me. I have high moral integrity so I don't need judgment from my government or anyone else.
Philip	A.	1. A failure to combat disinformation on social media platforms. 2. Having more Canadian content foisted on me. Wonderful though CBC documentaries about the Canada Goose may be, and awesome though the 327th programme about Glenn Gould may be, if I want to watch a programme about Tibetan geese or one of the many great pianists from elsewhere, that's what I want. The government that tries to force Canadian content on me is not a government that will get my vote. It is time Canada took pride in its achievements rather than thinking that those achievements need protection from better stuff made elsewhere. It is dated, foolish, and counter-productive.
Matthew	C.	1. Content that I previously enjoyed could be hidden by artificially prioritized content 2. Search results could be skewed to push an agenda 3. Content that I produce could be censored 4. The people around me and their perception of reality could change based on what content they are shown
Andrew	W.	1. I don't want content algorithms to be biased based on my being Canadian or being in Canada. I prefer the best content according to either my search criteria or content consumption history be shown as I am often looking for very specific things 2. Content platforms may simply choose to not allow their platform in Canada rather than follow the new rules
Olivia	K.	1. It won't stop here. 2. The CRTC is trying to restrict benefits to the consumer coming from new media to the conserve the interest of big media corporations. I understand they are *a* stakeholder but they are neither the most important nor the largest. The Canadian people are. 3. If Canadian content needs to become more competitive, give them more resources, don't force Canadians to watch sub-par content. Make Canadian content genuinely excellent. 4. I'm not interested in having the government control what I can see.
Cathy	P.	1)No foreign content 2)single narrative programming full of half truths. 3)censorship-which is already a problem
STEPHE N	P.	30 June 2023 I'm not concerned about the absurdly presumptuous power-craving manifested by the Justin Trudeau Liberal + Jagmeet Singh NDP cabal. I use Internet search technology hosted on foreign infrastructure, where the pathetically itty-bitty teeny-weenie nano-sized little kiddie kindergarten tantrum mentalities of CA Libs + NDP-ers

		doesn't reach. Let's see if the would-be tyrants in the driver seat in Ottawa (aka La-La Land) DARE to try to extend their nomenclatur-istic power-grab into the guts of Canadian telecoms bit-swooshing machinery, and actually mess with our connectivity hookups to free and open foreign Internet search technology. A sure recipe for decimation at the ballot box. Good luck with Ottawa weenies trying to bully the likes of Bell Canada and Rogers. The suits at the top of these telecom gorillas know full well where to find the butter on THEIR breakfast toast.
Nancy	A.	a curtailing of access to current global options.
Bruce	B.	A Democracy at least we pretend we have a Democracy depends on free speech and particularly the ability to stand on a soap box in the central square to complain about and to disagree with the present day government. Government control of the flow of information is not part of 'living free' in a Democratic society. Mr. Trudeau. when did you become afraid of the Canadian people?
Larry	G.	A democracy needs freedom of speech so nothing should be censored except child porn and pedophilia and other inappropriate content along this line. alternate views should be allowed even if it goes against the government's propaganda. We saw way too much censoring of Covid virus and vaccination narrative that didn't fit the governments propaganda and this should never happen again but I believe that it will only get worse with bills like Bill C-11. We need open debate on all issues and let the people decide what is the truth.
Kenneth	L.	A devious political party leader with self-promoting designs controlling what Canadians can access online.
Syed	Н.	A filter control be available to consumers, especially parents to protect youngsters from inappropriate content, unsolicited ads, and covert tracking
David	В.	A forward thinking system would support freedom of expression and thoughtcensorship has never worked in the past and if implemented would surely failno body wants this!
Christoph er	M.	A free and open exchange of information is fundamental to democracy, and to life in the 21st century. I don't want my elected government manipulating _any_ of my feeds, or making decisions for me about what I want to see. Promoting Canadian content seems innocent, but it's the thin end of the wedge. A better way to do it would be to provide more funding for Canadian creators.

Craig		A FREE AND OPEN INTERNET FOR ALL, IS ESSENTIAL! Your Government will destroy access for the majority of regular low income people, and give the rich an open advantage
Donna	M.	A free and open internet will become obsolete under the current format of Bill C-11. Canadians are not mindless children that need a big brother looking out for them as we can decide what we choose to view or not. With Trudeaus Bill C-11 our contrountry will be locked down on what we are ALLOWED to few based on someone else criteria. Debate and critical thinking will no longer be allowed as they want to do our thinking for us. Truly distopian as nothing is every enough for this current government and wht they want to achieve through unaccountable thoughts and actions. Sad to say but I am beginning to hate our country and all that it currently stands for.
Melanie	M.	A judge has already said that FREE SPEECH IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT IN CANADAnothing should be touchedCRTC should stay out of my business in what i want or should watch
Bartol	R.	A lack of access to foreign media sources. It is each individual citizen's responsibility to decide what they determine as as true or false, reliable or unreliable sources of online information, not a government institution, the latter being people just as all Canadian citizens are. If anyone is to regulate online media content to a consumer if such, it is parents to their children, not a tiny group of a adults, in this case the Canadian government to a huge group of adults, Canadian citizens.
Jason	C.	A lot of the digital amateur content I consume isn't from Canadian creators. This is not a fault of some failure to properly promote Canadian Creators to me, but rather the direct content I have sought out to consume was created internationally. It is a deliberate choice I made to find those amateur creators and get regularly updated with their new content. Forcing platforms hosting amateur content to promote CanCon removes this choice and hides the content I have been actively seeking. This isn't a TV Station where there is 1 channel where everyone sees the same thing in that time slot where promotion of CanCon on Canadian channels is important, it is a dynamic Video On Demand system that is international. Pushing these content hosts to promote CanCon to Canadians or face penalties would be like attempting to force US TV Stations to meet those same requirements for Canadian TV Stations because Canadian Citizens could choose to watch US TV Channels. Likewise, some hosting services cater to exclusively strict genres: like how Crunchyroll primarily hosts Anime. It is counter

		productive to attempt to force these services to promote CanCon in any fashion as their scope doesn't include any CanCon at all because CanCon isn't made in those genres. For example, there is not a Canadian based Anime Studio to my knowledge. So it is impossible for an Anime Streaming Service to host any CanCon because there is no CanCon Anime to host or promote. Attempting to force these requirements would be forcing them to break from their design, for example forcing an Anime Streaming Service to start carrying Canadian Reality Television would be a disaster for their model as it would drive up costs by forcing them to license these shows while promoting them to an audience that has zero interest in that content being promoted to them (You don't go to a Sushi Bar to order McDonalds) Finally I am an amateur content creator and C-11 would block Canadians from seeing my cont
Charles	T.	A major complaint I had with cable tv as a consumer was how Canadian content had to be promoted and foreign broadcasters were largely barred from entering the market. Foreign broadcasters had to license their shows and brands to Canadian companies, such as the US Cartoon Network's brand and content used under license with Corus Entertainment. This is still true today for cable tv, but this hasn't mattered to me as much because like many Canadians I no longer subscribe to cable tv. Online streaming services are already lacking in content compared to the US. We still don't have original Warner/MGM properties such as Looney Tunes available for legal streaming on any platform here in Canada, and several other more recent Warner/HBO Max titles still haven't been licensed by Bell or Corus and therefore are not available for Canadians to watch.
Gordon	W.	A narrowing of focus , creating blind spots to more international concerns , a media Iron Curtain so to say.
Tanveer	N.	A reduction in access or exposure to different non-Canadian sources for information, news, perspectives, especially on non-Canadian issues where hearing from people from that country or region would offer better context and insights into what's going on in that area, what are the things our media or information outlets not taking into account or consideration. Basically, I want to know that we're ensuring a diversity of voices and perspectives are still accessible and presented to ensure we don't amplify the current trend towards echo chambers where we see and hear only from a dominant few sources or voices and consequently, only getting one viewpoint on certain issues/topics/news events.

John	C.	Absolutely no censorship. I will decide what content I want to see! If Canadian content is interesting I will look at it.
Justin	D.	Above question indicates there will be changes! Why no leave it alone option?? I did not like above question without that option you're forcing me to pick something I do not want to pick! That is question 3! Shameful and misleading imo! My concerns are lose of information! Being redirected to something government approved? My concerns are for the government to use overreach to control content by vague words used in the law! The internet has been around a long time and now you want to regulate it! Old msm Canada failed to adapt and this is this the results! How do you rectify the government when they are wrong! This is a waste of time and the internet should be left alone! The government and MSM lie to us all the time when do we regulate that??
Bryce	F.	Ability to view the international content I wish to view.
Arch	B.	Ability to view content should not be restricted ability to choose what each individual wants to view should remain.
Ryan		A: It's going to push mediocre content just because someone somewhat close to me created it. Just because I am Canadian doesn't mean that I relate to Canadians more. I live in the forest, off grid and have many outdoor hobbies. I don't care if the creators that are into the same things as I am are Canadian or not. B: I'm not racist, I am interested in other countries and what they have to offer for culture, arts etc. Regularly I preferer foreign ideas in compared to the large city Canadians that I don't relate to at all. Canada is a melting pot for the world, let the internet be a representative of Canada, the world wide web. C: I guess I don't understand why I am being coerced into watching something that I don't relate to. Just because someone in some big city that has nothing in common with me wishes me to. I thought Canada was big on freedom, we don't need these restrictions.
Melissa	N.	A stifled internet experience where someone (likely out of touch) gets to decide what content is acceptable sounds awful and a great way to kill it here in Canada.
Alan		A reduction in the Canadian content I may actually want to watch, and an increase in the fashionable, politically correct, sponsored content. The need to switch to VPN, so that I may appear to be connecting from a free country.

Logan	A.	Absolutely NO methods should be used to alter or censor the internet. If we want to encourage Canadian content, we should strictly stick to programs and services to promote creating quality content, instead of forcing Canadians to consume low-quality content simply because it's Canadian.
Stephani e	Т.	Abuse of power. Censorship.
Timothy E	N.	Access may or may not be limited, therefore, let's wait and see what happens. Ultimately, I say those who currently choose not to obey C-11 and will leave Canadian websites, so be it! You will regret this decision, as others will always be ready to fill the void; you may even become redundant.
Nancy	M.	Access to a wide variety of international news sources and information, which offer a broad scope of views and opinions. Concern for not what we'll end up seeing, but what we WON'T see.
Lloyd	Н.	Access to accurate information
Barb	V.	Access to all forms of news reporting and content. I would prefer to make my own choices which sources, articles or information I read or choose to ignore. I don't need some governing body deciding for me what is fact and what is not, I can refine my own searches to include Canadian content if I so choose.
Barb	F.	Access to American or other foreign content will be disallowed or censored.
Lawrence	P.	Access to ANY information I want. The CRTC has no moral reason to limit what comes to me. I should be the sole determiner of where I navigate and what I view.
Lisa	M.	Access to content could be restricted (further). LESS CanCon would actually be produced. Cost of streaming services would increase further.
Jessica	J.	Access to content created by independent/grassroots women from Canada and globally
Sonya	F.	Access to content with the freedom of choice
john	S.	Access to content would be decided by others as to what I can see - as opposed to me having free access to what I want to look up Having said this however- there has to be some way to control and limit missinformation - fake news - discrimination - As to more Canadian

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		content - do we need to ledgislat this - Canadiens are pretty innovative now without needing big brothers interference
Jane	B.	Access to feeds I am presently following.
Ullrich	F.	Access to foreign news sources online via social media or Youtube as they are turning off access from Canada in response to new legislation.
Boris	D.	Access to free information is the corollary to free speech. I don't want anyone censoring what information I choose to look into. I'm talking about the most common uses-cases here like news. I feel like we're being very heavily propagandized as it is (and censored), so continuing down that path just makes us that much more of an authoritarian state.
Laura	T.	Access to global information sources, education, news. I have the right to know what is going on in the world, to know the opinions and information coming from other areas of the world, to educate myself on topics of interest that I have. The Internet is not Television, or radio but an 'open source' access to a global platform that is meant to bring people together and make the world a smaller more accessible place. To have information forced or omitted is not supporting a democratic future for Canadians and their place in a larger world. I would like to be the one to decide in a context beyond the entertainment/advertising content mediums of the past what I can have access to.
Olivier	C.	Access to information is essential. The elevation of certain perspectives and sources of information causes an exceedingly dangerous and almost sure to be reached very early problem which will force some information out of access which is a tool generally used by the powers that be during any case of fascistic regimes. C-11 is a promess for it to happen and thus, no matter how one looks at it, a guaranteed canadian nightmare.
Brendale e	L.	Access to information without government control is a basic right in a democracy. People are able to make the best choice for themselves with out the government's interference.
Debbie	P.	Access to news and information that I want and are necessary to make informed decisions on issues that are important to me. It is important to have freedom rights & not have the government control what I choose to listen to or read.
Jordan	B.	Access to news, services

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Eleanor	R.	Access to not only local, provincial, and all-Canada events, but also to global happenings, with independent perspectives on what is going on. There is little to trust about the current government so there will be little to trust re their approved news sources.
R.	D.	Access to outside perspectives Freedom to choose what content I consume
Amanda	B.	Access to primarily governmental funded brainwashing gas lighting media. I want to have access to the media in which I believe, and not what is being forced upon me.
Ron	R.	Access to the online platforms of our choice should not be subject to government surveillance, regulation or manipulation.
David	W.	Access to the real news.
Vernon	Н.	Access to websites
Esther	L.	Access TRUTH!medical, spiritual, educational & political freedoms! NOT: WEF/UN/WHO/COVID/CLIMATE fraud agendas that TREASONOUSLY usurp our Canadian democracy; Charter of Rights & Freedoms & Constitution. Even UN health laws, etc. are now violated. When politicians LIE perpetually to perpetrate Genocide and then create laws to criminalize truth/FREE SPEECH while they blatantly violate laws is not a Democracy but a Communist/Marxist country! I was born one month after Pearl Harbour in Victoria, B.C. under blackout expecting Esquimalt Naval Base to be bombed next! I had grade school friends who escaped eastern EU in a rowboat. What our family members died for you want to throw away illegally!! Shame!!
Loc	N.	Accessing information outside of Canada.
Andrew	L.	Act should be more about promoting content and working with online platforms rather than trying to limit or force.
Clem	M.	Add targeting and online profiling already goad the user experience online. A free and open internet is better for creators and consumers, in my opinion CRTC should have zero involvement in regulating and or controlling content. The best content and creators will win, imagine the Italian Renaissance greats being constrained by non-creatives whom don't understand the art or the innovation that art offers.
joel	b.	Adding it as a option like if its popular in canada but id still like to see people made content and out of canada content

Tara	M.	https://odysee.com/@Vari3dad3S:a/GideonParlamentsatanic-rituals: b htt
		http://www.drjudithreisman.com/the_kinsey_coverup.html Intl' & intergenerational blood/adrenochrome-fueled abuse of children & power continues:
		for his research and asserted that incest was good for children: https://t.me/LauraAbolichannel/40958
		https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=0Zw1EdRKocl&pp=ygUSUGV0ZXJzb24 gZHlulE1vbmV5 Kinsey, father of the sexual revolution, molested infants
		Im-about-transgender-kids-that-doesnt-align-with-my-politics/ Yet: Dr. Money's destruction of a Cdn family for his gender THEORY is tragic:
		https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=Hu0IXMTFY9Q https://www.dallasnews.com/opinion/commentary/2023/02/18/i-made-a-fi
		https://rumble.com/v2w6wab-drpeter-mccullough-the-connection-betwe en-autism-transgenderism-and-lobot.html
		https://open.substack.com/pub/lumennews14/p/biden-top-trans-health-of ficial-rachel
		nd-the-transgender-craze-by-abigail-shrier/ https://www.amazon.com/dp/1510778063
		following is labeled as hate speech or a war on Canadian culture: https://home.solari.com/book-review-irreversible-damage-teenage-girls-a
		https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=loB8hXvGwbg Being horrified by the
		https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/orwellian-tactics-social-media-censorship-white-house/
		intentionally used to injure, maim, sterilize, kill and orphan our children. It is wrong:
		Christian, democratic & Indigenous. Deadly censorship, propaganda & fraud is being dressed up as Canadian values & culture, even when
		systems. This is being done while punitively ostracizing & defunding those who uphold traditional Canadian values, familiar aspects being
		consume and conform to distinctly & devastatingly unCanadian/unscientific/Woke/pro-Nazi/Blood-Cult ideologies & value
		Afraid of more fatal propaganda, censorship & fraud. Canadian politicians loyal to or compliant with unaccountable supranational organizations like the WEF, WHO, UN & BIS are forcing Canadians to
Melissa	E.	American Content and more!
		Additional Censorship. Information should be free and abundant. It's not for the government to control or dictate what should be consumed. People need to make their own informed decisions and part of that informing is to have ALL information available, Canadian content,

	ii aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Cory	C.	Algorithm modification should not be allowed. User generated content and/or YouTube should not be regulated
Kayla	R.	Algorithms already make it difficult for me to just get to the content I want by trying to push me to the stuff I don't want to watch. Adding this layer of complexity would make it even worse.
James	K.	algorithms are incredibly powerful tools for distributing media as well as thoughts and ideas C-11 is way too broad there is no limitation on what constitutes Canadian media Does it need to be shot in Canada? Does it need to have a certain number of Canadians behind or in front of the Camera? Does the content itself matter? The values? What specifically is Canadian online content? Who gets to decide that? This is largely what concerns me is that C-11 is given WAY to much broad a scope into what could be very few people determining what is Canadian and what gets promoted. This especially could hurt smaller creators who don't have quite the reach who might very well be Canadian but don't fall under whatever guidelines this aims to promote Again nothing about C-11 is specific meaning it just gives broad undefined power to few individuals which I am very much against
Sunil Kumar	P.	Alienate Canadians from world, we will only have Canadian perspective. We should be allowed to see what world is upto, freely.
Aaron	P.	All around, this bill is terrible. Shouldn't have even passed in the house. Way to go CRTC for getting me to cancel everything.
Eric	V.	All content creators from whichever region of the world should have an equal playing field in order to compete with eachother in the marketplace of ideas. Any regulation to impede this will provide a disingenuous and inauthentic online experience.
Dan	M.	All content should be shown equally by default regardless of origin.
charles	h.	all foreign media should be allowed with a few exceptions like Russia TV. All foreign media companies should be taxed on all their revenues, subscriptions and advertising even though the revenues from both are collected elsewhere. an eyeball is an eyeball and a click is a click. This is where the government should be vigilant. Keep it simple no exceptions In term of search, Cdn info should be prioritized at the top
Kathleen	M.	All I watch is pipe-organ concerts on YouTube from around the world, showing the actual playing by the organists. Please do not interfere!

Judy	B.	All you have to o s watch the CBC for Canadian content, it's so boring it's serves gay and LGBTQ. We just turn off the tv or change the channel.
David	B.	All these turkeys are in it for money
Wieslawa	D.	All the media should learn proper transparent ethics cbc global is distorting the truth cbc is hijacking hospital bu placing manequines and crtc approve that crtc os a part of Genocide so I don't trust bill 11
Margaret	B.	All the hidden censoring agenda from our over-the-level-of-common-sense method of politically minded judgements of either, or. So many times we start with the best of intentions only to cause more censorship and political correctness by 'blocking out' views we choose not to explain. If we're going to keep Facebook/Twitter etc., online, then there should be no regulationsperiod (unless provable acts of inciting abuse of human rights-which after so many decades we 'know when we see them').
Erin	N.	All sites should be open and free and not controlled by the government. That is the only way we uphold freedom of speech and allow open discussions that show both sides.
Mario	C.	All searches could potentially be affected.
Tamara	W.	all of this is ridiculous. I can think for myself.
Neil	M.	All of it. Media in Canada is working as it should. Small media companies will be destroyed by Bill C-11. The government has no business in censoring and or choosing what tax paying citizens choose to produce, watch, read or listen to. This is frightening and an absolute step in the wrong direction.
Gary	W.	All of it! Let Canadians decide for themselves. Nothing should be regulated or forced by govt!
Tammy-L ee	G.	All international news media sources should be allowed for Canadians to see. We've already seen cuts to jobs in mediareporting has already been skewed in favor of the sitting government and it is about to get worse. That is called propaganda, not media.
Joe		All I will use is a VPNwhich I have now your just wasting tax payer funds and facebook and google do not need ad money from canada as they are worldwide

gorold		allow upors to decide with enline experience
gerald	d.	allow users to decide with online experience
Jannatul	A.	Allowing so much control of media to any large governing group is a slippery slope. I'm all for having Canadian art and artists be supported; I am an art student after all, but the way to do that is funding the arts. Not some obscurely worded law that gives an inordinate amount power to someone else, to control what media we see. Independent content made by home creators should not be subject to such meddling from governments, so long as they aren't hate speech or criminal in nature. It's impossible to determine what content is Canadian enough. Such wording is alarming. It encourages a separatist kind of nationalismthe bad kind that eventually develops into straight up fascism. It's admirable that the government wishes to uplift Canadian voices and creatorsbut the way to do that is not harsh regulation and algorithmic control. It's through funding, improving living conditions, and tossing out increasingly disgusting neoliberal economic policies that destroy artists' ability to create at all.
Sylvia	В.	Allowing the CRTC to manipulate our feeds on platforms like TikTok, Youtube, Netflix, and MORE is CENSORSHIP! Plain and simple censorship that should NOT be allowed. PERIOD.
Jevon	G.	Already it is more difficult to access news content online due to the block that social media companies are doing. I also don't want to subscribe to any of the news sites due to their lack of transparency on data sharing.
Patricia	Н.	Already my favourite comedy channel on the radio has disappeared. It seems no one can take a joke anymore,Ķespecially Trudeau's control freaks.
Finn	K.	Although I don't, most people I know visit algorithm-based feeds on a daily basis, and this has a clear impact on how they view the world. If this policy is used as currently intended, a large percentage of our feeds might only be popular content that's been reviewed to meet CanCon standards. People will not be receptive to anything that stands out in their feed, in the same way online ads are ineffective.
Naomi	E.	Although I would love to have a Canadian Content section in my Netflix feed - if I chose to access an international feed I would be accessing them specifically for content outside of Canada. Additionally, trying to regulate smaller platforms, social and video sharing services would place undue hardship on individuals and small businesses who are not necessarily meant to be part of the National broadcasting system. If you are looking at online radio or tv stations and they are based and funded

	ii aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		in Canada, then that makes sense that they should have a certain amount and Canadian Content through all their online platforms if those online platforms are used as live-streaming and regular content output rather than just 'calls to action' to send them to their station and connect with their audience.
Yves	M.	Am concerned about excessive intrusion into what I, as an individual, choose to put on public platforms.
Alice	M.	Am concerned that restrictions would come up on any personal search online
BERNHA RD	D.	Am concerned that the Canadian content theme could partly be a Trojian horse to limit/censor content the government doesn't want us to see. The censors when considering history have never been the good guys!
Craig	В.	An even more censored web. The power of the internet should be guided by government but managed by we the people. Politics needs to not be part of our world.
Louise	P.	An important decrease of different sources of information and cultural content, hence a narrower mind for each Canadian.
Craig	T.	An overly burdensome administrative régime with no consequences for infractions. This would lead to large streamers pulling out reducing content choice. C-11 is about protecting legacy broadcaster profits not Cancon.
Matthew	M.	Anti freedom
Gary	B.	Any "Controls" are perceived similar to that in authoritarian countries .
Thomas	D.	Any ability of governments to control what news and media items the public sees also gives the government the ability to steer and control what narratives are emphasized in public discourse. This diminishes the ability of the public to self-inform and opens the door to abuse that would be difficult to quantify and regulate. We already have a dangerous amount of rhetorical steering in our media, and placing the power to filter narratives from outside the country will exacerbate the damage this does to the public interest.
Yves	L.	Any activity occurring within a territory's borders should be likely to have to pay taxes revenue to said territory. Furthermore, any data created within a territory should no be allowed to move outside said territory. Finally, any data created by someone navigating on the internet is to be

		considered his personnal property. Any other party that whshes to use personnal data should be forced to first buy it from the creator. Copyright laws have to be updated.
Max	K.	Any and all content should be promoted based on it's popularity by anyone, instead of being forced upon consumers by various means such as promoting content that's Canadian just because it's Canadian. If there's a desire to make Canadian content popular, then more investment in local creators and LESS regulation of it should be promoted (for example not just promoting content that only fits certain narratives!), instead of forcing us to consume it just because it's Canadian. I for one, like to watch content from various global sources and consume it by it's relevance to my interests, so if there's some interesting Canadian content, then I'll happily watch it, but if it's not interesting? I'll watch something from Australia, the Middle East, Asia, the US or anywhere else I'll choose to. Passing any bills to force Canadian content on me would not work as I'll either just use VPN, alternative browsers and search engines, or stop consuming it altogether and go do something productive instead.
George	C.	Any and all real life news should be allowed to impact the human civilization. All events that happen in the world we live need to be shared to help inform us to help prepare humanity. Not having real life news being shared will not be able to prepare humanity. If Governments try and hide what they did in other parts of the world and keep on experimenting on humanity's civilization. Let it be bylaws or genocide, we have every right to protect our families (humanity). If people whom have worked with the government and realize what the government has been doing is wrong, and decide to speak up against the government. That is real life news that has to address humanity. The government is for the people not for billionaires or secret societies own interests. Our money has always went to the government's, for the people. Our votes have always been been for humanity, not for secret society's own interests. We have every right to over throw every bill that has been passed that speaks against humanity in every country in this world we live. We are the Government,Ķ.
Susan	L.	Any and everything that takes away any kind of freedom from people. It is called free speech anything you say, write or read is for every and anyone
Doug	M.	Any censorship in any form. Let people figure it out for themselves. Period.

Nicole		Any censorship is bad
Peter	J.	Any censorship of online content is very disturbing for me people should have the right to look at and post anything they want without the thought police government's intervention, other than of course hate speech or racist thoughts. The online platforms should monitor for that.
Andrew	M.	Any company that delivers content in Canada should have to have a percentage of Canadian content. This worked wonders in the music industry, which then spawned amazing and world renowned Canadian artists over time. I feel this would go equally well with online platforms and open while new opportunities and support for Canadian content creators. It will also instill and maintain Canadian values, heritage and culture. Conversly, however, from a consumers perspective; Unoptional curating of content should not happen in a free society. Having an optional choice to discover content should be left to the consumer/citizen. Not only does unoptional curating represent a huge privacy offense, but also forced content viewing can almost be seen as a propaganda menouver.
Kevin	М.	Any for of censorship is wrong , as well as forcing people to see what we don't want , if I want to see something I will look for it . This is just the liberal dictatorship propaganda. The corporate welfare train is coming to and end!
Graeme	В.	Any form of regulation regarding the promotion (and therefore demotion) of certain content runs a not insignificant risk of serving as a slippery slope into more pronounced censorship. There are alternative options to incentivize CanCon which would not require altering media content policy, including better funding for content creators and improving education on the topic.
Randolph	D.	Any governing body should allow their citizens to express openly their opinions.
YAROSL AW	S.	Any government alteration, suppression, negation of information of any sort.
Stephen	C.	Any government interference is inherently bad. Censorship is a dangerous game, and should be avoided.
David	G.	Any government that seeks to control what media we can see is a tyranny that needs to be stopped. This kind of power grab is exactly what Adolf Hitler and his cohorts did that led to the second world war. Stop trying to control everything. No matter what basis is used to justify

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		this kind of behaviour, it is the undermining of the society these behaviours claim to be trying to protect. Government is not to be our parents, but to manage the country. Stick to the job you were elected to do. Stop trying to control what we say to each other, especially when we are discussing our elected government.
Patrick	Z.	Any kind of censorship has a negative impact on democracy. Over the past few years the Trudeau government has tried to censor anything contrary to their preferred message. This is very dangerous for our country because once government controls the media, which is becoming more and more apparent, then they have more and more control over the people.
Selvagan apathy	M.	Any kind of censorship is bad. The government should let the people have the choice of choosing what they consume.
Robert	P.	Any less government control is always advisable.
Olivia	M.	Any level of control asserted over access to internet content is a major concern. There is no way to know what would change because of the nature of manipulation. There is no reason to begin to set this precedence in governmental control over access to internet in a free country, anyone with any level of discernment can understand that it opens the door to major interference and a level of control that should not be handed over.
KEIL	S.	Any manipulation of your media content is essentially brainwashing people to only allow them to see what you want them to see. You will create enormous pushback if the public discovers it to be anything like that.
Mark	D.	Any modifications of search or recommendations should be a crime and must never be subject to the shifting sands of bureaucratic fashion or political ideology. If you want to promote Canadian culture, trust our creators and artists, give them support and allow them to succeed, or fail on the merits of their work.
Jason	C.	Any modifications to my searches or recommendations. Spending a ton of time searching for what I'm actually looking for. Being force-fed Canadian content.
MARILYN	R.	Any news funded by the government should be blocked period.

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Mark	F.	Any oversight will be subjective, political censorship, short of sexualised content such as porn and sexualizing children that is illegal under the criminal code.
Thomas	О.	Any process which can control diverse views should be denied . Any controls that give government power to shut down opposing views is losing an opportunity for debate. Good change will not come from that. Open free presentation of thought is to be protected. Fibs,fantasy and fabrication. The world of spin doctors. The more diverse the opinions the better the balance of power ? I'm not sure on that. Seems there is a lot to be questioned . Can we put in legislation for honesty and truth. That's what I want to see.
Andy	W.	Any regulation where a condition of the broadcast is a certain percentage of Canadian content.
Alan	N.	Any regulation would prevent freedom of choice as to what a Canadian should hear, or see. Are Canadians so insecure that all we want to hear is information made in, and about Canada?
alex	m.	Any regulations could be turned on as default option, but person should have absolute control over this oprion
Robert	M.	Any restrictions!
Keith	B.	Any subject usually has more than one viewpoint. I want the freedom to see all views and my right to process what I see and read. This is not the governments right to decide. People should be encouraged to not make decisions without looking and several opinions. Music and movies is a competitive industry. If they are good they will draw an audience. I don't listen to main stream news media because I have witnessed personally how words are manipulated to convey a desired message.
Leonard	G.	Any type of restriction of anybody's content regardless of the source.
Cameron	G.	Anyone who reads the proposed bill can see this as the clear violation of freedom it is. People will not stand for it
Phyllis	D.	Anything I approve of in this survey, makes me feel like we are narrowing down what we currently have. We aren't getting enough information on this important topic.
Mike	M.	Anything promoted by our Nazi(Liberal/dipper alliance) should be banned. People need a history lesson. The CRTC needs to go,if it is considering this nonsense.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Greg	S.	Anything that detracts from my interests will automatically be dismissed. Support for content creators is a nice idea, forcing that content on consumers is not
Denis	K.	Anything that modifies my searches or personalized recommendations to be more Canadian without my explicit enrollment, should be considered illegal and an infringement on freedom. As a person of multicultural background, I am NOT interested in Canadian-made content any more than I am in any other international content, and I do NOT wish it to be shoved on me on every platform. Doing so, will only make me stop using those platforms in favour of those that do not filter content, or to be on a permanent VPN in order to ensure my search results and recommendations aren't modified. Having a CHOICE or the ability to opt-in to seeing Canadian-made content is something I am okay with.
Ron	M.	Anything that the federal government gets their fingers in, totally distrust this current federal government. This government is over reaching all of our civil liberties. This government is wastefully spending tax payers monies.
Paul	J.	Anything that the goverment regulates to the hilt goes south. The goverment is there to service the people not tell them how to think or what to think! All that any of this involvment does is promote ideologies that the goverment wants to push as opposed to free discussion of any and all issues. We saw what a fiasco that has come about with the PLANDEMIC, death, loss of livliehood and more Sickness that we can handle!!!! Stop this NOW!
Mark	M.	Anything that would interfere with the content I'm recommended on platforms such as Youtube, Reddit, etc.
Heather	S.	Anything the government is censoring is just another tyrannical step by this current regime and control of information they do not want Canadians to see! This has zero to do with Canadian content and allot to do with control! I do not trust the Liebreals or CRTC to be unbiased!
Aidan	M.	Anything to do with managing social media's, and content creators would be absolutely horrible.
Bharat	P.	Anything to emphasize Canadian created content is a good thing.
Etienne	G.	Arbitrarily deciding what's Canadian content then deprioritizing anything that isn't part of that category. Please, do not start regulating user-created content.

	11 0111	lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Stephen	D.	Are we so small minded in such a large country that any kind of personal control is mandated by a government or other state controlled entity? Such a scenario is anathema to a mature society and diminishes us personally, socially, and globally, bringing dismay and derision from more mature countries while displaying an extreme lack of confidence in our own supposedly organised society. C-11, & c. make Canada look very feeble in the current world environment and not a country worth admiration.
Bilal	J.	Aree you for real? How is this even a question re this bill?
Sean	K.	As a 73 year old Canadian who has been very much into music my whole life, I remember the original CanCon radio regulations and I wholly supported them at the time. They clearly helped establish a Canadian music industry. My concern is that the basic idea of favouring CanCon on publicly licensed radio stations, which worked so well 50 years ago, makes little to no sense in the modern media environment. I am definitely not a right-wing person, but I will admit that the idea of civil servants deciding how modern streaming services should work does not meet with my approval.
david	Н.	As a borne and bread (and now heavily taxed) Canadian I believe that have been educated adequately to competently make my own decisions as to what I read, watch and listen to. I then reserve the right to act accordingly; reaping the rewards when I'm right and suffering the consequences when I'm wrong. One of my greatest fears today living in Canada is witness my, my family and my friends gradual loss of personal freedom. That loss, free speech is one of the most dear to me. Thank you for asking.
Robert	H.	As a British EX-PAT I have no desire to have ANY of my feeds restricted in any form. Would bet that many immigrants feel the same as they require multiple feeds from multiple sources and in multiple languages. All this bill will do is force a good number of users to adopt VPN's to cheaply and easily circumvent any blocks or modifications. The Bill as it stands simply misses the bigger point that if we want we can source Canadian feeds. CBC etc. get more than enough money to provide Canadian content but seems to invest a lot of that in sourcing content from other providers with many Canadian sourced productions being filmed in multiple other countries. The Bill is flawed from the start due to not having taken into account many factors or rectifying flaws that are inherent in it. It would be better to start from scratch with al ALL PARTY group having equal say.

Heath	C.	As a Canadian musician and creator, It is already hard enough to get my music heard and discovered, We don't need it any harder than it already is. This is complete control and goes against our freedom of speech. Lots of Canadian content creators are already moving from their own country because of this. I am already considering to move myself, that should be powerful enough for you guys to stop this Bill. Stop attacking our talented Canadian Musicians & content creators!
Abbie	B.	As a Canadian live streamer it concerns me what C-11 would do, I'm Canadian and have no desire to do CanCon.
Melinda	B.	As a Canadian I want the freedom to look for and find the content I would like. Canadian or otherwise. If I choose to additionally pay for that content then have that option. I want to support Rebel News, True North, Counter signal and any other independent media I choose at the time for my new source. Canadian need to have the choice and freedom to make choices. I support local when I know. Put a symbol in the corner (Maple Leaf - Canadian Flag) * ALL CSAM Child Sexual Abuse Material in Canada or to (to or form) other countries must be reported, tagged and and blocked. Children can not consent to sex.
Kimberly	S.	As a Canadian content creator and community activist, I am concerned about having access to my local, regional and national Canadian audiences. I want a free and open Canadian internet that is unfettered by open, healthy communication. At the same time I think everyone who practices journalism in Canada has to adhere to a high level of professional and public standards for accuracy and truthfulness. There needs to be a clear distinction between reporting and editorializing. All citizens in Canada must have unfettered access to an open world wide web in order to stay informed and have access to local, regional, national and international markets. Any policies or regulations that curtail access to the entire world wide web will hobble the ability of Canadian Citizens to compete in the global market of ideas and cultural exchange.
Elaine	S.	As a Canadian citizen who enjoys viewing Canadian content, my immediate concern would be the possibility of the CRTC to willfully sensor non-Canadian content which would violate our Charter of Human Rights and Freedoms to be able to view what we want and engage with other content sources openly and freely. A free and democratic society must reflect our proclivity to be well informed on any number of topics of interest so that we can make the best choices for ourselves in order to add to our way of life, not take away from it.

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Angus	W.	As a Canadian that believes in free and open access to information I believe I would be prevented from seeing some content. Since the control would live with a bureaucracy rather than an elected body I don't think the peoples voice on choice will get a fair hearing.
Premila	T.	As a Canadian, I have a right to access any available content. It's not for a partisan politician to shove Canadian content on me
Robin-Le e	В.	As a Canadian, there are times when I want my searches to be only Canadian content, other times I want anything and everything I can find. The choice should be up to me.
Daniel	V.	As a citizen I'm concerned that Bill C-11 will be used to over promote government values akin to propaganda. Regardless of which government it is that is leading I feel that no governing body should tell it's citizens who, what, when, were, why, and how, they consume information as it should be determined by the individual. Forcing companies in other countries to pander to Canadians is a sad notion to swallow because it tells me that Canada had put such little effort into itself that it'll requires foreign bodies to pick up the apprentice slack that we have created, instead of placing better funding for Canadian content creation.
Barrie	G.	As a Company president for over 35 years in Canada, providing design and development services to many of the Canadian Government Departments in Ottawa, I do not have any faith whatsoever, that our bureaucrats and the elected members of our government, have any real desire to provide an environment desirable by the Canadian population. They are nearly all Government employees, whose overriding concern is their own, personal interests, salaries and benefits. Government employees, for one example of many, do not want to waste their sickdays being sick, unless they literally cannot physically make it to work. Managers are much more concerned about maintaining and improving their own personal, extravagant salaries and benefits and ultimately, their impending retirement than getting the job done properly. It is quite disgusting to observe the incredible waste of taxpayers money, which is way more than ever admitted to the Canadian population. Therefore, I believe that giving Government control (especially the current Trudeau Government) over media content to the degree signified by C-11 is a very dangerous move and will lead to incredible over-control of our media by an organization that, despite their false claims of serving Canadians and supporting democracy the truth is actually the exact
Daille	J.	opposite! Stop media funding by the GOvernment and eliminate the

		nework regarding continuations to support Canadian and malgenous content
		CBC, which is just a mouthpiece for the Federal Government. I wish the above is not true, but I am sad to inform you that it is the real truth of the situation in Canada.
Carla	B.	As a consumer, I want the freedom to decide what I watch and not be dictated to or manipulated by the government or CRTC to watch what they think I should.
Len	K.	As a content creator, I'm concerned for my reach to my viewers through the algorithm because of C-11. I also believe our social media feeds should not be changed or altered, and we should be able to control our own recommended. I think creating more Canadian content is perfectly fine, but our recommendations and what we watch should remain completely up to the viewer.
Sean	E.	As a content creator, my concerns are that my business potential will shrink or die, instead of flourish.
Zane	S.	As a full time content creator I am worried that there may be some backlash from streaming sites as they will now need to implement new algorithms just for Canadian audiences. These new algorithms are not wanted from Canadian viewers. I have worked in the Canadian broadcast industry for over 15 years and the audience is well aware of mandatory cancon which makes Canadian content feel cheaper and forced. If Canadians were to put more effort and energy into creating great content then there would be no need for forced cancon. People will want to watch it because it's great. I've built my audience with no forced cancon. I've worked hard to create content that people want to watch. I've invested in education and continue to invest time and money in bettering my skills so my content will reach a large audience because it is good. Not because it is Canadian and forced.
Jean Mary	K.	As a grown-up person, I wish to control what I see in my social media feeds and not allow bureaucrats that control. Canada is a free country, and must remain that way to respect those who fought and died to protect that freedom.
Denise	M.	As a paying consumer, I want freedom of choice. I support the creation and distribution of Canadian content but I want to be the person choosing to watch it on the services I pay for and the devices I own. The government should require more CanCon from external media corporations and ask that they format their platforms to highlight such

		content but in the end, I still want the same ability to choose what to consume as I can now.
Anita	T.	As a physician i know that the scientific method requires constant questioning of current models of what is "known" and what is "the truth". The only real constant is change if one is determined to grow and to learn. Over the past 3 years I have been very concerned about the relentless antagonistic suppression of research, information, new theories, ideas and even concrete experiential evidence (especially that based upon solid understanding of decades of medical and scientific research and principles) that did not agree with the government's narrative. I do not even know what was suppressed or maligned outside of my field of experience but I am left highly suspicious of government mandated bias. I appreciate hearing ALL points of view, even those with which i do not initially agree, as history repeatedly shows us that free thinkers and visionaries have been killed for proposing theories that were later proven to be the undeniable truth (the earth being round and not being the center of our Universe for example).
Devon	B.	As a regular netizen (internet citizen), I'm concerned that C-11 will be used as a censorship tool, and furthermore will be mishandled constantly as such. If the government wants to promote more Canadian Content, then it should be promoted competitively, not hoisted through content manipulation against non-Canadian Content.
Nadine	J.	As a responsible citizen, I don't need any supervision when it comes to the online contents I want to access. I am fully aware of the bias of recommenders and I am fully capable of assessing the information I receive. Instead of promoting cendorship, the government should focus on the development of critical thinking in the education system from a very early age as well as increasing the level of computer literacy among the general public. People don't need censors, they need the necessary information to make better decisions.
Carol	F.	As a senior, my major concern is that zoom meetings will not be censored as zoom helps me maintain live relationships across Canada without having to leave my home, especially in the winter months. CBC is given over a billion dollars annually to support the government narrative but it doesn't give both sides, just the government's position. This is not true journalism. True journalism reports the facts without the spin. True journalism requires alternative news sources to be available such as True North, Rebel News, Epoch Times and Druthers.

		As a septuagenarian, I believe I'm old enough and intelligent enough to
Stephen	C.	pick and choose things on the internet to watch, as and when I wish. The problem with governments in general, and this government specifically, have no right to determine what I think is suitable material for me to view and or interact with.
David	J.	As a servant of the people, your job is to protect us if possible from malicious content. As to censoring or shaping our viewing habits, you're overstepping your boundaries. People can regulate themselves thank you very much we don't need government telling us what we can see and what we can't. We export talent to the states, why do we need to focus on it even more?
Nathan	S.	As a small creator, I'm worried my content will be buried or worse, actively surpressed. It will make it harder for my content to grow and reach an audiance. As long of my videos (and other creators) are not under the influence of the CTRC, my fears will be stomped out.
marc	S.	As a society we are all aware that over the past 100 yrs + we have been regulating ourselves to oblivion. What this leads to is a totalitarian state, simple! There are enough laws in existence to prosecute 'so-called' nefarious speech which threatens lives without this pursuit of censorship.
samm	C.	as a very small youtube creator (not making any money and just doing as a hobby) i am highly worried about the affect c-11 will have on the youtube algorithm. that my content will be harder to find because it is being forced on to the recommendations of canadians who are not interested and lower the clickthrough rate lowering the chances of it being recommended outside of canada. also as someone who uses youtube to consume content i do not want my recommendations flooded with a bunch of irrelevant items just because they are canadian.
Morgan	F.	As a YouTuber I see both sides of the argument, but in all honesty I think you should just mind your own business and leave it how it is, even if it costs me money in revenue.
Becky	F.	As an adult, I am a contributing member to our society I work I own a business I pay taxes. I have a right to watch what I want to watch. I do not need to be told by our government or the CRTC as to what I can, and cannot watch I am an adult I can make that decision for myself.

Dennis	D.	As the government pokes it's face into my private life i grow more and more angry. This communications take over and black out is rage worthy. Myself and a few million other Canadians are taking back Canada to reunite it and kick out the Chinazida dictatorship that has illegally invaded my home and occupied Ottawa with barricades, guards, police & snipers doing violence to peaceful protesters. Go ahead, get a few more good-old-boys angry and you better sock up on food. This is my home. Don't fuck with it. Don't fuck with Canadians. And don't you dare fuck with me!
Dautis	A.	As someone who both creates and consumes content the rules outlined are extremely vague and allow for too much interference from the government in what we as Canadians choose to consume or create worldwide. We do not live in a society where we aren't connected to our foreign brothers and sisters anymore. We can collaborate and work with partners and friends outside of the country. As a Canadian it should be us who decides what's Canadian enough for our consumption should we choose, not a governmental body.
Corey	S.	As someone who actually pays for the internet, as well as cable, Netflix, YouTube, PrimeVideo. You have no right to tell me what to watch, it's none of your business. If you paid all mentioned, then maybe. The C-11 Bill is illegal, Dictator Trudeau passed illegally, no government has a right to tell tax payers what they can view. I'm thinking we should all file a Lawsuit here.
Kirsten	S.	As on open-minded citizen and researcher in many old skills and techniques I am relying heavily on content from abroad. As I am fluent in several languages, I depend on access to documents in THEIR ORIGINAL language and not their, sometimes erroneous, translation into English. C-11 and the censoring of non-Canadian content will, sadly, make my continued research and work not only more difficult, but, I'm afraid, nearly impossible. DO NOT limit our access to valuable content from around the world. Our skill development and knowledge will be the poorer if you do.
Selena	P.	As much as I love to support Canadian content, I love to access content from different cultures. I feel like since we're here in Canada, I should have the freedom to view what I want on my own free time without manipulation from the government. Being born to parents who left a communist country that has way too much censorship on media content, I feel like C-11 is a slippery slope.

Shane	L.	As we are already seeing with big tech companies' reaction to news media regulations, profit-seeking companies will prioritize their best interests over the rights of Canadian citizens. If they won't respect our laws and culture, they don't have any right to conduct business in our country. Our government representatives have no business cozying up to these companies, especially not at the public's expense. What concerns me most is the likelihood that all public feedback in this process will likely be given little consideration, as seems to be the case with all matters involving the CRTC's ostensible protection of the public good.
William	K.	As what is the case in communist countries like China, Russia, Belarus and other countries that are run by dictators, the information is slanted so that the public only see what the leaders want them to see rather than the citizens formulating their own opinions. If these leaders were confident in how they run the country they wouldn't be censoring the media and taking control. Justin Trudeau and his liberals along with Jagmeet Singh are preventing the real truth to be given to the people and it's time for the Governor General to have a motion out on the floor and have this leader and his government removed from the opposition of leadership.
Lindsey	B.	At best: Increased difficulty finding educational content relative to me merely because it isn't produced by Canadian content creators eg: Physics Videos by Eugene Khutoryansky and 3 Blue 1 Brown PBS Spacetime At worst: Partial or Complete censorship of videos deemed undesirable by the Canadian government akin to information control in China or Russia. Eg: preventing videos critical of government financial or social policies from showing up in the recommended videos for any Canadian IP address.
Bruce	L.	At no time should a FREE country try to control what the population want to view , read or discuss. History had one other government burn books did not end well for that movement.
David	M.	At present it is difficult to impossible to tell if content is Canadian or not. There is no simple way to search and find Canadian content should we want to. We fund creators to create Canadian content and that content should be available on all the streaming platforms. If all content was required to state the country of origin we would at least have the information available. Having a way to search all content and specify the country of origin would solve this is a way that could work both for Canada and internationally. The challenge I see is that something could

		be written in the UK and recorded in the EU or written in the USA and recorded in Canada. I believe the country of origin should be the country where the majority of the writing took place and the nationality of the writers regardless of where it was recorded or the nationality of the actors.
mark	n.	At present, I do not use the affected platforms. I'll cross that bridge when I come across it. And, I know I will be crossing it soon.
Keith	T.	At the moment I get to see any and all the Canadian content I wish to see. I really don't think the government needs to be involved at all. What I am really afraid of is how bureaucracy tends to grow and expand. Everything was fine before bill C-11. It is not needed and personally I don't want it. So please - DO NOT REGULATE the internet.
Linda	Ο.	Attempted thought control and manipulation
Noah	B.	Availability of content not labeled Canadian, or not deemed worthy of promotion.
Lane	C.	Back to pre "covid" government isn't meant for anything besides basic public services. Why they should have absolutely any control what so ever over what I see hear or read is beyond me. We the people don't have a "admiration for Chinas basic dictatorship" unlike the liberal party.
Aaron	A.	Bann
Phil	D.	Banning truth from our feed because it is controlled by crtc and CBC
Orlin	О.	Basic free speech. No government agency should be able to step in and curtail that by any means. Only in totalitarian dictatorships do we have governments dictating who can speak and what they can say.
Lesley	P.	Be inundated and force fed garbage and lies from the CBC and other media outlets. This is Trudeau's doing.
Judy	K.	Becoming a country that resembles communism, what happened to Freedoms and Rights!
Larry	R.	Becoming a nanny state.
Michael	Н.	Being able to express myself on my own content the way i see fit. Not being able to see the content I want view just because its not considered Canadian content by the current government. Content creators but silenced or forced to go to less active platforms to get their content seen.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
wood	S.	being able to search for import information quickly -addresses; medical journals; local news info; key things for my life/job
Mary	Н.	BEING ABLE TO SHARE MY CHRISTIAN PROGRAMS ON FACEBOOK
Steve	N.	Being an adult, I believe I can regulate what I watch online. I don't need a "daddy" government looking out for me.
Gil	R.	Being bombarded with Canadian content that is irrelevant to what I want to see. There is enough of that crap now without promoting one source over another. Search engines that supply useless, undesired and off-topic content are already plentiful enough without forcing Canadian content as a priority. I would however, like to be able to turn on a Canadian or other country only, filter that would allow me to source, say a part for a machine from Canadian sources. I would probably have to buy it elsewhere anyway as Canadian prices are way higher.
Michelle	C.	Being censored
Wayne	G.	Being censored by the government changes everything about my experience. When did Canada become Russia?
Vicki	S.	Being censored from anything, I don't agree with any censorship from the government. We should all have freedom of speech, and a right to watch what we want to watch. The government has no right to dictate to us anything about that
Bradley	B.	Being closed off from the rest of the world. Not being able to see things from different points of view. The creation of an indoctrination propaganda state. Loss of individual and unique creativity and opinions.
Sandra	L.	Being controlled in what I can and can't see view. It should be me controlling what I want.
Greg	L.	Being controlled on what we want to see
Teresa	T.	Being excluded from global content!
Cathy	D.	Being exposed to propaganda, fake news, censorship. Being manipulated and controlled even more than Canadians already are.
Andrew	Y.	Being fed a pak of government approved lies. You already have only one media company in all of Canada, just like only 3 telcos. Maybe we should only have one grocery store. Galen, are you out there?

Alain		Being force-fed content I'm not interested in and, potentially, filtering out content that I would be interested in.
Joe	Ο.	Being force-fed government approved content at the expense of sheer freedom of choice to suit my personal tastes.
Phillip	R.	Being forced to consume sub-par Canadian content, on the virtue that is Canadian content. Rather than being able to pick content I enjoy from all over the world. I am also concerned that this will be used as a propaganda machine by the current Liberal Government. To squash dissent against it's policies, by not allowing the public to see content that runs counter to a narrative, that said Government is trying to push.
Dana	О.	Being forced to see content I do not want, did not ask for, and was not searching for. Hiding things that I do want to see. Censoring user-generated contend on any and all platforms.
STEPHE N	Α.	Being forced to view content that I am not interested in. Having the government agencies control what feeds are prioritized for my viewing. Censorship.
Nicholas	V.	Being isolated from other countries
Vicki	P.	Being limited to Canadian content that the government demands as appropriate. We should have the choice to view what we want with no interference by the government or CRTC.
Michael	M.	Being limited to Canadian content, resources, audience or viewers knowing there is a world of information available on the information highway. I would want to be informed of what is going on in the world, whether it's news, business, politics, sports, etc.
Philip	M.	Being presented with content that doesn't appeal to me. I've been online long enough that the algorithm already knows my likes dislikes. Introduction of new mandated stuff would likely make me unplug or search for a platform not covered by crtc.
Brian	M.	Being pushed content that I don't really want to watch just because it's Canadian. The streaming services do a great job of curating content that is right for me based on what I have watched and liked in the past. Any effort by the CRTC and/or Canadian government to molest those algorithms just to push Canadian content (that I may or may not like) at me just because it's Canadian is ENTIRELY UNWANTED AND UNWELCOME!!!! Let me be absolutely clear: LET ME DECIDE WHAT I WANT TO WATCH, NOT SOME BUREAUCRAT TRYING TO TICK OFF

	Iran	CHECKBOXES!! Additionally I am deeply concerned that the variety of
		content that I will have to choose from will be significantly reduced so that the amount of CanCon on a platform falls within some prescribed percentage. For example, if Netflix currently offers me 10,000 titles of global (including Canadian) content and Canada imposes upon Netflix that 30% of what they offer me must be CanCon, but only 300 (3%) of those 10,000 titles are CanCon, as much as the Canadian Government is hoping that Netflix adds 2700 more CanCon titles to meet the 30%, the reality is that Netflix is simply going to reduce that 10,000 titles to 1,000 titles, to meet the 30%. HOW IS THIS BENEFITING ME!?!?!?!?
Tyler	T.	Being pushed specific garbage content I have no need seeing because It has to be Canadian! Just do the right fucking thing and give us OPTIONS TO TURN ON OR OFF It's not that difficult to give options. You've watched enough content to know C-11 will have stupid shit in it you know doesn't need to be there. Don't be a dumbass. Do what the heroes do in every story do. The right thing.
Isabella	Н.	Being recommended propaganda or not being recommended relevant content due to the bill. Having relevant content censored or not appear due to the bill. Having the government recommend content or have control over feeds and content.
Randy	G.	Being regulated and controlled !
Robert	M.	Being segregated into a different version of platforms because of some ridiculous bill the government is forcing onto citizens
Daniel	C.	Being shut out of news and information from around the world and from citizen and private journalists here at home that aren't bought and paid for by Canadian taxpayers. Free speech and expression are an absolute for freedom and democracy. Without them freedom is lost. We don't all need the LPC indoctrination with no counter opinions. That's tyranny. There is plenty of Canadian content online now from diverse spectrums. That's enough. We need freedom not regulation. We know what that is.
Tammy	M.	Being silenced is against every humans right to have a voice and to decide what they choose to see and read. This government is tyrannical and needs to be dismissed. CRTC you will also be labeled tyrannical if you choose this path to silence us! Do better!
Curtis	Н.	Being told what I can watch or see when there's more to the world than Canada. I like seeing Canadian content and like to promote Canadian content, but half the Canadian content isn't that great.

Randy	N.	Big tech is controlling our government and should be stopped!!
William	В.	Big brother oversight on the internet. Leave our kids alone. Get the government out of it, anytime they get involved everything goes to shit in a hurry.
Rhys	G.	Biased results, feeding of Canadian content over other content. Content should be evaluated based upon its merit, comprehend, relevance to its purpose and intent, nor arbitrarily valued based upon its supposed purported source. Being from Canada, or fitting some arbitrary qualification of Canadian doesn't make the content useful, better, closer to truth, valuable, or anything else meaningful. Biased filtering is never useful, and pushing something because it is claimed to be Canadian over other pieces of information qualifies as a bias.
Robert	S.	Biased political content.
Susan	G.	Biased liberal censorship. The government should not get to decide what is Candian content (because they will be biased- they don't consider independent journalism to be Canadian content).
Janice	E.	Biased government streamed media
Paul	B.	Biased and false information. Misrepresentation
Reid	N.	Bias toward country of origin of the content instead of the type of content that I want to see. Abuse of this system.
Jonathan	d.	Bias news reporting being pushed
Cassidy	P.	Bias in the selection of Canadian content, discrimination against specific content creators for challenging the government narrative. Also, making better content harder to access while scrolling through irrelevant or lower quality Canadian content.
Rafal	D.	Bell should become national government owned to and provide major free to air channels, then you can control it with C-11. You trying killing free internet, if you do not like do not use it, it is not ideal but we lerned alteady its bad sides. If you want filter, offer free internet. I would block permamently terrorist countries from our network, countries which flooding our free network with spam, scam, theft and viruses.
Ladine	R.	Being uninformed to news outside of Canada and misinformed to news within Canada. The more news sources the better

John	M.	Bill C 11 should be scrapped. This is a plot to stop people from exposing their corrupt government. The CRTC is a dinosaur that should have NOTHING to do with what I consume and broadcast online. This is absolutely absurd. I will do everything legally in my power to fight this nonsense.
Krista	N.	Bill C-11 and Canadian Content Quotas are an assault on free speech. Just because free speech is still permitted doesn't mean that it is being supported or protected. How valuable is free speech if you have difficulty actually sharing with others? Bill C-11 and Canadian Content Quotas have no effect on verbal abuse or harassment, so there is no understandable reason to target free speech. This bill slows the sharing and uptake of new ideas New ideas bring new actions which can improve overall wellbeing. In any democracy, policy exists because the public hasn't shot it down. By making content creators jump through hoops and by decreasing the amount of new content which can become from abroad, it slows the spread of information and allows harmful policies to remain for longer or to get further than a well-informed public would allow. It already takes time, as one new source isn't sufficient evidence to change your opinion on a topic. In reducing the number of sources, the process of citizen democracy is slowed.
Vansh	P.	Bill C-11 changing my recommendations to promote more Canadian content rather than the content I would like to watch will be a horrible decision. Also for people who may want to start a social media presence, even if they are Canadian or not, just by being in Canada, due to this bill, their chances to succeed will diminish massively if this bill is implemented.
Shane	P.	Bill C-11 gives the CRTC unprecedented regulatory authority to monitor all online audiovisual content. This power extends to penalizing content creators and platforms and through them, content creators that fail to comply. Section 4(1) establishes a limited exception from regulation for some types of online audiovisual content. Unfortunately, most audiovisual content will still be subject to CRTC regulation under the current draft of the bill.
Stuart	L.	Bill C-11 has me concerned about the future of the internet in Canada. While the rules that the CRTC put in place regarding broadcast television made sense, the internet is an entirely different thing. Television viewing is a passive process, with minimal choice or interactivity. By it's very nature, the internet is interactive, and it's content varies based on our actions and choices. The choice to watch one

OpenMedia CRTC BNC 2023-138 | The Path Forward – Working towards a modernized regulatory framework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content television channel or another is not interactivity, and this is not a small

Bogdan	S.	Bill C-11 is a mass Media censorship and control law. Canadian content is a great thing, Canadians in general are awesome, but I don't want
DAVID	B.	Bill C-11 is a joke I am for Canadian content but not at the expense of forcing things down my throat if you want people to like, follow or whatever else is Canadian then make it worth it but without hiding or eliminating anything else like free speech or the freedom for ME to choose what I want to see
Joanne	B.	Bill C-11 is *clearly* an overt move to strangle independent communication between private individuals across the globe, to cut off the dissemination of independently researched/produced news-media which the Trudeau government does NOT want the Canadian public to be exposed to - or learn from, and to promote a sinister totalitarian narrative of government-approved facts which denies *any* independent research, (inclusive of the denial of *true* scientific inquiry). Furthermore, Bill C-11 is the naked declaration by the Trudeau government that Canadians must submit to compelled speech; it is the predictable outcome of unfettered Marxist socialism. The CRTC has NO idea what the needs and interests of Canadians are; the parentification of the Canadian public's psychological well-being by a body which presumes to know what's best for the citizenry based on polling-results they've gathered from perishingly *small* - curated, and pro-CRTC-mandate sample-groups demonstrates its contempt for the tenets of free speech as enshrined in the Charter of Rights & Freedoms (see Section 2(b) - Freedom of Expression). In its headlong rush to protect the fragile ego of Canada's current Prime Minister, the CRTC's Bill-C11 attempts to castrate the fundamental core of what free speech is - namely the fact ALL speech *must* be allowed, provided it does not incite violence against any group or individual for any real or imagined reason. The CRTC MUST NOT meddle with the natural evolution of communication online. Doing so only aligns the CRTC with the malignant mass hypnosis outlined in 'Mein Kampf'. Control of the thoughts, words, and actions of Canadians is *clearly* the intent of Bill-C11, and no political double-speak will ever change this fact.
		television channel or another is not interactivity, and this is not a small difference. To allow the CRTC to interfere with the content we choose to engage with, or to curate it (to be more polite), reduces the value of the internet enormously. Our choices cease to have the value that they used to. I would encourage further thought on the issue.

	II aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		them forced down my throat by the government when there is a whole world of people producing content in all areas of life.
James	D.	Bill C-11 is a violation of my right to freely choose what I see. I pay the bills in my house and I will not allow the government to decide what I see.
Simon	V.	Bill C-11 is an affront to the freedom of choice commonly understood to be held as being part of the fabric of a civilized nation.
Ray	S.	Bill C-11 is criminal and should be eliminated !
Leroy	M.	Bill C-11 is extremely danger as the government is consistently not trusted and acts much more authoritarian by taking away too much and giving less back in the hands of the citizens who pay for the whole party
Gabriel	N.	Bill C-11 is going to make it harder for me to find what I'm searching for. Make it an option, not mandatory.
Scott	Н.	Bill C-11 is government censorship - full stop. There is no public need or benefit served by this legislation. The CRTC should entirely exempt user-created content from filtering and manipulation by government decree.
John	В.	Bill C-11 is just another step in the Liberal governments plan to destroy freedom of speech. If Trudeau and his Liberal hoard are allowed to continue then he will continue to remove our rights and freedoms until we become the communist country of Canada and Trudeau will become the Dictator General. God help Canada. Please Lord protect us from this tyrant.
Stephen	Н.	Bill C-11 is just the latest attempt to mandate state censorship of (so-called) free speech. This is purely to silence any communication of alternative ideas that differs from the Government's partisan party line. Other thoughts from any other perspective are to be deliberately silenced. Freedom of speech necessarily must allow for other points of view to be shared and considered, or there isn't such a thing as 'free speech' at all, only Orwellian dictates.
James	L.	Bill C-11 is more unnecessary government regulation of which may determine content that is available online. If the CBC Gem app is any indication of what the future content may be like under this legislation it's a pathway that is funding garbage for the sake of calling it Canadian content and then pushing it through distribution channels.

Michele	B.	Bill C-11 is not to protect Canadians but to control the populations information. Government refused to better our social structure, Health care, Education, Passport etc. They want to control the population like they do in China. I want the Government OUT of our daily lives and do the job they were Elected for. Not to be member of WHO or any other World Order. C-11 is Anti-Freedom of speech and one more tool the Government is using to manipulate the narrative. They are all corrupted!
Ken	A.	Bill C-11 is NOT written to "promote" or "support" Canadian content. It is broadly written to allow censorship over MY choices and to allow the blockage of information without due process to show real truth and backed data of the information. I do NOT support this bill in it's current form. It should either be re-written or struck down completely.
Mike	A.	Bill C-11 is nothing but a government control bill. Canada has always been about freedom of thought & to watch, read, speak and do what the individual wishes. This bill will crush the freedoms of Canadians and is not wanted in our free democracy.
Keith	Т.	Bill C-11 is placing Canada on a slippery slope to becoming a banana republic like the USA. It would be best if C-11 was withdrawn entirely. But if not that, at least do not let it tamper with searches or AI. Maybe make agencies that benefit from subsidies like the Canadian Media Fund produce, buy, and feature more Canadian content.
Mary-Ele n	K.	Bill C-11 is, at its core, state-controlled censorship. It is blatant social engineering disguised as concern and promotion of Canadian content. Bah Humbug!! I (a grown-ass adult!) DO NOT need Big Brother monitoring, policing or adjudicating what I may or may not watch/read. Bill C-11 is an enormous insult to all Canadiansand I take that insult personally. Please do not allow a misguided - at best - or malicious - at worst - government to infantilize the whole country by severely curtailing our freedom of media choice. The government has absolutely NO business deciding which Netflix movie I am allowed to watch.
John	N.	Bill C-11 limits freedom of information
Daniel	P.	Bill C-11 needs to be defunded, and repealed, as does the does The Charter which rewards more criminals than victims. Why I say defund? because our taxes help keep the charter going.
Lise	W.	Bill C-11 needs to be repealed altogether. Canadians should be free to roam the internet as we wish. We do not need to be dictated or restricted

	Iran	on what we are allowed to search, watch, read etc on the internet. This is another overreach from the government that cannot be allowed.
Katherine	K.	Bill C-11 opens the door to govt censorship of free speech by giving the CRTC the power to decide how content will be prioritized in the algorithm, with no oversight or accountibility. This broad, discretionary power will absolutely be abused by whatever govt is in power and we would never know about it. The govt couldn't even define what Canadian content is in this legislation, but said we should trust them to sort it out later, behind closed doors, with a directive to the CRTC.
Ana	A.	Bill C-11 should be canceled
Kyler	B.	Bill C-11 should not be passed, we have a right to an automated internet, it shouldn't be hand picked and chosen what content we should or shouldn't see, once there's too much control things die out and people lose interest.
chris	m.	Bill C-11 takes are freedom away from us!
Sheldon	M.	Bill C-11 threatens the freedom of the internet and will negatively impact the freedom of choice of every single internet user in this country. Modifying our search results and the content we are served goes against the very core of internet values; I understand we wish to promote more Canadian content but outright censoring our search results is not the way. It is our choice whether we want to watch foreign or domestic content, and if the CTRC wants to help promote Canadian content in this regard, they should do it by funding Canadian creators, not modifying served content.
Kosika	J.	Bill C-11 will be harmed all around the world. Bill C-11 raises huge privacy concerns. As we know, YouTube and other platforms don't track where viewers are from. However, Bill C-11 would force platforms to track where viewers are from to decide what kind of content to push on viewers. This is dangerous for Canadians privacy. Also, we as users have the rights to watch videos all around the world.
Monica	S.	Bill C-11 will be used to smother free speech and open, honest exposure of the Liberal government's objectives. The Bill can also be used as a propaganda tool. There should be no restriction as to what platforms I wish to view. Anything else smells too much like Communist China.
Carolyn	R.	Bill C-11 will reduce my freedom and my right to view content that I want to view.

Ross	Н.	Bill c-11 will severely damage our access to information in and outside of canada and is just another control tactic
Jenn	R.	Bill C-11 will, in its current incarnation, smother small Canadian content creators as it favours the larger, corporate entities. Choice will fade. A homogeneous front of sameness will dance across our screens, and unique talents will be lost in the algorithm. The CRTC and current government experts believe they need to decide what's best for me to view, and have assumed the position of moral nannies. I suspect there will be 'mission creep' as time wears on, and Canadian viewers will have far less choice on the non-Canadian made content we can view as well call me a pessimist.
Kate	M.	Bill C-11 works against Canadians trying to produce content that is discoverable on the world's leading search engines, social media platforms and databases. If the CRTC's true purpose is to boost the production and quality of all Canadian-produced media, it would not be putting access restrictions in place for any platform that might host such content.
lgor	V.	Bill C-11, despite its intentions to modernize privacy laws and protect consumers, presents serious pitfalls that undermine digital rights and innovation in Canada. The legislation's inadequate privacy safeguards, threats to freedom of expression, burdensome requirements on businesses, lack of clarity, and limited consumer control over data highlight the need for a more balanced and forward-thinking approach. Protecting privacy and promoting innovation are crucial, but Bill C-11, as it stands, falls short of achieving these goals. A careful reconsideration of its provisions is necessary to ensure a fair and effective regulatory framework that protects both digital rights and innovation in Canada.
Carolyn	Q.	Bill C11 is an overreach!!! Back off, we're not in China and don't want to be either!
Keith	D.	Bill C11 is in direct conflict with the cornerstone of democracy. Free speech, freedom of assosiation, and the freedom to vote. Under the constitution this is a moral obligation. One that is not allowed under the Liberal government of Canada's manifesto. I just took the immegration practice exam. Number one and scored 100 %. No doubt that is because I am an immegrant. As such, to pass the immegration exam, immegrants have to know more about Canada than those that are born here. A very sad reflection on the schooling system in Canada. It may be time for an exam such as the immegration exam. Should be mandatory for any political person to pass before being qualified as a representative of any

		political party? Especially before being eligable for the post as Prime Minister. Also for Congress and any cabinet minister. I suggest this because of the international responses to the actions of this hovernment in regard to the Freedom Convoy debacle. The only positive response to the Freedom convoy was by the Conservative opposition party. And our international partners have shown their appreciation of this. The Tamara Lich and assocuates legal battle us one of the Consitution against the Libersl party and nothing else. If the Liberal persecution prevails. Then Canada will stand alone! No NATO, no USA alliance, no UN recocnition. Especially on the Security Council. The choice for Canada is simple. Either the Liberal party is voted out. Under the democratic system or Canada will fail on the international stage. Funny socks. Nice hair or black faces or preaching anyi anti oil or gas oil production seminars will not save the day.
Dean	E.	Bill C11 is not necessary at all and I see it simply as another poorly thought out, heavy handed tactic by our government to try and generate another income stream while simultaneously restricting/reducing content we as Canadians, WANT to see.
Tracy	C.	Bill C18 is going to restrict access to news. I will need to be able to access non Canadian sites if I am going to know anything that is going on in the world.
Elizabeth	D.	BillC11 and 18 are already causing a loss in access to content. Meta and Google will not allow Canadian news. Why should they have to pay the government Cancel this bill. People are completely able to make their own choices on content. Get out of my living room and hands off my freedom of choice and speech.
Jim	C.	Blatant attempts to control media, censor and otherwise deter dissent. Censorship in the name of can com is still censorship. c11 is tyranny
Rob	L.	Blocking anything is not acceptable. Free speech is our God given right. We should be able to access any content we wish.
Rahul	P.	Blocking content from non-Canadian sources
Gerald	F.	Blocking foreign broadcasters because of political bias. We already have thatI expectbut don't want more of it.
Raymond	H.	Blocking information of any source.

		lework regarding continuations to support canadian and inagenous content
Jonathan	Ο.	Blocking me from being aware of important world events from non-bias sources.
Donald	В.	Blocking or censoring freedom of information , arguments, discussions, debates, all opinions.
Melinda	T.	Blocking relevant and important global information because of some misplaced ideology that dictates what Canadians are allowed to see online is wrong. Just because it's created in Canada doesn't mean it's true or anything anyone cares about or even good content. Any kind of restrictions to online content that favours "Canadian content" is nothing more than state dictatorship, communism and control.
Laurie	S.	Blocking views that are not in line with what the government and media agenda is trying to accomplish. I fear that there will be no debate on important issues and if a view is unpopular it will not be heard. What happened in Ottawa opened my eyes. What thousands of people shared in actual footage was totally not what we saw on mainstream media.
Zain	A.	Breach of freedom. Some viewers prefer universal content and that should be okay. Manipulating and adding more Canadian content will only receive more push back from the younger generations to come. We shadow others to learn and the same should be applied on the internet. Please leave it as is or add a canadian option. on and off. Simple.
Joshua	Z.	Bring 'forced' into consuming inferior content because that's what the streaming service offers to Canadians because of this bill. Having my recommended sections of any streaming service being inferior in quality due to some arbitrary mandate to promote Canadian content, if it's good I'll watch it, if it's not I won't regardless of where it is made.
Shadow	M.	Broad censorship on media that isn't regarding news that isn't Canadian or made by Canadians. Big social media outlets (i.e Facebook, Google, Twitter, and Tiktok, etc) removing news content from their platforms for Canadians because they're forced to compensate Canadian news outlets for their news. National and International News should be free to all in Canada, and NOT censored in any way shape or form, regardless if it's to support Canadian content creators.
Dr Chaya Nesya	G.	BS'D someone else restricting my access to content and ability to create content
Louis	D.	Bureaucratic overreach censoring material.

David		Bureaucrats could interfere with my access to the legitimate sites and content that I want.
Michel	P.	Bureaucrats deciding what I can and cannot watch.
Glen	Z.	Bureaucrats making decisions for me and what I should or should not be reading
Paul	M.	By forcing Canadian content you will effectively make mandatory state supported content and propaganda. We are moving closer to communism and less choice by the day. It has already been proven that Canadian content tends to sque towards the government view. You will effectively force people to use VPNs to consume.content they want to consume. There is a another country that forces their citizens to do this. China.
Kyle	B.	By making things hard on platforms, they make the argument for platforms to just not do all that extra red tape stuff. Media companies that have their content shared are being exposed to a larger audience then they themselves could generate let Internet platforms, do their job of building traffic, and sending it to those who want to share links, or we advertise with
Amanda	C.	C 11 should be revoked. We supposedly live in a democracy and we're being dictated to as to what we can know.
Darvin	M.	C 11 should be scrapped period! No government involved.
Ethan	Н.	C-11 allows the government to control what information you consume. This is a huge overstep of power. If the government wants to encourage growth of the Canadian media industry they need to invest in and believe in their people. At the end of the day, good content will do well. Garbage is still garbage even if the government is forcefully shoveling it into your feed.
Odette	О.	C-11 censors our freedom of choice and truth Present MSM does not tell the truth, they get their orders from the corrupted liberal government and big Pharma. They are paid off
Ashton	A.	C-11 Contains substantial regulatory measures that serve no more than the purpose of Communistic practices to Censor the content that Canadians can access under direct supervision of the acting government in power via chain of command. The broad spectrum measures will only serve as to promote mass psychosis control over the information Canadian regulatory engage with, all while promoting marxism ideology

		disguised as what a government calls OK Canadian content. C-11 would be acceptable if Canada was labelled as a Communist country.
chen	S.	c-11 fundamentally misunderstands the internet and was rammed through ignoring democratic will. The entire bill should be scrapped and the crtc should exercise its discretion to not enforce anything pursuant to it.
Donald	M.	C-11 grants the CRTC very broad powers over a massive scope of content. I'm afraid that some platforms will choose not to navigate the quagmire of regulations and choose not to stay or enter the Canadian market. Those that stay, but do not manage to negotiate an exemption will be forced to curate their content based on government guidelines and not my personal preference.
Paul	C.	C-11 in isolation is just an irritant. However, coupled with C-18 and the granting of extraordinary powers to the CRTC the government program to control media content, or content that is allowed to Canadians regardless of their preferences is another step toward an authoritarian maternal state that sees Canadians as too immature and uneducated to be able to form their own reasoned opinions. That is far too many steps too far from the Trudeau government. Whether or not Trudeau's aim is to establish a totalitarian government is irrelevant (the steps he is taking with his programs since 2015 - witness the attempt to force speech and control word choice, the absolute preference he and his government show for a very small minority of the population, the destruction of the Canadian resource industry base, the attacks on farmers specifically in Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta, Trudeau using the Chinese attacks on our democratic processes for his own political gain, the list goes on) as his policies lead only to a single-party authoritarian dynastic government. What Trudeau has done, if you look at the totality of his actions, his changes to Canadian law and the changes to bureaucratic institutional rules and regulations is right on the line of what used to be considered treason.
Grant	S.	C-11 is a continued over reach of the current Canadian government and should be removed before it gets implemented.
Françoi s	В.	C-11 is a direct violation of the article 2 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. The Charter grant us the right to the liberty of conscience. The government want to influence by C-11 how we perceive things and the content we access using streaming platform. That's totally

		un-ethical, un-constitutional and a direct violation of the fondation of a true democracy.
Darren	A.	C-11 is a major concern to me. As a BSc in Computer Science, and a IT consultant with world wide clients, I know first hand the dangers and absolute futility in trying to sensor the internet. Let's not fool ourselves here. This is designed to get Canadians comfortable with the idea that the internet is no longer free, but instead a curated government controlled media enterprise. The end result isn't just annoying low quality 'suggestions' of things to watch, but instead you will continue to fuel a rising distrust in our institutions. People are going to assume that the internet being curated to Canadians is designed to sway opinion in a certain direction. It doesn't matter if this is actually true or not. Anyone with any IT knowledge will deploy a VPN to once again view a free and fair internet. Many will also then seek out opinions that run counter to any messages the government of Canada, and/or content seen in 'Canadian content' (such as vaccine promotion, inclusion of migrants in our society, etc.) and be pushed into content that hurts our trust in the institutions that make our country as good as it is. I talk regularly with both Russian (expats) and Chinese users. They assume what is being told to them is a lie. I have lived in the UK, and was there as recently as last month. IT savvy users don't trust their media, or search results without using a VPN. This is not the direction we want to take our country. Keep the internet free! There are major problems with social media, but this is not the way to solve it.
Kevin	P.	C-11 is about surveillance and control. The whole thing should be scrapped. I'd rather see competition act be allowed to collect market behaviour data, report on it publicly and be able to use that data to investigate organizations that break the law.
Brian	M.	C-11 is communist, scrap it.
Maros	M.	C-11 is dictatorial censorship. Most Canadian content is crap. also. We need freedom to access to all media. Banning media not funded by government is dangerous. C-11 doesn't need amending or looked over it needs to be dumped into the trash and burned. And the liberals need to have their leash tied real short
Mary	T.	C-11 is Government overreach at the behest of greedy Corporations. Pure and Simple

Dale	N.	C-11 is government overreach, we all know it. Senators know, Liberals' know it, the NDP know it, the Conservatives know it we all know it. I am confused why this question is even being asked. The question should be how much censorship is going to be enough to whatever party is in control. The answer is none. That is unless we are actually being run by China, then it is just about right. It seems like we always default to the easy answers. Instead of catching bad actors it is easier to just make rules for all the people who obey rules and whittle everything down to the lowest common denominator. The World Wide Web should stay the World Wide Web not the World Wide Web as Canadians are permitted to view it. Trust me WE CAN HANDLE THE TRUTH'.
Francesc o	P.	C-11 is nothing but a ruse by the Canadian government to centralize power and place a censorship umbrella over Canada, similar to China. This is how it begins, and these are always the type of tactics used by rogue governments under the guise of social justice or equality. This is right out of a Marxist textbook!
Peter	N.	C-11 is simply a bill to censor internet content. If I want Canadian censored content; I should be free to decide that and what content I want censored beyond the content already censored by the social media providers. As for Canadian content; it should be up to me - and me alone - what I want to view. As it is, I view quite a lot of Canadian content, just not necessarily what Justin Trudeau wants me to see. As far as I'm concerned, you can just SOD off. Bill C-11 should never have been passed and should be rescinded as soon as possible (and that includes Bill C-18 and other tyrannical measures the current government is contemplating).
Gabriella	B.	C-11 is straight out of hitlers playbook
Guy	V.	C-11 needs to be abolished altogether. No Canadian wants this garbage. And give Canadians an opt out option for amber and emergency alerts on smartphones.
Charles	T.	C-11 needs to start regulating the far right wing extremists gang. It's very concerning with what they're doing online.
John	F.	C-11 obviously further weakens democracy in Canada
Emily	Н.	C-11 should affect entertainment like: films and TV series but not the local of national news. It should not affect small creators on tiktok and Youtube and like I said, the news or journalism.

		C-11 should be expunged completely this bi ll is in violation of my
David	M.	freedom to choose
Slawonmi r		C-11 should be scraped. Online content should be free to view for everyone. It's just another power grab via manipulation of the law to legally manipulate information that people view.
Jeff	C.	C-11 should be scrapped. Keep your hands off my feeds.
Doug	C.	C-11 should be withdrawn
Marnie	S.	C-11 should never have gone through and needs to be repealed immediately, if not sooner. Freedom, NOT regulation and tyranny please.
Shawn	T.	C-11 should never have gone through. Canadians should be able to view information content to make there own informed decision. As long as it's not breaking any criminal laws.
Scott	G.	C-11 should not be implemented.
Andrew	М.	C-11 should not be used as a tool for censorship, government messaging or discrimination against non-governmental approved content in any way. In other words, C-11 should NEVER violate the Charter right of freedom of expression.
Pete	K.	C-11 should not even be considered in a so called free democracy if they know what democracy means. This government is totally misaligned and purposely ignores the Rights and Freedoms and the Constitution of Canada.
Dwight	K.	C-11 will add government control to a world wide public forum of information. Similar to China, the government will have the ability to limit what I see as a Canadian. This is against the freedom of speech and expression I expect under my charter of rights in Canada.
Stanley	S.	C-11 Will almost elimInate Canadian content, so eliminate C-11 instead!
USMAN	S.	C-11 will be the first domino to all these other countries forcing content platforms like YouTube to regulate how we see and watch. I am more of a fan of leaving content creation to be organic rather than forced.
renee	b.	C-11 will bring control in what canadians will watch or search for or not. Canadian podcasters will be regulated and overshadowed by none other than our zealous elite. We are at a crossroad where 'we're burning books at the town hall' again. PURE PURITANISM.

Erin		C11 and CRTC have no right to define what is *Canadian* enough or in any way limit what citizens and users can create and share within reason. Policing or removal of voices and opinions contrary to the narrative destroys democracy and free speech.
Shalom	W.	C11 is almost as bad as Orwellian-esque control; leave the free market alone!!! Enough already!
frank	p.	C11 is censoreship. A wolf in sheeps clothing
Michael	C.	C11 is censorship and I don't want anyone deciding for me what I can and cannot view. Needs to be scrapped. I'm shocked it would even be considered.
Francis	G.	C11 is the one of multiple steps that Trudeau's regime has taken towards controlling information that they see as detrimental to their agenda and goals. In no truly free society this kind of censorship under the guise of protecting Canadian content, let the market forces decide if Canadian content are good enough to be considered by consumers. This isn't government's job!
Steve	S.	C11 should be abolished altogether. Government should have so say in peoples choices. Free market only!
Douangta	1.	Can con did not apply to limiting movies from Canadian theaters, TV shows on TV, or music from radio. However C-11 is limiting access to content on online platforms under the guise of promoting Can Con. What is Can con? It's not content made by Canadians, it is a specific point system based on production budget lines and financing. This can't be applied to content created for online platforms. Can con does not define Canadian creators, Canadian views, Canadian stories - it limits them. I do not want my experience limited by a ill defined process and poorly written policy that does not have the support of Canadians. I don't want my feeds to be altered by government policy. Many Canadian producers need access to global information and content. I don't want my content to change to meet Can Con criteria. I don't want C-11 to devalue Canadian produced content globally or Canadian produced content that does not meet Can Con criteria. Can content shou

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Hops	F.	Canada competes in a global content marketplace. Our competitiveness depends on creating useful/entertaining/educational content that is of world-class quality in its subject matter and production quality. Synthetically promoting Canadian content through Bill C11 instead of growing it naturally, hinders the ability of our content creators to raise their level and develop sufficiently to be competitive on this global scene and ultimately hobbles Canada from ever becoming a media superpower. It's akin to requiring any foreign long-distance runners who compete in Olympic races held in Canada to tie their legs together so that our Canadian team can win easily, and justifying that by saying that our taxpayers paid to host these Olympics. Canadian content creators on YouTube for example have become some of the most watched and acclaimed by users of the platform, not just on a locally, but globally. Creators such as Throttle House, and Linus Tech Tips have massive loyal followings due to the quality of their content and excellent product tion skills. They have already achieved online media superstar statusand they didn't need C11 support to get there. Do you want to help Canadian creators? You can do so by offering zero-tax rate on video production equipment for example. That would help get more of our creators involved in the competition. You can subsidize the world's best producers, editors and directors to offer online courses to Canadian creators for free, to help raise their skill level so that viewers from Capetown to Calgary and from Berlin to Beijing actually WANT to watch our content, rather than having it forced on Canadians through some synthetic algorithm manipulation. If we, as Canadians, have the world's best content filtered from our feeds and replaced by other potentially sub-par CanCon, how will we ever learn to produce CanCon on-par with the world's best? It's a fake local bubble, and is a disservice to is Canadians. Please stop.
Mitchell	C.	Canada could lose access to important global insight by forcing non-canadian produced internet hubs from essentially withdrawing from Canada all together as we have already seen sanctions from Meta and Google.
Aaron	В.	Canada could run out of conspiracy theories by 2025 if they keep coming true at this rate.
Garret	F.	Canada doesn't have a real culture, it's just American imperialism-lite with a side of poutine sprinkled with a budget surveillance state run by a gang of corrupt plutocrats. Also most Canadian art sucks (aside from Alanis Morissette who is Canada's treasure) and I'd rather watch Japanese anime or COOB over the 9th remake of little house on the

		prairie with whatever fashionable minority is in the main role or some trust fund anarchist goofball sing about whatever annoying new social fad is happening.
Brent	P.	Canada has always supported free Speech and now the Trudeau federal Liberals are supporting a communist style government controls. IE blocking free speech in Canada. We need to stop government taking control or everything in our lives changing a democracy to a dictatorship style communism Vote out any government that supports the democratic democracy of being free in Canada to a dictatorship communist style government.
Amanda	B.	Canada is a country with a free press. Regulating what Canadians see online the antithesis of free expression and a free press. I am very concerned independent news media sources will be strangled under the proposed legislation. I am concerned I will no longer have access to news content from all side of the political divide. I am concerned that the free flow of information will stop. I am an adult and can make up my own mind about what constitutes truth. The playing field should be fair for all content producers.
Edward	B.	Canada is a free country citizens should view what ever they wish no regulation . Get rid of the dictator in Ottawa.
Evelyn	D.	Canada is becoming a dictatorship. The government wants to regulate everything. Why? It is none of their business what we watch.
Philip	U.	Canada is SUPPOSED to be a democratic country with free speech and free thought. NOT a communist country like China or Russia.
David	C.	Canada should not be controlling my media feeds- especially grass roots YouTube content.
Andrea	P.	Canada's has far too much over reach. It is communist run, and the media propaganda out of control. One sides garbage! Let people take personal responsibility for themselves and their children.
Doug	D.	Canadian artists should compete on the same footing as other artists worldwide. Promoting mediocre artists purely for the sake of their Country and political statistics is stupid. Neil Young, Robbie Robertson, Anne Murray and so many others became household names in America and the world because of their talent, not the CRTC. Canadian artist (Corb Lund) is quickly becoming recognized in Nashville, so talent still

		matters. I want to see artists from everywhere unencumbered by forced
		Canadian Content. How 1984.
Kayvan	S.	Canadian content being removed as is already planned by google and meta
Mike	S.	Canadian content by the official definition seems to be about citizen status, which is a simple definition, but doesn't really address the intent. I am concerned that in order to promote on the basis of subjective assessment of culture content the arbiter will deviate from this rule. If it was an option in this survey I would ask that government not fund any media and let artist and media have open access to an unrestricted internet where they can achieve whatever success they deserve based on merit.
Jamie	L.	Canadian content creators are on a world stage I think the regulator fails to understand there are no borders any longer with respect to audience. You risk causing unintended consequences for Canadian content creators who could see their work fall victim to this exact sort of regulation from other nations. Have confidence in our Canadian creators. I believe some controls are appropriate for large foreign corporate services however - such as Netflix etc. I do not know how much production investment happens from Disney, Netflix, etc in Canada but this would be of interest. This said, YouTube and small services with independent small business creators must be dealt with more delicately - let them succeed globally.
Chamind a	Н.	Canadian content creators whom I follow make most of their earnings from ads shown abroad. This will reduce their income as far fewer people live in Canada.
Dionne	E.	Canadian content creators will be silenced due to disagreeing with the government. The government should have no say in Canadian content or what Canadians watch
Steve	W.	Canadian content is all GARBAGE - this is why no one wants to watch it and why the government has to prop up cancon creators with public money. Being TOLD what we must watch is wrongif cancon creators want people to watch it and if they want to make money from advertising - they need to create something watchable. I do not want my tax dollars going to encourage no-talent hacks to make more unwatchable crap. Why does canada reward mediocrity with my tax dollars? Look at the shows that comes from this pathetic country - our creators can't come up with original ideas to save their lives: Big Brother canada, iron chef

		canada, amazing race canada, canada's got (no) talent. If I am paying for TV, satellite, etcI want to watch what I WANT to watch, not what is pushed in my face. As it is, I watch nothing with the name canada in it from news, to comedy, to sportsbeing told what we have to watch and having another level of bureaucracy to police/mandate/regulate/control etc is just more communism.
Fraser	E.	Canadian content is crucial to the culture of our country. Especially when we are neighbors to the USA, who use predatory behavior to dominate cultural content. It is important for Canadian content to be created and promoted, otherwise, it gets lost in the static of global and American content. It also helps support our multi-billion dollar TV and movie industry.
Nancy	C.	Canadian content is good but there is a big world out there and I would like to know about it.
Aime	N.	Canadian content really isn't that special that it requires a law to make it more visible.
Russell	M.	Canadian content should be in addition to the foreign content, not a replacement in searches, playlists, page placement, etc. Perhaps give CanCon higher value so it gets slightly more prominence, but it should not replace non-CanCon.
Angus	C.	Canadian content should be promoted via specific sections. If the content is good it would the. Be promoted via algorithms in "suggestion" algorithms. A specific section for Canadian content and the ability for a user to specify that they would like to have Canadian content suggested (that can be toggled on/off) would be better alternatives.
KEN	B.	canadian content should not be forced down our throats. people should be able to watch want they want to watch, canadian or not.
Robert	R.	Canadian content should stand on its own without support from the government with funding or regulations that restrict what viewers can see. I'm fine with a message that highlights Canadian content so those that choose to watch it even if it is an inferior product (from time-to-time), can do so. Otherwise government and regulators should stay out of trying to protect Canadian content. Our own Prime Minister says, essentially, that Canada has no culture to protect and that we are post-national. If that is true how can we justify spending funds to protect content?

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Phil	M.	Canadian content should stand up on it's own merits, not be manipulated to get to the top of my feed. All that encourages it mediocrity and banality.
Buntep	C.	canadian content that im not interested in watching shoved down my throat
Phil	K.	Canadian content will survive/ thrive based on it's quality and relevance without political interference.
Petra	B.	Canadian culture is not up to the government to determine and thus can't be regulated by the gov't nor by its arm, the CRTC. Culture happens naturally through the process of living and being. Culture is outside of the domain of government, because it is about the people and their lives, not about politicians thinking about what it is or is not. It is not the job of government to try to regulate this. Yes, there may be a concept about the historical character of Canada, but this is already embedded in how people think and feel about Canada and through the separate diaspora cultures as well as the essential First Nations within Canada's boundaries. Canada has already tried to exclude the indigenous people's history and culture, so it shows it doesn't have any capacity to try to represent something like the culture of Canada. Thus i don't support any of the regulations w/in Bill C-11 that regulate streaming platforms. Yes, provide more funding for Canadian writers and artists, but let the respective organizations and guilds decide who gets it, never the CRTC. There's no place for the state in the streaming activity of the nation!
Frances	E.	Canadian for free and open internet
Eric	В.	Canadian governement should not invest more than 1\$ a year on this matter this is the only way to keep the internet a free speach place any dolars spent beyond the first dollar must be bill to the prime minister of canada not the tax payers
Goher	N.	Canadian government oppression
Eobert	Н.	Canadian media lying to protect one party and not being able to see what's truly going on in the world this bill seems to want to stop us from seeing what's happening all over the world as it does pertain to us
Ga√1	S.	Canadian medias usually doesn't produce content that I want to watch or listen to, either because its not of the type I like or because the content is boring or poorly done. Since most of the content I watch is, therefore, foreign, I'm worried that content that I could like would actually be

		forcefully hidden and replaced by stuff I don't want to watch. This could
		actually make me leave the platform I'm using and look for another or even stop consuming content at all.
Sonja	L.	Canadian news is bought by Trudeau. Let us hear all news from around the world or in Canada. Like Rebel News etcNo one has a right to stop our freedom.
Glynnis D	В.	Canadian News is now being blocked by Google in Canada. WHY?????? Let us see ALL the news, so we can make up our own minds.
Todd	S.	Canadian opinions do NOT need labels; furthermore, users may independently seek opinions of any and ALL global viewpoints - without restriction - or does King Charles (Chuck) not wish to convey his Club of Rome, Savile and Bilderberg influence? My personal favorites include Klaus (Nazi) Schwab, Henry NSSM 200 Kissinger, Bill (the poison vaccine eugenist) Gates, Climate Barbie John Kerry, WHO is Ethiopian Mass Mnrd3r Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, and my personal favorite - the CIA Lead Fascist Nazi puppet(s) of the hour.
Cleo	R.	Canadian or international contant that does not agree with political views being shadow banned or manipulated for lower visibility.
Jane	P.	Canadians are being told that they aren't intelligent enough to make their own decisions. I take great offense to that. Canadians are not a population of mindless twits who need a government appointed body telling them what they should see, hear or read.
Jean	C.	Canadians are not two-year-olds who need politicians (of all people) to dictate what they are to know about the world's affairs. I read news articles from people who post all over the world. I am completely uncomfortable with the government interfering in any way with what I do with the internet. I insist on our rights to read anything, we want from any nation we want!
Trevor	W.	Canadians are slowly having their freedom to access information worldwide sensored by the government that supposedly know what's best for us who in their right mind would invite these kind of regulations?
Rob	М.	Canadians do not need the government, in what ever form it takes, to filter information on our behalf. Canadians of all are more than capable of determining what information we want to see and what we do not. It is helpful for the governments to support and develop Canadian content.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		Conversely the Canadian government is doing our country and Canadians, an extreme disservice when it decides to become Big Brother and limit our access to information. Canada needs to be less like China and assimilate the best qualities from democratic states around the globe instead.
Brian	В.	Canadians don't need a liberal sponsored censorship bill Scrap C-11 and call an election
John	S.	Canadians don't require babysitting with regards to what they chose to watch. No modifying searches or directing traffic. Leave citizens alone. The concept of a mandatory section is absurd and has no-place in Canada. I am worried about who came-up with this dictatorial idea. They have no place in government.
Nancy	M.	Canadians have rights and freedoms the Canadian government should stop trying to suppress them. This is suppose to be a democracy.
L	K.	Canadians losing free speech To be able to voice opinions and debate freely without being censored or shadow banned or cancelled . To view all three sides of a story from multiple thought leaders and decide intelligently whatever that means to whomever . Too much government manipulation is already visible on social platforms .
Dustin	V.	Canadians must have the same freedom to access the internet as the rest of the free world.
Mike	B.	Canadians should be able to consume content from anywhere and not be presented a curated list based on the country they live in. CanCon is important but should stand on its own. If it's good , people will watch it regardless of country of origin. Schitts Creek, Corner Gas, Letterkenny, Rick Mercer, Rookie Blue (sadly ended) among others are outstanding shows that I would watch regardless of where they originated. What about movies and series that were filmed here like The Boys, suicide Squad and countless others. They employ hundreds of Canadians in all areas of production. The internet has created an environment free of borders, why are we trying to put walls back up
Andrew	J.	Canadians should be allowed to easily access content based on its quality and relevance, not based on the origin country of the content created. There are millions of Canadians that view useful, entertaining, and informative content from other countries. This extra-national content needs to be just as accessible and just as promotable as Canadian content. This will also drive Canadian content creators (I am one of

		iework regarding continuations to support Ganadian and malgenous content
		them,Äîl create content related to board games) to be better at what they do, since they are competing in a worldwide viewership market. Closing Canadian internet and radio to promote, feature, and bias Canadian content will only stifle our creativity, intelligence, and freedom.
Lawrence	Н.	Canadians should have freedom of speech and freedom to watch or read what we want.
Yuki	S.	Canadians should have the freedom to watch/listen to whatever they choose. Period. The government should not be pushing and regulating what the people choose, regardless of whether it's "Canadian", "safe" or not. The government should not tell us what to watch, and what to listen. We should have access to everything we choose. Censorship fails.
Bonnie	G.	Canadians should not suffer or be penalized by being Canadian. Canadian content is wonderful but we should not have anything forced upon us nor have restrictions as to what content is available to us. Canada is a democratic nation ensuring freedom of speech and open access to information. It's appalling that we Canadians have to fight for these basic rights! We must have an open internet and access to information whether that's U.S. or Global News Media platforms.
Sandra	V.	Canadians want full access to uncensored news from any source!. We do not want our personal media or social messages and feeds censored either. This is horrific.
Dailaan	S.	Canadians will be left in the dark about world events that should concern them.
Brian	В.	Canadians will be shut off from free will and choice. Mandates equal censorship
Sarah	R.	Canadians will not receive all information that is out there.
Sandra	G.	Canadiowant to make our own choices and do not want those types of decisions made for us.
john	l.	cancel C11 ,it is government overreach ,you cannot tell people how to think ,we actually like to make our own decisions
Morris	L.	Cancel it the government should have no hand in it.
Gwen	C.	Cancel it.

Don Edward	C.	CanCon has to be free of government censorship and interference. Also, Canadians at the "heart level" do not care as much for something being "Canadian" as they do about something being of good calibre/quality/enjoyability. Canadian creatives do better overseas because the regulations are not as thick and heavy elsewhere. For Canadian creative industries, have tax breaks like they do elsewhere (where filmmakers and other creative businesses who spend their money on Canadian soil wouldn't have to pay federal, provincial, territorial, or local taxes.) Aside from that, Canadian creatives simply must a) produce better output and b) have a media production's "Canadianness" be less blatant and not shoved down our throats!
Darrell	S.	cancon is a joke. The Canadian Citizens can figure out what is best for ourselves. We DO NOT need more excessive overlord power telling us what we can and cannot watch, read and listen to.
Kyle	L.	CanCon needs to be more open to truly Canadian made content.
Juni	Y.	CanCon should take into consideration Canadians' global scope and stature, and subject matter would not be limited to within our own geographical or social borders.
Emila	В.	Careful not to cross the line between promotion and censorship. If the idea is to support Canadian creators that's great but it's not right to punish other creators for not being Canadian. Don't make my decisions for me.
Jacob	A.	Catering markets for Canadians' benefit often have wide reaching and unintended consequences, often ones that hurt Canadians.
Jon	J.	Censored access to news cutting off Canadians from advancing socially with the rest of the world.
Ed	M.	Censored, omitted or discontinued contect
Bruno	M.	Censoring
Nick	W.	Censoring Canadian content due to the regulations
joanne	r.	censoring content is a no no
Ingrid	P.	Censoring me from being able to watch joe Rogan and Jordan Peterson,Ķ.on my Rumble and You Tube.!!!! There was is NO free speech left in Canada!!!!!!!!
Patrice	B.	Censoring news and opinions coming from outside Canada.

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Austin	F.	Censoring of media or information created outside of Canada.
Gary	D.	Censoring of sites that I like to follow
Caelan	C.	Censoring the internet, even in the name of good things sets an alarming precident, it should not be done, and freedom of expression should be left alone
Hendrik	V.	censoring what is made available. I research technologies and product for the Canadian and world wide market. Any restrictions on what and from where my searches are directed restricts my ability to earn a living. innovation requires knowledge of what your competitors are offering.
John	T.	Censorship
Robert	D.	Censorship
Chanse	P.	Censorship
Cindy	S.	CENSORSHIP
Cole	Ο.	Censorship
Donald	K.	censorship
Lainey	K.	censorship
jayson	Н.	Censorship
Rob	Н.	censorship
Natercia	C.	Censorship
Andrew	Ο.	Censorship
Ray	K.	censorship
Scott	F.	Censorship
Sheila	Н.	Censorship
Ashley	S.	Censorship
Christoph er	S.	Censorship
Glen	V.	Censorship
Gail	S.	Censorship

	_	le work regarding contributions to support Canadian and margenous content
Hiresh	M.	Censorship
Pierre	G.	Censorship
jesse	b.	Censorship
Lisa	D.	Censorship
Daniel	M.	censorship
Don	M.	Censorship
Debbie	S.	Censorship
Taynar	A.	Censorship
Thomas	R.	Censorship
Scott	R.	Censorship Government controlled media and news Personal freedom of choice
Eduardo	C.	Censorship , the right to free speech .
Joanne	S.	Censorship & CONTROL by government SHOULD NOT be allowed at all. Stop DICTATING what we can or cannot do. FREEDOM is being curtailed by this government for their own interests not that of the people they supposedly SERVE. GET OUT OF OUR HOMES & PRIVATE LIVES!
Bob	S.	Censorship always starts with the best intentions, and ends with terrible results. I already regard most on-line options as deliberate manipulations, and avoid those areas as I would a swamp, or thin ice. As set up, this battle distracts from the goal of returning control of the 'net to the people. We should be making sure that there are user-owned, open-source co-ops as alternatives to all the social media platforms if we really want to fight the influence of money.
Lindsay	C.	Censorship and bias
Diana	T.	Censorship and blocking of news that the CRTC or government does not like.
Stothart	В.	Censorship and choice of what types of media to consume, easier to find international media

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Jacquelin e	P.	Censorship and control (down grading, demonitizing or promoting content based on government directives) would limit my ability to stay fully informed.
Brendon	A.	Censorship and control of information as to what's happening in the rest of the world. This is an infringement on my rights as a Canadian citizen and spits in the face of our charter.
Jen	F.	Censorship and control over media use.
Kris	R.	Censorship and control over what we can and can't see online.
Marta	В.	Censorship and free speech must be maintained if we are to still call Canada a democracy!
Stephen	M.	Censorship and freedom of choice and speech
Jennifer	P.	Censorship and government overreach. We've also already seen social media giants throttle news articles on their platforms due to this bill and it's going to only get worse. Canadian media giants are using this as an excuse to reduce staff, resources, and local news content.
Darryl	L.	Censorship and government propaganda agendas. Abusive of authority rule.
Quentin	F.	Censorship and losing access to non-Canadian content; there's only so many Canadian content creators and I'd sooner stop using online platforms entirely before being limited in the same way that cable TV was in the 2000s. A variety of perspectives is important, especially when it comes to content and art.
Mary	d.	Censorship and loss of small and independent creators that make the internet a wonderful source. Bill C-11 should be repealed.
Marco	Т.	Censorship and politically curated feeds disguised as Canadian content promotion. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits. Any form of feed manipulation or censorship does not belong in a democratic free country.
SEBASTI AN	Α.	Censorship and propaganda promotion
Laura	T.	Censorship and removal of information that we should be able to evaluate and assess on our own. What is this government trying to hide? Any form of censorship is wrong in Canada. Free speech, and communication is the cornerstone of a democracy.

_		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Barbara	H.	Censorship and restriction.
James	D.	Censorship and stripping my ability to enjoy media I already enjoy.
Penny	Y.	Censorship and the manipulation of information available. Should be able to watch what we want to see or watch.
Chris	K.	Censorship and too much government control
Chris	C.	Censorship and tyrannical dictatorship is what this bill C-11 is all about. This needs to be repealed and canceled. Let us have the freedom to choose what we want to watch without removing content!!
Nigel	W.	Censorship by a corrupt government like the Liberals. Scrap C-11 !!!
Geoff	R.	Censorship by government and corporate interest
Cam	B.	Censorship by government based on what they want easily accessible. Creeping communism and Marxism. Why does Cbc have a drag kids show online in the kids section?
Harold	Н.	Censorship by government.
Ellen	Ο.	Censorship by the government is not on my agenda. Keep out!
Carol	В.	Censorship by the government Putting small independent media out of business and limiting access to a diversity of opinions
Jonathan	B.	Censorship by the government, not acceptable.
Iris	Н.	Censorship control Hidden Agenda Mishandling my private information Denial of Current News and Affairs Lack of Privacy
Mark	G.	Censorship has no place in a free society
Matt	В.	Censorship has rarely if ever been promoted by truly demoncratic governments. The opposite is true of totalitarian governments.
Isauro	M.	Censorship in any form should not be institutionalized. Artificially increasing Canadian Content in my scope of search will inherently displace other content which might in fact be more relevant to me.
Andrew	В.	Censorship is a danger to our democracy! We the people should decide what we want to see not a regulatory board!
Tonya	K.	Censorship is a major concern. I feel like this is leading to our rights and freedoms being compromised and the intent is no truly to protect children and minimize online bullying or promote Canadian content. It

James	B.	Censorship is never a good thing. They should be more concerned about cracking down on false information and scam sites.
Kit	J.	Censorship is like living in China. Trudeau has opening said his favorite pilitical party is the chinese communist party! This is a step towards communism,Ķ!
jf	l.	Censorship is evil.
Gary	J.	Censorship is becoming ugly. It's fine to have more Canadian contact but it's not fine to use it as an excuse to filter out messages of dissent. Often, truth is found in the dissenting voices and we need to augment that not take it down.
Dylan	В.	Censorship is bad. There is no good argument that can justify the government being in control of information streams, NONE! If the concern is over issues such as child exploitative content, give more funding to those that are tasked with stamping out those that would produce and/or spread that type of harmful content.
Francois	G.	Censorship is bad. Free speech is good.
Mary	C.	Censorship is always wrong and dangerous. The government has no authority to decide what news and information I am able to read.
Trevor	M.	Censorship is absolutely # 1. It must be stopped at all costs. Also, many, manu Canadians make their living off if US production, and hindering their production of US and international content in Canada would be a serious move on the economy as it is the #1 Industry in British Columbia, pumping nearly 3 billion a year + into the economy. NO blocking of content. These agencies do not have the right to tell Canadians what they can and cannot view. Obviously illegal content, but NOTHING ELSE. Thank you
Norman	Н.	Censorship is a step toward tyranny. C-11 seeks to control what I want to choose to watch, read, listen to, and comment on.
Michele	F.	Censorship is a major concern. It shouldn't happen. People are smart enough to figure out what's true or not. This bill is instrumental in destroying our strong and free country.
		should always be a choice for Canadians, not imposed or forced upon by the very govt we elect.

	11 (411	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Tori	S.	Censorship is never good. Canada should not be turned into North Korea, a country that keeps their people ignorant of world events
Joan	О.	Censorship is never good. Having too much power vested in one organization is never good. (CRTC) We've learned the government is heading toward Fascism and this is just another tool they can ( and will) use to control Canadians. The forced injections were the the wake-up call. It's time for government to step back from collusion with transnational companies, tech companies and unelected NGO's and to do their jobs as REPRESENTATIVES of the people.
Julia	B.	Censorship is NOT a democracy. So Canada is not democratic country.
MIKE	Ο.	Censorship is not needed. Free speech is being eliminated.
Deborah	L.	Censorship is not what I want we are not a communist country
Leanne	C.	Censorship is slavery!
Karen	H.	Censorship is the issue!
Malkova	N.	Censorship is unacceptable in any form
Marlene	S.	Censorship is utterly and totally wrong! It should be my choice as to what I read or see and then I will use my own discernment to trust it or not! I certainly don't need a damned government to tell me what to see and or think!
Mike	L.	Censorship is wrong. I should have the right to view all sides ro any topic and be allowed to make my own informed decisions.
Blythe	Y.	Censorship is: An erosion of our rights and ability to see all sides and make informed decisions that we deem are right for ourselves,Ķalready happening and it is wrong!
Gerry	R.	Censorship leads to dictatorship
Rick	M.	Censorship means the country in question is communistic. Free and prosperous societies do no censor information and allow citizens to thinking and speak freely.
mitchelle	m.	censorship of free speech
Darrell	B.	Censorship of any kind
Grant	S.	Censorship of any kind concerns me. Freedom of speech is a cornerstone of democracy.

	lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
G.	Censorship of any kind is not freedom.
R.	Censorship of ANY KIND is un constitutional and immoral!!!
M.	Censorship of any kind!
F.	Censorship of any kind. Once the door is open there will be no stopping in what they consider canadian content.
V.	Censorship of content; loss of personal control of viewing content and uncensored information available
K.	Censorship of content by the government.
J.	Censorship of content not aligned with government view. C11 should be rejected.
T.	Censorship of content, being banned from platforms because the government doesn't approve of content that interests me, being afraid of my own government since the world has turned upside down and the government is just making up their own rules that are against our rights to personal choice as a free Canadian
M.	Censorship of content, unavailability of popular content, and the inevitable mission creep onto other platforms creating a chill, and stifling original content.
T.	CENSORSHIP OF FREE SPEACH
P.	Censorship of free speech. Taking away people's right to choose what they want to view, and voice their opinions.
F.	Censorship of ideas and content that our government doesnt agree with. Silencing of Free Speech. The internet was designed as a bastian of Free Speech and should not be regulated.
S.	Censorship of independent media.
G.	Censorship of information I may want or truly need to see
M.	Censorship of information is of great concern. Citizens should be free to see and hear content of their choosing. Citizens should have free and open access to all media outlets including independent media internationally.
T.	Censorship of information, and loss of freedom of speech, expression, and information.
	R. M. F. V. K. J. T. F. S. G. M.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
J	M.	Censorship of materials that are important to me as a human being who shares an entire planet with all other humans, not just Canadian citizens.
Alexandr e	D.	Censorship of medias or website that goes against the vision of the Canadian Government. We have the right to choose what we want to watch without any interference from the government or the lobbies (like CRTC)
Ed	G.	Censorship of my feeds
Trish	M.	Censorship of non main stream media
Edgar	W.	Censorship of online platforms as dictated by the Federal Government or Minister. C-11 opens the door further for the Federal Government to turn all media into a larger propaganda machine than it currently has. How long before the CRTC is total to close down all foreign content because it does not support the governement narrative.
Jack	R.	Censorship of real science
Harry	В.	Censorship of real, factual, balanced news, leaving us with only the pre-digested distortions currently available from Canadian main-stream media.
Ethan	N.	Censorship of right wing media not aligned with the corrupt liberal agenda
Diana	T.	Censorship of sites and content.
Martin	S.	Censorship of valid infos
Nikodem os	S.	Censorship of what content I choose to view. It's not up to the government to dictate what I should or should not be seening.
Shawna	L.	Censorship of what I post and what I am able to watch.
Sheldon	K.	Censorship on news feeds and too much government control.
Nathan	Н.	Censorship or changes to my viewing pushing Canadian content. I should not have to view content simply because it is Canadian.
Kelly	I.	Censorship period. I'm livid with the increased censorship and poor quality journalism. It's not straight facts it's biased commentary. I've been a green voter/left leaning voter for 38 yrs. I'm disgusted with all parties. Stay out of Canadians lives. We can choose for ourselves.

		Censorship restricts learning. Keep your opinions to yourself. Don't need
Rick	E.	your guidance to form my own opinions.
Demetrio s	P.	Censorship should not be allowed. More education would be better than censorship. When we allow someone to censor access to anything at all whether it is good or bad or done right insulting we are giving up our freedom to think for ourselves and to choose for ourselves. To be free does mean that once in a while you got to let someone who would be thought of as a jackass or worse to say their peace as well. Thank you
Austin	D.	Censorship takes the freedoms away we enjoy as Canadians!
Rick	J.	Censorship that interferes with the rights of Canadians to make their own choices regarding political opinion.
Ross	Н.	Censorship to free thot.
Kathy	F.	Censorship to news of the world . More government over reach . Need freedom to choose for ourselves what we watch not the government deciding . Looks like communist China happening in Canada .
Eileen	M.	Censorship with government in control of what Canadians can and cannot see.
marco	h.	censorship, and manipulation
Scott	X.	Censorship, and who decides what is censored is a major concern.
Blythe	S.	Censorship, especially of conservative views, and shadow banning.
Keegan	S.	Censorship, especially with elections. The ruling party could lay claims that such and such's video is hate speech when it's anti liberal or any conservative. This bill is completely ridiculous and is using Canadian content as a Trojan horse.
Janice	S.	Censorship, freedom of speech.
Wendy	K.	Censorship, loss of freedom
Jessie	L.	Censorship, misinformation, poorly vetted search results that further complicates access to desired content, misappropriation of research, the use and proliferation of generative ML/LLM and other automated Al algorithms that infringes upon copyright and completely sidesteps boundaries on private data, and even more undermining of Canadian creatives who may fall between the cracks on what has been regulated.

Melody	В.	Censorship, so that I cannot access all sides of the story. I believe in free speech and smaller government. If something online is actually against the law, for example, indecent exposure towards children, I believe this should be banned and the perpetrators arrested. Actual crimes should not be tolerated, otherwise, give us free speech.
Alvin	D.	Censorship!
Axel	K.	Censorship! Don't want it. The only thing that should be censored it FAKE NEWS Misinformation! I'm hoping they'll throw Fox News (Faux News) and any other media outlet's that peddel in misinformation, under the bus and ban them from Canada! CRTC should ensure we get ACURATE information when the broadcaster is calling themselves a NEWS source, such as Fox. Censoring social media should be done only by the social media companies to remove misinformation. Social Media companies should be held to account if their influencers, spread misinformation. A new concern I have is AI generated misinformation it could flood our feeds and look real. Also Deepfakes are a big concern.
Lance	Н.	Censorship! Justin Trudeau had the Military run a psyops against Canadian citizens during covid. He keeps trying to eliminate media that stands up to him, He pressures and bullies people. He'll be on the phone daily pressuring the CRTC staff to eliminate his perceived enemies (truth tellers). It's unlawful. It infringes my sec 2 Charter Rights to hear what I choose.
Gary	C.	Censorship! Controlling what we can and cannot see!
David	S.	Censorship! Loss of freedom to choose. Loss of freedom to express. Loss of control to what I my feel may be propaganda.
Loredana	V.	Censorship! They'll use this bill as an excuse to block whatever they don't want you to see.
Ronie	M.	Censorship!! We are very capable of looking up our own information and where we choose to look for it. It makes sense to run more ads that are Canadian content for Canadians but it still should not interfere with international information. Why is ok for our Government to push Globalism but when it comes to News they want Censorship? All News should be readily available especially that of independents. We have a right to choose and we know how to use discernment. No one had the right to decide what and who we listen too unless of course you believe Hitler had that right.

M	M.	Censorship,û°Ô∏èdictatorship,û°Ô∏ècivil war
Rose	B.	censorshipno control over what I choose to engage with on the internet government overreach
Chris	Н.	Censorshipit is the road to 1984. Everyone must be taught how to evaluate any information presented to them. They must be shown how the scientific process works, why it works and how to avoid errors in logic.
Peter	K.	Censorship Filtering my experience through a Governing authority to determine what I should view is EVIL. Theres that. Anyone who possess a cursory understanding of history would know that anytime a government disarms and censors its people, we aren't progressing forward.
Alisha	R.	Censorship. I do not want the government to tell me what I can and cannot look at, I can decide for myself.
Sean	D.	Censorship. Government propaganda. Lack of choice. People will find workarounds to any censorship the government puts in place. This is not how a free and civilized society acts.
Cassie	О.	Censorship. Government filtered content. Loss of freedoms - information sources, free speech. Will we only see what our government deems "Canadian" appropriate content? Might be time to leave the country.
damon	C.	Censorship. A huge concern with this tyrannical liberal government.
Dale	D.	Censorship. This bill is a terrible idea. Not sure who dreamt it up. No one should be able to decide what I see- just me.
Natalie	S.	Censorship.
Jordan	Н.	Censorship!!! NO to censorship. Especially government censorship. And funding should not be manipulative of the content and the TRUTH of the content. The last few years are good example of censorship and the suppression of actual truth. Instead corporations and government push their narratives and agendas that are NOT in the people best interest. Funding tends to heavily influence the content. The People deserve to know the Truth! The whole truth! And nothing but the Truth! Far too many lies are spread by sources that are trusted and should be trusted, but they are simply not to be trusted. "Safe and effective" is a prime example.

Paola	О.	CENSURE this bill will create one look only, for democracy, we need FREE SPEECH, and the bill will not make people watch more Canadian content, if you want to support Canadian content then create funding, but you can't shut down outside info and just give us one look/perspective of the news.
Kathy	M.	Change has to be made too many limitations of aa content imposed on Canadians. Too much of our freedoms are taken away little by little
Ed	R.	Change nothing.
Nicole	G.	Changing algorithms specifically to push more Canadian content will backfire when it comes to all streaming/social networking platforms. It is also taking away from what little organic discovery is left on the internet. I understand the need to make sure Canadian creators/media companies/etc. are being fairly funded and able to continue to produce content, but it may also lead to an insularism we see in the States with the way content is consumed. I'm all for pushing more Canadian content, but not by suppressing other content. Plus, VPN services like NordVPN are affordable and easily accessible to mask Canadian IP addresses. It just seems like C-11 is going to do more harm than good, if it even does anything at all.
Ashleigh	L.	Changing everything. I quite enjoy watching American content creators. I dont want to lose those. There are many that have helped me be more myself. Please don't change that.
Charlesto n	F.	Changing the recommendation algorithms once again, in turn making my experience worse overall and limiting my world views to only Canadian ones
Sara	U.	Changing what Canadians can access and government censorship
Richard	Н.	Charter of rights and Freedoms violations such as censorship. Informed consent. The nature of discussion, political and cultural ignorance. An imbalance for the lay person to understand just how and why our government and tech companies and other interested parties are taking many, power, jobs, and homes from people. Identity and the right to express is critical for people under Canadian law; to remove this is dictatorial, and it bodes poorly for our civilization. Perhaps our government should reflect on the cultural mistakes of the Weimar Republic and the National Socialists party.
Pete	H.	China üá®üá≥ Russia üá∑üá∫ North Korea üá∞üáµ Any more ???

Cl th ch fu J M. ha	Choices presented might be so narrowly aligned with my past choices nat I'm no longer seeing new options that might be of interest. My past hoices have little to do with voices I might want to experience in the uture. I want choices that will open my mind and let me try new things. I ate ruts.  Communist government taking over every aspect of my life. F off.
th ch fu J M. ha	nat I'm no longer seeing new options that might be of interest. My past hoices have little to do with voices I might want to experience in the uture. I want choices that will open my mind and let me try new things. I ate ruts.
spencer p. Co	Communist government taking over every aspect of my life. F off.
ac	Companies refusing to offer service in Canada in lieu of complying with dditional regulatory requirements due to C-11. Additional costs for treaming services as a result of complying with additional regulatory equirements due to C-11.
Yue C. C	Company are forced to push Canadian content that I don't want to see
gi re to re re ar pr Do re m po re co va ar ar op fo or	competitive advantage: Staying informed about the latest developments lives businesses an edge over their competitors. By understanding new egulations and industry trends, organizations can identify opportunities of innovate and offer products or services that align with emerging legal equirements, ensuring they are ahead of the curve. User trust and eputation: Online platforms are built on user trust. Monitoring and analyzing developments related to data privacy, security, and ethical ractices can help organizations establish and maintain user trust. Demonstrating compliance and responsible practices enhances their eputation and credibility in the market. Policy advocacy: Ongoing nonitoring allows individuals and organizations to stay engaged in the colicy-making process. By understanding proposed legislation and egulations, stakeholders can provide informed feedback, participate in onsultations, and advocate for policies that align with their interests and alues. Adaptability and agility: The digital landscape evolves rapidly, and so do the associated legal frameworks. Ongoing monitoring and analysis enable organizations to adapt their strategies, policies, and operations to align with changing requirements. This agility is essential or long-term success in an ever-evolving environment. To facilitate ingoing monitoring and analysis, individuals and organizations can tilize various resources such as legal publications, industry news ources, regulatory websites, professional networks, and consulting

	IIaii	services. Automation tools and services can also help streamline the process of tracking legislative changes and
Rodney	N.	Complete freedom of speech must not be reduced in any way. My freedom to say what I want must be unlimited, those who do not like what I say can walk away, the same as if i do not like what someone is saying I can walk away.
Faizel	D.	Compromising freedom of speech and expression.
Jasna	K.	Concern is that internet in Canada is censored, I have no freedom to read news, only the government propaganda. That ruling negate media and eliminates freedom of speech. In such atmosphere, I am not interested in any Canadian content as it is manipulated. SHAME
Julieta	C.	Concern that that search engines hide or block websites (for whatever reason); we don't need more censoring regulations. Concern that streaming-websites will be forced to limit the number of international videos/films available; this should NOT be regulated.
Marianna	V.	Concerned about freedom and censorship®ship
Care	D.	Concerned about how this will affect discoverability for small Canadian creatives. Canada isn't a big market, it's not enough for small creators to survive off of. The media fund tends to only help people who are allied with the big businesses and government owned media. This creates a lot of concerns for small creators in Canada and also for freedom of speech and freedom of media. Will we lose access to foreign information? Society in a media vacuum is not healthy as we see from our neighbors down south.
Diana	P.	Concerned about losing cess to my favourite podcasts, news sites in other countries, concerned about the future of independent news media n Canada, concerned about only hearing biased government media propaganda and no alternative news sources with which to seek the other side of the story. Often it is the Liberal government delivering misinformation and disinformation to the Canadian people. You cannot censor Canadians just because theh have a different point of view. To do so, is the opposite of a democratic society.
Kay	B.	Concerned about not being able to freely share news links

	IIaii	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
John	C.	Concerned about not being able to watch what watch now. I'll regulate my content and don't need any government telling me what I can and cannot watch. Blatant government overreach!
Rajwant	K.	Concerned about privacy and freedom of speech and access to information without third party interference.
Michael	J.	Concerned about the government restricting certain content, or any content - I want no restrictions whatsoever. I want the ability to choose what I see with no government influence or intervention. If I want to see Canadian content I will choose that completely of my own volition. Let Canadian content compete on merit.
Kelly	В.	Concerned about the governments intent to decide for me what I can and can't access. I see this as government overreach and a propaganda tool to shape public perception about any subject it wishes. There is no place for C-11 in this, or any other country
christian	M.	Concerned about the possible inability to see certain content because of actual location. Every content should be accessible, whatever the government labels it.
Georgina	A.	Concerned about them censoring . Only allows us to see what they the government want us too see!
Kyle	M.	Concerned for the creators in and outside of Canada
Kara	Н.	Concerned I will lose the content that I care about and instead get attempted force fed Canadian content. If it was worth consuming it would stand on its own
Ashur	S.	Concerned of only state-run media and information. Canadians are already unable to access truly informative news due to the major Telco's owning and operating the airwaves and pushing their own agendas. This filtration of news and events prevents Canadians from seeing what is truly happening in our beautiful country, also without enough factual data we're unable the true extent of our leadership's failures in order to demand accountability; not just for Canadians in Canada but also for those following events around the world.
Joyel	Н.	Concerned that I won't have a balanced feed. That content will be taken away, or that I won't have freedom to choose what I can upload or download.

Julio		Concerned that I'm going to be force fed Canadian items that I have no interest in or have not been searching for. If I search or look for something I should get what im asking for. If I want Canadian versions for it i'll search specifically for that. User experiences(especially paid ones by said users) should NOT be tailored according to what the government wants to promote but what the user is requesting and wants.
Glad	В.	Concerned that international content that I'm interested in will be hard to find and access
Tracy	G.	Concerned that it restricts my access to an open internet and that I cannot access the information I have searched for, of Canadian origin or not. That it impedes service providers that make possible access to all online information, regardless of who that service provider might be.
Dawn	Н.	Concerned that it will be used to manipulate/restrict what the Canadian consumer can see, as opposed to promoting Canadian content
Christie	W.	Concerned that large media companies - such as Corus - as using the money within these envelopes, but are now going "non-union" with their hiring of Canadian creatives such a writers and directors & essentially raping the program.
Zsana	Т.	Concerned that the current oligarchy- Bell, Rogers, etc - will be forced on me while it will be harder to find the independent sources that I am more aligned with. Very concerned that current CRTC commissioner Ian Scott describes Bell CEO Mirko Bibic as a personal friend, and has recently been embroiled in controversy following a private beer meeting with him after a crucial CRTC decision that went against Bell's interests.
Tim	В.	Concerned that they will censor and regulate everything on the streaming platforms.
Regan	R.	Concerned the bill may not be positive change
Liz	K.	Concerned there will be a push for more Trudope approved content. We don't need to be brain washed into believing the WEF or Trudope's view points. Especially in childrens content. Children don't need to be told how to be inclusive, they already are. It is parents, the media and society that changes our views especially in those under 16.

	_	iowerk regulating contains to capport carriagin and margeneda content
Dario	T.	Concerns surrounding the forced payment or primarily showing canadian content. It should be up to the companies such as Google, Social Media companies as to how their algorithms are designed. It's already built in such a way where if I consume more Canadian content their algorithms will be aware and I will receive more Canadian content as that is my preference. Mandating the revenue sharing for news aggregators such as Google is counter intuitive. They already aggregate Canadian content and if users so wish to view those Canadian articles/websites they shall do so I'm their own accord. Asking them to share revenue for their own technology and algorithms is outright foolish. No wonder Google is killing existing deals with publishers over the legislation that would force it to share revenues with news publishers. Why does Canada think they have the right to decide that companies should share revenue with them for aggregating links to their own website? Canada's foolish attempt to gather revenue for creators will ultimately hurt creators and limit visibility to those creatures that bill C-11 was attempting to help promote.
Gail	H.	Concerns with not being able to access a variety of information from different sources including international sources.
Kaitlin	W.	Consent matters. Regulation of the internet via the state apparatus is coercive control. It is abuse. Let the people alone! Anarchism is voluntary organization. Free speech is voluntary communication. Both work well, as evidenced by the internet. No one asked for this bill. No one wants it, because it violates the basic human rights of freedom of speech and freedom of association. Bill C-11 benefits the oligarch class and corrupt politicians, that's it. Both groups already have more than enough wealth and power. They need to get therapy, and stop starting wars for their own gain.
Nicole	P.	Consider this an over reach and will affect whether or not many will even consider using public social media. Do not want to be controlled or what I do or listen to, I am an adult and do not need to be controlled.
Jim	M.	Conspiracy theories should be fact checked and flagged as such. Smoking should be highly regulated if not forbidden in all content produced in Canada.

Graham	J.	Consumers want to watch great content. I fully support the idea of Canadian content and promoting Canadian content, but we should be supporting great Canadian content. I want to be inspired by what I watch, I want to laugh at what I watch, I want to cry at what I watch. I want to watch content that moves me on a personal level, not content that incites me on an ideological level. Canadians can, and have, created great content, but I watch their shows and their movies because they're great, not because they're Canadian. That sounds unpatriotic, and I suppose it is on a certain level, but I show my love for my country by supporting Canadians who make great things. We need to prioritize a culture of Canadian television, movies, music, and media. This is accomplished by funding the arts, funding media, funding creators. It's not accomplished by implementing a regulatory system that encourages mediocrity via algorithmic priority. Simply put: if it's good, the people will watch it, if it's not, they won't. No amount of governmental interference will change that. Let's put our energy into fixing the root of the issue, not band-aiding the symptom.
eric	g.	content access should not be limited in any way, this is important for a healty democracy. That said, optional filters or sections could be put in place in order to highlight canadian content. I think that Canadians are intelligent and good people wanting the best for canadian content producers and at the same time, this content need to be good in its own right and not an excuse to limit perspectives from around the world.
Brett	F.	Content being hidden. Content bring pushed forward to change narratives.
Matt	K.	Content could be falsely promoted based on someone else's definition of value or to suit some agenda other than the content being the best for me or the best available based on search.
Alex	F.	Content could become more homogeneous and my experience could stagnate and thus ruin everything.
Garfield	P.	Content covering Opposing Views, especially about health, climate change and News exposing corruption in government. Corporate influence at all levels of government, forgan influence in elections and foreign influence in decision making.
Sara	K.	Content Creators shouldn't be forced to make a certain type of content and no one but myself and the platform should be controlling what content i consume.

	_	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
ian	W.	Content creators that voice information or opinions that disagree with the CRTC/government narrative could effectively be silenced using C-11.
Chris	M.	Content critical of the Canadian government and special interest groups/ NGO's will be censored as hate speech or the like. Government will effectively move to control citizens thinking by not allowing media that they disagree with, or that challenges narratives they want to impose on citizens. I am not concerned as much with algorithms prioritizing certain things in my feeds as I am the CRTC outright banning content that I already consume. The expedited push for C11 seems primarily to allow the CRTC to ban content from non Canadian based commenters who are critical of the Prime Minister, his administration, and favoured groups.
Daniel	Y.	Content delivered and curated by an unwanted governing source.
Marvin	Z.	Content I am not interested in is shown and content I am interested in is buried.
David	R.	Content irrelevent to what I care about showing up because it is being pushed by mandate. I am also concerned about it imposing barriers to people trying to build alternative platforms and that larger platforms may reduce what content we can access from within canada.
Lucas	K.	Content manipulation by the government
JoAnn	C.	Content other than Canadian could be censored. This could lead to censoring Canadian content as well
James	M.	Content providers are monetarily incentivized to show content that interests the individual. The algorithms that provide this service must be left untouched by this legislation for the benefit of both consumers and businesses. It takes many months of use to train these systems to the individual based on likes, dislikes, watch time, and subscriptions. While these algorithms are proprietary IP, increased transparency by making them open to public scrutiny may increase public trust and corporate accountability.
lain	L.	Content regardless of origin should not be filtered or pushed over others. It should stand on its own merit. CRTC and regulators should stay out of my way when i am looking for any content. Let me decide what I deem appropriate and relevant. I have a brian as do others let us use them.
Neil	T.	Content should not be able to be controlled by big three companies.It should be open market.Allowing corporate giants to buy control of

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		content in Canada flies in the face of a open free trade society, with all North Americans able to access content they wish.
Oleksii	V.	Content suggestions should be based on an individual's preferences rather than on the Canadian government's decision
Allison	T.	Content that I presently enjoy may not be available or become more costly.
Charissa	N.	Content that I'm not interested in would be pushed simply because it's considered Canadian content. On the other hand, content that I am interested would be hidden because it's not considered Canadian content.
Guillaum e	Т.	Content that you are looking for in specific moments that would not populate when you needed it to. Also, that it would be used to censor good information when you needed it the most, or all the time. We do not need to have our feeds or content manipulated in any way. We should be free to chose what content we want to see at any time.
Sharman	S.	Content will be curated to basically make the Trudeau government look favourable. This is called propaganda and is absolutely unacceptable in this country that was founded on freedom.
Brian	M.	Contrary to the Liberals belief (read CRTC) I prefer my own control of what content I watch or read. Whether Canadian, US, British, French, whatever! It is no damned business of yours or anyone else's. Google (META) has already cancelled content due to the stupidity of this government!
Graham	Н.	Control media censorship through abuse of this act to take control of the media we see, potentially inciting a monopoly or restriction on media to ultimately allow a dictatorship.
Al	V.	Control of audiovisual content is not in the general public's interest.  Adults should be free to choose.
Thomas	S.	Control over content. If i want to watch a show but it ain't canadian, i do not care at all. If canadian creators want more people to see their show, they should just made good content
John	Н.	Control over my content would lead to less opportunity to learn vital information such as how-to videos which are usually made outside of Canada, concern the government will have too much; I might add

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		information that's not relevant to the government or well-being of Canada in general
WILLIAM	P.	Control over what Canadian governments say I can view!! Government mind your business!! Government stay out of the bedrooms of Canadians or whichever room our computers or devices are in. Where have we heard that before???
Holly	B.	Control over what I consume from media, should be up to me, entirely.
Robin	C.	Control over what I see, view and create. That leads to brainwashing and coercion on what the governments wants is to see and believe. This is NOT freedom, it's a form of mind control and grooming to what they desire is to know and believe.
Doug	C.	Control over what we see online. Government gets to control the narrative. Online should be a free market - those with the best content get the eyeballs.
Jordan	S.	Controlling access to information is archaic and is an affront to Canadian freedoms.
Jason	W.	Controlling what I can and can not see is simply wrong. I will decide what I want when I want it. The Gov can help Canadian content without controlling the end users.
James	S.	Controlling what media is presented makes content less personalized and thus hurts both the consumer and the producer. Instead supporting and funding Canadian creators will encourage more Canadian content to be made and will broaden the types of content created, much better catering to individual users on these platforms as well as allowing more Canadian content to reach outside the boarders.
Craig	C.	Controlling what we see and do not see.
Peter	T.	Controlling what you can and can't say. Let people decide if they like content or not.
Shanira	T.	Copyright rules.
Derek	J.	Corporations, both Canadian and foreign, gaming the system instead of earnestly producing and promoting CanCon, leading to cheap, low-quality, but technically qualifying content to offset foreign-produced content. This is what they've been permitted to do with broadcast and cable television.

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and malgenous content
Fran	L.	cost., charging for emails
Murielle	G.	Could you please send me a formulaire in french because I'm not sure of wellunderstanding the question . I'm really concern about C-11 . Thanks for your work !
Robin	S.	Could find that some services are no longer available in Canada.
Jaimon	J.	Cow towing to any government.
Brent	V.	CR tc needs to leave all internet content alone! Bill C-11 should be rescinded!
Hallay	M.	Creation of information bubbles, reduced access to independent media content.
Vickie	B.	Creators I follow being censored
Danielle	Н.	Creators on small platforms (eg. YouTube) could be deemed not Canadian enough and be lowered in searches / not get as much traffic, or they could be forced to change their content or they may just stop all together
Jules	N.	CRTC Control over feeds.
Lou	C.	CRTC controlling content. "Freedom of Speech" and freedom of broadcasting could be jeopardized. Concern about being effectively "cancelled" at the whim of the CRTC.
George	M.	CRTC controlling the type of products we consume and by whom.
Lisa	K.	CRTC does not tell me what I can see or not. They are not my God!
Tatyana	D.	CRTC has no rights to tell Canadians what they should or should not watch. I did not see any use for existence of government agenesis like CRTC.
Thomas	L.	CRTC is using out dated outmoded 70s broadcasting model and trying shoehorn digital content platforms and creators into it.
Bernard	Y.	Crtc not working for the public only working for the Big 3
Bernie	T.	Crtc record is not good Don't trust them to try to control anything
shirley	d.	crtc should not be able to dictate to us what they think we should be wathcing

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and margenous content
Jane	U.	CRTC should not be given broad authority to regulate ALL audiovisual content on online platforms.
Daniel	G.	CRTC should not have any control on what I want to see online PERIOD! Canada was a free country so I should be able to see what I decide to see online!
Eric	W.	CRTC should not have any regulatory control over content on the internet above what it had previous to bill C-11. The content I watch should not be dictated, by governments or otherwise. I should not be ,Äòforced' to consume content just because it's Canadian, and likewise content shouldn't be censored by government. Government censorship is never a good thing, and that's exactly what this bill is allowing. I am concerned for the future of the free internet, which is a concern for a free society.
Paul	C.	CRTC should not tell us what content we can see or use. If Canada produced interesting programs I would watch them, We are so regulated at this time that there is nothing interesting to watch in Canadian content.
Alain	C.	CRTC should stop kowtowing to media giants, allowing healthy competition which would end up benefiting all Canadians, the actual people their mandate it is to protect
Shawn	P.	CRYC does not need to change feeds, they do not need to have any influence on Canadians internet searches or limits to the information they seek
Scott	S.	Cryc should focus on regulating broadcasting traditional media and opening up the internet to all players foreign and domestic. They should also focus on their mandate to make internet access affordable and competitive by opening all fiber deployments to small independent isps at affordable wholesale rates. They should not be focused on trying to expand their regulation before they fix their core mandate that is taking years to do anything with.
Lee	D.	Currently I am in control of the content I consume. What will change? The Department wants to take that control away from me and dictate what I can watch. This is not the act of a liberal western democracy.

Jessie	О.	Currently I watch tv shows and media from creators and actors from all over the world. I like Canadian TV shows and I like to support Canadian artists I like. However being forcefed Canadian content because the government has declared this is okay is something akin to a dictatorship of sorts. What defines a Canadian made TV show? What defines a Canadian made song? What if say some of the members of a Canadian band are not from Canada? Are they now not considered to be a Canadian band? Canada is a country where people from all walks of life call their home. Where they're allowed to express their own individually and their own music and art. This is nothing more than an attempt to silence other media that's not good wholesome Canadian content if this bill passes its one step closer to a parliamentary dictatorship. We as Canadians deserve to be allowed to pick and choose who we listen to, what we watch. Whether or not they're approved by a bord of white cis men or not. I say that this is nothing short of media designed to glorify the nation The Internet has united the world for good and for bad. This is just a way to silence criticism aimed at the nation. We should be able to watch the content of our favourite creators or TV shows even if they're not Canadian
Gwen	W.	Cutting my choice off of what I want to see
Janice	K.	Cutting off or forcing any beliefs on other people is not a decision that should made by anyone other than the person making the decision. All opinions should be allowed for people to make their own educated or personal decisions about. Censorship kills a country fast.
Dave	C.	Dear CRTC member: Who are you to so arrogantly believe you can decide what I get to see? If you had any decency you'd resign and call for the dismantling of CRTC. Dave Chappelle
Andy	D.	Dear CRTC: You need to get something through your thick skulls: it's NOT UP TO GOVERNMENT to fund and promote culture. It's up wait for it THE CULTURE. You know: the PEOPLE. Acting FREELY to EXPRESS THEMSELVES in a variety of ways. STOP. TRYING. TO CONTROL. HOW WE THINK. If you and the rest of the establishment continue down this fascist path, eventually there will be very negative consequences for the entire country.
Marcel	S.	Deception/Bias, that content is restricted due to regulator bias especially on matters of religion. That as false accusations of gender bias and homophobia, etc. persist, especially in more affluent societies, platforms will permit to an even greater extent than currently, more perversities

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		causing potential gender dysphoria and the erosion of healthy thought and lifestyle.
Karin	М.	Deciding content for myself, forcing me to like Canadian content even when it's less quality and not desired style or messages. Controlling how I see myself as a Canadian consumer and not letting the money speak for itself. Propaganda messaging increasing.
Michael	C.	Decrease in access to foreign news and information Government determining the content available to me
Sheldon	Н.	Decrease in access to outlier and/or unique and/or politically incorrect content
Sarah	C.	Defining what is Canadian content - it seems vague and worded in a way that lets the government decide what is Canadian enough. It's an opportunity for government to ban news that they don't like, including news or content that takes on a political angle that the government doesn't agree with under the guise of not being Canadian enough. So I do think this law will be abused, used to censor ideas the government doesn't agree with (i.e. ideas that are conservative, centre-right). Additionally, it's already affecting news on Google, Facebook, and Instagram who have decided not to share news to not have to abide by this law, which may force people to actually look up news, or they may not care and just never know what's going on, leading to easier misinformation.
John	R.	Defund CRTC
NICOLA ( NICK )	D.	defund this useless commission. it only uses taxpayer dollars to fund undeserving twits !!!!
Jennifer	P.	Degradation of the quality of content searches/feeds; if it's going to limit/manipulate my feeds, it will render feeds as useless as ad-space in the sidebars as content that is less relevant to what I wish to consume
Ernie	M.	Demanding Canadian content is not based on supply and demand. I want to watch what I want to watch NOT what Big Government wants. Stop censorship now.
Gary	W.	Denial of access to conservative news sites and woke policies censoring that to which I can have access. I don't want what is available to me on the internet filtered through the lens of woke, far left ideology. I don't want woke nracissicists controlling the internet.

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
Stefan	A.	Depersonalization of search results and algorithms. Lack of visibility into content I care about. Forced sub-par content promotion.
Dale	W.	Dictorial control by the sitting (liberal) government Whats going on with the media payouts by the government again (liberal) Nothing but liberal party propaganda on all 3 networks. Get the damm government out of my choices of entertainment.
Alton	H.	Difficulty in accessing neutral news reporting from international sources
Jeffrey	P.	Direct or indirect federal government intervention I would not agree with. I'm an adult capable of my decisions. I will tolerate that right of decision being taken away. Thank you
Doug	K.	disband the crtc
Roch	L.	discoverability!! I dont want nor need the gvt or ctrc to modify the algorithms and give me ü§Æ content i didn't request, they're already skewed to the left,Ķsince gvt politics should not influence the world wide web or content on seen TV.!!
Deane	S.	Discrimination, biased, and unregulated media should be allowed to be disseminated to all people in Canada. Let the people decide what information they want to view or listen to. Government should not dictate what should or should not be allowed to be promoted or published to the citizens of Canada. To censor or ban certain media material does not follow constitutional rights and freedoms in a democratic society. I feel there are certain media/news/publications that should not be allowed online/internet: pornographic material with children, making bombs or instruments devised to harm people physically. Lobbying groups (Pharmaceutical companies) should not be allowed to influence government allowing them to propel their agendas profits on us. White collar corporate crimes should be stopped and exposed for public scrutiny.
Dawn	G.	Dismember the governmentperiod
John	M.	Do no want the government to decide what I watch, read or listen too. The reasons are obvious.
Douglas	J.	Do not allow hardware providers to own content providers for the internet.
Eric	D.	Do not block, or encourage to block any news or content

John		Do not censor content. This would be government overreach and is not democratic.
Anthony	C.	Do not censor freedom of expressions and opinions
Jerry	b.	Do not censure
Simon	C.	Do not choose for me or alter my freedom to choose my content
Ms F	D.	Do not control or manipulate the content I consume as its an insult to my intelligence and censorship to my freedom of knowledge!
Charissa	A.	Do not decide what I will or will not see, hear or read. I will decide for myself.
Edwin	P.	DO not do it unfair period
Jim	R.	Do not fund cbc with taxpayer money
Natalie	K.	Do not interfere with the algorithm. Do not artificially boost canadian content.
Edward	M.	Do not modify my search results or recommendations to promote Canadian content. I would like to be able to control my searches without government interference.
Tige	M.	do not permit any content in any form and/or medium and/or device; rather, make content filterable on Canadian content (or by country to make other content filterable)
Dolores	P.	Do not regulate any media output
Roch	T.	Do not regulate content meant for particular audiences, its strips away the freedoms of content creators and their respective viewers/supporters
Diane	T.	Do not trust this particular government intentions.
S.	C.	Do not want access to sites controlled or modified by the government. Too vulnerable to political propaganda manipulation.
Jason	M.	Do not want anyone deciding my choices for me. I should be able to access any content that I choose.
Robert	F.	Do not want the government controlling what kind of content I choose to consume. Not trying to regulate and force culture in one direction. This should be a completely free market where the best types of content

	II aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		wins, canadians are capable of great content but this kind of hand holding is stifling creativity.
Jonathan	B.	Does the book 1984 mean anything to you. The ability of the government to control access to information, allows them to influence the minds of the public in nefarious ways. We have repeatedly seen politicians from all parties attempt to mislead the public and our access to all information is what brings these misdeeds to life. Additionally as a scientist the ability to question narratives and search for alternative theories on a matter is necessary for furthering understanding. We need to be allowed to debate one another in order to further our understanding of the world and of each other. If we never questioned the consensus smoking would still be good for us, CFCs would still be in use, and we would still be mutating our children with thalidomide. We need to remind the government that this is a constitutional monarchy wherein the government is only allowed to create laws that allow us our constitutional/charter protected freedoms - including that of speech and religion.
Martin	H.	Don't censor
Xavier	M.	Don't change the way it is and pretend it's more Canadian creators when you really just want more money funded from Big Tech.
Johnny	P.	Don't know
Mark	W.	Don't mess with my preferences, I do NOT want modification of feeds or searches.
Mick	M.	Don't need Trudope telling me what I can or can't watch.
Karl	J.	Don't tell me what I can read!
Big	D.	Don't touch my shit
Thomas paul	C.	Don't want
John	Н.	Don't want just more large mainstream Canadian media content to fill the Canadian content rule. It must allow lots of room and even give priority to smaller independent and alternative content
Chris	V.	Don't want to be force fed content that isn't of interest to be. And my committee shouldn't be regulated either

		Depth went to be force feel out your content that coult promote on its own
denis	t.	Don't want to be force fed sub-par content that can't compete on its own merit.
Kaslo	K.	Don't control what I can or can't see online you fucking fascists.
Nicholas	М.	Don't necessarily change it but make more funding for Canadian creators as well and have almost like a tab located where your for you page would be that if you click it will show you Canadian content.
Stacy	В.	Don't touch my feeds. It's as simple as that. It's not your business what I want to watch as long as it isn't harmful or illegal content.
Broderick	S.	Dont censor the internet
Dom	L.	Dont know
Scott	C.	Drop the bill. Quite simple.
Chris	P.	Due to Canadian Content requirements, networks show hours of shows on repeat as the only thing on. This causes people who have nothing better to watch grow to hate them. Look up Scardy Squirrel or Kid Vs Kat on sites like IMDB and you'll see people who watched it wound up giving them low scores from overuse. Even if something is good, too much of anything is bad. One network aired 3 hours of Degrassi in the AM, the same 3 hours of episodes in the afternoon, and the same 3 hours of episodes at night, all to reach the mandatory number of Canadian content. If Canada made shows that were worth watching, it might be different, but if it were good then it wouldn't be mandatory. Shows should thrive on its own merit instead of a home field bonus that in reality, only acts as a detriment. Imagine if in the Olympics if the host team got an extra man on the Basketball court and a bonus 20 points, and STILL lost? That is Canadian content rules.
Seath	D.	Eliminate this ** bill!
Trevor	J.	Eliminating choice.
IAN	S.	Eliminating user choice of content. Impairing user ability to view what they want.
Jonathan	B.	Elimination of the law
MARK	В.	ELIMINATION of thousands of private news casters not paid by the criminal liberal gov.
Sanford	L.	End this censorship Bill!

Sylvain	L.	Enough censorship
Sylvalli	L.	Enough Censorship
Cheryl	C.	Ensuring Canadians can continue to access any content of interest regardless of where they're made.
Andrew	Z.	Equally rights to all content producers, no propaganda in any form.
Susan	E.	Essentially, we are being bombarded with information that is either biased by the Americans or is completely manipulated by the NDP-Liberal coaltion and the legislation they have already passed despite public outcry. Sadly, too many Canadians don't bother doing their own research and only read the headlines, then start a storm of rants based on that. Platforms like Meta, who own Facebook and Instagram allow false news and so called fact-checkers post invalid information. They are already poised to block several news posts etc. based on Canadian laws. Inaccurate and unacceptable!
Connie	B.	Even more Censorship .
scott	C.	Every canadian deserves to watch or stream any content they desire (unless illegal under law) on the internet, and should not need another authority to tell them what they can see or listen too. As canadians, That literally defeats the freedom of speech that is in our Charter of Rights
brian	S.	Every country that's tried to regulate content like this has had either services reduced or complete exit from the country. You can't use hamfisted dictates to artificially prop up content, especially when it doesn't exist or the demand isn't there.
Gary	T.	Everyone knows the government and media has been misleading the people and this just confirms it.
Doreen	J.	EVERYTHING
Mike	L.	Everything leftists want to do concerns me because I know what they want, control of information. I'm certain any and all legislation they ram through will be used with ulterior motives in mind. I'll watch what I want to watch or I won't watch it at all. They should be asking themselves why they have to take these measures to push content onto people and what the repercussions of their doing so will have on said content. Fewer and fewer people are watching television, news, etc, and for good reason. We're tired of the constant bombardment of leftist propaganda and antiwhiteism.
vinitius	f.	Everything should be left alone.

Rob	B.	Everything that limits Canadians from choosing what they view: scrap the whole fn bill.
Henry	В.	Everything that Trudeau liberal barring everything that I want to see with no band.government control what I want to see is my choice not some heavy handed decision by the crtc
Thomas	C.	Everything this totally takes away our freedom to have our own opinions. This I find I very scary and dangerous step for the government to be taking. The government should be forcing Canadian content it should prosper by itself. I don't want my feeds to be controlled by the government deciding what I'm allowed to see. That's like having a book but the government blackout a couple parts the don't want you to see and highlighted everything they find "important"
Cindy	G.	Everything will change. Instead of forcing canadians to watch mediocre canadian shows, why not force show creators and writers to ramp up their creations so people will WANT to view their shows. This is just like little league soccer, everyone gets a trophy just for showing up
Debbie	W.	EVERYTHING would change under C-11. Freedom of choice and expression. Government censorship on all levels of what we watch, say, and do online because "it's for our own good". China is a great example of government interfering on all levels of human expression and micro management. Pretty scary stuff! Is that what we want for Canada? My family moved here from China to get away from oppression not to have it follow us to Canada.
Juan	R.	Everything would change! Why would any of us want regulators deciding what we get to watch or hear?
Jason	M.	Everything, I do not trust our government at all to allow us to see what's going on in the world without a filter being applied to it
Jason	F.	Everything, no time to go into specifics but it would completely change the internet. I bet almost every single Canadian can agree excluding power/ hungry individuals.
Scott	d.	Everything, there should be no meddling with the freedoms the internet brings by the government.
Beverley	E.	Everything! Our country is becoming like China. We need to keep our content free from totalitarian controls. We are supposed to live in a free country. I won't watch Canadian Government funded media or content. It's corrupt and terrible. Spend our hard earned dollars getting rid of

		debt, hiring back our fired health care workers, and keeping rapists, murderers and robbers in jail. Canadian government is using our hard earned money to take away our freedom. Shame on you! Get rid of this shameful Bill!!!!
KEVIN	F.	Everything! They should not be allowed to touch these platforms!
Patricia	Н.	Everything! I believe in freedom of speech for all Canadians.
Brandy	M.	Everything! I don't want censorship. No one does, it's not helpful! It is in fact the opposite, it hurts Canadian's freedom of free thought and sharing of educational content.
Sebastie n	T.	Everything! Im online only for news and world events Plus Many platform will prefer to not offer services to canadians
Nicole	F.	EVERYTHING! The bill will not promote small Canadian content as promised. We should be able to watch what we like. If the Canadian content is as good as the rest then people would watch it. The CBC should stop receiving funds to push propaganda and the money should go to make Canadian content by Canadians. I don't want to be told what to watch. FREEDOM for the win! Tell it as it is this is a censorship bill. The government wants us to only see what they want us to see and not THE TRUTH!
Barb	B.	Everything!! Tell Trudeau to leave us alone and let us choose what we wish to watch.
Aiden	K.	Everything.
Sha	S.	Everything.
Gurpreet	S.	Everything.
Jason	B.	Everything.
Eli	J.	Everything. This is extremely ill advised and shortsighted
Chris	K.	Everything. Being forced to see content i have zero interest in. To be honest, Canadian content generally is all about gender identity and liberalism. No thank you
Matthew	О.	Everything. How Canada is supposed to be a free country, when everything has to be approved by the Government.? I want to watch, whatever I want, without interference from nobody. With that logic, let's be honest with ourselves, and get them to remove "True, North, Strong, and Free" from the anthem, because that is disinformation. Change it,

instead to "Fake, North, Weak, and Regulated" As a Canadian Citizen, Trudeau does not speak for me. I speak for myself. Everything. I don't want the Canadian government putting their finger on my content feeds. I'll watch what I want to, and there is no shortage of Canadian creators who are successful because they produce good content. It's not clear to me why this legislation is necessary, or even desired. I don't a single Canadian who supports it. That's not to say that big tech doesn't need regulation, just that the regulation this bill applies, and the actual problems these companies create for Canadians appear to be mutually exclusive. This bill attempts to gives the government some legal power to coerce tech companies in displaying the kind of content they think Canadians should see, while disregarding the desires of Canadians. I don't want to have to look at content online and ask myself am I seeing this because the government wants me to or what narrative is this driving for my government. My trust in the government is Kyle H. already low, and this certainly does not help. Everything. I simply will no longer watch anything through any video sharing service, as it will not be my desire to be forced to use video services that are not within my full rights as a user to have direct control of, or just to know there is no need of controlling it alltogether. The very foundation of my pleasure, my enjoyment, my experience, of the internet is simply the freedom of it. Youtube for example has not felt truly free since years ago, around 2006 before corporations started banning people from uploading shows, irregardless of who owns what. At no point was anyone respectable trying to encroach, it was for the sole purpose of sharing. What the word means. Corporate just wants broadcasting. Selling. That's different, no matter what's said, and nobody wants to be shilled something, or sold anything, if they're not anywhere in the mood to buy. And the people know what they want to buy, so it's against geneva convention to arrest a human's right to think of what they most want for them. That freedom is all I ever want to see. It can only come without some out-of-touch money chaser corp that seeks to only hoard away anything they believe they posess and breathe down the necks of anyone who isn't a part of the dragon's club, their mess of riches as their nest. I and the rest of people will find a way to work around the incessant heel-digging of this whole situation. I've already got an old method in mind, I would just rather things not have to come to it. I'm getting awfully tired of this. I want the consistency of the freedom that comes with the true wild west that is the internet, chief among the subcategories the video sharing sites. It is the holy right of man to all No N. knowledge. Who do you think you are, CRTC, to try to change that?

		Dare you commit a hubristic act? The Lord is watching things like that. I just don't think it'd reflect very well, whether you believe or not. It wouldn't reflect well on you at all. In any case, it's something to consider.
Shawn	R.	Everything. I subscribe to what I subscribe to for a reason, and consume what I consume because I want to. The government should not have any hand in that, Canadian or not.
Brigitte	K.	Everything. I want a world view!!
George	D.	Everything. I want choices and freedom.
James	K.	Everything. Our print media is mostly private and publically traded so there is already major bias. It continually loses money . I don't think they deserve any handouts. The CanCon system is manipulated by American firms simply hiring a Canadian to head the operations so they can meet the requirements and get free government money .
Kimberle a	D.	Everything. Over reaching, governmental control. These companies stream what they gain the licences to be able to, and the government doesn't force anything. There's no reason for this. The internet is global. Not Canadian. We don't need or want an online experience similar to China's. ACCESS to the internet costs, through an ISP. But the government has no place dictating, manipulating, choosing, or suggesting one content over another. It's not their job. The information on the internet should be available to all. It's literally the sum of all human recorded knowledge to date, and the government wants to pick and choose what we are exposed to? Gee, doesn't that sound familiar
Gillian	A.	Everything. Stop government overreach. The government needs to be kept far away from anything pertaining to suppression of speech. They need to be reminded that they work for us.
Dylan	L.	Everything. You shouldn't regulate content that you don't understand unless to stop illegal activity. Stop trying to create some sort or virtual country and laws inside the internet. The internet is meant to be free and not regulated by people. You go to the internet to find the content you want. Not content forced on you that is probably not that great. If Canadian content was good people would watch it, end of story.
Lee	S.	EverythingGoverments always want to censor the truth
James	D.	Exposure to foreign news sources could be limited if it is contradicting message of federal party in power to meet their political goals. Freedom to obtain information from any source should be available and not

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		restricted by any means. If concerns exist about a post it should be viewed against very stringent rules that are publicly available, so that subjects such as sexual exploitation of minors or discrimination by hate speech can be removed and prosecuted.
Dean	D.	Expression is liberty regardless of point of view
Maya	D.	Extremely concerned that this bill is used to censor content and content producers that/have views that contradict government platforms or narratives. Critical views have the right to be expressed as much as supportive views.
Kirsten	M.	facebook
Horia	G.	Facebook and Youtube have closed personal accounts who do not promote guvernment views in the last 3 years. It is mandatory to be sure that C-11 bill, will not be a tool in the politicians hands to closed the voice of the free press or online free platforms like Facebook, Youtube, Tik Tok, or others.
Douglas	M.	Facebook. commercial posts advertising their products disguised as private posts
Ronda	P.	Facist Canada. This is censorship and will be a huge cost to tax payers for services that have no value and are unwanted. Let the Canadians compete fair and square. All this will do is drive people to use masking technology and to be resentful. Just stay out of it. Nobody wants it and if they do, let them go looking for it online.
Jennefer	S.	fair and free access to information
Joanne	P.	Fake news and things generated by AI. You can t believe anything any more. Photos and articles not done by humans. These things should state where they came.
Debbie	A.	Fake news is a concern
April	N.	Fascism.
Brady	L.	Fear there will be more censorship
David	S.	Fed gov will only allow what their agenda is. ie. electric cars. 15 min city's. climate scare
Joel	M.	Feeds should be based on quality and my own preferences not mandates by government.

Daniel	_	Feels like censorship.
		Fewer services willing to enter the market, small services being unable
Jeffery	G.	to meet the regulatory burden, less competition and thus more monopolization.
Paul	L.	Filtering of the news to align to the party in power or to create propaganda and twist the truth should never be allowed the same thing happened in another country in 1938 that lead to the most disastrously outcome of modern history
Sean	L.	Filtering of things I want to see. Promoting of sub par Canaduan content that cant stand on it's own without the CRTC.
Roy	S.	Finding the truth. The one covid has shown us is the great lengths big business and government will lie to get to their agenda. I want to see and hear all sides before I make my decision.
Hayden	G.	FIRE PABLO RODRIGUEZ. STOP TRYING TO POLICE GEN Z. BILL C-11 AND BILL C-18 ARE TRUE TESTAMENTS TO HOW OUT OF TOUCH THE TRUDEAU ADMINISTRATION HAS BECOME. FOR A LIBERAL PARTY THESE NEW BILLS ARE VERY FASCIST AND I BELIEVE THEY ARE INFRINGING ON MY RIGHTS. LIBERAL PARTY HAS LOST THE VOTES OF MYSELF AND ALL THOSE AROUND ME.
George	C.	First it will be more Canadian content and then very soon I can see the Canadian televisions and cable providers asking the CRTC to do something more that helps them and doesn't help me. The CRTC has already proven itself to be pro-business instead of pro-Canadian and all of a sudden I will be forced to look at content that I don't give a crap about.
Lynda	Н.	First of all, discussing Canadian Content is ridiculous. The Canadian media and government do everything the US does. I do not want ANYTHING CENSORED. This is supposed to be a free country (and it is anything but). I am tired of the government muscling into every part of our lives.
James	G.	First, I oppose censorship in any form, and bill C11 is censorship Second, holding my head over an unflushed toilet bowl won't entice me to change my views on the smell, the correct action is to flush the bowl and bill C11 along with it

Susan	W.	First: I want to be able to find and watch/read material that is interesting, informative or entertaining. Government censorship for so-called mis-information is not acceptable to me. I need and choose to access whatever I find, without some other nanny choosing what I can or can't see or hear. Second: Can-Con is a relic of the pre-internet past and should be eliminated. With the reach that entertainers now have, via the internet, those with talent will find an audience. Requiring broadcasters (radio, tv, internet) to give sub-standard performers a certain amount of air time does not improve the quality of material available. If CBC wants to promote Canadian Content, let them do so.
Prof. Michael	P.	Following other examples, (Countries) is not always best for Canada.
Ron	P.	For some reason the government thinks it should be allowed to do our thinking for us. I was born with a working brain, and believe it is my right to look at what I want, and decide what I don't want to watch. I am not interested in having the government try to take over my thinking. When the only things government wants me to see is what fits their agenda, I know our country is in serious trouble. I believe in balanceotherwise things will topple over. That is what is happening now. The over reach is pure insanity.
Stephen	Y.	For the most part I'm against censorship. Except in the cases of child pornography, grotesque blood letting, and woke/transgenderism propaganda from government and educational sources.
Jean	S.	For years the internet has been free. Users could select the content that interested them and stay away from what they did not like. It is extremely disturbing that the government wants to interfere with Canadians' freedom to chose their news and entertainment sources. I think the reaction of large international media platforms like Facebook is a strong signal the government of Canada is on the wrong track and is grossly overreaching their mandate and undermining citizens' freedom of choice. And to what end? To control the information flow so that it is always and only favorable to their platforms and projects? In some circles that is known as propaganda. In others it is brainwashing. It should not be happening in Canada.
Diane	M.	Force feeding CanCon on the population belittles it by making it akin to propaganda.

Philippe		Force feeding CanCon on the population belittles it by making it akin to propaganda.
arla	L.	Forced content to feeds, the feeds should be left for the individual.
Tony	G.	Forced to see stuff I don't nt care about. Stealing my right to choose.
Brandon	D.	Forcibly removing and changing News & Media, I'm not a baby or child, I SHOULD BE ABLE TO CHOSE MY OWN CONTENT TO WATCH, NOT DECIDED FOR ME.
Kevin	Н.	Forcing a site to comply with CANCON in the 2020s is a bit weird. If the Canadian Govt supported these creators etc more. There shouldn't be a need for it. If something NEEDS to be implemented, then Have any results from Canada, or Canadian Creators flagged with a noticeable marker. Something simple like a Canadian Flag, or Hockey Stick.
Stuart	D.	Forcing Canadian content down my throat!
Doug	S.	Forcing Canadian content when that's not what I'm looking for is just plain frustrating and annoying. I shouldn't have to be force fed content I have no interest in.
Brian	Н.	Forcing CanCon on us means people will hate CanCon because it's not what we wanted to watch. This will hurt Canadian content producers because their content will be marked as bad by people it wasn't intended for.
Derek	H.	Forcing content and/or creators I'm not interested in/don't agree with into my feed(s) simply because they meet some made-up criteria that make it/them Canadian. This means the CRTC has access to view and modify what I see on the various sites/hosts, and thus what I watch and who I follow. The various sites' algorithms are bad enough as it is, I don't want a State entity directly regulating what's OK and what's not, what's a joke and what's offensive.
Daniel	A.	Forcing more content in a world where content is produced everywhere will only force resentment.
PAT	B.	Forcing or limiting content are agressions against Canadian fundamental freedoms, and are nothing less than authoritarian control measures.
Erik	W.	Forcing platforms to remove existing content in favor of carrying more Canadian content would be a lose lose situation for consumers and industry.

	II GII	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Patrick	L.	Forcing services like Netflix to pay fees to CanCon means they will either pass the cost onto the consumer or close their services or parts of their services in Canada. It also means that many new online platforms will exclude Canada because they will not want to deal with the costs associated with C-11. Many of these platforms contain Canadian content, but it will no longer be available to Canadians. This bill ensures that there is less Canadian content. Who wants to deal with ensuring that Canadian content is on the top of their platform when it's where new content usually goes? It's a pain for these platforms, and they will lose money. I know how to use the Internet. I know how to find Canadian media if I want to. I don't need it forced on me. There will be ways to bypass a lot of this, and I assure you that I will install whatever software/script/extension allows me to avoid this ridiculous bill. Sadly, there isn't much that I can do about all the content that we will never get because Canada just declared war on most media platforms.
Colin	W.	Forcing the social media platforms to turn off the algorithm that forces the MAD model of moral outrage to be used as an engagement tool to produce more money and produce discourse and funds misinformation and disinformation to spread online and putting democracy in danger.
Larry	Н.	Foreign or domestic sites that carry misleading unverifiable information that distorts reality and facts.
Jonathan	В.	Foreign services blacklisting Canada, just like Meta and Google are doing by our requirement they compensate journalists for absconding with news for free. This is forcing Canadian news providers to downsize or go out of business.
`Robert	M.	Foreign services should be required to pay something into CanCon based on their user base. If a company starts to stream canadian content or produce canadian content then their payments should be reduced accordingly. What these companies are charged should be minimal so that it doesn't drive them out of our markets.
Shmuel	L.	Frankly, I don't believe that the government should be dictating what I want to watch or what should be promoted to me. It's none of their business nor that of the CRTC. This is a gross overreach. If Canadian content is compelling, I, other Canadians, and other international viewers will anyway be interested in viewing it. And if not, then no one has any interest in viewing it anyway. The broadcast act regulating Canadian content may have made sense when all content was broadcast and there were limitations on the ability of creators to share and viewers to view. This whole concept is outdated and no longer relevant. Having said

		that, the definitions of Canadian content are utterly ridiculous when considering online content. Content created by Canadians, about Canadian topics, and viewed nearly exclusively by Canadians, when posted on YouTube is not considered Canadian content for some insane reasons. At a minimum, if you are still considering pursuing this atrocity of a policy, you MUST fix the definition of Canadian content to be rational and sane. Of course, it would be better if the government stayed in its place and kept out of the business of individual Canadians and content creators.
Douglas	B.	Free and open access to the Internet and communication services by any interested user is vital to maintaining an open dialogue and spurring creativity among users. Large corporations have co-opted the model to provide content others paid to have developed, and they should start giving back. As far as my online experience, I do not find much 'Canadian Content' that satisfies me. Most of what passes for Can-Con is mainstream news programs re-packaged for Internet distribution. I come to the internet for information that is outside of what is conveyed by Canadian media. I would not appreciate my searches being weighted to Can-Con approved content to meet a guideline imposed by our Government. We already see the impact in the form of French language ads, fewer Non-Canadian outlets available on the News channel of YouTube, or this: the last 5 season of Sterling Archer only available in French. Thanks for that Can-Con. The idea of a Great Wall in Canada 'protecting' Internet users from foreign content goes against the very concept of an Internet. Why should a bureaucrat in another part of the country control what I can see and hear or read? Our country thrives on the sharing of information and attempts to control the spread only lead to more eyes on screens.
Philippe	L.	Free speach
Joyce	L.	Free speech
roy	r.	free speech
Rod	R.	Free speech
Nathalie	L.	Free speech
chuck	S.	FREE SPEECH ABILITY TO SEE WHAT I WANT
seth	C.	Free speech !!! Dont Censor !!

	T CIT	FREE SPEECH CENSORSHIP BEING WATCHED BEING
Tracy	T.	CONTROLLED TOKD WHAT YO LIKE WHAT NOT TO LIKE A I CHATGPT
Dion	L.	Free speech doesn't require a regulating body. It's the death of free speech instead
Richard	S.	Free speech free to see certain content that you should not be controlling we been fine up to now power grab the way I see it total corruption total dictatorship
Jeremy	V.	Free Speech is an integral part of any and all forms of democracy. What makes one think the Government - or the Collective - is more informed or more morally grounded than the individual citizen. As we have seen, time and time again, lies being sold as truth by our media, our 'educational' system and by far too many of our elected officials - who never seem to be working on behalf of their constituents that put them in office. Canada is not a Canadian country anymore because of DECADES of extremist sedition and treason in my humble estimation. Near everything that this country was founded upon and valued has now been censured, distorted or erasedand no one seems to bother to ask why or hold anyone behind this negative changing of our country accountable Bill C-11 just lends credence to the argument above. Less censorship and more information is what is needed Covid showed the entire world that something was wrong and that citizens - the little guy/girl - was being intentionally lied to and used for purely political ends
MIGUEL	Т.	Free speech is essential for all Canadians, not only those that fallow the accepted ideology. Clear and unambiguous calls for violence and hate towards ANY community based on race, sexual orientation, ethnicity, political opinion and others should be censored BUT There must be a clear stipulation and guideline that help distinguishes mere critique opinion from real hate speech and this has to be determine by an independent and non partisan entity that garanties that Canadian can express themself without fear of any harming repercussion (censorship, persecution, mob justice and false allegations) just because his opinion is contrary to another.
Ken	M.	Free speech is essential in a free society!
Rock	V.	Free speech is not a previlege. News media should be manage by private sector, not government

	an	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Dave	R.	Free speech is paramount to a democratic society, and unfortunately that means some free speech will be uncomfortable and offensive to some people. Opinions matter, even unpopular ones.
Kyle	В.	Free speech will continue to be taken away. Canadians will have no option but to listen to what the liberal government wants them to hear. That sounds more like a dictatorship to me.
Sandra	C.	Free speech. Government dumbing down the population.
Darcy	W.	Free speech. It should not be the government Objective to suppress anything we all have brains we can decide what is right and what is wrongand what WE want to believe insame as we have for the last hundred year. I hope the CRTC has some morals to know that all this is not right it is to please an agenda from some other country and to stop us from hearing the actual truth
Andrew	D.	Freedom
Kylan	F.	Freedom
Aimen	Z.	Freedom and creativity that can impact creators being under the pressure to produce more Cancon.
Mike	S.	Freedom and less not more burocrats in my life.
Colt	G.	Freedom has been turning into a laughable concept thanks to the current governments overreach on all Canadians. It's embarrassing and abhorrent and not even the things we choose to see are safe from control anymore. We are not china and the last time I checked we were supposedly the home of the free. If I would like to see what's actually happening in the world and not just the censored news fed through the legacy media then that should be my own business like every other first world country.
Cathy	D.	Freedom if my gid given rights- Trudeau is a communist dictator
Arlene	B.	Freedom is being stifled by the government. Grown adults should be free to watch what they want. Canadian content should never be forced on it's citizens. They should compete just like everyone else. Media should not be funded by the government, period!
Colleen	E.	Freedom is letting people decide for themselves, all else in tyranny.

Freedom is more important than pushing canadian content. Regulation to enforce canadian content is scary and negative. It would be acceptable a popular in canada or made in canada section, as well as funding canadian content creators, but anything that subtly modifies results and content I watch should never be adopted, government should promote freedom above all.  John S. Freedom of choice  Colin L. freedom of choice  Alexandr e N. Freedom of choice censuship of what can or cannot be streamed or search on web Government over reach  Freedom of choice , as Canadians we have the right to decide whether we want to watch Canadian content or not . Imposing laws that manipulate searches on what Canadians can access on internet, social media , etc. goes against democracy and we are supposed to live in one. We don't need the government to police and make decisions for us.  Don G. Freedom of choice at decidency and we can watch.  Diane C. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done Robert B. Kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.		ii aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
L. freedom of choice  Alexandr e N. Freedom of choice censuship of what can or cannot be streamed or search on web Government over reach  Freedom of choice , as Canadians we have the right to decide whether we want to watch Canadian content or not . Imposing laws that manipulate searches on what Canadians can access on internet, social media , etc. goes against democracy and we are supposed to live in one. We don't need the government to police and make decisions for us.  Don G. Freedom of choice as to what we can watch.  Diane C. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done Robert B. kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.		В.	to enforce canadian content is scary and negative. It would be acceptable a popular in canada or made in canada section, as well as funding canadian content creators, but anything that subtly modifies results and content I watch should never be adopted, government should
Alexandr e N. Freedom of choice censuship of what can or cannot be streamed or search on web Government over reach  Freedom of choice , as Canadians we have the right to decide whether we want to watch Canadian content or not . Imposing laws that manipulate searches on what Canadians can access on internet, social media , etc. goes against democracy and we are supposed to live in one. We don't need the government to police and make decisions for us.  Don G. Freedom of choice , Ammy choice  David D. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done Robert B. kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	John	S.	Freedom of choice
Freedom of choice, as Canadians we have the right to decide whether we want to watch Canadian content or not. Imposing laws that manipulate searches on what Canadians can access on internet, social media, etc. goes against democracy and we are supposed to live in one. We don't need the government to police and make decisions for us.  Don G. Freedom of choice, Ammy choice  David D. Freedom of choice as to what we can watch.  Diane C. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation.  Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to Jeffrey  J. K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	Colin	L.	freedom of choice
we want to watch Canadian content or not . Imposing laws that manipulate searches on what Canadians can access on internet, social media , etc. goes against democracy and we are supposed to live in one. We don't need the government to police and make decisions for us.  Don G. Freedom of choice ,Ķmy choice  David D. Freedom of choice as to what we can watch.  Diane C. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done Robert B.  B. Kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.		N.	·
David D. Freedom of choice as to what we can watch.  Diane C. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done Robert B. Kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	Julie	S.	we want to watch Canadian content or not . Imposing laws that manipulate searches on what Canadians can access on internet, social media , etc. goes against democracy and we are supposed to live in
Diane  C. Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.  Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to Jeffrey  J. control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	Don	G.	Freedom of choice ,Ķmy choice
Freedom of CHOICE Freedom of THOUGHT Freedom of FREE SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done kaput!  Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation. Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	David	D.	Freedom of choice as to what we can watch.
SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done Robert  B. Freedom of choice should be paramount to controlled legislation.  Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill  K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	Diane	C.	Freedom of choice at anytime on line or on tv.
Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to control what we watch or from what country it comes from.  Bill K. Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.	Robert	В.	SPEECH Don't dictate to me what I can say do or think. There were wars fought against the so called Social Democracy such as Communism and Nazism. And you have uneducated people from other countries being elected into our Parliament as MP and MPP's who know nothing of this countries history. Even the ones born here know nothing of our countries history. I'm sick of this bs and Mr. Trudeau and his cronies along with the Conservative party who are nothing but a bunch of liberals in conservative clothing for the last 50 years. Canada is done
	Jeffrey	J.	Canadian content should stand on it's own merits, not be forced or artificially guide our viewing choices. We do not need the government to
Tino P. Freedom of choice!!	Bill	K.	Freedom of choice to read or see what I want.
	Tino	P.	Freedom of choice!!

Lynn	L.	Freedom of choice.
Linda	M.	Freedom of choice.
Tim	D.	Freedom of choice.
Lambert	D.	Freedom of choice. Freedom of expression, Basically fundemental democratatic frreedoms. Too much governmental control is very dangerous.
Gareth	Н.	Freedom of choice. I don't want to be penalized for choosing to watch content the government deems offensive. I don't believe the government can define what Canadian content is.
GEOFFR EY	S.	Freedom of content government shouldn't be involved like China is and control what we're allowed to see and hear
Lisa	В.	Freedom of expression and the freedom to engage with content that I find meaningful and relevant.
Jason	M.	Freedom of expression is done away with and it is subject to much bias and for unjust reasons/control. Taking away our rights as Canadians.
Lesley	R.	Freedom of expression.
Phil	R.	Freedom of information
Kevin	B.	Freedom of information
Elizabeth	V.	Freedom of information is vital.
Leona	S.	Freedom of information, Speech. Ability to access all types of educational information. I choose independent media over mainstream as it is not owed by the government.
joni	k.	Freedom of Information.
Alessand ra	P.	Freedom of information.
Danish	М.	Freedom of information. I don't want to be limited to where the information is readily available from, when there is something to be learned from everywhere. Especially from top content creators that exist outside of Canada.
Shirley	S.	Freedom of religious contents

		a dictatorial-type of government with hands into everything restricting and removing our freedoms. We don't want Canada to become a
		Freedom of speech and expression should not be taken from us. It is and should continue to be our choice to decide what to watch and what to listen to. Canada and Canadians need to remain free. Nobody wants
Alexande r	E.	Freedom of speech and expression of opinions.
Lorraine	D.	freedom of speech and choice
Brad	K.	FREEDOM OF SPEECH !!!
Justin	В.	Freedom of speech, freedom to explore peoples various opinions online. News networks that aren't funded by the federal government. I can decide what's canadian and what's not. So the biggest change will be freedom of information. with out it we will just be subject to a controlled narrative. If it goes through I probably won't use the internet unless absolutly needed. I don't watch the news anymore same will go for the Internet. I use to be proud of my country, I'm far from that now.
Christina	F.	Freedom of speech , freedom content , freedom of expression
Simon	M.	freedom of speech
Dennis	K.	Freedom of speech
Julie	P.	FREEDOM OF SPEECH
Janet	B.	Freedom of speech
Lorraine	Н.	Freedom of speech
Zbigniew	R.	Freedom of speach is compromised. C-11 is not needed in democracy.
Eric	P.	Freedom of search without skewed results is all I care about. Take CanCon and throw it in the trash - if content can't survive without govt help them it's not worth protecting.

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Cindy	E.	Freedom of speech and the ability to watch/listen to what I want when I want
Samanth a	R.	Freedom of speech and truth of information being shared
Paula	B.	Freedom of speech Communism
Deborah	B.	Freedom of speech greatly diminished. Canadian and local news less available. Journalism is threatened as an industry and news source.
Bill	C.	Freedom of speech is a fundamental right and should not be regulated by government.
Michael	W.	Freedom of speech is essential for a free society. If Canada does nit make content that is good enough to compete with foreign content it should simply not get watched. This is the only fair way for Canadian content to meet quality requirements. If it is crap I don't want it because it is Canadian. Canadian content should be as good as other content. Any pushing of Canadian content takes away my right to see what I think is best. Just because something is Canadian doesn't mean it is good.
Damon	T.	Freedom of speech is removed as a result of this bill. Canadians should be free to view what they okease and decide for themselves what is information and what is propaganda. Government has no right to decide for its citizens
Angela	M.	Freedom of speech is the biggest one for sure. I also do NOT want any edited, manipulated, search results or information. ALL online content coming from Big Tech, media, etc. should be accurate, FACTUAL and unbiased. People need to be able to view and gather ALL information there is and then make up their own minds. We don't need the government to babysit us or tell us what is right for us and our children. The amount of government overreach that has happened over the last three years is appalling and unacceptable. We do NOT require or want any more restrictions, and that includes online content.
Dr K.	A.	Freedom of Speech must be upheld. Less government control, more freedom.
Joyce	L.	Freedom of speech must be upheld. No censorship is necessary. Each individual has the right to hear/see views of others and make our own individual choices. Without differing views isn't that propaganda? Thank you for hearing my input.

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Nicole	B.	Freedom of speech or desenting voices. Everyone should be allowed to voice their views and opinions without censorship.
Kennith	B.	Freedom of speech should not be regulated you communist pigs.
Jasna	Z.	Freedom of Speech to different views and opinions. Not being able to see real time videos around the world. Freedom to choose what to stream, which videos to watch, what news to follow, podcast to listen, movies on streaming services to watch etc. True choice should be mine.
Ashley	G.	Freedom of speech, freedom of information
Tracey	M.	Freedom of speech, freedom of knowledge will be taken away from us. Censorship would be in full affect.
Nora	A.	Freedom of speech, i.e., the right to call a spade a spade!
Mary Anne	О.	Freedom of speech, the right to travel, information about how other countries live, watch whatever we want is a decision that should be made by you not government interference! We are a multi cultural society so with that in mind we all have different interests and languages to live here in Canada. We the people of Canada have the right to free speech, to decide for ourselves content of viewing that we choose. If not, why pay for internet to be apart of what is going on in all nations and join the world together through REAL NEWS, and television programs of interest to us the people. It should all be a free choice, no Government has the right to interfere!
Michael	P.	FREEDOM OF SPEECH, THOUGHT & INFORMATION. STOP THE INSANITY; JAIL THIS CRIMINAL PM
Dave	R.	Freedom of speech, expression
Rees	Н.	Freedom of speech. diversity of opinions. This is very concerning to me.
Darryn	E.	Freedom of speech. Freedom to not be censored.
Roger	W.	Freedom of speech. Open opinions good or bad.
Josephin e	M.	Freedom of speech. The right to choose what I wish to listen to. Thinking for myself should not be an option.
Scott	Н.	Freedom of thought and freedom of choice.
Derrill	Н.	Freedom of thought and ideas makes us a free nation. Taking that away it promotes a police state. I listen to very little Canadian Media propaganda especially when it is funded by the government. I will watch

		a hockey game if it is on the CBC but otherwise I never listen to that media venue now or in the future. I want different points of view and not a media bias favouring left wing ideas.
Dylan	L.	Freedom of viewing/gaming choice And being band form having a voice
Muriel	M.	Freedom to choose. Freedom for Independent media persons to be able to broadcast what they want. They need access freedom to rebroadcast Canadian and world wide news without paying. The air waves should be free. We as Canadian citizens are already paying for the news through the Federal government taxation and thus independent media shouldn't pay again. That is like what happens when we buy second hand goods. Tax was paid on the new purchase then when it is sold as second hand it is taxed again.
Starr	G.	Freedom to express opinions No Debating My choice over what I read and write Government control and posturing
L	B.	Freedom to hear unbiased information,Ķfreedom of religion. We are not a communist country and should be free to communicate with one another.
Evelyn	S.	Freedom to interact with desired content and creators in a desired ways without interference.
Gary	Н.	Freedom to read or view the content I choice, not what the government dictates
Steve	F.	Freedom to search anything anywhere.
Gunther	M.	Freedom to see what I want, hear what I want. Censorship, loss of open global media from sources I want. Loss of freedom to chose. To much government control. I will see hear Only left wing media
Barb	C.	Freedom to think, read, discuss, debate and voice must prevail. Quash Bill C-11 entirely. We don't need it. Stop wasting Canadian taxpayer funds now!
Joanne	A.	Freedom to view whatever one wants. Censorship by the Government should NEVER happen. We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC.
Brandon	S.	Freedom too learn
Lars	L.	Freedom!

Ngosa		From my understanding creators and media services will not have enough freedom to speak on issues that challenge the government rhetoric, therefore I am for the bill.
Xavier-Mi chael	L.	Fuck C-11. Just scrap it.
Ryan	N.	FUCK C11 AND EVERY SELL OUT WHO VOTED FOR IT. C11 IS THE OPPOSITE OF FREEDOM. CANADA, IT'S GOVERNMENT, AND IT'S AGENCIES ARE SELL OUT FUCKS WHO CAN ALL SUCK DICK. SINCERELY. Censorship is manipulation and dishonesty by default. C11 is nothing but censorship. Anyone who supports it can choke and die for all I care, as they prove they do not care about this nation or it's people. This is pure Trudeau narcissistic nonsense. The only way he can even hope to continue his destruction of Canada, is if he can control the bullshit narratives he concocts. C11 is his tool to accomplish this. FUCK ANYONE AND EVERYONE WHO VOTED FOR IT.
andrew	b.	Fuck this bill. I already watch the Canadian content I want to watch. Don't mess with my algorithms.
Kathy	V.	Full-blown censorship is rearing its ugly head.
Derek	C.	Fund creators, not agencies. Giving creators the ability to have their voices heard (through proper funding) will increase canadian content. Mandates will not. Creators should be determining Canadian content, not bureaucrats.
John M.	D.	Funding for more Canadian creators
Kevin	S.	Further manipulation of my media choices beyond what the large companies are already doing. The manipulation being opaque to consumers. Further concentration of power over over Canadian media in a few large companies (Bell, Rogers, etc) Being a precedent for other countries to do the same (What happens if every country does this and the minimum content requirements add up to more than 100%?) fragmenting internet media into little national walled gardens, or failing that retaliation against Canadian media, including smaller Canadian media that doesn't count as official cancon. Suppression of smaller media sources, including Canadian ones in favour of large, approved cancon. Plenty of the media I watch on YouTube and Nebula is Canadian, but it's not made by big companies like Bell or Rogers, so it's not cancon. They will get suppressed rather than supported by this. If we want to support Canadian expression we shouldn't being doing it by

		iework regarding contributions to support ouridian and indigenous content
		suppressing other expression, especially not other Canadian expression just because the Canadians in question aren't a large and politically connected corporation.
Yurek	K.	General content will be less available due to the Canadian content omnipresence dictated by the bill C-11.
IAIN	M.	Generally, too much governmental control over our everyday lived experience
Dennis	Z.	Get any government control out of the public arena except dangers to children and law and order. Bring morality and decency back to the public square.
Anthony	G.	Get gov't out of our lives and its none of your business!
Carly	R.	Get rid of B C-11 NOW.
John	M.	GET RID OF C-11 AND C-18. The Internet is in no way like radio or TV. PERIOD.
Robyn	M.	Get rid of the bill and give us back our freedom
Glenn	L.	Get rid of the CRTC. Since the CRTC created the CCTS & the CCTS has ZERO power - eliminate that useless organization. Since Bell Canada regulates the CRTC - eliminate the CRTC.
Louis	G.	Get the fuck out of regulating of the internet. Censorship and control over what i see is none of your damn business. I Will what I want from the country I wish without your big controling paw I will consume canadian content only if I deem it good and appealing to me not forced down my throat.
Kim	M.	Getting real news instead of Liberal propaganda
alan	B.	Given that I don't use 'social media' like Facebook, Twitter, TikTok etc. on principle to protect my personal data, not much. It might affect my streaming services like AppleTV+ if CanCon has to be prioritized - but then again, I tend to use 'search' rather than 'browse' to find new content that I have read about in other non-broadcast media. Newspaper, magazine, subscription emails, etc.
Toni	M.	Given the passing and implementation of Bill C-18, which has already lead to Meta restrictioning Canadians from using Google to search for news, I am worried another bill of this similar scope will force Canadians

Jason	W.	Global events, the truth, alternative view points, protests from foreign countries
Anne-Ma	M.	Giving the CRTC regulatory powers over all audiovisual content on the Internet is dangerous and dumb. The CRTC will be basing its CanCon definition of content on a 1980s point system for legacy broadcast media. For example, the CRTC deemed that the Handmaid's Tale, a really successful series, was not Canadian content. So, according to the CRTC, a series based on Margaret Atwood's work is not considered Canadian. This two time Governor General award winner is not Canadian enough? Really? I do not want the CRTC to have the right to monitor online visual content. That they do a dreadful job with TV has already been proven. I have not had cable TV for years and I do not miss it in the slightest. I am happy with the variety of shows and movies I can stream through Britbox, Crave, Acorn, Netflix, Prime and others and I do not want the CRTC to monitor this content. The Online Streaming Act will result in Rogers and Bell increasing their stranglehold on what Canadians can and cannot watch. What about looking into the fact that we have so little choice in our providers? Or do those same providers support politicians so well, that no one is willing to rock the boat? I am increasingly tempted to see if I can acquire a US Internet connection through a satellite, so that I am not limited to watching and listening to content that is deemed appropriate for me. I am rather left leaning in my politics, but the Canadian government's continuing support for Bell, Rogers (and in the area of Internet connections, Telus), is making me think I should be looking into other alternatives as the Canadian government is not looking out for the Canadian consumer. The world is increasingly global, other alternatives should not be difficult to find. Do you really want to drive Canadian subscribers out of Canada? You are doing a great job providing the incentives for this action.
Amanda	W.	Giving the ability to create an echo chamber over to a government entity. The search modification and personal recommendations in particular could be misused and if so, who would be responsible? The government? The CRTC as an enterprise? The individual people who work at the CRTC? If there is to be a hand of control over online content, then there needs to be a clear line of sight as to who will be responsible if that power is misued.
		under major internet censorship that we could have never anticipated when tabling these bills.

Louis	B.	Globalization means no interruption and freedom for any information. Let the Canadians decide what to watch, we are paying for it, my hard earned money. Why would someone decide for me what to watch? I'm mature enough and old enough to know if it's fake or the truth.
Darryl	M.	good content will generate more interest and distribution, weak content will not. It should not be the government deciding what you can and can not see.
Francis	L.	Google & Facebook stopping any news content on their platforms. I like to see other content from other countries, not only Canadian content
Stépha ne	D.	Gouvernement interference
Robert	C.	Gov censorship is totally unacceptable, i will watch whatever I want to watch regardless of what the gov wants and this should be a basic expectation in a supposed free country. Rest assured I will vote for any party who will restore our basic freedoms, delete this overreach legislation and other corrupt laws that contravene our basic rights and charter rights, and dump the corrupt liberals from power.
Maxime	C.	Governement should't decide on what I watch online period. Even if you mess things up, people will find ways to bypass what you are trying to accomplish, and again, wasted money. Stop wasting my money it's enough, I'm sick and tired of my gov.
Gary	C.	Government telling me what I can watch on my TV. Crtc has way too much control as it is.
Grayson	Н.	Government abuse of c11 around labeling content or news creators that may write or publish stories or leaked information about scandals or corruption. Misuse of the terms misinformation
Ace	C.	Government approved curated news
Erik	S.	Government banning media that goes against their narrative. Only having one side and government having totalitarian control over what you see.
lan	F.	Government being where government shouldn't be. Fix our bloody hospitals and roads and stay out of people's business.
Aaron	P.	Government censorship

	-	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Tudor	C.	Government censorship 100%, just like we had in the Communist Eastern Block we escaped from!! It's deja vu for all of us from Eastern Europe!!
michael	q.	Government censorship and government misinformation
Steve	G.	Government censorship and the artificial elevation of Canadian content in search results/feeds
Leonid	W.	Government censorship Dictatorship No access to independent news Internet isolation Worsening quality of CanCon Big producers leaving Canada Small producers defaulting
Ray	A.	Government censorship for political motivations.
Tom	Н.	Government censorship in a democracy should always raise concern. It erodes personal choices and narrows point of view.
Jonathan	R.	Government censorship in the way of ranking up what they, as administration, deem correct and proper, which is a gross violation of freedom of speech and our intelligence as consumers/general public. We do not need a paternalistic authoritarian method of deciding what we should or not consume,see, read or hear.
scott	t.	Government censorship is a very slippery slope, and could be used to censor exposing government corruption.
David	L.	Government censorship is of great concern. I do not have faith that of current government will not manipulate access to content that is critical to them or their world view.
Amanda	Н.	Government censorship of anything that Justin Trudeau doesn't agree with.
Chris	C.	Government censorship of information.
Wallace	J.	Government censorship of media which usually highly biased.
Joel	K.	Government Censorship over content relevant to me.
JAMES	W.	Government censorship to hide their unethical behavior from citizens.
Asif	Q.	Government censorship to what it deems acceptable and favourable. Suppression of opposition voices, open debate, criticism lack of informed consent or education of issues dumbing down of population to passive compliant citizens

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
John	S.	Government control
Laurie	M.	Government Control and not allowing Canadians to be informed other than their propaganda.
Mark	T.	Government control o personal choice.
mike	d.	Government control of anything under our current government will be disasterous like everything Trudeau touches.
julie	r.	government control of online platforms not allowing freedom of speech
Adam	S.	Government control of political expression through directives to the CRTC. Censorship.
William	P.	Government control of the media is unwanted and unnecessary. Already I'm seeing less Canadian news content on popular websites. It is replaced by foreign sourced blog articles lacking any legitimate fact checking and low-quality AI content. Bill C-11 has guaranteed the average Canadian will have less exposure to fact-based articles written by Canadians. Please limit the damage by the poorly conceived and executed legislation. The informational void risks being filled by foreign bad actors who have previously attempted to manipulate Canadian voters.
Greg	W.	Government control of the media. Media should be free and should live and die by market forces, not government whim.
Justin	C.	Government control of types of content. That's censorship and control of the conversation which is typically a fascists approach to policy. It is unacceptable. Making canadian content more visible is fine, but controlling types of content is wrong. The language in the bill is dubious at best.
Monika	A.	Government control of what we can or cannot read. Basically censorship. Being fed what the government wants you to hear. Government controlled media like in Russia.
Neil	B.	Government control on what can or cannot be viewed
Kevin	T.	Government control on what I view is never a good thing. I am a tax paying citizen that just wants my own choice on what I watch.
Richard	C.	Government control once initiated will progress to total control and complete loss of freedom of speech and democracy. This is just the first step, 'the foot in the door'.

Benoit	M.	Government control over media in general. We're in a democracy, not a dictatorship. Mister Trudeau likes Chinese control too much, now he wants to control media so he can spread lies and propaganda all he wants.
Garry	H.	Government control over posted and creative content.
Eric R	C.	Government control to produce more Government propaganda and control what you see and watch just like in 1938 Germany.
Oliver	S.	Government control/manipulation of online content. Creating inorganic promotion of content we consume or enjoy simply because it is ,Äòforeign'. Promotion of Canadian content by virtue of it just being ,ÄòCanadian' causing the wrong incentives for Canadian content creators who are then less incentivized to produce quality content or appeal to viewers in the traditional sense. Additionally, the ability of connected individuals and organizations to influence what qualifies as certified ,ÄòCanadian content', especially since this has been poorly considered by the government(if at all) and is simply being thrown to the CRTC to define. Those who can influence the CRTC will be able to exert control and influence over all Canadian content creators and consumers. Freedom of speech is important. Any control over platforms which can influence dissemination or information is dangerous. Viewers can consider this when choosing platforms to consume information from. We should be beyond framing control of media using soft nationalism as a justification.
Ryan	F.	Government controlled media
William	P.	Government controlled media should never be a thing. It's a dangerous step toward totalitarianism. Right from the playbook.
George	V.	Government controlling freedom of speech and expression, and/or controlling content that supports or challenges their political biases and positions
Rickie	R.	Government could control everything you can see. The only controls that should exist is for child pornography, explicit sexual content, descriminatory remarks against women, religion, or race.
Kelly	G.	Government could seek to influence e what Canadians think, instead of letting members of a free society think for themselves.
Philippe	N.	Government CRTC should stay the hell out of our lives

Government does not have any place in restricting what or where I search on the internet. I should be free to choose whatever content I prefer from anywhere the internet serves. I shouldn't be forced to view only Canadian content iA¶ some Canadian content isn't worth my time the same as some foreign content isn't worth my time. I should have the right to choose the type and quality of programming I enjoy regardless of its source. Keep your hands off my personal preferences in streaming online. It is my choice, not CRTC's!!!  Joe J. Government enforced Censorship of t otal available content.  Government funded media is Government controlled, I don't follow Government funded media, as I want honest independent journalism (ie True North, Rebel) and I should be allowed to follow these outlets, and not be controlled by the CRTC and bills C11 & C18.  Government has absolutely no business interfering in operations on the internet. Bill C-11 is another method for the Trudeau government to control information interchange. These are Marxist tactics that are used NORM B. by dictators.  Petru U. Government has already too much to say about what we should know and not know and what we should think.  Government has no place in determining what I chose to watch and listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my government and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by government and what is not place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no place in the control media in any form more than a parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!			lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Government funded media is Government controlled, I don't follow Government funded media, as I want honest independent journalism (ie True North, Rebel) and I should be allowed to follow these outlets, and not be controlled by the CRTC and bills C11 & C18.  Government has absolutely no business interfering in operations on the internet. Bill C-11 is another method for the Trudeau government to control information interchange. These are Marxist tactics that are used by dictators.  Government has already too much to say about what we should know and not know and what we should think.  Government has no place in determining what I chose to watch and listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my Sovernment influencing and inverting the truth on myself and others!  Mark S. Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no right to control media in any form more than a B. parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Government imposition and control. GIVE ME FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Eleanor	S.	search on the internet. I should be free to choose whatever content I prefer from anywhere the internet serves. I shouldn't be forced to view only Canadian content ,Ķ some Canadian content isn't worth my time the same as some foreign content isn't worth my time. I should have the right to choose the type and quality of programming I enjoy regardless of its source. Keep your hands off my personal preferences in streaming
Government funded media, as I want honest independent journalism (ie True North, Rebel) and I should be allowed to follow these outlets, and not be controlled by the CRTC and bills C11 & C18.  Government has absolutely no business interfering in operations on the internet. Bill C-11 is another method for the Trudeau government to control information interchange. These are Marxist tactics that are used by dictators.  Government has already too much to say about what we should know and not know and what we should think.  Government has no place in determining what I chose to watch and listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my  Colette  S. Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no right to control media in any form more than a parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Joe	J.	Government enforced Censorship of t otal available content.
internet. Bill C-11 is another method for the Trudeau government to control information interchange. These are Marxist tactics that are used by dictators.  B. Government has already too much to say about what we should know and not know and what we should think.  Government has no place in determining what I chose to watch and listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my government influencing and inverting the truth on myself and others!  Mark S. Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no right to control media in any form more than a parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Richard	I.	Government funded media, as I want honest independent journalism (ie True North, Rebel) and I should be allowed to follow these outlets, and
Petru U. and not know and what we should think.  Government has no place in determining what I chose to watch and listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my government influencing and inverting the truth on myself and others!  Mark S. Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no right to control media in any form more than a parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Dr. Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	NORM	B.	internet. Bill C-11 is another method for the Trudeau government to control information interchange. These are Marxist tactics that are used
listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my government influencing and inverting the truth on myself and others!  Mark  S. Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.  Government has no right to control media in any form more than a parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Dr. Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  George  B. FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Petru	U.	
Government has no right to control media in any form more than a B. parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Dr. Government imposition and control. GIVE ME FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Colette	S.	listen to. I am intelligent enough to use my discernment to determine what is truth and what is not. Propaganda is real and used by governments around the world including Canada. I do not want my
J B. parental suggestion rating stamp  Government hides things from us. We are old enough to make decisions decide what we should listen to  Dr. Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  George B. FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Mark	S.	Government has no place in the choices of media by free people.
Jodie L. decide what we should listen to  Dr. Government imposition and control. GIVE ME  George B. FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	J	B.	,
George B. FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	Jodie	L.	
Robert P. Government influence and censorship.		B.	·
	Robert	P.	Government influence and censorship.

Rod C. Place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being Oliver W. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for W. users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.  Peter T. Government interference with what I choose to stream  Government intervention is rarely productive, if people aren't watching C canadian content, maybe the content isn't interesting.  government intervention or oversight of my personal communications without a warrant. The government allowed to block content must never be permitted. Rodgers-Shaw must be prevented from becoming a monopoly. Restrict their options for increasing charges without one year richard  h. Government intrusion.  George A. Government should NOT be in the internet.  Covernment must leave the Internet alone. It has no business in what I choose to access. I will vote against any political party that chooses to do so and will actively and vigorously encourage others to do likewise.  Government needs to keep their hands off of content. We need to choose for our selves as a Democratic society demands.	Tony	V.	Government needs to not interfere
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.  Peter T. Government interference with what I choose to stream  Government intervention is rarely productive, if people aren't watching C. Canadian content, maybe the content isn't interesting.  government intervention or oversight of my personal communications without a warrant. The government allowed to block content must never be permitted. Rodgers-Shaw must be prevented from becoming a monopoly. Restrict their options for increasing charges without one year notice. Government should NOT be in the internet.  Dan K. Government meddling in media is bad, stop it.  Government must leave the Internet alone. It has no business in what I choose to access. I will vote against any political party that chooses to	Debra	D.	choose for our selves as a Democratic society demands.
Rod C. place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being Oliver W. forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.  Peter T. Government interference with what I choose to stream  Government intervention is rarely productive, if people aren't watching Canadian content, maybe the content isn't interesting.  government intervention or oversight of my personal communications without a warrant. The government allowed to block content must never be permitted. Rodgers-Shaw must be prevented from becoming a monopoly. Restrict their options for increasing charges without one year richard h. Government intrusion.	Louis	B.	choose to access. I will vote against any political party that chooses to
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.  Peter T. Government interference with what I choose to stream  Government intervention is rarely productive, if people aren't watching Canadian content, maybe the content isn't interesting.  government intervention or oversight of my personal communications without a warrant. The government allowed to block content must never be permitted. Rodgers-Shaw must be prevented from becoming a monopoly. Restrict their options for increasing charges without one year richard  h. notice . Government should NOT be in the internet .	George	A.	Government meddling in media is bad, stop it.
Rod C. Prideau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.  Peter T. Government interference with what I choose to stream  Government intervention is rarely productive, if people aren't watching Canadian content, maybe the content isn't interesting.  government intervention or oversight of my personal communications without a warrant. The government allowed to block content must never be permitted. Rodgers-Shaw must be prevented from becoming a monopoly. Restrict their options for increasing charges without one year	Dan	K.	Government intrusion.
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  Government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for Mike W. users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.  Peter T. Government interference with what I choose to stream  Government intervention is rarely productive, if people aren't watching	richard	h.	without a warrant. The government allowed to block content must never be permitted. Rodgers-Shaw must be prevented from becoming a monopoly. Restrict their options for increasing charges without one year
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  Government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for users and consumers.  Geoffrey N. Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.	Nicolas	C.	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  Government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for users and consumers.	Peter	T.	Government interference with what I choose to stream
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no place in any other room either.  Government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being Oliver  W. forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle  P. Government interference and loss of privacy.  Doug  W. Government interference in freedom of expression.  Government interference in our content and online experience in ANY WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for	Geoffrey	N.	Government interference in this situation is totally unwarranted.
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no C. place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being Oliver  W. forced to watch that crap is offensive.  Earle  P. Government interference and loss of privacy.	Mike	W.	WAY is horrifying. How much more dystopian could you possibly get? There is no possible way that this works out any way but negative for
Rod C. Drace in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being Oliver W. forced to watch that crap is offensive.	Doug	W.	Government interference in freedom of expression.
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no C.  C. place in any other room either.  government interference and forced media campaigns to suit the needs of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being	Earle	P.	Government interference and loss of privacy.
Trudeau said the state has no place in the bedroom - well they have no	Oliver	W.	of the current government.ie electric car adds or climate change etc. government filters of any kind are worrisome. Canadian content is some of the worst content out there as far as tv shows and movies go. being
	Rod	C.	· ·

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Grant	R.	Government needs to stop regulating culture period. I don't want them involved in my day to day experience in streaming content in any way.
Marie-Cla ude	L.	Government over reach and exaggerated censorship
Stewart	J.	Government over reach such as banning of content which criticizes the government it actions, policies, etc.
Andrew	F.	Government over reach. Content should not be moderated or manipulated in any way other than to offer the choice of Canadian content
Gregg	В.	Government overeach
Elizabeth	Н.	Government overreach
Christina	W.	Government overreach and censorship of content that is critical of it.
May	Н.	Government overreach and censorship of independent media not funded directly by the government and or dictated by government agenda.
William	S.	Government overreach in limiting access to information that does not align with government positions. Open access to information without governmental interference is a hallmark of a democracy.
Tracey	B.	Government overreach!!! Canadians should be allowed to read, search, watch and listen to whatever they chose to. This is supposed to be a free country!!!
Jacquelin e	R.	Government overreach.
Norm	M.	Government propaganda
Amber	Н.	Government propaganda is already promoted through government supported media. There should be no government interference in online platforms.
Tom	W.	Government propaganda, not allowed to see content that I deem ok
James	C.	Government propaganda!
Willliam	C.	Government regulated news media in Canada have become farcical parodies of news outlets. Vast numbers of Canadians now rely on social media as their primary news source. If the Government tries to corrupt those media, people will use VPN to hide their location and if the

		government bans VPN people will find another way to communicate. When a government chooses to act against the wishes of its people, more, and more stringent measures will be required until the whole system collapses from the hidden costs of tyranny. When did it become acceptable for a supposedly democratic country to control communication. The answer is never, real democracies don't control communication.
Treya	K.	Government regulating what I see.
G	B.	Government regulating what our country can see.
Michelle	V.	Government restricting and controlling the free flow of information on the internet and replacing it with subsidized Canadian content approved by the government. Let Canadian content compete in the free market - if people want to see it, it will be seen. We don't need the government dictating what we watch.
Mike	L.	Government restrictions what I see.its called freedom of speech and thought.
Alan	S.	Government ruins everything it touches, any attempt to regulate the internet is only going to fail miserably
Michael	L.	Government Sensorship and partisan politics. This is an infringement of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms by or WEF/ Communist Liberal Criminal Organization Puppet PM Trudeau.
Frank	d.	Government should absolutely have no say in the matter of what, where, and how I as a Canadian pensioner and Veteran chooses to inform myself of any information as long as it is legally obtained.
Maegan	L.	Government should not be in the business of controlling the content you consume online period
Karl	Н.	Government should not be meddling in what Canadians view/share/create on the Internet - This is not Russia!
Gord	B.	Government should not censor online content beyond what is already illegal such as child porn and illegally inciting violence.
Michael	M.	Government should not curate any of my searches or be aware of my interests. If Canadian funding is used it should support Canadian content.

JE	G.	Government should not determine what Canadians can see and hear. All views should be allowed Canadians should be able to choose what they hear and hear and make their own decisions. We need freedom of speech and thought.
S	W.	Government should not have any influence on content of any kind.
Eliel	Z.	Government should not interfiere what the population should consume or decide what is good Let the people have their own decision , the Trudeau government is turning Canada in to a communist country. We have issues because of his government , he is abusing the power that people confided on him. It is time for him to steep down. The country is the worse shape ever.
Frederic	V.	Government should not regulate platforms, as this could easily become an attack on free speech, which is also an attack on human rights.
Keith	О.	Government should protect users from abuse, but should not be making curation decisions at the point of consumption. Changing display priority and forcing companies to create content will only drive them away. Instead the focus should be on supporting creation of Canadian content that is of quality to stand alone and be popular of its own merit.
Catherine	D.	Government should stay out of culture.
Chad	M.	Government should stay out of my desired content searches.
jeff	m.	Government shouldn't be vetting what I see on the internet OR on television.
Mark	Н.	Government sponsored censorship
Don	P.	Government telling me what I can or cannot see. Laws and rules only get stronger or tighter over time never smaller or more loose.
Geordie	G.	Government to stay out of our business.
Eric	S.	Government trying to influence what I can and cannot see online and thereby losing access to platforms I may enjoy.
Johnny	M.	Government using the bill to silence dissent which is of course, it's intended purpose.
John	C.	Government wants to control all information that the public sees and as a result gain a brain washed captive group of sheep.

		iework regarding continuations to support Ganadian and malgenous content
Andrew	S.	Government will get to decide what news and information I am allowed to see. Kinda like a communist country.
Diane	V.	Government will have too much control over people's content and block or bury it, if it goes against the ideas of ruling class. Rather than limit or block it, it should be annotated as true or false by some legitimate fact checking entity, and the true names of the originators (not pseudonyms) should be a mandatory part of all content so that creators do not hide behind false pseudonyms. If creators had to publish their true identities they would be less likely to slander and complain about things and more apt to provide more thoughtful responses to an issue rather than knee-jerk hate and lies.
Marlene	B.	Government would control what I can and cannot see.
Will	V.	Government would use it to erase or suppress unflattering past or current coverage. Hand pick winners and losers for search results and manipulate algorithms which would in turn manipulate voters. Block independent media from reaching their audience and blocking their reach to broaden their audience. Above all i would fear government data mining these platforms to obtain information about voters, electoral relevant information and confidential information about donations and subscriber funding. Then use this information illegally to take action against political rivals or individual dissenters. If this government was willing to freeze bank accounts of individual canadians (without a court order) who made perfectly legal donations then i have no doubt they will weaponize anything they can get their hands on.
Ali	E.	Governmental control!
Rajbir	B.	Governmental overreach. This could lead to more censorship and bring about situations similar to those exposed by the Twitter files, whereby Government officials, either directly, or indirectly demanded that social media companies censor the Hunter Biden laptop story, which was true. Furthermore, it could create all kinds of complications based on political ideology. Whoever is in power would promote content they deem good for us and censor anything that would make them look bad. If government is concerned about Canadian content, that incentivize the creation of it.
Greg	B.	Governments or their surrogates will dictate what they want us to see and hear. Which is a waste of taxpayer funds, like the funding of CBC

		which should be able to support themselves. When less than half support them.
Patrick	P.	Governments should not be able to determine what information is available to citizens. This is sensorship and does not belong in Canada.
Ray	U.	Governments, current and future, will use C-11 as weapons to control speech and thought for partizan purposes
Elaine	Y.	Govt hands off on Social media and non main stream media.
Cindy	S.	Govt has no right censoring platforms, since we are Christian natural living
Katherine Curtis	C.	Govt not able to see my searches and have complete control over what I watch or say. I have no more freedom of speech or expression this is unconstitutional
Bryan	K.	Grassroots media production, user-generated content and sharing, such as youtube and facebook, should be allowed to evolve without interference from the CRTC. I'm concerned that under C-11 the material that I'd want to find would be de-prioritized and less discoverable if Canadian content that I wasn't already looking for was pushed to the top.
Alex	H.	Gross censorship. No media should be muted. Who defines what is "appropriate or acceptable"? If someone doesn't like what the see or hear they have the freedom to tune out and not engage. The foundation of modern critical thinking is the ability to share opposing views. Anyone choosing to be radical will naturally not receive support from the reasonable majority. This bill is useless unless intended purely for government dictatorial control.
Toren	D.	Gross unprecedented control. A slippery slope where incentives align with larger businesses. A dangerous precedent.
Daksh	P.	Harming small creators ability to reach audiences outside of Canada.
Ross	P.	Harsh restrictions hurt startups
Alan	B.	Hate crimes over freedom of speech information.
Anne	L.	Hate speech should not be allowed nor pornography or other subversive content
Stephani e	P.	Having access to the information I want to see, not what the government feels I should see

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and malgenous content
Gloria	W.	Having Big Brother government/governmental agencies provided the capacity (legal and logistical) to monitor and manipulate in any way the origin/focus of what I choose to watch/listen to via the Internet.
Zubin	J.	Having Canadian content blocked worldwide as a result of this very short sighted bill.
Savage	R.	Having censorship is not a free country and a very slippery slope. Where does it end.
Adrian	S.	Having choices defined by someone else.
Lindsay	D.	Having content pushed on me because it's Canadian and not because it's my choice. I believe the government is handicapping Canadian content by not forcing such content to compete alongside other creators and instead insulating them in a bubble. The government should have more confidence in Canadian content to be able to maintain a quality equivalent to neither content as we have seen with shows like Schitts creek, degrassi, etc.
Mike	B.	Having CRTC limit what I can see
jayson	I.	Having curated content of what I should be watching according to the government instead of finding my own content I am interrested in.
Kimberly	F.	Having feeds manipulated on platforms I use
Ulugbek	S.	Having less choices when it comes to the information. Someone else deciding what is appropriate for me.
Rose	B.	Having more choices of content and less advertising.
Dave	R.	Having multiple viewpoints on an issue being suppressed. Only the government approved ( crtc) views will be allowed
Christian	S.	Having my access to diverse viewpoints and information blocked by large corporate and political agendas.
Timothy	S.	Having platforms pull out of Canada entirely and having my search results influenced by the government.
Vernon	S.	Having some one change my words and feelings to their words and feelings not accurate! It's deceitful at best! Nothing should be regulating our freedom of speach! leave WE the people alone!! Everything the government touches they screw it up royally!

Michael	W.	Having spent time in 49 countries worldwide, it is my experience that the more influence a government has over what media its people see, the less desirable that country is to live in. Governments use excuses like "security", "safety", "protecting culture", and so on, but there are merely excuses for exercising control. Control economies like China, Cuba, North Korea, Russia, etc are the extreme. But this is a sliding scale: every bit of control exercised by government takes us further along that path. I do not wish to live in Russia.
Myles	M.	Having the ability to find the content we would like to see with ease is something the average Canadian should not have taken away from them. Just because something is curated by the government does not mean it will have our best interests in mind, or even be quality entertainment. Forced quotas to assure that programs are more Canadian have stifled the basic premise of allowing compelling artistry of our own to flourish - and Bill C-11 *directly* continues that trend having learned *nothing*. It has been like this for years, maybe decades. The quality of Canadian legacy media is, simply put, underwhelming and *pathetic*, and as such, I do not have faith that the CRTC should have power over what you or I should see. The truth, is that the Trudeau Administration, who are in close collaboration with the CRTC through this bill, continues to be tone-deaf when it comes to the needs and concerns of its citizens - the inflation crisis, disgusting treatment of the Freedom Convoy, ties to the Communist government of China, government scandals and corrupt bills are all the proof I need to say that the Trudeau government doesn't care to understand us, their own citizens. I don't care if the content I want to watch is Canadian or not. If it is, and the content is good and relevant to my tastes, then that's great. However, and this is the important part, if the content is souless garbage being churned out of a government designed to make the masses more stupid and worthless (at the people's expense), then just what in the world is the point?
Tony	K.	Having the current government censoring small media platforms. While having control of the content of larger media platforms.
Tamara	K.	Having views that are contrary to what the government is having at the moment being censored or deleted is a huge concern. They will say this won't happen but it already is under the guise of hate.
Stephani e	B.	Having your government sway the information you are able to access is a dangerous and terrible thing. No one wants this. No one trusts the government to use this wisely. Scrap bill C-11 immediately.

Here are some my suggestions: 1. Enhanced User Protections: Inclusion of stronger protections for user-generated content. This could include provisions that safeguard users' rights to freedom of expression, privacy, and fair use. Emphasize the importance of preserving individuals' ability to share and create content without undue interference. 2. Transparent Algorithms and Content Moderation: All platforms to be transparent about their algorithms and content moderation practices. This would help address concerns about the manipulation of feeds and the downranking of content. Users should have insight into how content is prioritized, ensuring greater accountability and fairness. 3. Balancing Discoverability: Strike a balance between promoting Canadian content (CanCon) and preserving the ability of users to discover diverse and relevant content. While supporting Canadian content is important, it should not come at the expense of users' ability to access content they are genuinely interested in. 4. User Empowerment and Control: Implement measures that empower users to have greater control over their content consumption. This could include features that allow users to customize their content preferences, opt out of certain recommendations, and have access to clear settings for managing their feed algorithms. 5. Public Consultation and Engagement: The CRTC should actively seek input from a diverse range of stakeholders. including users, content creators, and civil society organizations, to ensure the policies and regulations reflect the needs and interests of the public. 6. Safeguarding Innovation and Competition: Highlight the need to foster an environment that supports innovation and competition in the digital landscape. Ensure that any regulations put in place under Bill C-11 do not unduly burden smaller platforms or hinder the ability of startups to thrive. 7. International Collaboration: Collaborate with H. international counterparts and learn f...

Sanjeev

Here's the issue: According to the government, Bill C-11 will ,Äòincrease visibility' for some officially recognized Canadian content creators, but their tool for doing this is manipulating our playlists, feeds, and algorithmic recommendations. This basically means that the CRTC will be picking winners and losers, forcing some officially recognized content Äòup' in feeds and recommendations, while downranking or hiding other, content we'd otherwise receive. Global content and content that best fits my search criteria as a platform's algorithm understands it will become harder to find. The content I WANT to see that doesn't fit the CRTC's vision of CanCon would be pushed down in my feed. I DO NOT WANT THIS! It should be my choice!

Annabell

S.

		Here's the thing, if we put requirements on companies on how to show content to Canadians, they will just remove Canadians form the platform. Google has already announced they will be removing Canada from it's news service:  https://www.cbc.ca/news/politics/google-canada-online-news-1.6892879
Chantelle	G	So what happens when we get removed from Youtube? Netflix? Disney+? How can services like Crunchyrol even show Canadian content? It's an anime streaming service highlighting content from Japan! Basically, if we go through with this, major platforms will feel that pulling out of Canada is cheaper than dealing with our rules and facing our fines. Then no Canadian content will be shown to Canadians. We'll all use VPNs and say we are in the US to access these services. This is a losing battle. If you want more Canadian content, help Canadians produce more world class content that can compete on the world stage these platforms highlight.
Onantono	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Mary	L.	Hi CRTC I am totally appalled that despite the many experts speaking against Bill C-11, & the majority of voices opposing it, Bill C-11 became law. Shocking undemocratic process. That being said, I dread further government overreach in my ability to see & say what I want online, or to alter my searches. Viewing Canadian content should be one's choice. M. Lekkas
Terry	S.	His entire act limits free speech and should not be enacted into Canadian law.
Sherry	R.	Hitler!
chelsea	p.	honestly super tired of the wild west of internet, i think it's importing american hate up north, causing all kinds of problems, and it's damaging the cultural identity of canada.
Denny	K.	Honing more to add !
Mark	Н.	How about free Netflix because it would be better for disabled people
Andrew	S.	How about leaving the internet a free marketplace where consumers and content creators contribute-to the supply and demand of information.
Aaron	M.	How about you let me decide what I want to watch. I don't care where the content is from if it interests me. I'm an adult who can make my own decisions. If local Canadian content can't live up to content from other providers that is not anyone's fault but the creator of said content.

Brittney		How can we call ourselves a free country if we manipulate how people access information and control which information people can access?
Sanja	C.	How dare you CRTC to manipulate my feeds on internet platforms? Are you installing communism in Canada?
Trevor	K.	how dare you try to controll the news well the internet period i pay for the use of my internet so i should be able to see anything i want including news this govt is corrupted are you !!
M Dale	W.	How do I know that the censors who would make the decisions about content regulation know any better than I what is appropriate for the general public to hear or see? What qualifications do they have? What sort of character do they have? To what kind of influence are they susceptible and from whom? Our systems are getting over-regulated. Keep government, particularly our present Liberal government out of the lives of the people of Canada. Keep the Chinese and the citizens of any other country from influencing our citizens and interfering in any of our elections.
Justin	D.	How do I know what content is being filtered and designed for me? Who gets to decide what is for me? Can I opt-in/out of these? Can I refine the filtering? Why is this being monitored and tracked? Who is this benefitting? I don't see any benefit for me, personally.
Durrell	C.	How I go about consuming the content I want. The world is a vast connected network now; the CRTC should not have the capability to manipulate my search results or recommendations.
Serge	F.	How is that have the C-11 work for you making any sense? How about abolish the bloody C-11 completely? Why is that not an option?
Jonathan	S.	How much control they have over what we choose to view
Maggie	Т.	How the government is censoring any content that they consider MISINFORMATION or DISINFORMATION. The government has NO RIGHT to censor what they feel the Canadian people should see or not see. So called MISINFORMATION or DISINFORMATION has proven to be the truth within days, weeks or months. Besides, the words MISINFORMATION or DISINFORMATION is just another way or saying CONSPIRACY THEORY which is a term coined by the CIA to demonize truth tellers just to sway public opinion. This government wants to censor us like they do in China. In fact, PM Harper started to do the very same thing when he dismantled the Environmental Sciences during his minority and more so in his majority term. People have a right to free

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		speech, which is a natural given right. It is enough that we are treated as slaves and idiots by governmental bodies who are SUPPOSED TO BE WORKING FOR US. not us for them. I have a right to view content to be informed of what is being done to us and the world without our consent. I am not a child or stupid to believe absolutely everything on the internet, nor am I ignorant of the patents that exist to mind control the human psyche to accepting what the government wants us to accept.
Will	C.	how the monetizing for all content on YouTube in a contextual relevant environment will impact my business.
Deb	L.	I believe that freedom of choice and speech is a constitutional right. It always has been and should remain that way - especially in Canada as to what we wabt to watch- see and talk about.
William	D.	I , as a Canadian , Do not require or want any government manipulation regarding the internet . Leave it alone . I do not want any manipulation of what content I can see or is available to me .
Yvonne	C.	I 100% reject Bill 11. It's political. It's censorship.
steven	S.	I abhor the thought that government acts in anyway to control or limit my choices of online activity. I am very concerned about the possibility of a Big Brother controlling or censoring the content I consume or create for political or cultural purposes.i an not a child and do not require politically motivated guidance.
Jodi	Н.	I absolutely do NOT agree with any form of censorship or not showing ALL results. This is not acceptable and is a extreme over reach by all involved.
Nathan	A.	I absolutely DO NOT want my feeds and searches altered to rank Canadian content that i am not interested in and clutters up my feeds. That is the thing i fear and dread about C-11. In fact, the Canadian content I am interested in already shows as needed. Too bad the Liberal government doesn't understand the algorithms already let me get Canadian content if that's what I want. But i fear they will try to push content I don't want and my Youtube feed will get cluttered with lame CBC style content. Get the government out of my business man. I want the ON or OFF option and I will most certainly definitely turn it OFF.
Robert	T.	I absolutely do not want my searches, feeds, playlists or personalised recommendations modified in any way. If I discover that is being done then I will seek out some way to circumvent it. Totally not acceptable!!

Gabriel		I afraid that this C-11 will become a tool for politicians to push what they want us to see and hide what they don't want. Please CRTC do not allow this to happen. Protect this country!
Kurt	M.	I already curate my own feeds and content, I do not need a law to do it for me.
Nicholas	S.	I already feel that some online content has been buried and removed from the internet at this time. The push for more Canadian content is not a bad one, however having the option to turn off this filter is going to have to be a requirement for it to work. Off will have to mean off as well, not just a button that still has much control, but one that will truly turn off the filter.
Bernadett e		I already get far too much US culture on my platforms and streams. This would, I think, increase that horror.
Mason	В.	I already have a feed I enjoy diving into. If we want to push Canadian made content, then Canadian's need to make content that people care to watch. To try and control the flow of information on the internet is a step closer to a regime parallel to China or North Korea. It's not the bill in the present that's concerning, but the bill in 10 years when it gets abused by the wrong people.
Stoyan	G.	I already have problems with this. YouTube channels that I want to subscribe and follow that are US made (from Canadian creators living abroad) ARE ALREADY BANNED IN Canada. This is unbelievable and I expect more to come. Honestly this feels like I am leaving back in Communist Bulgaria. Believe it or not nobody can force me to watch what they want. So far I cannot find anything Canadian made that I like. I am a fan of foreign cinema and foreign art that will probably be blocked in Canada. Please stop this NOW. I myself I am composer and all my followers are from many different countries and Canada is not among my biggest audience (this is the same as my wife's music YouTube channel) I am afraid that our channels will be completely destroyed by this since other countries would not be able to see our channels because of this bill. Our revenue will probably go down drastically. Leave the free market free and if you want to create more Canadian content that is ok but not on our expense .if you want to succeeded just be good at what you are doing and compete . All this smells like communist nonsense to me. Produce more, promote more , create better but not on our backs. If you cannot do it on your own you do not belong in this creative environment in the first place.

Patricia	B.	I already notice changes to the availability of programmes I watch. I have to search for the programmes as they no longer show up on my YouTube account. The default programme is always CBC news which I do not want to watch as it is biased reporting. It is slanted with views which should have no place in News reporting. Just report the news please, facts only.
Caed	M.	I already receive the majority of my digital media from Canadian creators. There is nothing wrong with the way I currently receive Canadian content. All of the services where I would hope to find Canadian media already advertise it to me. The CRTC does not need to control what appears in my feed, and I am not comfortable with the government changing what I can view.
Tristan	Y.	I already watch a fair bit of media produced in Canada, and I don't specifically seek it out. So I guess my concern is that my feed will change, and it will cause me to dump that service. I am fully prepared to cancel streaming services such as YouTube, Disney+, Britbox (which is supposed to be British content), Netflix, Crave, etc., should the CRTC interfere with these platforms in any way.
Mike	M.	I always want the freedom to choose cancon or non-cancon.
Trevor	P.	I am *terrified* that this will be used only to funnel money to Postmedia and other conservative-biased media empires, whilst starving what few progressive outlets we have left. I DO NOT WANT CANADA TO BE LIKE THE UNITED STATES, and the #1 driver of the collapse of the USA into fascism has been the takeover of their media by conservative interests who use that media to disseminate lies and propaganda. That isn't even hyperbole; court case after court case has *proven* that USian conservative media is lies, damned lies, and the literally criminal abuse of statistics. I'm all for investing in Canadian content, but we MUST not allow Canada's media landscape to resemble the US. Or the UK. Or Australia.
Nicole	L.	I am a big fan of CanCon, we need to preserve and promote our arts and culture. In addition, supporting Canadian artists benefits us all in the long run as this provides a platform from which they may more easily rise to become a global success.
Ashley	E.	I am a content creator with a large American audience and. I have a genuine concern this will affect my Small business. I was in the process

		of hiring a video editor and videographer but this bill has paused my entire business plan.
Iclal Berrin	S.	I am a content creator. I'm concerned that viewers won't be able to see the content I generate, that it might be deemed not Canadian enough, since it mostly talks about science, history, and world events.
Alishia	F.	I am a full time content creator using mainly YouTube and 56% of audience is in the USA. Canada comes in at 5% and doesn't seem to have much interest in what I create. I'm worried if these regulations mess with the current algorithm, I may lose my ranking which will directly affect my income. People will consume the content they want to see regardless if it is forced-fed on their feeds. The Federal Government has no place to decide what content Canadians should be consuming. This is my income and I absolutely rely on my channel to sustain myself and my son as a solo parent in these incredibly tough times. Please do not mess with what already works for those of us who depend on an international audience!
Tanya	K.	I am a professional and a highly educated adult that can decide what I want to watch on streaming TV and review over the internet. No government should dictate why I do, especially as it doesn't harm anyone. I want to be fully informed about global media and global situations without monitoring from the government.
Lisa	E.	I am a researcher. My research is international, not national. Restricting access to Canadian content would severely impact my ability to do my research. Please do not assume that the Canadian public are ignorant or mindless! We are fully capable of assessing which information we wish to access.
Farrukh	A.	I am a social media creator with over 12 million followers. I am concerned that manipulation of algorithms will result in other countries (US) taking similar action, resulting in total loss of views. People will also see what is not based on their interests, resulting in poor engagement. That will be a lose lose for canadian creators.
Viorel	R.	I am about to loose my confidence and trust in government platforms regarding the freedom of media in Canada.
Joy	K.	I am afraid I will have a very limited choice on what information I can access if I live in Canada. This would set a precedent for all Canadians to have a severe handicap with regards to access to world knowledge and current state of events.

Jessica	V.	I am afraid of government censorship. The last few years have clearly proven that our government does not have our best interests in mind.
Catherine	S.	I am afraid of what will be considered Canadian Content we are a diverse country and with so much content being made who will determine if something is indeed Canadian enough? If I make content exploring the jungles of Brazil, as a Canadian - is that Canadian enough? If I make exercise content and don't mention where I live and record in my living room that shows no indication that I am in Canada, is that Canadian enough? If I have a cooking show on YouTube and cook food from all over the world, will that be determined as Canadian? What if I cook Jamaican food, seeing as we have a huge Jamaican population, is that Canadian? We are in a content bubble and there are 100 of hours of content being uploaded every hour, if we want to compete on the world stage and not just within Canada we need to be able to upload and not be pigeon held into this Canadian box. We have the talent here, we can make really good content that competes with USA and other countries, give us the freedom to compete in the real world and we will have a place without the 'help' of Bill C-11 - its unnecessary, its not well thought out and it has the potential to backfire. Just have trust that Canadians are talented and can compete without this bill.
Barbara	D.	I am afraid that free speech would be taken away and I am very much pro free speech.
michael	m.	I am afraid that i could be missing a lot of content that is not Canadian. Keep the internet free. What we really need is someone to actually keep the price down but you do not seem to want to do that.
Martin	L.	I am afraid that instead of creating more Canadian content, platforms will just remove foreign content without adding Canadian content, in order to match legal requirements (because this is the only mathematical and economical way for them to abide by the law), which would result in less content overall instead of resulting in an increase in Canadian content. Bill C11 is dumb to start with. It is not a viable way to increase Canadian content creation.
Robert	K.	I am afraid that the mindset used for TV broadcaster regulation will be applied to a la carte type streaming services. Television is exclusively controlled by the content distributors. They decide what the consumer watches and when they can watch it. Streaming services, such as YouTube, allow the consumer to control the what and when of content consumption. Consumption is not passive consumption like TV.

Janice	V.	I am afraid that we will loose content from independent sources and other countries. I don't want to only see Canadian content, I want to be able to find news and entertainment from the whole world. Closing it off to mostly Canadian content is like going to school and only learning history about our own country. It makes us ignorant of the world around us.
Bruce	W.	I am afraid the YouTube algorithm will direct my channel to Canada and punish me internally. 40% of my viewership is American. This would hurt my channel significantly.
Nathalie	L.	I am afraid, you would limit what I have the right to see.
Georgre	K.	I am against ant regulations ENOUGH OF BIG BROTHER
Rob	F.	I am against any form of censorship other than I am totally against the exploitation of any form of child pornography and sexual abuse. We need to have an open dialogue on ideas through film and media. I am concerned that the CRTC would begin regulating free speech to serve political agendas.
Michalina	K.	I am against any kind of Canadian content. If the Canadian content is good Canadians will watch it.
April	S.	I am against any kind of censorship or mandated viewing.
Jean	P.	I am against any sort of manipulation of my searches and feeds on the internet. I WANT to have access to a global repertoire, I WANT to access to the world not only to Local and only Canadian sourced material on the internet. I consider any attempt to limit these wants a step back in terms of Civil and Personal Rights, freedom of Choice, as well as a breach of Economical Freedom and Free Market. I want to have access to International Content, from anywhere in the world from Artists all around the world not only from Canada.
Derek	B.	I am against feed/search result manipulation of any kind and I do not want my personalized recommendations modified. I am concerned that under C-11, I would be forced to use a VPN at all times or be stuck with a Canadian version of the internet rather than the unbiased place the internet should strive to be. I am aware that the internet is far from bias-free but C-11 forcefully manipulating search results would be a massive step in the wrong direction.

Owen	C.	I am against government control of any of my content. The Canadian governnment should only apply Canadian content rules to Canadian media if they are being subsidized by the government.
Mark	P.	I am against government interference in what I watch, period!
trevor	I.	I am against government or big tech being able to modify what I as a user input for search terms.
Gerald	S.	I am already concerned with the limitations placed on my internet access to be informed. Bill C-11 will effectively remove impartial reporting to me of today's Canadian news. International news organizations currently don't have any reason to hide or misreport Canadian news. Once international news sources are removed from my internet provider I will become an ignorant Canadian. Much like Americans who know little about the rest of the world. I want to have all the facts so I have the choice to make up my own mind how I feel about something. If the CRTC restricts my access to all available information I will have no choice to find it other places. I hear the dark web doesn't have any restrictions.
Cheryl	A.	I am always concerned when the public's rights are under attack from the government. I am concerned that we will only be allowed to access information that is controlled by the government, There is a fine line between misinformation and controlled information. The public needs to determine the value of the content for themselves. No one has the right to make those decisions for the individual.
Catherine	P.	I am an adult who doesn't need any government 'parenting' me online. I know what my interests are and where to find them. Why isn't this money and attention going into finding pedophiles and protecting/saving kids and any other sexual deviant behaviours that need locking up??? Honestly, the Canadian government is treating its citizens like communist China and it is bone chilling. Canadians need to really pay attention to this because it is the start of even more nefarious behaviours to come if we aren't paying attention. And by the way, Justin Bieber and Shawn Mendes did just fine without government help
Alban	G.	I am an independent person. I want to make my own decisions about what media I consume. However, I do want to support the continued development of Canadian content. Regulation (a kind of 'affirmative action') has its immediate benefits (more 'Canadian' content) but long-term problems (i.e., an indemnification of political standards rather than artistic ones). My view is that monetary, access encouragements,

		and supports are the correct solution. Telling me what I can or cannot watch is NOT. I think bill C-11, as it stands, contains too many of the negatives I outlined above and few of the positives. Please change it.
Christian e	G.	I am an intelligent, educated ADULT. I do not want ANY government interference in my PERSONAL choices. I will remember which government in power supported this, and I can assure you that you LOST my vote FOREVER.
Joy	S.	I am Canadian and want Canadian news, however, if we don't have the ability to hear and see what is going on elsewhere, and listen to others from their point of view we then settle living in ignorance. And worse, because we are forced into that by our own government. There are examples around the world I'll keep nameless of countries who lead this way. I have no issues with the Government supporting Canadian musicians and artists, but news cannot be controlled by what we hear. Society is in grave trouble in Canada without the ability to have the freedom of choice. Our laws alrwady protect those who abuse now they want to protect us from even questioning or listening to other views? I'm appalled at our government and our Senate for not seeing the larger ramifications of what they are doing.
Bronwyn	D.	I am completely opposed to bill C-11 as I am strongly concerned it will be used to violate section 2(b) of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Freedom of Expression. That the enacting of this bill will enable government and other interest groups to censor Canadian opinions. Further that this bill does not clearly define what is Canadian Content and who exactly gets to make these decisions.
Tim	A.	I am concencered that Christian biblical content will be banned.
Mike	S.	I am concern about censorship specially independent media!
jeff	M.	I am concerned that CRTC feels any need whatdoever to control or influence any content I wish to view in any platform. It is an relic agency that has outlived any useful function it may have once purported to have. Nanny agencies must be disbanded.
Mina	M.	i am concerned to be able to listen to my chosen content. i don't care if it is Canadian or not.
Arthur	P.	I am concerned about any form of censorship. I want total free speech. If I or anyone else is affected by people speaking freely too bad!! Free speech is right of everyone.

fram	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
M.	I am concerned about any manipulation of what people see. Just because something is not someone's subjective definition of Canadian does not mean it is not important to a Canadian or to the development of Canadians/Canada. I watch lots of content about Canada and by Canadians because I am interested in Canadian issues and I appriciate what certain Canadians have to say. The paternalistic, degrading idea that if the government dosen't force us to watch canadian content then no one will, is the thinest excuse I have ever seen to enable the government to control the free exchange of ideas in order to control what people learn,think and believe. How humiliating to Canadian artists and content creators to have the government to in effect say that even the dog wont play with you unless we tie a steak around your neck. Really? If those who are charged with putting in the rules for this undemocratic, manipulative, power grabbing piece of legislation really care about Canadians and our future growth as a nation, then you will only put in rules that protect freedom to choose and merit based sucess of content creators and protect us from those hungery for power and control.
D.	I am concerned about barriers being created for Canadian creators that aren't recognized by the CRTC as being CanCon If I, a Canadian, wish to make a video and post it, I do not want to CRTC to limit my exposure, or outright block it from searches, just because I have not been certified or verified as official CanCon. I also worry that companies will not enter or will exit the Canadian 'market' as many foreign telecommunications company's have in the past, limiting the accessible content I have exposure to. For example, Disney, an American company has Disney+ which streams content it owns Which is primarily American content. If they are forced to incorporate CanCon, I fear they'd rather ignore the Canadian market all together as it would not be cost effective to stay. The different Netflix content we have vs American Netflix due to licensing already limits the content I can watch. I do not want it to be limited more. It would be nice if more funding was provided to produce/create more CanCon, but I do not trust that funding will actually end up actually going towards making more CanCon, and will end up just inflating the pockets of already rich corporations, or being misused. Essentially, if more funding is to be made available, it would also require more oversight (ie. Audit of how the funding is used towards creating CanCon). A creator should not be given x amount of money to make a video about cars, and end up spending the money buying themselves a new car for the video.
P.	I am concerned about being forced to watch Canadian stuff to protect them. I love seeing shows, news, hell, even tiktoks from all over the

Ariana

Philippe

Daniela

Natasha	В.	I am concerned about government deciding what should be accessible. https://www.theglobeandmail.com/politics/article-margaret-atwood-on-bill-c11-and-why-bureaucrats-shouldnt-tell-authors/ "Margaret Atwood has weighed into the debate about Bill C-11, saying "bureaucrats should not be telling creators what to write." "All you have to do is read some biographies of writers writing in the Soviet Union and the degrees of
Roland	S.	I am concerned about freedom of expression and censorship.  Disinformation already has the meaning in Marxist societies of unsafe communications simply because the expression is opposed to government controlled narrative. It is now happening in Canada and I am opposed to this development.
Lois	E.	I am concerned about free speech. I believe that bill C-11 is an attack on free speech. Now Canada is going to have a non-elected board of individuals who are going to regulate what Canadians can see or hear.
Harold	S.	I am concerned about censorship. If Canadians produce good content I will watch it, if not I won't and I don't want my tax dollars going to garbage content I will never watch and I don't want it forced on me.
Tannis	A.	I am concerned about censorship. I should be the only regulator of what I listen to and search for regardless of whether or not the CRTC approves it. I do not want to be manipulated and controlled by the CRTC. I want to continue to access whatever podcasts or searches I am interested in without interference.
Rhonda	D.	I am concerned about censorship. I do not believe Canadians should be censored on the internet, with the exception of pornographic material, which should be highly censored.
Sharon	Н.	I am concerned about censorship. I would prefer to be able to watch platforms from Many online platforms.
Chris	S.	I am concerned about censorship. I do not want any government body censoring what I'm allowed to view online or on any platforms. Let the users decide what they'd like to watch. I also do not want any government groups receiving funding to have specific content published.
Lisa	P.	I am concerned about censorship of the internet. User generated content apparently is not exempt from Bill C-11 even though it should be. None of these bills are needed. Censorship is not reasonable in a democracy.
		world! This seems like a sure way to control what we can and can't see online.

	_	nework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		censorship they had to go through ,Äì government bureaucrats," she said. "So it is creeping totalitarianism if governments are telling creators what to create."
Kevin	S.	I am concerned about loosing access to non Canadian content, I should have full access to all content regardless of it's source or origin.
Keri	F.	I am concerned about losing the content that I personally like or prefer. No-one should be regulating what I want to see or like to watch. This is an invasion of personal freedom. If Canadian content was highlighted without taking away from my other experiences that would be ok.
Dorothy	Н.	I am concerned about losing the freedom of personal choice and personal responsibility.
Carol	K.	I am concerned about losing the freedom to access any and all legitimate sites without government interference. In a decmocaracy this should be possible.
Ashley	K.	I am concerned about manipulation of my feeds without my consent. I would rather Canadian content be featured in its own section, and to be given the option to make Canadian content more visible in my searches.
Vittorio	B.	I am concerned about my access to unbiased news
Rebecca	H.	I am concerned about my content being curated by another entity. I do not want what I browse for to be filtered in any manner, except that I do not want to see immoral content (porn etc). For content to be filtered by a government entity is a dangerous and terrible situation. How, at that point, are we any different than communist China or Russia? They also, have their content filtered for the better of their citizens. It's just that the CCP and Kremlin's opinion of what is best for their citizens differs from ours. But in their opinion ,they are doing good. So even if the Canadian government intentions are good, doesn't mean that they are right. I reserve the right and freedom to regulate my own research online or on television.
i (CDCCCa	1.	toroviori.

	1	Contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous Content
		freedom of ideas, freedom of lifestyle are all freedoms this government seems to want to take away from us. Why?
Manuel	d.	I am concerned about power overreach from the CRTC and playing favorites with Canadian corporations (making tons of profits) too slow to innovate complaining about new players.
John	H.	I am concerned about recommendations and search results being manipulated in a way such that a certain percentage of content is "government approved" / meets the CRTC standards for Canadian content. A Made in Canada section or a switch to add more Canadian content is okay, but I don't want the government interfering with my recommendations and search results if I don't want them to. If I am trying to find something to watch and I decide I don't want your (CRTC / government) input on what to watch, please respect that. "YOU SURE YOU DON'T WANT TO WATCH TRAILER PARK BOYS? HOW ABOUT THIS NEW CANADA MEDIA FUND FUNDED SHOW WITH DIVERSITY QUOTAS AND LIBERAL-APPROVED NARRATIVES?" Yes. F off. If streaming services become "broadcasters" under this Act, will the government be able to enforce content standards like is done on TV / radio (i.e. CENSORSHIP)? If so, please don't. Also, I don't like that these regulations are probably going to decrease the number of streaming services that come to Canada, reducing the (legal) availability of shows. Overall, I think this is a bad bill but it's too late now I guess. Don't make it worse than it needs to be.
Allan	S.	I am concerned about regulations. Creativity suffers with every regulation.
Maggie	M.	I am concerned about the censorship and/or suppression of content and information that does not fit the government's definition of "patriotic" or "Canadian". This inherently compromises free speech and free access to information, which only illuminates the Canadian's governments willful attempt to control public discourse. Bill C-11 comes across as sinister and maliciously-motivated, hidden under the guise of benevolent aims.
Billie	C.	I am concerned about the creeping totalitarianism where the government dictates what it thinks I should comply with. As a mature adult, I should be free to have personal control over what I on line platform I want to watch.

		to support Canadan and Indigenous content
Danice	P.	I am concerned about the direction in which information will and will not be shown to us! I believe this hinders our rights to access all information and content that we choose to view.
David	О.	I am concerned about the effect on smaller content creators based in Canada. Proposing content to Canadian viewers because it's Canadian rather than because it aligns with personal preferences will mean an increase in users ignoring, skipping or down-voting proposed content. This will have a devastating effect on Canadian creators worldwide, negatively skewing algorithms and leading to content being proposed to fewer viewers outside of Canada.
Alessia	G.	I am concerned about the government restricting views. Web addresses not working (already happening), not being able to see independent third party opinions, government regulation on what content is addressed and how, government restricting the views of people and reporters, censorship - which has already begun to restrict web addresses from working.
Jesse	В.	I am concerned about the government yet again trying to police media. Content creators have a hard enough time on platforms such as YouTube without the Canadian government forcing their way in to content moderation. The Canadian creators that I watch are already being negatively affected the implementation of Bill C-11. There should NEVER be a time when the government allows themselves to decide what legal content the citizens can and can not watch on any platform. Nor should they be allowed to decide what counts as disinformation, especially when they push disinformation while holding back truthful information to advance their own goals.
Robert	В.	I am concerned about the implementation of tyrannical, draconian controls that the government & the media want. Let the internet & news media sources be free to disseminate information that they deem to be in the best interests of people. Do not try to control what we can see or hear, but let us have the freedoms that our forefathers wanted for us.
Christine	P.	I am concerned about the lack of diverse news, media and information this bill is going to negatively impact Canadian citizens. The overreach of control is excessive. Canadians should not be limited to what they see or hear.

Katherine	L.	I am concerned about the loss of services, which the Canadian governments of the past seem to love for their citizens. Canada has created huge monopolies charging people much higher rates than similar countries for most products and services. This has to stop, you suck at this, it has been documented. Companies providing competition and bringing costs down (ESPECIALLY IN THIS RECESSION, it's not a correction, grab a brain) are being forced out of Canada because of ridiculous laws that are being brought forward by politicians bought by a company needing protectionist regulation. Bill C-11 is a joke, that you, the government, think is hilarious to pull over on your citizens yet again.
Ingrid	C.	I am concerned about the provisions related to online content, including potential regulations and obligations for platforms. With these provisions, there could be impacts on the content that I am exposed to and can access. I am concerned on the effect this could have on my favourite content creators from Canada and other countries.
Eric	Ο.	I am concerned about the slippery slope of any kind of censorship. Any censorship law can be used negatively. This has been proven by history! If Canadian content is good it will be found and watched all over the world.
Linda	S.	I am concerned about the whole entire thing if it. Why do we as adults need to be regulated on what we see.
John David	E.	I am concerned about what I can see and not see online. Canadians like most other western nations need the freedom to look at whatever websites and content they like whether it is Canadian or not. Blocking web content is censorship and censorship is not ok!!
Kyle	В.	I am concerned about you censoring content from me that I want to see and pushing stuff that I have no interested in.
Nancy	M.	I am concerned Bill C-11 will be censoring what I have access to online. Online platforms should not be controlled or censored by the government. Everyone has more access to all kinds of content now, even Canadian. That is the whole purpose of the internet, more access for the viewers and producers of all kind of content without regulations.
Michael	B.	I am concerned by regulation of content this could & likely will hide important Global information that people rely on so people cant get information that affects them & their daily lives such as politics & business.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Bradley	S.	I am concerned C-11 may make finding the content I'm actually looking for harder, while polluting my feeds with more content I'm not interested in.
Shaffer	В.	I am concerned for further internet censorship of alternative media and perspective.
Dolores	T.	I am concerned how content is deemed by seemingly popular trends. Freedom of all ideologies, faiths, moral values should be able to be expressed without censorship. Skewing are searches is a dangerous road to go down that could lead to government controlled viewing and news. Sounds possibly communistic or totalitarian in the extreme? Is CBC the only funded station? Why? Other news platforms could use funding to provide a broader spectrum.
Danielle	M.	I am concerned I will only be watching what the government dictates.  Regulations should be there to protect children from inappropriate content not to regulate what a person can or cannot watch.
Kevin	C.	I am concerned people could be swayed towards a government agenda. Leave the internet alone so people can search both sides of a topic and decide for themselves between various options.
Faith	S.	I am concerned that Government will control or manipulate my feeds ,content,the content I consume or downrank the content I love in favor of Government stamped CanCon
Jeff	Н.	I am concerned that all Canadians rights to view or hear content and information that has direct and indirect consequence to the sovereignty of our Nation and quality of life will be DENIED. It is all of our God given right to choose.
stacey	m.	I am concerned that all I will get to see/hear will be government sanctioned propaganda.
Jacques	V.	I am concerned that ANY CONTENT that the government doesn't agree with will be blocked especially my emails. The government has no right tocheck up on me as it were. This is MY private information. I DO NOT WANT TO BECOME LIKE CHINA where the people are suppressed.
Clara	L.	I am concerned that any media that is not funded by the liberal government will be blocked one way or another. NO MEDIA SHOULD BE FUNDED. IF THEY CAN'T COMPETE, AND DO NOT HAVE A

	ii aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		SUPPORTING AUDIENCE, they should make changes to attract support and stand on their own, like alternative media does.
DERRIC K	W.	I am concerned that anything that is counter to the current communist regime would be censored.
Jesse	G.	I am concerned that as a content creator that my videos will struggle to be discovered as I don't make Canadian focused content. Even if you said that user-generated content won't be affected, there is always a way to get around ones own words. And as a viewer, I should be able to view whatever I wish and not worry about whether or not the content I consume is Canadian. Promoting Canadian content is fine, but not when you could potentially manipulate and control what I could see online in an attempt to ensure I see Canadian content.
Marcelo	L.	I am concerned that as an immigrant I will not be fed by the platforms for the kind of content I normally consume from my home country. This is discrimination, to be very shallow in my thoughts,Ķ
Robert	В.	I am concerned that as usual the government will totally ruin anything and everything they touch in their pursuit of complete power.
Mark	P.	I am concerned that Bill C-11 could lead to less diversity and choice over content, although I support funding for Canadian artists and creators.
kelly	b.	I am concerned that bill c-11 is going to dictate what I can and cannot do online. That it will control what I look at or share.
Yan	В.	I am concerned that bill c-11 will actually discourage average Canadian content creators from creating new content, and will ultimately diminish Canada's impact and influence in the global online community. All this to please large corporate entities which are already funded to create can-con.
Jay	A.	I am concerned that bill C-11 will allow the Government to further dictate what content is available to me. Control like this can bee seen in places like China, and North Korea. Enough with taking away freedom from Canadians. I was born here and I work in the entertainment industry. If I choose to seek out Cancon I will but nobody should be forced to.
Morgan	В.	I am concerned that Bill C11 will make it harder to find the content I like to watch. Whether that be True North videos, or videos on the youtube thinking-ape channel, or any other content I might choose to watch. Let

OpenMedia CRTC BNC 2023-138 | The Path Forward – Working towards a modernized regulatory framework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content

		me be clear it is entirely my choice what I access. It is not up to the government to decide what content I should and should not access.
Jessica	R.	I am concerned that C-11 allows historically corrupt entities far too much control over individual communication. Unbiased information is critically important for individuals and society to thrive, and under C-11 alternative viewpoints may be restricted. Also, C-11 may be opening the door to allow historically corrupt entities access and control over Canadians' news feeds; this may, in turn, lead to the inability to criticize the government, which is a steep, slippery slope into tyranny.
Laurece	P.	I am concerned that C-11 could change my experience on online platforms in a few ways. First, I am worried that the bill will lead to more censorship of content that is not considered to be Canadian. This could mean that I would have less access to content from around the world, and that I would be more likely to see content that is produced by Canadian companies, even if it is not of the same quality. Second, I am concerned that C-11 could lead to higher prices for online streaming services. This is because the bill would require streaming services to invest more in Canadian content, which could lead to higher costs for them. As a result, I could end up paying more for my streaming services, or I could have to subscribe to fewer services. Finally, I am concerned that C-11 could make it more difficult for me to find the content that I want to watch. This is because the bill would give the CRTC the power to regulate the algorithms that streaming services use to recommend content. As a result, I could find that my recommendations are less personalized, and that I am more likely to see content that I am not interested in. Overall, I am concerned that C-11 could have a negative impact on my experience on online platforms. I hope that the government will carefully consider these concerns before the bill is implemented.
Kim	S.	I am concerned that C-11 is attempt to censor and remove content that the current government of Canada does not like and does not want me to see. I am not interested in censorship under the guise of so called Canadian Content rules. I am currently very happy with the being able to search for my own content that interests me on the internet. If I want Canadian content I will go find it myself. I do not need a nanny state making trying to make choices for me which I am perfectly capable of making myself. Please keep your hands of our media and any attempts to censor this.

Angie	Н.	I am concerned that C-11 will limit viewers content to Candian programs only and prevent worldwide information to be watched. I prefer to be able to freely view content that informs me of the opposition of our current government leaders, so that I can make an independent opinion on who I belive will best serve the people of this Canada. Bill C-11 is a slippery slope towards curtailing Canadians freedoms to decide which content they wish to observe by limiting their choices to Candian content only.
Martine	T.	I am concerned that C-11 will make it significantly harder for independent creators to be discovered, and that it will be used as an excuse to push corporate/government agendas over individual creators.
Thomas	В.	I am concerned that C-11 will prioritize large Canadian media corporations and their agendas, while independent creators and voices will be minimized by comparison. Platforms like social media and video sharing sites need to give equal opportunity for smaller creators without media financing to let their opinions and views be seen.
Clare	R.	I am concerned that C-11 would block the content that I choose to view. The government is NOT my nanny! I am an adult, and perfectly capable of selecting my own content for viewing, and do not require the government's assistance or approval in doing so. This interference would be an infringement on my rights, and a further step toward an unhealthy and unwelcome dictatorship. I did NOT vote for a tyrannical little China government, nor did the great majority of Canadians! This is not what patriotic Canadians want! We want the true north, strong and free, and that does not include a government that forces us to do what we do not want to do. Period.
Michelle	Н.	I am concerned that Canada is turning into a dictatorship. We deserve the right to choose what we see. If canadian outlets are receiving money they should be putting the money into Canadian programs.
Brady	K.	I am concerned that Canadian viewers will have Canadian content they don't want forced into their various social media. Worst would be forcing government funded politically biased content into our feeds. Old media channels, especially government funded ones, are propaganda. We are not watching it on cable and we don't want it forced i to our feeds. Also, actually defining what Canadian content is becomes convoluted. This is a desperate attempt to reign in politically free media by a floundering Federal Liberal Party.

Heather	P.	I am concerned that Canadian's will no longer have the freedom to make choices about the content that they prefer. As an established visual artist with a 20 year career exhibiting in Canada and internationally, I am committed to freedom of expression and freedom of speech. I appreciate that the government funds Canadian artists through the Canada Council for the Arts. However, it is just not possible for a government agency to accurately regulate, suppress or boost content that is Canadian. For example, some of my artwork deals with the politics of Norwegian fish farms in international waters. It is concerning that this important content could be suppressed in favor of my other artworks. In an increasingly global world, the focus on CanCon seems entirely backward. How could the CRTC possibly determine which content to suppress of boost with any accuracy, and more importantly - should a government agency really utilize this power? Canadian's need the freedom to choose their own media. As article 19 from the Universal Declaration of Human Rights says: Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.
Erin	K.	I am concerned that certain platforms would be forced to close their doors to Canadian consumers. Streaming services like Crunchyroll are highly specialized and would be unable to comply with demands to create Canadian content.
Christoph er	P.	I am concerned that content by creators or organizations critical of the government will be censored. I cannot abide the possibility that the Government of Canada can decide what content is considered Canadian and boost/block accordingly. This kind of system is easily abused and may infringe on the right to free speech in this country, particularly as it relates to independent media. We are the true north, strong and free; we must insure the latter stays that way.
David	W.	I am concerned that content I produce on YouTube, mainly about goings-on with the Toronto Raptors and Canada's NHL teams, won't qualify as Canadian content as much as I'd like to believe it will. It's time for amendments to change what qualifies as Canadian content.
Michelle	C.	I am concerned that content will be controlled to drive certain narratives and also to promote whatever the government deems necessary rather than allowing me the ability to seek my own understanding of a situation and to interoperate it the way I would like to. I also think only having

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		Canadian content driven on our online platforms is dangerous as we will be out of touch with the rest of the world.
William	W.	I am concerned that content will be filtered based on cultural bias (e.g. youth under the age of 16 and gender identity). Freedom of speech is a fundamental freedom that cannot be eroded limited because they hurt someone's feelings.
Robert	D.	I am concerned that content-related algorithms will modify my search results without my knowledge or control. I am concerned that I will have less access to independent news sources.
Joel	C.	I am concerned that CRTC are going to police Social Media/Youtube/Podcasts content creators out of existence.
Gerry	L.	I am concerned that CRTC will stop me from watching content that contradicts the status quo of local media.
Susan	C.	I am concerned that CRTC would use bill C11 to hide content the government doesn't approve of in the guise of prioritizing Can Con. In other words, I am concerned that this will be another tool for censorship.
Caroline	W.	I am concerned that due to Canada not being a huge market on an international scale, that many companies would rather not provide their services in Canada than deal with Canadian Content laws.
Ren	W.	I am concerned that free streaming platforms that are meant to be personalized by the user will be negatively affected by bill C-11. In particular, YouTube. Creators and their livelihood depend on the current systems in place and government involvement can completely undo the work that many Canadians have put into their platforms. Pushing CanCon that is deemed "Canadian content worth promoting" by the government will ironically lower the performance of content made by the everyday Canadian. Bill C-11 has no business influencing feeds on YouTube and similar media platforms.
jan	b.	I am concerned that freedom of speech and sharing information will be affected. Government Control of media has overstepped the boundary of True Democracy.
Cameron	M.	I am concerned that government authorities will you this tool to force too much state-media on the public and eliminate free-market competition and choice around media available in Canada. If the freedom of content

		nework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		choice is lost then Canada will become frighteningly-close to a censored autocracy. A censored Canada is not a Canada I want to be a part of.
Livina	H.	I am concerned that governmental bias and judgement about choices made by Canadians will limit our freedoms even more, steering us toward content that is only approved by government, imposing their dictatorial views that disregard our constitutional rights ad freedoms of choice. Greater surveillance and targeting of those not agreeing with governmental dictates will result in further censorship and arrests. I am also concerned that our alternative news services will be banned and we will be unable to access news from around the world that is actual rather than ideological.
James	P.	I am concerned that I am not going to be able to watch what I want to watch and be forced to settle for inferior content. What the government does not understand is that the problem with Canadian content isn't that Canadians aren't being exposed to it, it's the quality of the content. Speaking from my own experience, 90% of all fictional Canadian TV shows that I've personally seen over the last decade or so fall into one of three categories, guilty pleasures, mediocre and boring, or just plain awful. If the government wants Canadians to have pride in the content we create then they have to approach this from a quality over quantity perspective.
Marie	d.	I am concerned that I can't find online what I have been watching so far. Also I am concerned that content that is reported as misinformation or disinformation or sensitive to a certain group of people is not available anymore. I believe that content identified as misinformation or disinformation or sensitive, most of the time, is wrongly labelled as such.
Andrew	G.	I am concerned that I will be forced to consume even more politically driven Propaganda. I am concerned that there will be even more indoctrination and social engineering than Canadians are already subjected. I am concerned that even more Canadian Taxpayers money will be funneled into a archaic government agency that no longer has any relevance in Canada.
Carlos	D.	I am concerned that I will be restricted with what I can and cannot see online, that my freedom to watch whatever I like and pay for will be restricted without my knowledge.

Elizabeth	M.	I am concerned that I will get stuff I'm not interested in pushed at me because it's labelled Canadian, while not being able to find what I'm actually interested in. I'm also concerned that small Canadian creators will not get labelled as Canadian content while large creators will and the small ones will get discriminated against. For example, I have a youtube channel. It's tiny. I am probably not going to end up on anyone's list of content creators or benefit from anything in C-11, but I might well get discriminated against and presumed to be non-Canadian. I can't see C-11 benefiting me as either a consumer or producer, but I can see several ways it could harm me.
Steven	F.	I am concerned that I will have content that I like suppressed, simply because it doesn't conform to the government's standard of what *they* want the public to see.
Kathryn	F.	I am concerned that I will have limited access to global sources of content. Having access to global content ensures access to free speech, multiple viewpoints and unbiased journalism.
LAURIE	G.	I am concerned that I will lose access to independent sources of information that are not funded by the federal liberals
Kevin	M.	I am concerned that I will lose access to non-Canadian content, in particular content from small independent producers like YouTube channels run by independent Americans and independent films by Americans and international producers. I fear that large corporations with the monetary pull to have their videos seen as higher priority will suppress videos with exciting and informative content from being recommended to me. The best thing that can be done to promote Canadian content is to fund Canadian content producers, both big and small, so that they can produce quality content that will become popular on its own merit rather than force the content on people.
Marilynn	E.	I am concerned that I will lose any control I currently have over my choice of content to a system that censors everything I am able to view with a set of parameters that is extremely controlling and suppressing.
Brad	F.	I am concerned that i will lose the option to select what content that I want to watch or read. I don't want Canadian content forced down my throat. If it is good quality content or entertainment it won't need government support to get views.
Craig	F.	I am concerned that I will miss news, events, and opinions that are not in line with the Canadian Government's current ideology and that this will

		be censored. I am concerned that I will become less informed about the world outside of Canada and that my worldview will be manipulated because of Bill C-11.
Sheena	M.	I am concerned that I will no longer be able to see the news related and political content that I have a right to see. It will be regulated to boost Canadian content to the point where the government is inhibiting our abilities to access diverse new resources, and thus impeding on our right to participate in the electoral process in an informed manner. Additionally, I am fearful that we will begin to experience more censorship. The CRTC has much different standards to adhere to and this could severely censor platform content, and restrict Canadian's access to not only foreign content but Canadian content that the CRTC does not like, as many of their decisions are subjective and not based on the thoughts and experiences of Canadians everywhere. Canada is also a cultural mosaic and we must recognize the importance of not limiting other cultural influences. This bill serves to limit these influences and the diversity and freedom that Canada claims to embrace.
Allison	M.	I am concerned that I will not be able to access information from all sources to make informed decisions. I am concerned about freedom of speech. I am concerned about censorship that promotes only propaganda and paid for news/content.
Sherrie	M.	I am concerned that I will not be able to see world event news in other countries around the world, and that I will see only CBC and other government funded media channels.
Derek	S.	I am concerned that I will not get freedom of information from a multitude of platforms and get a narrow focused narrative that the government wants me to see. I am concerned I will not see opposing views from the government on a multitude of topics. I want to have the freedom to hear other points of view.
Gillian	A.	I am concerned that I will not have access to things like current events and media that I currently watch. The government does not get to choose my extra curricular activities, the C-11 bill is presumptuous and over reaching in its scope. The phrase 'Äòstay in your own lane' comes to mind.
Beckee	S.	I am concerned that I will not have liberated access to all content and all platforms. Especially news that is not government funded. I am concerned that my government is regulating free enterprise and speech,

Andrew	E.	I am concerned that isolationist tendencies that give different experiences to people in different countries will further divide us. Nationalism and nationalist policies have no place in the modern world. Further, using regulation and tax dollars to further certain politically favored groups is corruption. Regulatory capture is a threat to democracy.
Derek	R.	I am concerned that independent media sources will be effectively be de-platformed and buried by regulation.
Bert	W.	I am concerned that if I want to watch something or search for something, someone else will decide if I can access what I want to.
bernard	W.	I am concerned that I would see only Canadian content as opposed to some International content that I would be interested in.
Kerri	A.	I am concerned that I wont be able to see the news from independent news outlets that do actual journalism. The Canadian mainstream media is a propaganda outlet.
Julia	S.	I am concerned that I won't be able to access as much external information, news and perspectives, making Canada a more isolated and controlled nation, which it already is to some degree. We don't want to go further in the direction of nations such as China and North Korea.
Anna	U.	I am concerned that I will see only the information that the Canadian government wants me to see. I want to have all variety of content to choose from and be able to set up my own preferences.
Nicole	H.	I am concerned that I will only see what the government wants me to see, and that media with dissenting content will be censored.
Leslie	F.	I am concerned that I will only have access to media that supports our government's narrative. I prefer to see all that is out there, do my own research and come to my own conclusions.
Linda	B.	I am concerned that I will only be allowed to watch or listen to content that is controlled by the government. I am not okay with that at all! As Canadians we should have the right to choose what we watch and who we listen to without being tied down to only Canadian content.
		and not actually regulating internet harms. I am concerned that I will only see and hear what my government deems "appropriate"

I am concerned that leaving this bill open ended gives far to much over reach to the government. Canadian content producers are not complaining so why try fix whats not broken. Listen to canadians and end this bill. It is unamendable in current form.  I am concerned that low quality Canadian content will replace higher quality international content and that I'll have to use a vpn to bypass this garbage.  I am concerned that many of the products that are not either produced or restricted from producing in Canada will not show up in my searches. For instance, stem cell research and treatments available from ambilocal cords from newborn babies. Canada's ridiculous and offensive abortion laws will only allow you to look for cells from dead fetuses. We already live in an over-controlled and Marxist driven society and this bill only serves to worsen government over-reach and destroy freedom of expression and the exploratory search for truthful information which could possibly lead to a life or death situation. Canada does not have all the answers.  I am concerned that media and information that doesn't align with government and industry narratives will be censored, difficult or impossible to access. I think debate and scrutiny of government policies is healthy and necessary. I want equal access to all sides of all issues, and all sizes and types of media creators.  I am concerned that media from large companies will be focused on, while media from small indic creators will be thrown aside.  I am concerned that media that is not directly subordinate to recent and future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information			iework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and malgenous content
reach to the government . Canadian content producers are not complaining so why try fix whats not broken. Listen to canadians and end this bill. It is unamendable in current form.  I am concerned that low quality Canadian content will replace higher quality international content and that I'll have to use a vpn to bypass this garbage.  I am concerned that many of the products that are not either produced or restricted from producing in Canada will not show up in my searches. For instance, stem cell research and treatments available from ambilocal cords from newborn babies. Canada's ridiculous and offensive abortion laws will only allow you to look for cells from dead fetuses. We already live in an over-controlled and Marxist driven society and this bill only serves to worsen government over-reach and destroy freedom of expression and the exploratory search for truthful information which could possibly lead to a life or death situation. Canada does not have all the answers.  I am concerned that media and information that doesn't align with government and industry narratives will be censored, difficult or impossible to access. I think debate and scrutiny of government policies is healthy and necessary. I want equal access to all sides of all issues, and all sizes and types of media creators.  I am concerned that media from large companies will be focused on, while media from small indie creators will be thrown aside.  I am concerned that media that is not directly subordinate to recent and future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information	David	W.	searching for things promotes stuff unrelated to what is being searched
Gordon M. garbage.  I am concerned that many of the products that are not either produced or restricted from producing in Canada will not show up in my searches. For instance, stem cell research and treatments available from ambilocal cords from newborn babies. Canada's ridiculous and offensive abortion laws will only allow you to look for cells from dead fetuses. We already live in an over-controlled and Marxist driven society and this bill only serves to worsen government over-reach and destroy freedom of expression and the exploratory search for truthful information which could possibly lead to a life or death situation. Canada does not have all the answers.  I am concerned that media and information that doesn't align with government and industry narratives will be censored, difficult or impossible to access. I think debate and scrutiny of government policies is healthy and necessary. I want equal access to all sides of all issues, and all sizes and types of media creators.  I am concerned that media from large companies will be focused on, while media from small indie creators will be thrown aside.  I am concerned that media that is not directly subordinate to recent and future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information	cornelius	V.	reach to the government . Canadian content producers are not complaining so why try fix whats not broken. Listen to canadians and
restricted from producing in Canada will not show up in my searches. For instance, stem cell research and treatments available from ambilocal cords from newborn babies. Canada's ridiculous and offensive abortion laws will only allow you to look for cells from dead fetuses. We already live in an over-controlled and Marxist driven society and this bill only serves to worsen government over-reach and destroy freedom of expression and the exploratory search for truthful information which could possibly lead to a life or death situation. Canada does not have all Y.  I am concerned that media and information that doesn't align with government and industry narratives will be censored, difficult or impossible to access. I think debate and scrutiny of government policies is healthy and necessary. I want equal access to all sides of all issues, and all sizes and types of media creators.  I am concerned that media from large companies will be focused on, while media from small indie creators will be thrown aside.  I am concerned that media that is not directly subordinate to recent and future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information	Gordon	M.	quality international content and that I'll have to use a vpn to bypass this
government and industry narratives will be censored, difficult or impossible to access. I think debate and scrutiny of government policies is healthy and necessary. I want equal access to all sides of all issues, and all sizes and types of media creators.  I am concerned that media from large companies will be focused on, while media from small indie creators will be thrown aside.  I am concerned that media that is not directly subordinate to recent and future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information	Michael	Y.	restricted from producing in Canada will not show up in my searches. For instance, stem cell research and treatments available from ambilocal cords from newborn babies. Canada's ridiculous and offensive abortion laws will only allow you to look for cells from dead fetuses. We already live in an over-controlled and Marxist driven society and this bill only serves to worsen government over-reach and destroy freedom of expression and the exploratory search for truthful information which could possibly lead to a life or death situation. Canada does not have all
Lindsey  F. while media from small indie creators will be thrown aside.  I am concerned that media that is not directly subordinate to recent and future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information	Kate	M.	government and industry narratives will be censored, difficult or impossible to access. I think debate and scrutiny of government policies is healthy and necessary. I want equal access to all sides of all issues,
future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way.  I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is  Eric D. completely undemocratic.  I am concerned that my ability to find the best, most relevant information	Lindsey	F.	
	Eric	D.	future direction will be cancelled or forced to change in an unnatural way. I am strongly worried that we will be yet another step towards authoritarianism. Our values as a country have been flipped upside down since the world orders move to make changes quicker. This is
	Matthew	K.	

	11 0111	tegarding continues to support canadian and magerious content
Toge	Н.	I am concerned that my access to global content and divergent viewpoints will be controlled and limited under Bill C-11.
Chelsea	K.	I am concerned that my access to information outside of Canada will diminish. In a time when global events are effecting us at a rapid speed, it seems counterintuitive to manipulate platforms and media to push Canadian content.
Bill	B.	I am concerned that my choice to view, or not view, Canadian content, will be taken away, forcing Canadian content on me. I am a proud Canadian, knowing I have the freedom and choice to view content of my choosing. And forcing that choice removes that freedom. Setting ratings of commercial content makes sense. But treating adults as children, and making decisions for them is not their realm. Let me make my own decisions. And let the government fund more canadian content, for better production value, and make the content worthy of 'Äòmy choice' to view it.
Suzanne	S.	I am concerned that my choices will be taken away in favour of what someone else thinks I should be seeing.
Jennifer	E.	I am concerned that my choices, regarding what I can view, would be limited, that information would be censored or controlled, and that free flowing information could be disrupted. I also feel that if Canadian content is as good as I believe it is, it should be able to attract viewers without these rather draconian controls.
Pierre	M.	I am concerned that my favourite podcasts and internet destinations will become harder to find / access. I am not a supporter of this bill and would like the CRTC to keep its regulatory scope to a minimum.
Sara	S.	I am concerned that my feeds are being censored in any way, that in itself is the greatest concern I have.
Ashlee	B.	I am concerned that my global audience on YouTube will no longer be able to find me if my content is pushed in front of Canadians who aren't interested in crochet. The algorithms will learn that these people don't watch my videos and they will give me a lower ranking. 90% of my audience is non-Canadian, so someone out there IS interested.
Ingrid	R.	I am concerned that my on-line experience will be limited by restriction algorithms. I love Canada and want to be able to search for Canadian content freely, as well as for any other content - freely. If any restrictions

		lewin regarding contributions to support canadam and malgerous content
		are in place, I would expect that these would be clearly identified and detailed on any platform, app, or search when they are operating.
Donna	M.	I am concerned that my opinions may not suit the CRTC because of the ongoing censorship they are under. They are bought and paid for by their advertisers.
Kate	F.	I am concerned that my searches could be skewed in an unhelpful direction. I want the most relevant content related to my search query to show up, and not be skewed towards Canadian content makers specifically.
Mark	D.	I am concerned that myself, and others will be exposed to only one sided partisan media that will warp Canadians perceptions on what is actually happening and what is actually true. Canadians should be exposed to a well-balanced media so that they can contemplate for themselves and make their own decisions based on facts and truth.
Curt	D.	I am concerned that news and other informational content from many sources originating outside of Canada will eventually be severely squelched or dropped entirely from results in search engines, online streaming platforms and other electronic and print content providers' platforms. When government and its offshoot organizations determine that social media and the like is a destabilizing influence and hence worthy of censure, we are teetering very precariously on the edge of eliminating the meaning of our so-called Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Under the Charter, as per Section 2(b), everyone has the fundamental freedoms of thought, belief, opinion and expression, including freedom of the press and other media of communication. Our current government has severely altered the spirit of these rights in passing C-11. Sadly, I'm not entirely sure these alterations haven't been accomplished in our free press previous to the passing of C-11. Freedom of thought, opinion and the press - in all its forms - should not be stamped with a rider clause that states unless the ruling government party feels otherwise. What have we come to as Canadians to even allow our publicly funded institutions to consider themselves arbiters of what we should say or think? It is troubling at the very least. When government censures and redirects the viewpoints which are held by a majority of its population, we come collectively closer to a totalitarian state. A democratic government was elected to do the work of its people, not to control the vision, thoughts and opinions of its people. Our modern leadership appears to have forgotten (or not have studied) the key

		lework regarding continutions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		struggles of history that were fought and won in the name of democracy the world over.
Paul	В.	I am concerned that news articles the government doesn't agree with will be filtered out from my news feeds. I'll make my own mind up on what to read and watch, thank you.
Philip	N.	I am concerned that online platforms will exclude Canadian content because of this law. The following reasons are why: 1. these platforms do not operate in Canada, 2. they have no infrastructure here in Canada 3. Canada really does not have any jurisdiction to be telling them what to do. As you have seen with bill C-18 the platforms have made it clear that the platforms would exclude media from Canada from their platforms due to these laws. Canadians use these platforms do to their good graces. Not because you the government are mandating it.
Eric	В.	I am concerned that online video provider would restrict some content in Canada, if they are required to have a specific amount of Canadian content. While Canadian content should be supported and produced, online platforms are global as the internet does not care about countries, and it should be treated as such.
Lauri	K.	I am concerned that our criminal government wants to hide their lies and corruption by only allowing what they pay main stream media to say! It's amazing they aren't concerned about child pornographers and you never hear them even whisper about it but omg they will go to every extreme to promote they insane agenda, this is Canada stop this corruption now!
Cheryl	W.	I am concerned that our freedom of speech is controlled, we are suppose to be living in a free country.
Riley	M.	I am concerned that out of touch politicians are trying to take the fun and escapism value YouTube specifically had to the gen z generation. In a free market of ideas there should be no party of extra authority deciding what is cool and what is not. The way it used to work was you posted something and people reacted to it and decided if it was cool or not

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Linda	R.	I am concerned that platforms I use and enjoy will become part of the regulated broadcasters and the government overreach in these areas will basically eliminate anything that isn't Liberal propaganda. There is no need whatsoever to regulate online streaming and this Canadian content thing is just a way for the Liberal government to actually push their content/adjenda. Get rid of support like the Canada Media Fund, it leads to news that is slanted in favour of the governemnt of the day, if they cannot survive by producing good, honest content and funds from advertisers who see value in advertising on their broadcast, then they are only leaches and should close their doors! There is NOT a free press in Canada and that is something that needs addressing not online streaming! And who decides what is Canadian content or will that only be Liberal friendly media content ??? We've had enough as Canadians with personal interference in our lives we are capable of blocking sources online that are harmful to ourselves or our family we do not need some government regulations that are only using this as a disguise for their true intentions. Let Canada be free and let Canadians be free to use and enjoy online streaming, You Tube, Tik Tok, podcasts and smaller news outlets that actually bring us the truth! Thank you!
priscilla	S.	I am concerned that prevailing ideologies, which are only theories, become a means to mandate thought to the masses. Mere disagreement, which is a healthy part of what it means to be democratic, can too easily be termed 'Äòhate' by a ruling institution to serve its own purposes, which is in fact totalitarianism.
Geoff	L.	I am concerned that rather than seeing the content that I enjoy and appreciate I will be forced to have major Canadian distributors dominate my feeds as they have the funds to push things further than any small creator would.
Susan	Н.	I am concerned that regulating content would interfere in the freedom of of users to receive/transmit whatever content they choose. Controlling content is a step in controlling speech, community, and thought to attempt to bring the public under what could become a totalitarian regime.
Jamie	B.	I am concerned that right leaning or small-time news agencies and opnion pieces as well aa Catholic education resources from around the world will be suppressed.
Rev. C.J. Barry	K.	I am concerned that Rogers and Bell have already gotten too bigand I'd like to put a stop to that.

Deb	B.	I am concerned that small platforms that voice a view that is not popular with the reigning government will be hidden resulting in not being able to access them on the regular services. More Canadian content is good but to be applied with out prejudice across the board allowing both sides and opinions of every controversial topics (and other topics too)
Abigail	d.	I am concerned that smaller services that focus on foreign media (Britbox, Viki, etc) would be forced to include CanCon and that would cause such services to leave Canada entirely instead of bending to meet whatever demands the CRTC tries to enforce. This would be a huge loss, as viewing media from other cultures helps to bridge differences and understand the world around us as a whole.
Emil	E.	I am concerned that social media feeds will become another platform for Rogers/Bell media outlets to advertise their formulaic CanCon, whereas independent Canadian content producers who have an established presence on platforms like YouTube (e.g. ElectroBOOM, NightHawkInLight, LTT, Matthias Wandel, NileRed, AvE, The Thought Emporium, ASAP Science) somehow get pushed aside due to algorithms promoting content from corporations that can easily afford it. Secondly, I still wonder why there should be regulation of streaming services at all. Are they not to be treated like imported Cable services that have exemptions from broadcast licenses and stream content from abroad with no Canadianization. I don't see Canadian programming on CNN/FOX/MSNBC. Why should I expect to see Canadian content on Disney+/Netflix/HULU?
Jeremy	В.	I am concerned that someone else could decide what I can see online. Recommendations must be based on what I watch and want to see and not based on what the government wants me to see.
Jessica	A.	I am concerned that someone else will decide what I should read and research to thier bias.
Dennis	F.	I am concerned that someone is going to regulate and censor what I am allowed to see. If I don't want to watch something that should be my choice, not someone else that may not think the same way I do. C-11 will mean we do not have options and differing points of view will be extinguished leading to loss of freedom of speach. I already ignore government funded propaganda and if platforms are influenced by government they will be ignored also.

I am concerned that streaming services, particularly smaller, curated sites such as the Criterion Channel and Mubi, may decide that it's not worth it to operate in Canada. First, there is the issue of being forced to contribute to a CanCon fund - that's extortion. This alone could and arguably should be enough to make them stop operating in Canada. Then there is is the issue of demanding that a percentage of their libraries be composed of English, French and First Nations Canadian content. Again, they would be reasonable in thinking that they should stop operating in Canada instead of going through the trouble and expense of finding and licensing films of a niche interest that would be available in one small market. If they decide that the financial and curatorial demands are outweighed by the profit they earn from Canadian subscribers, I am concerned that the libraries available to us will be reduced. Pablo Rodriguez makes the laughable claim that bill C-11 will lead to Canadians having more choice, but the reality is that rather than licensing more Canadian films to serve a small market, the Criterion Channel and Mubi are more likely to reduce the content available to us in order to have an adequate percentage of Canadian content on their sites. Finally, I am concerned that streaming services will pay for the extortion demanded by the Canadian government by passing the cost onto Canadian consumers, thereby forcing us to pay for the creation of content without our consent. Mubi has already increased it's yearly subscription cost by \$25. If we want to watch Canadian films, we will. Canadian regulators have no business dictating that the foreign streaming services I pay for change the content that they offer. I am paying to have access to what they select, not what the Canadian government or CRTC thinks they should select. Canadian regulators have no business dictating that the curators of those sites host or F. promote. I am concerned that the Bill will restrict my knowledge of things happening in other parts of the world. I have been disappointed with the mainstream media for decades now because most of the news that they cover is from the US. I find that the way the news is reported is biased and not the equality base that I expect from Canada. I believe in news being news without opinion expressed by the reporting or the headline. I want to be able to continue to what I call 'critical reading and listening' if this choice is taken away from the population we are in a very sad Margaret B. situation. I am concerned that the bill will result in less variety in the choices available to me via the streaming services I subscribe to.

Ryan

Geoffrey

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and margenous content
Sarah	B.	I am concerned that the Canadian government is turning into a dictatorship, saying what we can watch, what we have access to and when. Canada used to stand for something it used to stand for diversity and acceptance, how can this be so when we don't even have diversity in our content that we watch from day to day?
Brendan	D.	I am concerned that the consequences of C-11 could restrict media and information available to Canadians. I am especially worried, and disappointed, that it could apply to platforms that primarily distribute user generated content. Making these changes is likely to have a chilling effect on Canadians overall access to content, versus meeting its stated goals.
Kenneth	A.	I am concerned that the content I am trying to view will be controlled and not my choice anymore. Canada is supposed to be free and open to all for your choices and what you want to watch. If we lose choice then that is no longer freedom of choice for us Canadians and someone is dictating what we can and cannot view. This is wrong and we should not have our choices taken away.
AudreyLy nn	F.	I am concerned that the content I want will be restricted/deleted/censored because it is not canadian enough. We are encouraged to be a global citizen an C-11 does the exact opposite.
Karen	В.	I am concerned that the control of what I can and cannot find or see is the next step towards communism. As a Canadian I can make my own decisions on what I wish to view.
Len	J.	I am concerned that the CRTC will restrict any Canadian news that does not agree with right views, ie., news, religious programming, etc and only have programming that supports the left liberal agenda.
Shiyardia	G.	I am concerned that the cultural differences that I prefer to watch will be pushed out by CanCon.
james	b.	I am concerned that the end result of the impact of Bill C-11 will be to give government control of what i can say and see online effectively undermining Freedom of Speech.

Cory	K.	I am concerned that the govenment is making my personal choices of what I view on my behalf. This is supposed to be a free nation, and you have no knowledge of what I am interested in, who provides the best service for me in what I watch, or what I need to either entertain me or help me. You are insisting that I get recommendations and suggestions shown from Canada. If Canadians produced good enough content they will be promoted by the algorithm. The fact that you are trying to force this is demonstrative that they are not getting recommended through the quality of their media. Do you know who else mandated this kind of thing, with the government deciding what people could see? Nazi Germany. The Soviet Union. The list is all communist nations. Is that what Canada is about? Now you might notice I said that an option to turn on or off more Canadian content is fair. Of course, choices are great. But the government plan is to take my choice away? When did the government become the arbiter of what I can choose to watch? This is an abhorrent overreach of government power, and not only will it hurt me and other Canadians who are making choices that are best for us, but it will hurt Canadians. I can tell you this as a fact, because there are plenty of ways to block user content I find inappropriate, and if you do this, everyone I know will block the content they don't want to see, including if it's Canadian. Do you think Canadians are not capable of competing in an open format, with everyone equally? Do you think they need an artificial boost? Well they don't. I watch a number of Canadian channels. I don't watch them because they offer the quality and provide me with what I want to see.
Jaime	R.	I am concerned that the government is getting involved in areas that are mine to decide.
Larry	D.	I am concerned that the government will dictate the content that Canadians can see. This is totally unacceptable in a free country!
Allan	M.	I am concerned that the legislation could be used force me Wade thru tons politically correct garbage I do not want to watch to get to what I do want to watch. I'm also concerned that the additional overhead created by this legislation will create an ecosystem content creators who are essentially funded by this legislation creating content I and most Canadians don't like but are forced to fund anyway. So some sort mechanism that requires that they have and maintain an audience at least as large as the CBC in order to receive funding.

Jacob	Н.	I am concerned that the Liberal government will follow in the footsteps of their Chinese Communist Party donors and abuse this very vague bill in order to censor free speech and public discourse on the internet. There should be no regulation upon the kinds of content that are promoted, and there should be no throttling of any opinions, foreign or domestic. I don't want any algorithms to be changed in the name of promoting Canadian content, as if Trudeau and his treacherous band of traitors had any sense of patriotic sentiment or national pride. I think this bill should not have passed, and I think the longer it takes to get it repealed, the worse off we all will be.
Louise	R.	I am concerned that the quality and variety of my feeds will drop. I have carefully curated my social media, on line presence and streaming preferences. I don't want the CRTC changing my preferences.
Daniel	F.	I am concerned that the rich content I enjoy consuming from France, the UK, the USA, and other countries may be inaccessible or impossible to locate, should the CRTC misuse the C-11 legislation. I am also concerned that an unbalanced echo-chamber of opinions might be created, where the broader richness of human thought from around the globe is lost to the monotony of like-minded content creators, purveyors, and personalities.
Vaughn	R.	I am concerned that the state will mediate my content choices. Content is available globally and Canadian content should succeed of fail on its merits and not on goernment intervention.
Karen	Н.	I am concerned that the truth will be thrown out and we will receive only the lies that our government want us to hear
Tyler	G.	I am concerned that there are people that are considering modifying MY content, search results and my internet I pay for. I am not interested nor will endorse the modifying of ANY content or any other internet attribute.
David	J.	I am concerned that there is no differentiation between large content producers like Netflix who can divert money to Canadian projects and small creators who are limited to producing content that's within their wheelhouse, but may not qualify as Canadian. I am concerned that C-11 may promote a narrow scope of what is Canadian and limit our population's ability to consume content from different cultures. C-11 may act against Canada's reputation as a melting pot because what is now considered Canadian could be locked in for generations, and prevent our definitions of Canadian culture from evolving with time: We need to be

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		exposed to international culture and international content creators to grow as a society.
Gustavo	Ο.	I am concerned that there is no matric that can tell us the quality of canadian content created. We should not only promote the creation of canadian content, but we should set minimum quality standards if there are public funds and minimum air times established for canadian content
Brian	M.	I am concerned that there will be a loss of international content on Youtube & Facebook that impacts the sharing of knowledge & skills.
Janice	N.	I am concerned that these new regulations will inhibit my ability to both see what is going on in the world, and to limit my freedom to watch what I would like to watch. I don't need the CRTC to babysit me or coddle my Canadian senses!
Brad	S.	I am concerned that this bill allows the government to perpetuate its propaganda and pushing of non-scientific lies while shutting down and censoring honest public debate.
Christoph er	В.	I am concerned that this bill that was made to promote canadian content may actually end up preventing people from seeing it. For example I know quite a few movies and tv shows that were made in canada and by canadians, but are owned by either American companies (or companies of other nationalities).
Nicolas	S.	I am concerned that this bill will affect my searches and algorithms over the years which have been built to respect my tastes and ignore things I don't want to see. I am also concerned this bill does not scope out niche services such as fitness apps (Apple Fitness, peloton etc.) and sports services (F1 TV, DAZN etc.). Lastly, I think this bill should ignore % requirements as this could significantly alter availability of everything from streaming services to playlists.
Chris	Н.	I am concerned that this bill will allow the government to "pick winners and losers" in a way that is likely to favour existing players who have existing relationships with government officials and/or politicians.
Randa	S.	I am concerned that this bill will severely impact Canadians' ability to access a wide range of news and opinions, from which we can draw conclusions and learnings about which points of view are truthful/helpful. Having seen severe censorship and propaganda aka non-factual news from mainstream Canadian sources (government-controlled & funded media as well as government spokespersons) over the last few years, it is clear that the only way to find truthful information is to have access to

		many points of view from across the spectrum of what is now called left vs. right. If we could trust that all media spokespersons were ethical and truthful, this bill would not have been required in the first place.
Matt	В.	I am concerned that this Bill-11 will limit my exposure to other points of view from other countries and limit Canadian media outlets from being found when I search for them. The CRTC SHOULD only be promoting the development of Canadian content Not be the one the ones to decide what Canadians see. Censorship is now a weapon of the federal government and the CRTC is now a weapon for said government.
Angela	M.	I am concerned that this is just the beginning of control over individuals in Canada. I believe this is a stepping stone to gain more control over Canadian citizens. This is supposed to be a free country yet this bill is a control tactic that the Russians use to control the people of Russia. I am scared this country is following suit of other countries rules/laws. We should have our own control over what we watch no matter what country we want to watch. This is a step to takeaway from our freedoms. I am against this bill.
Joe	Н.	I am concerned that this is just the thin edge of the wedge. Bill C-11 could be used in the future by less-than-democratic operatives (e.g. Justin Trudeau and Co.) to protect us from content or criticism our government doesn't want us to see. Sadly much of this comes from sources outside the country. Our broadcast media is so captured by the woke agenda at the moment that I do not trust it to offer objective an complete reporting. I could foresee this happening with internet content if the CRTC gets its hands on the levers and buttons. And it would be done invisibly.
garry	d.	I am concerned that this program will censor news articles that are relevant to me. I am concerned that we are propping up businesses that do not have a future because they are directed by authorities to print or say what they are told. We are loosing free speech and freedom of the press all in one shot with this bill. This bill needs to be repealed and Canadian news content should have to fend for itself and not suck off the public teet.
Dillon	F.	I am concerned that this will elevate mediocre content and leave out independent content creators while benefiting producers and already wealthy individuals and production companies.

Dustin	S.	I am concerned that this will give government too much control over what Canadians read/watch/consume. There is already too much groupthink in Canada.
Riley	M.	I am concerned that Trudeau is trying to censor his opponents and I don't trust this consultation or the government to not try to create an authoritarian regime where you only see what Trudeau wants you to see. Bill C-11 should have never been adopted, to begin with, a free and open press is essential to democracy, and being able to voice opinions is critical to safeguarding our rights as a free democratic society. I ask the commission to scrap this law and if they can't do that force through changes that ensure that Canadians remain in control of their internet usage and repeal the loopholes or amend the law to ensure Canada remains a free and democratic society regardless of what Trudeau wants.
Linda	W.	I am concerned that we are turning into a communist country like China, only being allowed to see what the government wants us to see, this is no longer the land of the free!
Regena	B.	I am concerned that we won't have access to whatever we want to watch. We need to have free speech in this country, otherwise it is a form of communism. Bill C-11 should not have been passed at all.
Chris	P.	I am concerned that what I enjoy or look for online to become unavailable. I am concerned that only only government funded companies and media messages will become the sole available content and the rest will disappear.
Tony	V.	I am concerned that what I see might be limited based on anyone else's criteria. I do NOT want that!
Paul	D.	I am concerned that what is available to view will be limited, and my searches will be skewed, based on a Government's wishes. I fear high levels of censorship, especially from a Liberal government bent on controlling the message in their favour. I see the people put in charge by the government to determine what we can see, and for how much, constantly siding with big media over the populations best interest. We have seen an MP with this platform rule in favour of big media then quit and go to work for them. We have seen a VP from Telus, who at the time had control over the CRTC, have lunch with the President of Bell and the following week announce rate increases. Now this group of people will have unfettered power in what we can see as Canadians. I believe this

		will encourage pirate industries to supply content that can escape this draconian law.
Claudia	D.	I am concerned that what online content I consume is manipulated without my being able to tell or control. I would be perfectly happy with platforms such as YouTube having to provide a Made in Canada category, so that I can then CHOOSE to watch specifically Canadian content or CHOOSE to consume content regardless of where it was made, with Canadian content mixed together with non-Canadian content. Forcing people to consume Canadian content smacks of paternalism and discrimination against non-Canadian content. I am also concerned about what other qualities this bill will allow the CRTC to select for and against. The wording seems to be vague in places, so the definition of what content is 'good' and should therefore be encouraged is subject to quite some interpretation.
Cindy	D.	I am concerned that you are taking away my freedom of choice. I want to continue to have access to information and streams from whatever country I like. To be honest I don't watch much if any Canadian content because I disagree with must of what it promotes.
Matthew	C.	I am concerned that, as a Canadian Content creator, that my content will not be seen by people in other countries, and my own content feed will be limited to strictly Canadian content. I believe that freedom of speech is critical nowadays, and that our social media feeds should not be controlled by the government.
Lorne	В.	I am concerned the government will try to change narratives to benefit them and demolish our democracy
Jared	M.	I am concerned this bill could be used by current and future governments as a gateway to censorship
Robert	V.	I am concerned this will be a "tool" used to silence political views even more so than we have now. Be right of left side of that view. We should have the voice what we see and how it will base our decisions.
Jason	C.	I am concerned we are moving backwards, the internet, social media and streaming services have pushed the individual creator into the lime light more than ever. Forcing Canadian content does sound beneficial but will end up adding more regulations and in 10 years online services will be no different than Canadian Television. The best thing the government can do is to give more resources, funding, service,

		to the state of th
		incentives, etc, etc. For Canadian content creators, leading to more people watching Canadian content.
Leslie	N.	I am concerned with CRTC limiting my ability to decide what is good for me to see. I am concerned that I will not see many and varied media that allows me to make up my mind on what is my opinion. I am concerned that any opinions that are not deemed acceptable by the CRTC will be taken away and that includes what at the time maybe acceptable by the government of the day (regardless who it is). I worry the CRTC will just expand the censorship that is coming to light that was done during the last 3 years related to Covid. I am very concerned that free speech and free expression will be limited to mostly Canadian content and everything repackaged so we don't see everything. Absence of reporting is just as bad as reporting on what we are deemed ok to see. I worry that on a world that should foster freedom of speech and freedom of expression we are going backwards and our children will be negatively impacted by the information they will not see because it is deemed not politically or socially acceptable.
Morgan	T.	I am concerned with having the content I have access to or am shown being limited outiswd of my own choice or preference. I am concerned that many of the online creators that I follow for information, inspiration and entertainment will be negatively affected by C-11. I am also concerned that my own self-produced content will be negatively affected online by C-11
Alan	D.	I am concerned with massive government overreach. I am concerned with funding antiquated media business models that aren't competitive because of sub par service and content creation. I believe in a free market system not government dictated/funded content the end consumer is not interested in.
David	F.	I am concerned with the control of information and restriction of non-North American content and opinion. This has, and continues to happen, on various platforms as well as international news networks (Press TV and RT news) being banned by the Canadian government. C-11 is un-democratic.
Brenden	T.	I am concerned with the government modifying my search and what I view online to reflect what they think I should be watching and what they deem to be Canadian content

Kelly	S.	I am concerned with the manipulation of what hits people's feeds, I do not want or need my federal government telling me what I can or cannot watch on YouTube or what I can see on streaming services like Crunchyroll. The CRTC does not get to pick and choose their definitions of free speech and expression and pass it down to the rest of us. The CRTC was created for a purpose, and it wasn't to manipulate, doctor, and/or alter the digital landscape to suit what they regard as acceptable or suits their ideals.
Jennifer	J.	I am concerned with transparency, I want to know that I still have access to everything on my media platforms that I had before, I don't want a censored internet controlled by the government.
Mr. Rafe	S.	I am conerned that Canadian content of news, arts, entertainment will be subverted by the American news, art and entertainment content. There must be more Canadian content that can increase the craft and careers of Canadian writers, performers and artists that must currently go to the United States for acceptance. More Canadian funding, both public and private, could provide better job experience for those who want to remain in Canada and that the world entertainment consumers would prefer to take up rather than reality/ game show programming. There are many Canadian creative artists that are seeking to be funded for productions, but there isn't enough capital input to accomplish this goal.
David	M.	I am deeply concerned that government will be able to make the decision for me about what I can access especially private, small media news outlets such as True North, Rebel Media or Western Standard. As well as several US similar outlets. I would be severely opposed to this draconianism.
Nik	E.	I am deeply concerned about the existence of the bill C-11 at all, and my only hope is to limit it impact on freedom of speech, and Canadian participation in the world stage.
Kit	M.	I am deeply concerned about the government reducing my choice in what I am able to view online. As a member of several minoritised communities, I am not well represented by legacy media in everything from news pertaining to my personal experiences to stories about people like me. The onerous restrictions that Bill C-11 will place on small creators and non-legacy news sites will make it ever more difficult for me to access information by and about people like me. Being restricted to seeing only the version of the Canadian experience that the government approves of has me deeply worried.

Jessica	K.	I am deeply concerned that conservative content that counteracts the narrative of the current political party in power will be heavily censored and I will not be allowed to view what is relevant to me. Historically any time a media is controlled in any way by the government things have not gone well for the citizens of that country. This shouldn't be difficult to see, but maybe that's been the point all along with the implementation of Bill C-11.
Andrea	K.	I am extremely alarmed and concerned about the regulation of information as a whole. As freedom of speech is enshrined in the highest of Canadian laws, I am extremely concerned this will hinder Canadians right to freedom of speech, information, and expression. As such, this will only hurt Canadian creators, writers, and artists, in addition to preventing Canada from exercising and experiencing diversity (which, as a country which prides itself as being multicultural, is appalling). The CRTC should play the most minimal role possible in order to safekeep the above mentioned Canadian values for me, my future children, and beyond.
Lawrence	B.	I am extremely concerned about Government or subsequent organizations, attempting to censor the internet. Our tax dollars would be better served in improving our country and regulation will not do any such thing. It will be interesting to see all the legal (illegal) precedence that will be established.
April	K.	I am extremely concerned about the limiting of access. I am an educated adult. I should have the right to choose what I can access from the entire world-wide web, from large to small to tiny platforms etc. I should have the freedom to access all available information / content in whatever formats are being used and from all areas of life and from everywhere in the world (e.g., in the fields of, including but not limited to: medicine, science, history, technology, the arts, etc.)
Daniel	Н.	I am extremely concerned that a walled garden will be created that will censor and tailor the internet, thus cutting off access to global and accurate information. The CRTC really should reduce its interference in social media platforms. The CRTC exists to regulate the telecom industry, not police our feeds.

Andrew	A.	I am extremely concerned that C-11 will apply unrealistic CanCon targets to niche and foreign language streaming services, in effect treating the Internet as if it were traditional cable in a wholly inappropriate way. Inappropriate targeting of small and niche services could cause severe damage to the online cultural landscape by making niche entertainment untenable in Canada. Whether it is Korean drama, Japanese animation, obscure French cinema, or anything else under that rubric, there absolutely should not be CanCon requirements or steep fees for niche content. We should be able to support Canadian Content without creating a borderline xenophobic online arena that punishes companies that do not produce English or French content. I am also concerned about the inappropriate use of CRTC powers to control social media feeds and discoverability. Net Neutrality must be maintained even if it is inconvenient to large legacy media companies.
Shelley	W.	I am extremely concerned that FREE SPEECH and FREE CHOICE is being taken away from Canadians. If we don't want to read an article - in print or online, or watch a t.v. or online programwe can just pass it by - it is our choice to do that. Not being given that right to choose, is what should be banned entirely.
MIRCEA	P.	I am extremely concerned that the Justin Trudeau government would have a direct influence on any Internet content. This is government that had frozen the bank accounts of political opponents, has direct connections with antidemocratic organisations like WEF, and has openly expressed the total adoption of extremist ideologies like the postmodernist neo-marxist CRT.
DeeDee	N.	I am extremely concerned that the natural algorithm that helps me to see content that I am interested in will be tampered with and adjusted according to what the CRTC wants me to see. To me, that is a blatant removal of my freedom to choose. Content is content, and if it organically rises to the top, then that means people wanted to see it. It shouldn't be shoved in simply because it is made locally. I agree with the following recommendations: Bill C-11 starts from a fundamentally flawed view of the Internet. We believe the approach most in the public interest would be going back to the drawing board and writing new Internet-specific legislation that is not built on Canada's outdated Broadcasting Act, and does not further empower an increasingly outdated CRTC. However, if the government can't be dissuaded from cramming the whole Internet into a broadcast framework, four crucial changes will reduce Bill C-11's potential harm: Clearly and explicitly exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the

		CRTC's regulation, by removing or amending Section 4.2(2) of Bill C-11; Drop any mandatory CanCon requirements for algorithmically generated feeds and search results. Promoted CanCon content should appear in areas of the platform users can opt into, not forced into every algorithm-assisted feed and playlist we create; Set a Canadian revenue threshold that clearly excludes small and niche foreign platforms from CRTC regulation; Reward digital-first creators on equal terms to legacy media. This means instructing the CRTC to develop new CanCon standards equally accessible to small digital creators as legacy media creators, and which are entirely neutral about the platforms they choose to produce for. Promoting Canadian content and telling our stories is a worthy objective of government policy: but only if it respects our choices and supports all Canadian storytellers equally.
Saurabh	S.	I am fine with the way the services work. I do not want more regulation.
Eileen	В.	I am for Canadian content, but I am not comfortable with having it shoved down my throat. The CBC and its French counterpart Radio Canada have already become nothing more than mouthpieces for the Trudeau Government.
Liesl	L.	I am gravely concerned about the censorship of non-government funded media.
Peter	F.	I am greatly concerned that this is the foot in the door that will inevitably be perverted into censorship and manipulation of facts for political reasons. There is a very simple way to promote can con. Make good content. Subsidies are fine, but keep your f'g hands off my feeds. I will determine what and when I want which information.
Caroline	Н.	I am greatly greatly concerned they will take away the chance for other world influences to get to Canadians more. I don't want to be in a glass dome that only echos Canadian media I want it to be encouraged but never over step other content if the other content is more relevant and more popular than the Canadian content. Only harm, harassment or hate speeches/ crimes should be marked for censorship and left for the viewer to decide to consume it.
Anna	D.	I am happy to have more Canadian content promoted but NEVER want searches modified such that other content deemed less desirable by the CRTC (or any government body) is kept unseen (or at best, difficult to find). The content that I choose to read/watch should not be downranked or filtered by the CRTC unless it contravenes Canadian law (NOT a government's current ideological stance). Preserve this freedom! Keep

		Canada a free country, not a country under the control of one party's ideological propaganda.
Robert	M.	I am happy with being able to make my.own choice as to what content i watch
Rebecca	R.	I am highly concerned I will be unable to find and access alternate perspectives that question or disagree with the propaganda of the government, UN, WEF, and/or WHO, among other globalist NGOs. I do NOT consent to any government body imposing their false authority on my sovereign right to critically think and make choices for myself. If all alternative voices are silenced, we will be enslaved officially in communism.
Gale	M.	I am in my late 60s. I am smart. I know Canadian content and support it. I also support British content, which like our content, is highly regarded. But I should also be allowed to view what I want, when I want. American broadcasters have a lot of money, so make them pay. I live deep in the country and don't have access to many channels. So I watch Pluto. If you deny me that, what am I going to do? New shows should have to pay. Reruns of shows should pay nothing or very little.
Leslie	L.	I am just sick about any government intervention in any streaming platforms. I think it is a Control what we see, tactic and it is ridiculous and should only apply to xrated or obscene content and nothing more. We are all adults and I want to know EVERYTHING whether the government thinks its true or not true and whether it wants me to or not.
Monica	Y.	I am livid that our government is even considering trying to limit what I have online access to. Most of the new Can Con is a downright ridiculous exercise in social engineering and not worth the \$\$\$ spent on it. These policies will drive real Canadian talent to the US where they will be free to be creative and not cater to the ,Äòprescribed agenda' of Trudeaus minions. KEEP CANADA GLORIOUS AND FREE!
Sandra	M.	I am more concerned about inappropriate content grooming our children and forcing sexualized content on children too young to see such abominations. I don't find Canadian content terribly interesting. I don't want all indigenous or pagan programs. We are regressing rather than progressing. Leave the past in the past and move on. Why stir up trouble. There is too much anger and hatred in the world. We need forgiveness: forgive past offences. Forgive and focus on what is good,

Cheryl	M.	I am not against Canadian content and in fact, feel promoting all media platforms in Canada is important. I am however concerned that search engines will be controlled in such a way as a result of this bill as to down rank content I am trying to discover and that what is considered regulated Canadian content will be given priority. If this means our only
finlay	f.	I am not a fan of having our government taking control of our media. They already have a pretty big reach as to what can and cannot air Aswell as individual platforms seem to do a great job of removing bad content. So NO i dont not what move censorship from my government. I know that's not what this bill is intending to do. But its the start of something very very bad and to me looks more and more like a communist government like china taking control of the media in every way shape and form well this is just the start for Canadians! Please dont pass this
Chris	B.	I am most worried about my YouTube recommendations being directly altered by the bill. I prefer my recommendations to be based on my viewing habits alone regardless of the country in which the content originates.
Leon	S.	I am most concerned about the major service providers filtering what is delivered to Canadians, as a result of this law (I believe that one of them has already announced that they will be doing this, meaning that Canadians will no longer be able to see impartial, international information and shows without using a costly VPN service).
Marc-Oliv ier	T.	I am most afraid of receiving worst recommendations on what I would enjoy to watch. In the end, I care about Canadian content but to a limit, only when it is as good (story, actors, special effect, etc.) than other content and only when relevant to my tastes. In some genre, there is just better content from other countries. Ex. Japan and anime or Korea and reality show or America and super hero movies. If Canada wants to compete in those categories, we need to fund those genre not stifle the competition.
Rose	D.	I am more concerned with what will happen without C-11. The emphasis on Canada content now embraces some of the worst aspects of it. Such as violence. 1st person shooter video games like Assassins Creed should be banned NOT subsidized by Canadian tax payers and lauded as exemplary Canadian productions as has been the case in the past.
	Iran	pure and holy. We need wholesome and uplifting programs no matter where they are from.

	access to Canadian news will be government fed mainstream media news information, we lose our ability to read all opinion and perspective, and use our own critical thinking skills to determine what fits for us or not. I do not feel this type of control is acceptable in a free and democratic society and I have great concern about the government's desire to control the stream of information being provided to the Canadian public.
C.	I am not against more canadian creations but I want to be able to choose, not be forced to consume them. I want them to be available on more popular platforms, not specific ones. If they are on seperate platforms, these should be free because we already pay for them with our taxes.
M.	I am not comfortable with the CRTC regulating my searches via forcing service and search providers to essentially select the types of content that I see via algorithmic adjustments. This is censorship, and I don't stand for that abuse!
J.	I am not concerned about prioritizing Canadian content on foreign platforms. They make huge amounts of money from selling our personal content to advertisers, so they should be required to invest in Canadian creators just as Canadian platforms / broadcasters such as CTV and CBC do. I found question 2 above ambiguous and poorly worded. Contribute what exactly? Content? Money? Both? Just to be clear: I support Canadian content in all its forms and on all platforms, and I want to see all platforms Canadian and foreign contribute their fair share to the production and distribution of that content.
F.	I am not concerned.
H.	I am not impressed that our Government is now controlling what I can view on line. If I want to view news from other countries on any platform, I should be able to do so, if I want to view Canadian news on any platform, I should also be able to do so. I pay for my internet and satellite services not our government. If I want to purchase a newspaper, once again that is my choice. Now due to this BillC-11, I can no longer view news on my favourite platforms. Canadian or other. I am sick and tired of government interference. As a law abiding citizen of this country, I am finding more and more of my freedoms are being stripped away. Censoring my viewing is not the way to go about things.
	M.

Roy	K.	I am not in favor of government intrusion whether it be by elected or unelected persons into the content that appears in my searches or feeds based on the level of Canadian content regardless of platform. I am a proud Canadian and will find Canadian contect when I need it but to be boxed in by law or regulation is like big brother saying what is best for me That is pure poppycock. I remember growing up and having to put up with boring Canadian content and yearning for something a bit more interesting and informative. Let's not turn the clock back to the dark ages through the appearance of trying to get Tech giants to pay their fair share. If you want to regulate anything, regulate the damn internet service providers and telecom giants as they are ripping off Canadians through their price gauging. Concentrate on them instead. Leave my ability to choose what I want to read or view alone.
John	A.	I am not in favour of an agency deciding what is viewable on the internet.
Julie	S.	I am not in favour of having what I choose to view modified by anyone but myself. I do not want to see content, Canadian or not restricted and censored.
Evan	P.	I am not interested in any changes being forced upon what content I have subscribed to, and what content is being returned from my searches. Content that is searched for should be returned on merit and accuracy, not manipulated by an additional algorithm trying to force more Canadian content onto me. If I want Canadian content, I search out Canadian content. It should not be forced on to me.
matthew	m.	I am not interested in government interference in my private browsing. Full-stop. It is an unequivocal infringement on my privacy and IRONICALLY HURTS CANADIAN CONTENT CREATORS by throttling their reach. It is so backwards and patently idiotic that it is hard to even fathom how this thing saw the light of day. I consider this bill to be an egregious misuse of government power and it should be immediately undone. Anti-democratic, anti-Canadian. Disgusting.
roxiane	e.	I am not interested in govt regulation that results in me having less access to information & more access to govt propaganda news. If the govt wants to charge google and facebook some flat fee for using Cdn content, fineso negotiate a fair deal. Creating a bill that results in Cdns having less access to information seems rather self serving. I am not interested in hearing this govt's propaganda news online. I can turn the tv on and watch any number of state funded with my tax dollars, tv news shows for that.

	III	I am not interested in having any government agency interfering with any
Anne	J.	of the online platforms I use, including social media and video sharing. Canadian content creators have already spoken up in large numbers to explain how Bill C-11 will negatively impact their ability to make a living. Canadian citizens have every right to seek out news, information, and entertainment freely, without having any of it manipulated or controlled by any organization connected to the government. I have a great deal of concern that there will be unwarranted and unwanted control over what we're able to watch, listen to, and read.
Jan	F.	I am not interested in having content shoved at me. I get enough of that from advertising due to lack of privacy. I want to read what I want to read and watch what I want to watch and to be quite honest, i find this offensive and infantilizing and rather communist. It makes me feel sick that bills like this are being passed in my country.
Joanna	S.	I am not interested in having my option curated.
John	Ο.	I am not interested in having the CRTC or anyone else tell me what to watch or listen to.
Laurie	M.	I am not interested in what I hear, see, or any other source censored thank you. I am a Adult who does not need supervision, and just Because a Tyrant is acting as PM of Canada, and wants to be in Control of what I hear or see, doesn't make it Right. You being the CRTC are suppose to protect from offensive language, and a few other things, Don't meddle in Progressive against Conservative speech, we have enough of that with that dictator Trudeau.
Andres	P.	I am not really worried too much, if it proves too stifling, I know how to use VPN to circumvent GEO IP rescrictions, so no problems for my house.
Alysia	T.	I am not satisfied with controlled content with no ability to decide for myself what viewing content I view and have availability to view. In no way would I support a controlled communist like means of media.
Linda	D.	I am not sure

I am opposed to this paternalistic, patronising bill. As a grownup, I am capable of deciding what content I wish to access. Bill C-11 is inappropriate, poorly developed and infantilising. It also discriminates against Canadians who originate from other countries and wish to remain current on content from their countries. Furthermore, at no point in Canadian history has any government, at any level, removed the restrictions to the entertainment industry which make production of Canadian content nearly unsustainable. The result has been that foreign industries profit from filming and doing business in Canada, while Canadians working in Canada in the industry struggle to survive because of Canadian legislation. Rather than intruding upon Canadians' access to information, we should be removing the barriers to the effective, perhaps even profitable, pursuit of the industry in Canada by Canadian professionals in the industry. If the goal is, as purported by the Trudeau government, to promote Canadian content, the first and most effective strategy would be to remove the barriers to Canadians working in the industry, in their own country. The reason our talent goes abroad is because of those barriers. Chairman Trudeau telling me what I would enjoy watching isn't going to change that. Bill C-11 may even be counterproductive, as Canadians may very well reject streaming services entirely. I know I will boycott streaming services if Bill C-11 is implemented. What's he going to do then, mandate we all spend a specific number of hours per day accessing Canadian content?

Heather

C.

I am particularly concerned that the implementation of Bill C-11 could inadvertently harm the very content it aims to promote. By assigning preferential treatment to 'Canadian Content' in online feeds, the algorithmic dynamics that determine content visibility might respond in ways that actually hinder the reach and impact of this content. Over time, such a system could result in the marginalization of Canadian creators, especially if viewers begin to perceive the prioritized content as less authentic or intrusive. Moreover, I worry that smaller creators will find it more challenging to have their content designated as 'Canadian Content'. Larger media groups are often better resourced to navigate bureaucratic processes, leaving independent artists and content producers at a disadvantage. The diversity of Canadian voices online could be inadvertently stifled, limiting our rich cultural expression rather than amplifying it. I believe that it is crucial to ensure an equal and fair playing field for all creators, regardless of their size or resources. Furthermore, users should have control over the content they want to see, rather than having their viewing preferences heavily influenced or manipulated by regulatory mandates.

Andrew

M.

Peggy	M.	I am paying for streaming services like Britbox that supply content from Britian or Europe because that is what I like to watch when not watching on air TV. I used to have BBC Canada on cable and I hated getting Canadian content on it that I could get on other channels, wasting my money and my time. I do not want Canadian content forced on me and should not be forced to pay for it on those platforms. The CRTC, which does nothing to support Canadians when it comes to protecting us being gouged by Bell and Rogers, should not be in control of what I watch on my streaming platforms.
William	L.	I am perfectly capable of determining what I want to view online. If Canadian content programming has merit and is relevant to i will view it, if not, I won;t.
Melinda	Z.	I am positive that the CRTC will censor content that the left wing parties in this country feel are mis or dis information or simply just want to control a narrative. I think it's despicable that this is happening here in Canada and the government and its supporting parties should be ashamed of themselves. We are going down the path to a communism with this current government and we (my family) will be leaving this country as we do not think it can save itself.
Sherwin	E.	I am primarily concerned about losing the freedom to view content at my discretion based on my preferences. We should not have content put in front of us by algorythmic force. There are also concerns over censorship and this bill by all appearances is teh preverbial Trojan horse.
Nicole	B.	I am proud of the democratic freedoms that are available to me in Canada. I want to have News Media and Canadians freedom of speech WITHOUT banning non-Canadian content.
Ronald	P.	I am relying on the Internet to grow a home business due to a rare bone disease that started when I was 2 years old. Please don't put more barriers in my path. This is my quality of life I am talking about due to the benefit rates of Ontario Disability Support Program and Canada Pension Plan significantly miss the poverty rate Stats Canada has determined for a single adult. The Internet is crucial as it is always open while I am able to be in bed, attending medical appointments or otherwise taking care of the immediate needs of my physical disability.
CYNTHI A	M.	I am simply appalled by the China like control happening in Canada. So terribly sad to see us go down the tubes. L

		lework regarding contributions to support ourificial and indigenous content
Peggy	K.	I am so against this bill! This bill violates all of my rights in this country
Barrett	Н.	I am supportive of being able to identify Canadian content (e.g., via Made in Canada and Popular in Canada sections in streaming service providers. I am strongly against limiting access to non-Canadian content. I am also against any artificial requirement that a percentage of content be CanCon.
Danny	R.	I am tired of this government getting involved with what I want to see or hear or even believe. Please stay out of my life.
WES	F.	I am tired of Trudeau's jackboots on my neck.
Glen	W.	I am very concerned about any controls to regulate a free and open internet. Other than parental settings to protect children and people with very fragile minds there should be no governmental regulation of the internet. Behaviour that is against the law is already against the law.
Christoph er	L.	I am very concerned about C-11 and do not see the positives. We are already hearing that google will remove canadian news from it's search results as a result of this new law. Ridiculous.
Rolanda	D.	I am very concerned about C-11. I love to watch content from other countries as well as from Canada because it gives me enrichment and enjoyment of the shows, hobbies, interests, and experiences that other countries have that I may never experience in my lifetime. Many tutorials are from other countries that have more advanced knowledge of different techniques than people in Canada. I am an artist and I love to learn from a vast range of people and cultures.
Liz	P.	I am very concerned about forced Canadian content in my feeds or anything else of that nature. Secondly, I strenously object to the CRTC acting to define Canadian content as this definition is murky as best and reeks of government over reach whether I view news online or any other social media content and what I choose to create as content. Any attempt by the govt to define what is acceptable content is part of the nanny state that already has too much control. I don't want to fund excessive CBC content either!
TIMOTH Y	K.	I am very concerned about the lack of protections that cover "discoverability" and the potential for manipulation of feeds and downranking in favour of Canadian only content.

Deb	J.	I am very concerned about the state albeit through an agency censoring my content based on a SUBJECTIVE view of what it sees as right think material. This process has the huge potential for abuse and authoritarian orwellian censorship. Someone doesn't like a comment, deem it hates peach like the ridiculous application to ban fox news from cable. Don't like it don't watch it. I neither asked nor want the state to determine what I should watch, hear, see. It's not CHINA
Manuel	G.	I am very concerned about this regulation that could infringe the fundamental rights of all citizens and residents in Canada. It could become a flagrant violation of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms prescribed on the Canadian Constitution. None political party or government should make such intromission, manipulation, and interference on the personal orbit and preferences of all Canadians. What we all opt to watch and/or follow and/or enjoy online must continue to be a free choice! The government is playing on fine line. If the legislation passes, I am afraid that the Supreme Court of Justice will struck down it. This type of intromission is typical of third world countries or worst yet totalitarian regimes not from democratic and developed countries such Canada.
Mavis	O.	I am very concerned about who defines what Canadian Content is and what would it make Canadian or not. I am concerned about Government interference and manipulation of what Canadian Content should be. I am concerned about Canadians not having access to information that doesn't responds to Government's interest or to the interest of other particular group. I am concerned about Canadian creators that don't produce adequate content no being able to reach the public. I am very concerned about the legalization of censorship. I am concerned about Canadian's freedom to choose or have access to the content of our choice. I would prefer to choose whether a content is valuable to me or not, don't want someone else making that choice for me, specially not the government. I immigrated to Canada from Cuba. I came because of the promise of Freedom. Bill C-11 moves Canada sets the foundation to create a dictatorship not a democracy.
Patti	K.	I am very concerned I will not be able to watch Independant news sources for news around the world and in Canada. I want to be able to also watch videos and movies from around the world, if I choose to watch a Canadian Production, it should be my choice.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Jay	S.	I am very concerned that our individual rights to more Canadian and Individual's opinions content will be bulldozed under by bureaucratic and big near monopoly internet operators.
Maureen	M.	I am very concerned that I am only going to be shown government funded propaganda. I already feel like the CRTC has more than enough control and "oversees" enough. Why is a supposedly democratic government doing this? It's very depressing.
Jane	T.	I am very concerned that I will not be able to access/post content of my choice. I am very worried about censorship. I am concerned about any regulation. Free speech & choice are absolutely essential and as an adult I require and demand that freedom.
Sherry	M.	I am very concerned that our media will be censored to allow only what the Government wants us to see. I want the truth, news and information from accurate, trustworthy and non biased sources. No propaganda, no media hype
Meg	E.	I am very concerned that our nanny state government is getting involved in censorship and repression of free speech. There is no group of bureaucrats that I trust to decide what I can or cannot watch but I am especially wary of the Trudeau government. I do not trust that they are not lying, I do not trust them on their long term goals. I do not want trust them on any issues or in any form.
Cassie	S.	I am very concerned that sites I usually visit for information or news coverage will be removed and only government approved sites will be allowed. I am highly concerned that my opinions on government related issues will be removed because it does not agree with the views of the present government. I am very concerned that this is an attempt to remove our rights of freedom of speech and will subject me to only what the government wants me to see and hear.
Dianna	G.	I am very concerned that the CRTC will be granted power to manipulate my social media feeds. Social media is an essential way to gain access to international news and cultural information, and losing access to that information will severely damage the average person's ability to stay informed and to help enact social change in other places. This is particularly concerning because so many Canadians are immigrants who still have friends and family in other countries and may lose access to information about what they're dealing with or what kinds of help they need. I am also concerned that any ability to manipulate content to make sure it's Canadian-produced will be abused to control the types of

		Canadian content I see, putting a stranglehold on liberal voices while promoting content made by right-wing corporations.
Peter	W.	I am very concerned that the government in power will use it to push their views on me the way CBC and CTV push liberal views now. I DO NOT WANT THE GOVERNMENT CENSORSHIP THAT THE LIBERALS ARE PUSHING
Debra	Н.	I am very concerned that the government will become like North Korea or Russia or Cuba or China and only allow what they wish us to see. I believe we should be treated as adults who can make our own decisions on what we wish to see or read regarding news and information, whether it be Canadian or or countries that we search on It was our Rights and Freedoms under our Charter, which was wom with the scafices of brave men and women who defended them. We must not dishonour their sacrifices
Trent	M.	I am very concerned that this may be used maliciously. I dont think that Canadian content should be promoted at all.
Jenelle	S.	I am very concerned the government will have leeway to determine anything that is not Canadian Content if it goes against their narrative. We seen this censorship during covid. This is not acceptable. I am for supporting Canadian art and artists not censuring speech!
Shannon	F.	I am very concerned with the censorship in Canada and our freedoms of speech and thought under assault by the Liberal/NDP alliance. All censorship of all media outlets must be abolished to allow Canada to be the democracy it claims to be.
Corina	F.	I am very concerned with the direction this could and would take. This is going towards censorship. I come from a former communist country and lived this already. Bill C-11 is giving me a deja vu. You have to stay out of any kind of involvement in content creating. If it's of any value, people will watch it, don't worry abut it. If not, people will not watch, even if they have nothing else to see. This will be my case. This is what we all did during communism when they were encouraging us to watch listen to what they wanted. What happened to freedom of speech??? Canadians were so proud of having the freedom of speech in their country. I guess not for long now.
Vanessa	T.	I am very concerned with the government giving itself the power to regulate content and control what we access online, it is totalitarian and unacceptable.

Diana	A.	I am very concerned. I do not need anyone streamlining what I can see or not see. I would like the choices I have always had! C-11 is an attack to the Canadian democracy. Once the option is removed, it will be very difficult to undue. C-11 has no place in Canada.
Linda Eileen	D.	I am very upset with this whole decision. It is like we are a bunch of idiots, that can not decide for ourselves, what we want to watch and what we don't want to watch. There is way too much control going on with this gov't, and our democracy is suffering. I am a 72 year old woman that is capable of making my own decisions, I don't need the gov't to do that for me. How did we even get here? My dad left my mother at home for 5 yrs. with 6 kids to fight for our freedoms and this is what it has come down to. What did all those men and women that went to war and so many gave their lives, for a bunch of elites to decide we need their guidance in every decision we need to make. The gov't is the corrupt one here, and we should all be watching our backs, as they are not working for the good of Canadians. Such division that I could never of imagined now. Parents should be in control of their children and decide for them till they are adults, and all of the adults, have been on this earth long enough, to make our own choices. So wrong on so many levels, it is hard to fathom.
Dan	B.	I am very worried that quality news from diverse sources, particularly from around the world will filtered-out or made very hard to find under Bill C-11. News sources that do not conform to or oppose government platforms and policy directions will be driven out of the media. This may not be stated goal of Bill C-11 but it will certainly be the result as the authors of Bill C-11 well know. This is not what a democratic and free society is all about.
Palko	W.	I am worried about access to information becoming forced propaganda, and losing access to some sources of information.
Terrence	C.	I am worried about online platforms cutting off Canadian customers.
Karen	D.	I am worried about providing a small group of people deciding what people should see online. Consensus opinion can be very wrong and it is only by allowing free discourse that we arrive at / modify what we know to be the truth. I don't care if this is framed as promoting Canadian content. I think there are currently many popular views in Canada that are dangerous and wrong So requiring a certain percentage of Canadian content means people will not be aware of other ways to look at issues for which consensus is near monolithic in Canada. My main worry is for the country. Censoring information leaves leaders and the

		nework regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
		masses misinformed. Huge and deadly mistakes are made by centralized powers who are unwilling to take any advice, and masses who remain uninformed of key facts that may change their views.
Douglas	H.	I am worried about the abuse the media will commit with the extra power they will be given. The media in Canada have proven that they will influence, change and lie about the facts to make thier content have a specific narrative. I am also concerned that any government in power can and likely will decide how much funding is given to a media company based on the level of propagandistic content on their network.
Shirley	Н.	I am worried about the impact this bill would have regarding censorship and freedom of speech. And that there would be no honest reporting and no freedom of information.
Matt	P.	I am worried I will not be able to pick from the wide variety of options out there & will have Canadian Content forced on me at the expense of choice.
Andrew	L.	I am worried messages and content critical of governments and/or businesses will be edited, filtered, or cencered. I am hoping messages motivating violence, hate, and/or discrimination are removed from these platforms. Artists should feel free to perform their art freely.
Ehsan	Z.	I am worried state run media will profit from c-11, and most probably infringe on freedom of expression.
Michelle	S.	I am worried that free speech will be at risk. I am also worried that the newsfeeds that I currently read will no longer be available to me.
Debora	B.	I am worried that I will not be able to access ALL CONTENT ALL OVER THE WORLD. I do not want any Canadian content to be censored or pushed on me. I want to make my decisions on what I hear and see online. I can choose to avoid any content I don't want to see. That's how I decide what is true or false. I do not want the government elected officials telling me what I can watch. If they tell me to watch something, I will not watch it at this point because they are trying to manipulate my ideas and views.
Nina	О.	I am worried that I will not be free to research things that are important to me. My father was in the Canadian army & always talked about how they fought for our freedom, which includes freedom of speech & information.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Bradley	F.	I am worried that mandatory payments for services that were initially free could be implemented.
Kristin	S.	I am worried that my content and what I search will be censored/controlled by C-11 to force Can. content. The Internet must remain free of government interference on content otherwise we will lose Freedom of Speech and Freedom of Thought, which would make us like China an unrepentant dictatorship. I want and deserve to search and access what interests me. Don't force Can. content on Canadians - the Internet is global and must remain free, otherwise we are NOT a democracy.
Michael	J.	I am worried that online content creators that I follow will be suppressed, either manually or through algorithmic manipulation, in favor of government-funded propaganda.
Emily	A.	I am worried that small and independent Canadian Content creators will suffer. That small Canadian youtubers won't count as CanCon and be punished. I love my small independent creators and I don't want them to disappear from my feed.
Alex	R.	I am worried that the content I currently enjoy will be overtaken by content i'm not interested in.
Nadine	F.	I am worried that the current government is going to censor anything that is being shown from the opposition. Bill C-11 was quickly pushed through after they realized how easy it was to organize a protest. The government should have no right to sensor anything I see. I live in a supposed democracy. Media should not be funded by the government in any way as it allows for government control. Free speech is being limited and certain agendas are being pushed and that is not right!
Christine	L.	I am worried that this could restrict my ability to access relevant content produced by streamers and podcasters in other countries. I am worried that this will be used to silence critics of the Canadian Government and Justin Trudeau in particular, and to promote 'official truths' that may or may not be objectively true at the expense of objective truth and at the expense of the well-being of the citizens of Canada.
Debbie	W.	I am worried that this is an initial step in which all content would be moderated (ie: censored). We have NEVER needed this before, so why now? We are a nation of sovereign human beings who are able to take responsibility for our own choices and do NOT need to put that responsibility on the Government.

Scott	M.	I am worried the things I search for and actually want to look up and see will be even harder to find now
Jarvis	N.	I am writing to express my profound concerns regarding Bill C-11 and its implications for internet users in Canada. This bill, under the guise of promoting 'Canadian content', threatens the very essence of a free and open internet. Firstly, the bill's intention to curate my online experience restricts my freedom of choice, essentially dictating what content I consume. The internet should remain a platform for unrestricted access to global information and content. The term 'Canadian content' is left worryingly undefined, leaving it open to interpretation by an appointed council. This ambiguity presents a grave risk of misuse, potentially narrowing the representation of what truly embodies Canadian diversity. Moreover, the danger of cultural homogenization is real. Culture should be a spontaneous expression of the people, not a set of government-approved narratives. This bill, as it stands, threatens the organic evolution of our culture. The imposition of such guidelines could stifle the creativity and innovation that our content creators are known for. A vibrant and dynamic online environment arises from unrestricted expression, not mandated guidelines. Another disturbing potential is the political bias that could infiltrate the promotion of 'Canadian content'. Such bias could lead to a distorted representation of our society and undermine the democratic principles we hold dear. Lastly, by limiting content to 'Canadian only', we run the risk of isolating ourselves from the rest of the world. The internet is a window to global cultures and perspectives, and closing this window means shutting ourselves off from valuable international insights. In light of these concerns, I believe Bill C-11 is not just a dangerous precedent but a threat to our digital rights. We must advocate for a free and diverse online environment rather than a regulated and curated one.
Leo	K.	I an concerned that YouTube content creators will be forced/coerced into altering their channel in ways that diminish the quality of their work. These people are usually working on a shoestring budget to provide the type of content they enjoy and that their subscribers ask for. 99.9% of these creators are just providing content that is generally very innocuous. Leave them alone. Go after those very few who consciously disseminate misinformation, hate, xenophobia or otherwise create unrest WITHOUT destroying the careers of the vast majority of independent creators our there. What you should be focusing on are those media behemoths (Bell, Rogers, Disney, Apple, Google, etc.) that attempt to bully governments into allowing them to up their fees, while not able to even provide uninterrupted service for Canadians, or multinational media

		corps that steal content from newspapers without paying for it. Now you've already bent over for Bell/Rogers (Clearly someone or some group are gonna make a killing on that backroom deal.) but fewgawdsake, stay the course on the big bullies. Someone has to.  Canada and Australia will be the ones that other nations look to for leadership on this issue. Don't screw it up.
John	D.	I and every other Canadian don't need the government to have any input in what i desire to consume on line,Ķalso about 65-70% of the content i search out is Canadian all by myself,Ķ
m	d.	I as a canadian should have the same access to content as others in the world. If I prefer canadian content I can search it out. I strongly oppose the government controlling access to content or media. Additionally, if canadian content producers are producing worthwhile content, they can stand on their own merit and do not need government assistance. By providing funding or content rules that favor canadian producers, the government is actually hurting the cause for canadian content by makeing producers/platform dependent on these measures. Let the market decide what merits consumption and what does not. That is the premise behind free enterprise.
Brenda	N.	I balk at the idea of my feed being controlled by the government. I prefer to have open, unregulated content from a variety of sources.
Deanna	M.	I believe bill C- 11 infringes on freedom of speech. Post or search what you want, if people do not like your posts then keep scrolling. The only content that should be regulated is content that is detrimental to others, such as porn, or brutality towards others. The biggest corruption in our world right now is government world wide, so they should never be given the authority to determine what is suitable content. The label several of my health information as misinformation or disinformation which only was done to suit their narrative of misleading us the people.
Nicholas	K.	I believe bill C-11 represents a significant threat to freedom of the press and freedom of information. It allows for the government to control what is seen by the populace online, which is disturbing in a free country founded on western ideals. I fear we will descend further and further into a top-down pseudo-democratic dictatorship as the flow of information, especially true information that is contrary to government policy, is censored. Censorship is wrong, period. The citizens of this country should have access to all information possible, and have access to the BEST content possible, even if it isn't Canadian content. One episode of Heartland should be enough to convince anyone that foisting more

	II GII	lework regarding continutions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		Canadian-made garbage in front of the citizens of this country is a bad idea. Let the marketplace of ideas stay free and open.
Stanley	P.	I believe C-11 would negatively impact Canadian creators. If I for example were to notice an evident growth in Canadian content that I would usually have never seen, I would question how much more content from other countries I am missing out on. This kind of change would reflect poorly on the variety of content a Canadian user would see compared to another user in any other country. A Made in Canada or Popular in Canada section on platforms would be less intrusive and more intuitive.
Andrew	W.	I believe Canada doesn't need regulations as C-11. I think billI C-11 should be cancelled in its entirety.
Sandy	P.	I believe Canadians should be able to choose for themselves what they want to watch or what they don't want to watch. We all have a brain and should not be treated as robots
Ruth	M.	I believe controlling any media content will suppress the right of all people to voluntarily see/watch other world news/contents. Many Canadians will not know to look elsewhere to find any diversity in news platforms or anything else for that matter.
William	L.	I believe I live in a democracy. I should have the right to watch whatever legal content I want, regardless of where in the world it is created. The ONLY way Canadian content will improve is through studying, working with, and learning what it is about content from non-Canadian producers that makes it enjoyable. We undoubtedly have talented people at all levels in media production, but the very fact that the Canadian Government is trying to force us to watch more 'Can-con' - directly or indirectly, that's what they are attempting - is more appropriate to North Korea than to Canada. This should not be all about the USA. Why is British content so popular world-wide, including Canada? Their drama, comedy and documentary productions entertain and inform us. Canada is more than uniformed Mounties and Anne of Green Gables: our media need to produce content reflecting the Canada we live in today, warts and all. Take the brakes off. Show the world our creativity.
Marlon	В.	I believe I will be manipulated to only watch content promoting points of view that could poison my soul.

Ben		I believe if the content I see is even further manipulated to see more CanCon I will have even less control over what I watch. Some way to enable/disable this that is totally under my control and highly visible or a separate section for CanCon would be acceptable. But the government does not have any business determining what I see. If anything the government should be working to reduce the power the algorithms already have over the content we see. Not using them to manipulate us into see what they want us to see. Use your time and energy fighting against the misinformation we are being inundated with rather than fighting to get more money to rich major Canadian broadcasters. I am more afraid of the major platforms just blocking us entirely rather than deal with this nuisance. Look at the joke that is resulting from the Canadian news link tax. Well, now we won't even get to see Canadian news. Way to go. Does anyone at the CRTC understand the internet at all? Or are they too busy carrying water for Rogers, Bell and Telus?
Tony	T.	I believe in absolute freedom to enjoy the content I chose to consume, and is not the place for the government to dictate this, or anything else that takes freedom of choice away.
sophie	b.	I believe in democracy, in freedom of choice and freedom of expression. As a final user, I believe in my inalienable right to browse content based on my interests and aspirations. I am an adult, a responsible and respectful Canadian citizen, who does not need to be fed any specific content by any authority. As such, I am extremely concerned by bill C-11 and the impacts it is already having on our media landscape.
Doug	R.	I believe in Democracy. While it is true there has begun a trend toward sensationalizing news edging on creation of fake news it is paramount to democracy that we can choose and believe or have the ability to confirm information. The Big Brother attitude would be inherent and a very unconfortable sensation, life changing, would develop. Privacy is paramount as well as the right to choose.
Joannie	H.	I believe in diversity of thought. Controlling what one sees or thinks does not allow for diversity of thought. We all learn from each other and from diverse views, even those we strongly oppose or find repulsive. Government censorship is dangerous to humanity, full stop (witness the silencing of scientific debate during Covid). Bill C-11 has a deeper more sinister agenda that I can't fully comprehend and worries me. Witness the July 4 ruling on government control/interference of free speech in the Missouri v Biden court case. If C-11 passed as is we lose a significant aspect of our humanity - diversity of thought and sovereignty of the

	ii aii	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		individual. Thought police, indeed. Also, the CRTC is not competent to censor speech.
Jenny	Z.	I believe in free market competition based on quality and subject matter of interest to the user as opposed to pushing made in Canada. I am concern about the CRTC using the power given by C11 to regulate independent content creators for political reasons.
Ante	C.	I believe in freedom and freedom to read and see all information and make up my own mindwhat is real and what mis- informationas I am an adult in a free country!!!! Parents can try to control what their minor children see and readBut governments are not the Parents of any children but elected officials of those parents!
Lynn	M.	I believe in Freedom of Speech and freedom to watch or listen to what I want to, not what I'm told to. The government is 'for the people', not against the people. They are paid by the people, so they should be listening to what we want instead of forcing things on us.
Janet	N.	I believe in protecting the free speech of Canadians and others. Free speech should not be regulated by Government. We already have laws against hate speech, violence and indecency. We don't need more regulation.
Michael	L.	I believe in the ability for people to choose what they want. The government should have no role in controlling content
Tyler	W.	I believe in the free market. If Canadian content is relevant to me I will find it. But ultimately quality is more important than quality.
Alan	G.	I believe in uncensored content with the ability to choose what i watch without restriction, I don't care about canadian content or international content more than the other content, I choose to watch view post What I want with no interference from government or geo location restrictions whatsoever. this is canada not a communist state or country. enough is enough already. Gen X is tired of all your games.
MARYAN NE	C.	I believe my choices of what to watch on Netflix is already manipulated, and I would prefer that it not be further limited. It gets boring as it is. On the other hand I don't participate in Facebook, Instagram, etc. because I consider elements of their business models concerning. I would feel better if their use of data they mine from content on their platforms was regulated to protect individuals.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Shona	C.	I believe my freedom to choose what I watch is being limited and taken away from me. Forcing me to watch Canadian content is what happens in Communist Countries such as Russia or China.
Lynn	S.	I believe that action by CRTC will improve the content that I receive by making it more relevant. Content is already filtered for a North American, primatily US, slant. Increased filtering with a Canadian orientation will be most welcome.
Patricia	F.	I believe that Canadians should have access to all online platforms because we supposedly live in a country where freedom of choice is a right. Canadian content should not receive preferential treatment nor should public access to online platforms from any source, be it American, European, or otherwise, be denied to any adult citizen of Canada. I often watch Canadian content on both TV and online but only if it is well presented and of interest to me. I am extremely concerned that under C-11, my freedom of choice may be severely curtailed.
lan	M.	I believe that I (and all Canadians), have the RIGHT to watch whatever we want to with no outside interference from a bunch of politicians pushing their OWN AGENDA. More attention should be given to the scams that are allowed to proceed online.
yves	C.	I believe that I pay for what I want to watch, and should be free to watch what I want (not what the government dictates I should be watching). By limiting selection or forcing media providers to have CanCon in what I pay into, it can limit my options on content available to me and may result in me cancelling subscriptions - especially if I am paying for something I don't feel like watching. I'm also concerned in the costs that may be driven up just to support the requirements on this bill, costs that will be incurred on Canadians.
Karen	B.	I believe that I will not be able to watch the things that I now enjoy because that freedom will be controlled by another party.
Sherida	L.	I believe that if we call Canada a democracy and believe it to be so and have our freedoms enshrined in our laws that should include freedom of access to all content and information not just what the government deems appropriate. We have used Cancon before to promote homegrown artists and talent with limited success. This Cancon ruse is the beginning of government control of information. We have seen this happen in totalitarian states around the globe. A small bite now will lead

		to a giant gulp by the government eating away at our rights and freedoms. I do not choose to ignore history!
Jason	R.	I believe that the Canadian government should have no part of deciding what I can and cannot see or learn period.
Rod	N.	I believe that the governments only place in dealing with online platforms is to make sure that the laws regarding illegal images or video, criminal acts and violence be dealt with accordingly. Let people make their own choices. In the world of online platforms content is king and if you have amazing content it does not matter where you are from Canada or otherwise. There should be no state interference on content and content producers unless they are breaking criminal law.
James	M.	I believe that the whole reason for initiating bill C-11 is to institute control over the viewing choices of Canadian citizens and severely restrict what they can and cannot see. Every measure that this government is attempting to implement is designed to establish some form of control. The established mainstream media is complicit in this effort and the public can only rely on other sources for the truth. This entire fiasco is tyranny in the making and is quickly eroding the democracy that so many fought and died to establish and defend. I believe in and support Canadian content but not at the exclusion of choice and the limitations on freedom that are being imposed. I am very fearful that the CRTC is just another tool for the government to surreptitiously pursue its diabolical intentions.
Carolyn	M.	I believe that what I get as "news" would be censored. I like to make decisions on my own by seeing all points of view, even news created and broadcast from other countries. I don't believe some people in the controlled media should be allowed to do this. I value my freedom even in this matter and am totally able to figure out facts!!
Arlene	E.	I believe the Canadian Government has algorithms in place so we only see what they want us to. It would be like having to watch only the CBC a government news source. Very slanted.
Mike	D.	I believe the CBC should not be funded by the government and have to find their own funds
Alisha	K.	I believe the government has no right to actively regulate and restrict the content we already have. They should make suggestions and promote Canadian content by making it readily accessible, not directly constraining current content.

Tara	C.	I believe the government's bill is an attempt to censor Canadians. I am concerned with all parts of the bill and believe it is anti free speech. Since free speech is the bedrock of a free society, I think the government should remove itself completely from this area and allow market forces and meritocracy to prevail. Everything the government forces on the Canadian people will be disdained and rejected. This is contrary to any strategy to promote CanCon. Canadians are talented and capable of competing on the world stage. I am not against an opt-in recommendation. That is the extent of my agreement with any type of government intervention.
Mark	L.	I believe the internet is such an important bastion of free speech and expression, and I fundamentally disagree with anything that would change the things I normally see, If the protection of canadian culture is so important to the government they should focus on making compelling content that people would want to see
Robert	R.	I believe the internet should be uncensored. The government should have little or no power over content - they have been proven to be poor stewards of that which they have control. People should have their own power of what they wish to view
John	S.	I believe there would be more censorship, false information, and propaganda by the Federal Government. I am concerned that information of my choosing would not be readily available on platforms of my choosing. I believe that content that the Federal Government deems unfavourable to the Government's narratives will be shadow banned and filtered so that only views that the Government deems acceptable will be readily available for consumption.
Cassidy	Н.	I believe we should be able to easily view content that fits our interests rather than pushed canadian content. We should have choice to seek out canadian content if that is our interest or the content itself fits our interest
Kevin	C.	I believe with the Liberal party's track record they will censor any information that they don't want you to see/know about, an example would be how corrupt they are or stopping the spread of truth that doesn't make them look good in the eyes or the Canadian voters.
Ivonne	G.	I can choose whatever I want to see like in a menu in a restaurant. I decide what I want to eat or not .

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Marko	K.	I chose the content I want. It is not up to any other government or private body to decide such matters. Choice, and freedom are Canadian values. People come to Canada from all over the world to seek a better life where those values exist. To remove choice and freedom and substitute that for control and coercion is fundamentally wrong in every way.
Paula	O.	I completely disagree with any kind of censorship or government regulations that control free speech. If I want content made in Canada by Canadians, I can find it. (Actually, I can find it more easily in the US than in Canada). The content funded by Canadian grants is terrible because it must meet the propaganda of the day. If Canadian creators have good content, their programming will naturally spread on its own merits. I want to watch what I want, when I want, how I want without government interference. It is okay to add tags to make Canadian content searchable. What I would actually like is tags and better TV and film rating in Canada for language and content: when did blasphemes stop being considered course language and sexuality stop being considered adult mature theme or content?
Domenic o	V.	I concerned with the ability to obtain content that the government might deem inappropriate, disinformation, etc. I would like to determine these things for myself
Catherine	W.	I consider it to be my responsibility to follow American politics. (They are our neighbour and and everything they do has an impact on Canada) Don't mess with my access to that information - thank you!
Kerry	Α.	I consume a fair amount of Canadian and foreign content and would prefer that non-Canadian NOT be pushed to the background in favour of sub-standard Canadian content.
Jason	G.	I consume media online because I want to be exposed to content from around the world. I enjoy programming from India, South Korea, Japan, France, and many other countries. I learn so much about the cultures around the world from my viewing and listening experiences. If I'm looking for Canadian content, I have access to television and radio. If my online experience becomes regulated, I will lose some portion of the exposure I have to our global neighbours.
Don	P.	I could be fed one side of any story with no opportunity to investigate the other side. Typical of right wing control.
Jeffrey	S.	I could be missing a more rounded opinion than just the Canadian point of view.

Nathan	V.	I could be seeing a lot of content that does not fit me, and making me use a VPN instead
Rael	J.	I could lose opportunities to view incredible international content that I greatly love.
Robert	P.	I could wind up getting only the CBC in my feeds and on my TV; even 24/7! (Nothing would make Turdeau happier than to have that network be the absolute only game in town.) If they can't get their numbers organically and without taxpayer handouts, they should be completely stripped of their license and, effective immediately, taken off the air. Buildings go up for private sale without delay. Apply the same conditions to all affiliates of CTV, Global, or any form of media that currently gets propped up in this manner (particularly Toronto Star). There is also a very real possibility that not only could the independent alternatives be thoroughly crushed and destroyed, but their bases of operations could be absorbed into the corporate broadcasting giants to make them powerful again. Independent Internet service providers can relay the lyrics to this song glyph for glyph.
Robert	N.	I currently about 60 Canadian websites which are hosted in Canada and represent small Canadian businesses, churches, and not for profit organizations. I also handle half a dozen NGOs run by Canadians where a lot of their relief work is centered in central Africa (Ghana and Nigeria). I have enough to deal with without having the CRTC as a watchdog over what those businesses and organizations want to most. For the most part it is non-political and not controversial stuff, so I would not anticipate any issues, but we certainly felt that the one website which promoted information about Covid 19 was being unfairly suppressed by Google because the information which it provided was contrary to the 'government narrative'. We now know from Mark Zuckerburg's testimony that Facebook was influenced to supress and hide information at the request of the US government which was contrary to the picture that they wanted people to see when in fact some of the information which they were promoting was FALSE at the same time that they were suppressing valuable TRUE information. If this world of fake news it is hard to tell who is lying and who is not. Suppression of whistle blowers is a dangerous precedent to set and that is exactly the sort of thing that giving this sort of control to the CRTC risks.
Christine	D.	I currently use the internet to view content from around the world, including foreign language content, one of my concerns is that the new policies would hamper my access to the content I enjoy most and that I

		use as a language learning resource. Another is that the current guidelines for Canadian content are so restrictive that it runs the risk of offering a small overlap between topics I am interested in and what meets the requirements. Furthermore, an individual's video feeds are private and personal, it is not the Canadian government's, or any other organisation's place to try and shape it.
Dave	F.	I DECIDE WHAT I WATCH, GOVERNMENT HAS NO SAY I AM CONCERNED WITH ANY REGULATIONS THAT THE LIBERTAL PARTY WANT TO PUSH
Marie-Au drée	H.	I definitely do not want user-generated content to be regulated, like youtube, facebook, twitch or anything like that. I watch the creators that I watch because I like them, where they come from should not matter and I do not want Canadian content advertised to me in a way that I cannot control. If a Canadian content creator matches my interests and is good enough to earn views, I will end up discovering them no matter what. I don't want to get content advertised to me for the only reason that it is Canadian. If being in Canada manipulates my feed in that way, I will use a VPN and do everything I can to circumvent it, but it would be easier to just not have to deal with that at all.
Heather	S.	I desire to choose what I want to watch, regardless of where the content is sourced. Bill C-11 is about control. I do not aant my government deciding what I can peruse.
Muriel	M.	I did all.this. Why am I required to repeat it?
Lilly	F.	I did not like how you narrated the responses to suit crtc and not methe content viewer. I don't need the government or crtc chosing what I see I need my constitutional rights honored by MY clicks controlling the algorithms of what lands in my feeds. This will not fly. It will do more damage to the very industry it apparently set out to protect. Canadians are not stupid nor conformists to dictatorships. Freedom of Speech, and Freedom of CHOICE will not be abolished by this retarded , poorly thought out and EXTREMELY ILLEGAL bill that was weaseled through a snake hole. Canadians will prevail this stupendous era.
Ludovic	N.	I dislike the Idea of the CRTC controling my contents. I watch/consume some canadian content that I like but I'm mostly interested by foreign content. So If C-11 comes to fruition, I'll have to subscribe to a VPN to avoid the CRTC meddling with my algorithm as for probably many other Canadians. So the only thing that will change for me, if the bill pass, is

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		that it will cost me more each year because of a not well thought gouvernement idea.
Nora	C.	I do access mainly Canadian content, however, I prefer the ability to choose from more options, including Canadian Content. I am very concerned that mandating Canadian content might result in reduced quality of Canadian content, when viewers have no or fewer other choices.
Alexande r	V.	I do not accept someone else deciding how my search results should be tailored for me.
Guylaine	U.	I do not agree to have bureaucrats dictate what I can access online in the name of Canadian content. I get information from various other countries around the world. I don't think forcing us to watch Canadian content will increase the quality but rather it will end up hurting the smaller creators of Canadian content.
Larry	М.	I do not agree to have some faceless bureaucrats decide what I should or should not see I will decide on my own.any corporation that earns less than \$10 million per year should be exempt. All individuals should be exempt.
Colleen	C.	I do not agree with any tampering of anyone's internet use or feed. It is our right to privacy and non of the governments business to change or modify without consent. Our government is hired by us!they are not allowed to dictate what they are going to do and what rules and bills they plan to put in place.
Autumn	D.	I do not agree with Bill C- 11 in anyway. PERIOD.
Rosemar y	M.	I do not agree with censorship. Canadian should determine for themselves if they wish to watch Canadian Content. The Cdn government should not determine it for the Canadian public. We should not fund it or make it mandatory.
Logan	M.	I do not agree with supporting cancon just because it's made by Canadians. Content should be chosen by the individual and not forced upon them. Payment should be given to creators based upon success not based on Canadian ethnicity
Elizabeth	V.	I do not appreciate any censorship and C-11 feels and looks like censorship to me. I appreciate having access to whatever online platform I choose, whether it has Canadian content or not, and whether it

		supports the current political narrative or not. Canadians should always have free choice on any online platform.
Marilyn	Н.	I do not approve of the CRTC regulating my choices on online platforms or any other media. All of this is simply attempting to control citizens choicesI can choose for myself!! Current Canadian content does not inspire me to want more, especially when every group is to be considered, in every area of diversity imagined. It's time to rid our society of all this WOKE control!!
Nicole	A.	I do not believe in censorship.
Denis	G.	I do not believe that government should in any way regulate what is available ever
Akiva	L.	I do not believe that my media feeds should be regulated by the country I am in nor do I believe that my government should have the power to enforce such requirements upon media platforms. The World Wide Web is not meant to be a walled garden in this way and should not be forced to such laws in media that are not governed by it, unlike radio with the CRTC.
ROZANN E	В.	I do not believe that the government should be interferring in the free market environment. If it is governed by the government, then nefarious governments could manipulate the platforms for their own advantage - just like the current government does with the old legacy media.
Pamela	V.	I do not believe the government should control any information online that is not illegal content (eg. Child pornography, willful intent to harm, drug or sex trafficking). We have a right to free speech and should have the rights and abilities to watch or listen to whatever legal content we choose.
Jeewan jyot	S.	I do not care about canadian content and do not need any sort of changes to my experience. I can find what i need myself
Denise	Ο.	I do not consent to anyone deciding anything for me. I am self governing and responsible. The CRTC is not.
Sean	Ο.	I do NOT consent to my ability to search, read or find any information that is not under the Criminal Code of Canada
Andrea	M.	I do not feel comfortable knowing that my searches will be modified according some algorithms. I want to be able to see information

Nathan	J.	I do not need the government to control what I see. We live in a free country therefore should be able to see what ever I want.
Taylor	R.	I do not need suggestion or be told what to watch. If anything we need more access to national shows and not prevent us form watching those because we are in Canada. Should have the freedom to choose- this is Canada not a dictatorship we do not need to be told or suggested to what we need to be exposed to. That is our choice.
Frances	C.	I do not need a net nanny to control what I am allowed to view. I want free choice.
Anne	C.	I do not need a nanny
Claude	D.	I do not like this Bill C-11 what so ever. News should always not have to be purchased from any Platform such as Meta, Goggle or any other internet presence. I disagree with Canada's decision to create such a Bill without any Puplic consent, which I cannot view or search for Canada News or any other Global News, which is my Canadian Right! This Bill and all other Controilled future Bills that remove my access or any access to any Media should be against our Rights and Freedom.
Mark	B.	I do not like censorship. I will determine what I want to see on the internet and not you, the government. The cost of internet in Canada. The fact that rural communities get much less speed and bandwidth from the internet, yet pay the same fees. Facebook and other large platforms are already blocking Canadian news as they do not want to be bothered by your desire to control Canadian content on the internet.
Shelley	S.	I do not feel this is where our government should be spending their time. News should work like any other business where it has to appeal to it's audience using it's own resources. It they do their job well, their business will flourish. If they don't, they deserve the same that any other business encounters, which is for whatever reason, the public isn't participating in what you offer. Business is business and I do not need my government censoring anything on my behalf, which is what this amounts to. I would appreciate it if they would give me enough credit to do that for myself and butt out! My concern would be that I cannot find out news that could be relevant an important to me under this bill.
		available from all creators and not only those that will be prioritized based on the narrative that is popular.

Wayne	P.	I do not particularly like the msm/legacy media. I prefer to find my information via the network society. I believe I have the final decision on this matter, of what I look at.
Scott	A.	I do not require and additional Canadian Content. In fact, there's a lot of Content produced as a result of regulation that I could do without. It is absurd to try to regulate the internet. That is exactly the opposite of the point of the 'World Wide Web. I prefer my content to be worldwide, and frankly there are way more important issues facing the Canadian government than this. Leave the web alone.
Bill	S.	I do not see the need for more Canadian content, nor why we should be restricted to more Canadian content. I prefer the freedom of choice to choose what and which content to search or access. Canadian content is not a priority nor a concern of the majority of the public. There is a far greater world out there than just Canadian content.
Laurie	A.	I do not support ANY regulation by government of online content, other than to prevent the creation of monopolies. My primary concern is government acting as a monopoly and using this regulation to promote it's own agenda at the expense of freedom of speech and freedom of choice. Let the marketplace dictate.
Thor	S.	I do not support Bill C-11 in shape or form. I do not support the authoritarian behaviour of the Trudeau government and I do not consent to any of the decisions this government has made or is making. I do not support their gross overspending of our tax dollars or the gross over taxation of Canadians. We are experiencing gross taxation without representation. Everything the Trudeau government is doing is in lockstep with a dictatorship. The constant erosion of Canadian rights and freedoms by the government is treasonous. Throughout the course of human history any who have tried to take freedoms and rights away and stifle free speech and communication have always, without exception, been the "bad guys". They have always been self-serving, narcissistic megalomaniacs hell bent on authoritarian rule. I do not consent to any PUBLIC SERVANTS or those in leadership roles of any kind who subscribe to these kinds of policies. ALL OF THE BILLS THAT HAVE STEPPED ON AND/OR ERODED THE RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS OF THE PEOPLE SHOULD BE ERADICATED IMMEDIATELY. ANY MEMBERS OF PARLIAMENT OR THE CANADIAN GOVERNMENT WHO BROUGHT THESE BILLS BEFORE THE HOUSE AND/OR VOTED IN FAVOUR OF THESE BILLS SHOULD BE IMMEDIATELY
Thor	S.	REMOVED FROM THEIR POSITIONS AND IMPRISONED FOR

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		TREASON AGAINST THE CANADIAN PEOPLE BY DELIBERATELY INFRINGING UPON CANADIAN RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS.
James	A.	I do NOT support loss of free choice
Peter	W.	I do not support mandatory intervention in order to receive CDN content. If it merits my viewing it will attract my attention. No artificial means of support please.
Matt	P.	I do not think it is constitutional to monitor our choice. That is just way too much overstepping for a government. I think providing the choice to look at it should suffice. If anything, forcing it would cause the general public to resent it and could have a very negative and opposite effect.
Devi	P.	I do not think it is right that my access to context is regulated by any agency or business. I have the right to decide what I want to see, hear and read. My feed and searches should NOT be manipulated by the government. Canadian content is important, but more important is my freedom and right to choose what content I consume regardless of where it is from. I will have to get more creative in finding content I like that is outside of Canada.
Dmitri	R.	I do not think that the government should be regulating information sources at all. Free speech and diverse opinions should be available and the free discussion will be good enough to find the best truth. Any regulation has a danger of the regulator being biased and ending up pushing the information into a wrong direction. This is not a remote possibility but a most likely direction this may be going to. There is a concern about malicious *organized efforts* to spread false information, but I do not think that any of the proposed approaches are going to solve it. This problem is similar to the false advertising and should be dealt accordingly.
Cheryl	L.	I do not think the government should have thee nose in any of this and they should not be funding massively for mainstream media
Richard	P.	I do not tolerated being regulated on what I consume for media and entertainment. We pay for a service by our internet service providers and cable tv subscriptions, if you want more Canadian content, then take it from established and successful Canadian media or learn lessons from internation media. Get gud

Shane	R.	I do not trust the Canadian Government agenda for media control.  People have not learned from the lessons of history, and history will repeat. Nothing new to see here.
Dale	A.	I do not trust the present Canadian government, or the crtc to provide unbiased restriction on pornography, corporatism, and freedom related criticism
Sue	Н.	I DO NOT USING ANY SOCIAL MEDIA WEBSITES. THEY ARE AWASH WITH HATEFUL POSTS AND SO MANY LIES THAT IT WOULD BE A FULL TIME JOB TO HAVE TO INVESTIGATE EVERYTHING. DO NOT HAVE THE PATIENCE FOR THAT. I ONLY USE YOUTUBE AND HAVE NO TROUBLE FINDING WHAT I WANT TO SEE THERE. I DO NOT NEED THE GOVERNMENTS HELP FOR THAT.
Paul	Н.	I do not want a governmental group to filter what I want to research or view. Our government already has heavy influence on CBC, it should not be able to influence any platform.
Roberta	J.	I do not want algorithms that change how searches come up, or changes to how I look for or get content. I'm an ADULT person and capable of making my OWN content choices. I don't need or want the government making these choices for me!
marie	S.	I do not want any agency deciding what is appropriate viewing
Andrew	R.	I do not want any censoring or prioritizing at all of any search results or feeds - in any way, anywhere. That said, if there must be features built into internet search engines or social feeds or any other data feeds or sites or software that Canadians consume or will/could consume, that the related vendors will need to build into their products to conform to any new or existing Canadian laws to force CanCon to be prioritized or other content to be removed/deprioritized, these features should be fully transparent and controllable options. These features must include the option for Canadians to disable or turn them off, easily. And the default settings should be off, with instructions how to turn them on, should a user want to. One of my concerns here is that if the software vendors/sites do need to build these in to their products that it will make a future censorship law much easier to pass that forces the features to be activated and perhaps even the removal of the opt out mechanism which would be a disastrous road to go down in my view. I am also concerned that the bill C-11 will see the loss of independent journalists
		market shares by steering people to main stream media. I want all

		media/journalists should have equal and uncontrolled access to distribute to Canadians without any censoring or prioritizing or bias. I am not a conspiracy theorist, but as is sometimes shown is that what a lot of people initially believe are conspiracy theories turn out to be true. If these are related to government actions / inactions, then putting the control into the hands of the guilty party is not a good idea. I do not want government or any organization or anybody for that matter to decide for me who is or is not a journalist or reporter. I realize that some people including government do not consider all people acting as reporters or journalists as being valid in those roles. I prefer to be able to form my own opinion on who is good at thei
Kelly	B.	I do not want any censorship of content
Wayne	K.	I do not want any censorship on the information I see online. I am a full grown adult and can make my own decisions.
Rob	V.	I do not want any censorship or filtering of content by government or CRTC. Canadian content should be able to sustain itself based on merit and value to the consumer or its viewers. It speaks volumes if Canadian do not want to watch Canadian media. Why? Quality of the media is just not there. We do not want government funding of any kind to Canadian broadcasters. CRTC needs remain out of the internet. It does not belong.
Barton	В.	I do not want any changes to my online experiences except the ones that I chose to turn off. It is my decision as to what information I want to receive
Ari	S.	I do not want any form of government intervention when it comes to content. I want the freedom to choose what I want to watch or access and when without the government stepping in and filtering it in any way, shape or form.
Justin	V.	I do not want any government having any kind of control of the algorithmic content feeds I see online. This is too ripe for abuse. Even if current governments promise not to use it maliciously it leaves the door open to future abuse or secret abuse. It also allows the government further censorship style control similar to how the government broadly extends the definition on things like hate speech to control speech
Tracy	L.	I do not want any government to interfere or censor any of the content, information that is available out there on social or public media. This

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		could lead to a very disturbing problem where a government holds way too much power and influence over the people.
Samuel	М.	I do not want any of my broadcast feeds to suddenly mask foreign content that I regularly watch, while I do watch canadian content mostly on tiktok, the rest of my broadcast apps feature mostly foreign content which I subscribe to.
Lora	M.	I do not want any part of any organization or regulatory body censoring what I choose to watch or listen to. We can make choices on what we choose to see and listen to. How dare the CRTC regulate anything I listen to or watch? This is nothing but censorship and its being touted as a service to Canadians. It's complete bunk and it disgusts me!
Les	Y.	I do not want any restrictions on what I see or hear. What happened to Canada the true north strong and free. Restrictions on what I see and hear IS NOT FREEDOM. Songs, videos, podcasts, movies etc should make on the quality not because of who created them and where they came from!
Eric	D.	I do not want any search to be modified. I feel like this could be abused and history tells us it will be.
Cameron	F.	I do not want anymore government overreach or regulation and control of media for manipulation and public control we the people deserve to know the truth about news.
M	G.	I do not want anyone much less the govt and the CRTC to dictate and control what I have access to on ANY online or television platform. It's all about control and censorship,ÄîI WANT TO BE FREE TO WATCH WHAT I WANT AND HEAR THE TRUTH FROM ANYONE WHO IS NOT THE GOVT!
Blanche	T.	I do not want anything changed My choice what I watch or listen too
Luigi	E.	I do not want anything to change when I search the web. This bill makes no sense as we have no issue with Canadian content. If I want to watch it I know how to get it.
Sachin	S.	I do not want Bill C-11 to affect social media or sites where most content is USER GENERATED, such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram and TikTok.
Margaret	S.	I do not want bureaucrats deciding for me what I am ALLOWED to read, listen to or watch. We already have laws in place to regulate criminal

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		activity. This is TO TEMPTING as a tool for the ruling class to censor and take away free speech.
Todd	Н.	I do not want Canadian content forced upon me via internet searches nor through social media feeds. If the content is truly good, it should be delivered through the natural processes, not forced upon us.
roger	C.	I do not want Canadian content forced upon me, just because it is Canadian. I do not want any content from any country being forced upon me. Whatever rules the CRTC puts in place should not force Canadians to watch Canadian content. If Canadian content is entertaining to watch, people will want to watch it anyway. Provide funding to Canadian creators to make content, but give Canadians the freedom to choose what they watch.
jane	p.	I DO NOT WANT CANADIAN CONTENT FORCED UPON ME. HELLO !!! THERE IS A BIG WORLD OUT THERE. LIKE EUROPE !! I DEMAND TO MAKE MY OWN CHOICE AS TO WHETHER I CHOOSE TO WATCH CANADIAN CONTENT OR NOT !! THIS IS SENSORSHIP. THIS IS BIG BROTHER. THIS IS KEEPING US IGNORANT, UNINFORMED !!. THIS IS SIMILAR TO AFFIRMATIVE ACTION. NOT HIRING ON SKILL LEVEL BUT ON THE COLOUR OF THE SKIN. FORCING CANADIANS TO WATCH CANADIAN CONTENT, REGARDLESS OF ITS LACK OF QUALITY. THIS IS SO WRONG. HOW IS THIS DIFFERENT FROM BANNING BOOKS ??
Stephani e	N.	I do not want censorship - which is effectively what this Bill is about. To be honest, I largely do not like Canadian content and resent being forced to consume it in my entertainment while other options are 'limited'.
Kevin	T.	I do not want censorship or CanCon redirection without my notice or approval. Canada is not China!
Jason	S.	I do not want content censored or shadow banned, especially in platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube.
Yvonne	C.	I do not want CRTC to decide for me about what to watch or not so if CRTC just leaves Canadians decide. That is the best that CRTC could do for all of us.
Sue	D.	I do not want CRTC to manipulate any of my feeds, especially through social media. I should be allowed to search, see & share anything I wish to look for. (Side note: We should stop funding mainstream media such as CBC.)

		ework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Terame	M.	I do not want everything I see regulated. This is a free country and I do not feel free with all the content being regulated.
Steve	J.	I do not want government in any way interfering with what I may see or not see on the Internet with the exception of items that could be used to facilitate serious criminal activity such as sex trafficking, selling and distribution of hard drugs, activities of criminal gangs or violent actions.
Heloise	A.	I do not want government intervention in my internet results. It opens the door to censorship and propaganda.
Jon	C.	I do NOT want government regulated control over sources of content. PERIOD.
Tyler	L.	I do not want groups, organizations or elected officials or anyone else filtering, sensoring or modifying ANYTHING, let alone the content in which I choose to consume.
Andrew	A.	I do not want my choices to be made by any government. I'm happy if the government funds innovative Canadian media, but don't mandate what I should consume.
Stacey	W.	I do not want my content choices made for me. The right to choice should be inalienable.
Carly	Н.	I do not want my feed and ability to view non-Canadian content to be modified.
Tina	В.	I do not want my feeds to be manipulated and as a free Canadian I do not want the government imposing their propaganda.
Peter	S.	I do not want my freedom of choice controled by any government agency. This erodes my democratic and human rights.
Tom	J.	I do not want my government censoring information flow to me. They are my servant. I decide.
Ryan	R.	I do not want my internet usage censored or filtered by any regulatory body.
Kim	S.	I do not want my news censored in any way. Nor do I want exclusion. I also do not want broadcasts to be influenced. Freedom is essential.
Raven	M.	I do not want my online life regulated. Governments should not be telling platforms to censor content for any reason apart from criminal activity. Choosing what citizens can view is straight-up fascism.

Adele	L.	I do NOT want my ONLINE SEARCHES, the PLATFORMS I visit, the WEBSITES I view, etc., to be censored by ANYONE! If a Canadian program or website or platform is interesting to me, then I MAY CHOOSE to watch it. I absolutely refuse to watch or visit ANYTHING the Canadian government decides to shove down my throat. I refuse to watch manipulative propaganda. It is against my freedom and it is an abuse of my rights to be de-platformed FOR ANY REASON. This includes de-platforming websites, videos or social media content. We are adults and we can and will make our own choices. Eliminate the Canada Media Fund. If Canadian programming is actually worthy, then it will be viewed and/or listened to, if it is crap, then it will be cancelled or removed from the air.
Liam	G.	I do not want my searches or content recommendations arbitrarily modified by a government agency whose attitude can be appointed by the party in power. Additionally, forcing Canadian content onto people who may not be interested in it can negatively impact that content's ranking making it less successful internationally.
Alex	A.	I do not want nobody telling me or guiding me to what I choose to read or watch online. I'm an adult and am perfectly capable of deciding for myself.
Paul	D.	I do not want nor need the government of Canada to manipulate any of my content. I am quite capable of finding the content I want to consume. The over reach is unwanted and unneeded. When the Canadian Content is good enough it reaches the people it needs to - for example Rush or the Tragically Hip. They never needed the governments help. This is all just more nonsense that the government has created to control the people.
Louise-A	F.	I DO NOT want or need anyone overseeing which emails I get or send, which videos I watch, or what websites I go to, whether they have Canadian content or not. If Canadian content is worth watching, the Canadian people will watch it!!
Jill	W.	I do not want or need gov censoring my content as though this is a police state. Canadian content creators have shown time and time again that they can compete with the best in the world. Money is better spent funding creators and not controlling the public. I spend my hard earned money to access content, it is irritating that government wants to control how my private subscription money is spent.

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Ralph	В.	I do NOT want the Canadian government to be able to filter our internet search choices at all. It is a sign that our government does not trust us, except of course ironically, as it still allows us a vote.
Daniel	L.	I do not want the Canadian regulators blocking access to any news sites, including independent news sites that may be in any foreign country I should be able to look at what is being reported in news sites around the world.
Candace	N.	I do not want the change to be mysterious. I want the Canadian content to be separate from my general recommendations and for it to be clearly labelled. I love discovering new Canadian content or coming back to old favourites! I just don't want my general recommendations to be limited, compared to what they are now. Glad to have an opportunity for input!
Paul	U.	I do not want the CRTC . to dictate to me what I can and can not watch
Paula	В.	I do not want the CRTC to manipulate my streaming, or feeds on any platforms
Lory	F.	I do NOT want the CRTC to try to protect Canadian content creators. All creators should compete from level ground. I am well capable of manicuring my feeds with as much or as little Canadian content as I want.
Andrew	Н.	I do not want the government deciding for me, by means of altering the algorithm, what Canadian content I should be seeing. Canadian creators already have a place online and adjusting how search results and recommendations work, in a manner that is forced upon users ie. a system you cannot, or is by default, opt out. A Canadian content section would be the most preferred option as it would display 'Canadian content' without interrupting they way things already function.
Michael	K.	I do not want the government manipulating my feed on ANY platform or search.
Todd	R.	I do not want the government of Canada to sensor or interfere with any content unless it is illegal. I feel that supporting Canadian creators is a good use of taxpayer money.
Sandra	Н.	I do NOT want the government telling me what to watch or modifying anything that I am looking for.
Marilyn	B.	I do NOT want the government to censor my Internet searches for information. Democracy does not support censorship. Scientific debate

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		is not dissension! Oppressing the voice of science is fascism and totalitarianism.
Connie	M.	I do not want the government to have ANY say over what news or information I CHOOSE to consume. I am vehemently opposed to a Nanny State which Trudeau is trying to implement in Canada. The Government has zero right to impose their preferred agenda on Canadian citizens; we can determine for ourselves what information to consume.
James	B.	I do not want the Government, espeicially this one, to monitor my viewing preferences in any matter. I can be my own monitor. This government, and it's agencies, have created one of the most expensive consumer costs for media distribution in the world. We do not need their approval for media access in any circumstances.
Roselyn	D.	I do not want the govt / CRTC to regulate anything that I see or choose to have on my platforms. I have nothing against Canadian content but I should be able to choose what is coming into my feed.
Thomas	P.	I do not want this legislation weaponized so as to be merely yet another form of censorship masquerading as protecting Canadian content creation. My experience has been, if it is good, it will be seen, heard and listened to.
Eva	A.	I do not want this to get turned into a method of censorship just used to remove content from canadian feeds that the government does not like. We are already seeing government overreach in this area in my opinion, so it would be easy for them to decide they know what is best for canadians and that they should pick what content appears to them.
Charlotte	C.	I do not want to be censored, keep the internet open as it was meant. I do not want Canadian content to be forced upon us. If it is good it can compete in the world. I do want online content to be safe from harmful content directed to children. I want to be able to search and find information without the government getting in the way.
Elaine	V.	I do not want to be controlled like China which is what is happening here. Stay out of our choices
Donna	F.	I do not want to be fed prescribed content. I want to be able to find and freely read my preferred sources of content. It would be nice to have a level playing field for all content to be accessible to anyone.

Cecil	M.	I do not want to be forced to view substandard Canadian content simply because it is generated in Canada or by Canadians. An example of this might be modern Canadian art. Most of it is just trash. On the other hand, I am always interested in good quality Canadian content. I vehemently oppose political censorship of what I view. The Trudeau Liberals should not be able, even remotely, to denigrate or eliminate what they deem are unacceptable views or to promote their agenda of demonizing Canadians or to hide their incompetence or wrong doing. The role of government is to provide good governance not to control what I see or hear. I am a responsible adult.
Richard	L.	I DO NOT want to be FORCED to watch and consume content simply because it is Canadian. This very well could, on a large scale, create a limited view of the world among Canadians I mean, create the FALSE impression that only information that pertains to Canada is important and worthy of consideration. DO PLEASE RESPECT my and all Canadians' rights to find, choose and enjoy the content they want to see regardless of its point of origin. We need to be able to make appropriate and intelligent choices based on our tastes and needs. I am not comfortable with third-party interference in determining (cherry-picking) the content available to the public. This is EXACTLY the situation that the German public confronted during the 1930s under Hitler's Nazi Party rule. They had radios built that could not tune in other channels, because this was a totalitarian state. WE ARE NOT and we should NOT act as if we are!!! This kind of situation, as well as the one we are lobbying against here, are NOT for me and I am NOT willing to tolerate them.
Melanie	F.	I do not want to be forced to watch what the CRTC says I should watch, or be limited in what I can access on the platforms I choose to use.
Nicolas	L.	I do not want to be spammed with Canadian content just because I am Canadian. I'll never go back to listening to radio because I can't stand hearing Nickleback, Rush, The Tragically Hip and so on looped at 3AM to fill a quota, nevermind music well outside my musical tastes that's forced in occasionally like Drake, Celine Dion or Justin Bieber. That and the constant ads, but that's a different subject. If Spotify was suddenly forced to recommend 40% Canadian content (a la radio, 40% is a placeholder number here), or make sure every generated playlist hits a Canadian content quota or god forbid force me to actually listen to 40% Canadian songs I would cancel my subscription and go back to piracy. I want to listen to semi-obscure Nordic viking themed powermetal, not April Wine and the Bare Naked Ladies again and again. Not 40% of the

		time anyway. And sometimes the Canadian content for certain genres just doesn't exist. Forcing me to see Canadian content isn't 'giving me more local options' there, it's taking away better options that are more relevant, just because the singer is from Moncton and passes the purity test instead of being from Warsaw or Oslo. If it comes to the point that low budget Canadian crap is spamming all my mainstream streaming service feeds I'll just pay for a quality VPN, cancel my subscriptions in Canada, and resubscribe as an American. Is actively evading Cancon going to be a crime now? What about Adblockers? They don't just block 'ads' in really simple terms they block bits of websites from loading so you don't need to see or hear them. if I block the Canadian bits, will the website be obligated to in turn block my access since they can't serve their quota to me? What if UBO skillfully evades these Cancon adblock-blockers, as it so often does for normal adblock-blockers?
Melinda	P.	I do not want to government modifying my searches. The world is too big to be limited to primary Canadian content.
Emery	S.	I do not want to have my searches that I enjoy being altered. If their is something I want to see I go find it myself. If what is classed as Canadian Content is not being found then it is a lack of advertising and promoting through the existing means to do so.
Cody	S.	I do not want to miss out on content from other countries because Canadian produced content is being favoured. I fear that the promotion of Canadian content may favour majority representation and fail to represent marginalized groups. Not all platforms can be fairly held to a minimum Canadian content quota. For instance, genre specific platforms that focus on Anime or Horror cannot be reasonably expected to meet the same standards as more general platforms. The same can be said for platforms that are specific to another country, such as BBC.
Sam	M.	I do not want to primarily see Canadian content over content I want to watch. If I wanted to watch primarily Canadian content I would be watching CBC or CTV, not the foreign streaming services. I like watching good programming from around the world. If there is good Canadian stuff, I will watch it. But Canadian stuff encompasses more than just the CRTC/gov view. If it's written by a Canadian or about Canadiand, it should be considered Canadian content, imo.
Tom	Н.	I do not want to see any suppression of points of view on science topics such as the causes of climate change, energy sources or the pandemic.

Haiko	M.	I do not wish my feed to be manipulated in any way so that what I see online is whatever the CRTC wants me to see. People should have the freedom to choose what they want to watch. The algorithm should follow
Thomas	B.	I do not wish for the CRTC to micro-manage my feeds and recommendations. It is just one more cog in the sprocket towards manipulation. How is CRTC going to assure they are not going to be accused of manipulation, corruption and forcing false information onto Canadians? We (Canadians) do not have faith in the CRTC to operate ethically, and in our best interest. Afterall, it is run by humans with their own personal agendas. This seems like a short cut towards corruption and insider business dealings. I am not comfortable having user-generated content curated or regulated prior to my consumption. You Tube is free to watch and upload, and has its own Parental Guidance controls, therefore no outside regulation is required in my opinion. Between their own regulation, and my own filtering for what is appropriate in my household, there is no further need for intervention. I do not want to see my uniquely generated content filtered or removed if it is controversial, yet still within the rules and regulations of the hosting service.
Donna	S.	I do not want what I see modified by the CRTC. I do not need regulated content to look for Canadian content. When I want Canadian content I simply go looking for it. This regulation is not required.
Gerry	W.	I DO NOT WANT UNELECTED ADMINISTRATORS MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT WHAT I CAN AND CANNOT SEE STOP TREATING ME LIKE A STUPID CHILD
Gerry	M.	I do not want to watch any content considered Canadian if the government goes ahead with C-11. I will avoid all Canadian content if C-11 passes.
ARTHUR	K.	I do not want to see limitations of my choices of what I can or cannot access. I fully see the need to support Canadian content but I do not want foreign content to be excluded.
Ami Lee	G.	I do not want to see large or small canadian based content providers suffer from C-11. In question 3 I specifically mentioned Funding for more Canadian creators. This should be the primary focus, not trying to regulate or make it more difficult for creators. I have tried many times over the years to setup CanCon and get funding to no avail. Now C-11 is going to drive away my peers that I look up to and make an aspiring creator like me leave Canada and go where I feel I'll get supported more.

		what people want to consume, not force them to consume content that it deems fit or Canadian enough.
Erik	N.	I do not wish to have my content controlled by the government. The internet and my choice on what I want to watch/consume should always remain free and up to me. Just because it is being suggested or put in front of me on searches, etc, does not mean I will interact or watch it. In fact, it will do the exact opposite, the more it is pushed in front of me, the more I will actively try to avoid it and look for content that I actually want.
Brian	F.	I do not wish to have my news, social media, etc. censored in any way. It is up to parents to monitor and control the content their children are seeing. I'm fine with the blocking of pornography and violent content from access by children. I don't want anyone deciding for me what is content 'appropriate' for Canadians. CanCon is a sick construct and fosters a bullying society.
Tara	R.	I do not wish to have the world's wealth of knowledge restricted to Canadians-we should be able to access any information as we see fit (save exploitative in nature-porn Sites, child porn, trafficking etc)
Alex	I.	I don not want CRTC to be involved in any way in my internet consumption, just like I do not want intrusive advertising. Only the consumer has the right to decide what content to request and the choice should be private. Why not regulate what books I am allowed to borrow from the library? After all, you could try to force Canadian content at the checkout, instead of what the consumer chose to bring back home.
Hilary	S.	I don' think the CRTC should be involved in policing online content.  Online is global and people have the right to view what they want. If  Canadian content is good, people will be interested. Therefore supporting the creators is the best policy, not only for the Canadian market, but globally.
Tony	D.	I don't agree with cancon
Nicolas	G.	I don't appreciate you trying to control what I can and can't see. I'll make my own damn choices.
Salvatore	D.	I don't believe in any kind of Censorship No matter what it is! Censorship coming from any type of So-called organization is called Dictatorship and I do not support any kind of Dictatorship or Communism ideology or brainwashing people to believe in some ones corrupt ways of thinking! the reason I do not support any type of Censorship because I had a uncle & a Grandfather that was in the First World War & the Second

		World World in the front lines and gave there Lives so I can live in Freedom! and I not going to support any kind of So-called Corrupt Communism Dictatorship that brainwashes people & other organization to believe in his ideology for his personal motivation & does not care on what other people have to say or think & there rights & what they have to say about this So-called Censorship
Susan	Н.	I don't believe in censoring free speech period! I believe Canadians should be able to watch what ever they want to watch and use their own critical thinking to sort out what is relevant and what is not. I don't believe the government has any business interfering in the internet to promote their ideology while censoring those who disagree. Censorship is a tool of tyranny and that is all it is.
Gaelan	F.	I don't believe legacy media or the CRTC has to right to be controlling any media as to what is allowed not allowed or what is given priority over another. We are all free thinkers in the country and we the people will decide what we want to watch or not watch. This is not the role of the government the CRTC or any other establishment to tell the people what they can and can not watch. Last I checked we still lived in a democratic country. WE ARE NOT CHINA!! Nor do we want to be!! If the legacy media in Canada dose not have enough business sense to keep up with the changing times and keep their business profitable. With out having to take an autocratic position against the peoples free and God given right to choose for themselves. Then they don't deserve to be in business. In the end this is simply a move to take away the freedom of speech and difference in opinion that does not agree with the corrupt liberal government or the legacy media who is sucking off the tit of the liberals coffer. We are the citizens of this great country who chose what we watch. What form of information and learning we want to consume. Not what the government or the CRTC decides what is good for us. What is, so called disinformation. we the people are not idiots. We will choose not the establishment!!
Oleksand r	R.	I don't believe of fairness and transparency of the process that will be implemented to put more Canadian content onto online services. I am especially appalled about modifying my personal recommendations to show me Canadian content - if I don't watch any of it, I don't want my recommendations to be filled with unrelated content.
Cynthia	P.	I don't believe that Bill C-11 should restrict any of my choice as to what I can view, especially as it concerns independent, non-government funded sources.

		iework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and malgenous content
Rob	W.	I don't believe the government has the right or authority to control what I see online. Stay out of my business. The government of Canada works for me not the other way around.
Olivia	S.	I don't believe the government should have control over internet content, freedom of speech & content i consume should be my choice.
Dan	J.	I don't believe we honour freedom of expression by allowing our government to police the internet. I believe grievous harm will be realized from these actions.
Karen	F.	I don't believe we should be forced to watch anything.
Henry	M.	I don't care about cancon media. I care only to have full access to the algorithms that are actually made to push the most popular media. If I want canadian content I'll look for it. My true concern is that there is too much advertising online and I'd like to see the online gambling ads disappear
Tom	Н.	I don't consider online platforms as broadcasting that should be regulated by the CRTC.
Bonnie	W.	I don't consume content that is specifically Canadian. I do watch certain content providers that I know are Canadian, but their citizenship is not why I watch them. I consider the proposed bill to represent a barrier to the free flow of information. This bill is unnecessary.
Cheuh Yang	L.	I don't even know what C-11 does.
richard	h.	I don't know.
Brian	Н.	I don't like being fed Bull Shit propaganda from government sponsored media!!!
Michaela	K.	I don't like being force fed information. Facebook does that enough. Twitter does that enough. Instagram does that enough. Tik Tok does that enough. I don't need the government adding to this pile of force fed content. If anything, these laws should be penalizing the corporations force feeding us tailored content to keep us sucked into their apps, NOT doing the exact same thing under some guise of proud Canadian content
Lara	L.	I don't like censorship of any kind

MARTIN	P.	I don't like mandatory rulings that would interfere with my personal choices and I can decide for myself, what constitutes Canadian content. I'm currently enjoying a YouTuber in Asia, who is Scottish and produces mostly Canadian content. Any hindrance on my choices would be to the detriment of any benefits Bill C11 thinks will be forthright. Canadians need support, without governmental restrictions. Listen to the experts, who are telling you, that Bill C11 isn't right for us.
Dave	W.	I don't like seeing more regulation of any sort because more regulation means more control by either government, a non-elected agency such as the CRTC, or most likely, both. I see Bill C11 as an attack on the freedom of citizens and I don't like it.
Kaye	K.	I don't like that there is the potential of not being able to google news articles. This is a big part of a lot of people's day to day and in doing so would rip a lot of news education out of Canadians reach. I also think everyone should have the right to free speech and with that should be able to comment on Canadian news articles including citing articles. This takes away from what true journalism is.
Josh	Н.	I don't like the feeling that the government wants to control me, including what I look at on the internet. Free speech should exist as long as it doesn't enter hate speech territory. I am entitled to my opinion and thoughts.
Zac	S.	I don't like the government getting involved in most things and considering no private citizens have been calling for this bill or its stated purpose this is massive government over reach. Parliament needs to keep its nose out of our business and let the free market be free
Georges	G.	I don't like the idea of a government body choosing my experiences. Censorship is also a concern.
Leah	W.	I don't like the idea of any kind of filtering being done for me. My algorithms already track and curate my feeds based on my interests. I also strongly believe that, like any other business, content creators need to publish quality content to earn their place in my algorithms. Just like any other regular Joe has to compete for their jobs with a resume that stands out. Getting a leg up for no other reason than where content was created (however you are going to discern that-location of studio, nationality of creator? How does that work on location?) means that other people doing just as good of a job or possibly even better could miss their spot due to what equates to favoritism. The work is then not truly based on merit which really helps no one. This world is really

	III	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		making being lazy and unmotivated into an actual virtue. It is the worst possible thing to be done to society. Stop. Just stop.
Mathew	R.	I don't mind having a Made/Popular in Canada section, because presumably it'd be separate from the rest of whatever content would normally come up anyway. I do NOT want Canadian content to override content that would normally come up (unless I can toggle it).
Adam	P.	I don't need a babysitter. I am quite capable of deciphering information and I want access to all content so i can make a true informed decision. This bill is for one purpose, to control the narrative. It is disgusting and undemocratic. We all know the attack on social media platforms is due to truth being revealed which in turn is putting a damper on pushing plans and narratives on the people. Remember who you work for.
Matthew	N.	I don't need anyone or anything interfering with my freedom to make my own choices about which media to consume. It is already common on the internet that Canadians attempt to access content, only to discover that it is not available in our country, which I have been given to understand is not caused by decisions in the originating country, but because of CRTC policies.
Patrick	M.	I don't need the Government curating what content I see. Bill C-11 is a prime example of a bloated Liberal government that wants to regulate every corner of our lives
Keith	V.	I don't need the govt controlling what news I have access to. This is absolutely abhorrent. If I did I move to China.
Kathleen	P.	I don't need to have my information censored or controlled by the government. I am an intelligent and mature citizen who deserves autonomy. I like to have multiple information sources in front of me so I can make informed decisions.
Jean-Fra nçois	L.	I don't no. Sorry. Thanks.
Harm	G.	I don't particularly appreciate how it could give more control to the government, and how the government ignores issues when they are brought up in the name of funding large Canadian content organizations. I also think that if organizations like the CBC cannot make it, then It maybe time for them to close. Pushing the Correct content is never the right way. I also think that it will affect privacy and I think it should be scrapped as a bill.

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
David	J.	I don't particularly care about Canadian content what I want are the morons in charge to leave the internet alone, especially considering we have far more pressing concerns in Canada to be dealing with, than what media is promoted for people to see on the internet. government needs to start getting some real work done. absolutely feckless idiots.
Erin	M.	I don't particularly like the idea of CanCon at all. I get why it was useful 40 years ago but the internet is a lot more diverse than the days when even the most media-heavy households had all of 13 channels to work with and therefore only 13 streams of (entirely profit-driven) content to consume. But its not 1980 anymore. Canadian creators have a strong position in the content marketplace already. CanCon is an outdated idea from a time long past. We should be focusing more on helping Canadian creators produce the best content they can rather than forcing them to produce large volumes of mediocre garbage just to meet an arbitrary quota.
Marc	L.	I don't really care from where my entertainment comes. What is important to me is to get the best of what is available. If Canadians make content that is worth watching, then great, I will watch it, if not, then I won't. I see bill C-11 as a means to control what we see on the platforms more than doing the promotion of Canadian content. The CRTC would serve the public better by regulating the telecommunication services in order to provide more affordable services to everyone. Either by regulating the prices themselves or by allowing for better competition to establish it self in Canada.
Gus	K.	I don't really want a government body to be able to control how I interact with the rest of the world and how I perceive my own country. I dont want Canadian content creators who make content that doesn't involve Canadian topics to be forced to change their content in order to get more funding.
Tricia	E.	I don't require the CRTC to control Canadian content on services they don't own. I.do believe that anything made in Canada needs to have a certain percentage of Canadian workers on the project. The bigger the project the bigger the percentage with a cap at 18%.
Jack	M.	I don't spend a lot of time on line, but I don't like interference with my ability to do so. Feeds that come from areas that have traditionally spread false stories should be identified so we can judge for ourselves

Byron	A.	I don't think that there should be any major government regulating efforts. We either have free speech and access to information including various and opposing views, or nobody has free speech.
Dylan	R.	I don't think that the Government should have any control over MY MEDIA this isn't China
Cindy	C.	I don't think government should be policing anything that is legal. Canadians should be able to view and experience what they want without any interference.
Mark	В.	I don't think anything the govt can use in a negative way to control its citizens should be implemented and as my country burns I do not have faith in my government to protect me from danger but rather puts me right in front of it so I don't think they're the right group to tell me what I can watch or listen to.
Kristel	S.	I don't think anyone should have a say in what I view on the internet. If I want Canadian content I will search for it. I don't think the government has a right to meddle in my internet services. STAY OUT OF OUR FEEDS.
Jensen	Н.	I don't think anyone has the right to influence the content I consume. If I want to support Canadian content, that's on me. I'm going to enjoy content that's good regardless of the country that TECHNICALLY produces it. I also think what qualifies as Canadian content is incredibly silly. So much is filmed and made and produced here. Promote fabulous examples of Canada being beautiful and the backdrop everyone wants to pretend is their home.
FREDA	P.	I don't think ANY news should be cut off from uswho are home, and need to see what's going on in the world. Trudeau just doesn't want us to see all the wrong things he is doing, and all the terrible things he's created in Canada.
Nathan	C.	I don't think any content from any specific place should hold priority to be shown over others, I think that if Canadian content is good, then it will reach me somehow or I will seek it out, but I don't think it should get a piggyback ride to my eyeballs. There are great Canadian creators out there, and they can strive on the same algorithm that everyone else can, but if we pass a bill that gives them a boost, it's just showing that we don't think they're as good as the rest, which is wrong.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Sheldon	В.	I don't think that you or anyone should have any right to censor or change what I'm viewing/commenting on etc. I view what I wish. If the content is good, I watch it. I don't give a damn who/where it was made. Pushing Canadian content through forced bills, that have some very shady language in them, is messed up. Canadians should be FREE to choose what they view on the internet! Stop trying to find new ways to censor everything! This Bill has been shady since the beginning. This Bill is just one more nail in the coffin on my trust in my Countries officials.
Rita	D.	I don't think the CRTC should dictate what I watch, pushing a government narrative that suits them, is restricting personal choice. I should be able to see all the information and make a rationale decision on what means what.
Carolyn	S.	I don't think the people need to be force fed
Colleen	D.	I don't trust ANYTHING that Trudeau and CRTC is doing to restrict me from seeing ANYTHING, from ANY country online. What can change for me is to LET ME DECIDE WHAT I WANT TO SEE and READ online. Trudeau has no business DICTATING what I want to see. online. NOW, as a born and raised, university educated Canadian citizen with excellent grammar and understandingyour verbage above is very confusing and, frankly, STUPID. If I have a challenge to 'understand' exactly what you are inferring to, what about Canadian citizens that DON'T have the English language that I do? LET ALL THE PLATFORMS BE WIDE OPEN. People can choose what they want to see or read. Leave us alone. Government get OUT of our lives. You have NO right to control ME . You've already taken too much from me.
Donia	S.	I don't use online a great deal but I believe no platform should be able to use Canadian news copied from any source and use it without paying in some way. I think Google etc have had a good deal not paying any Canadian taxes and making so much money that it is time to pay the piper. I cannot recall how Australia handled the problem but if they succeeded there is no reason why we can't. Also I believe if you want news go to CBC or Global online or subscribe to an online newspaper. There are many choices. I honestly do not know if Netflix etc pay any fees but I believe it is reasonable to pay something to do business especially when the profits are high.
Steve	S.	I don't use social media because it cannot be trusted. Recent reports inform us that social media is not an honest benefit to humanity. About 30% of teenagers who use social media, admit that hostile messages have seriously harmed them, and driven them to consider suicide. Social

Aisha	B.	I don't want any controls. Bill C 11 is garbage and should be scrapped along with our corrupt government.
Gagik	A.	I don't want any changes, Everything works for me.
Françoi s	S.	I don't want a police style organisation over my shoulder I want regulation and direction from CRTC.
Aaron	C.	I don't want a law that doesn't benefit the average everyday citizens like myself.
Anthony	M.	I don't want a government agency dictating what I am able to watch and or dictating what content I have to sift through to find material I prefer to watch. How about the mainstream broadcasters compete by producing content that attracts viewers instead of co-opting government policy to get a share of revenues they didn't earn?
Kori	A.	I don't want a dictator like Trudeau having any control over MY MEDIA OR CONTENT
Troy	S.	I don't want a communist country. Canada is a free country. Or at least we used to be before this Liberal government took over. Any form of censorship should be illegal aside from classification for age specific which is already in place. I don't want to be subjected to propaganda and single views of whatever government is in control. That is not Canada. Also want to point out that Canadian content does not need the governments help regarding marketing or exposure. If it's good, it will succeed on its own merit.
Bradley	K.	I don't want a bunch of stuff I don't want to see pushed on me by the government just because it is considered Canadian. I also don't want to suddenly see french content. Same goes for other minority special interest groups that I have not specifically signed up to see.
Maryam	M.	I don't use social media much, but am. concerned about the misinformation spread as seen during the pandemic, and the hate directed at public health leaders.
		media is a way to monitor and surveil us, and it's an obvious trap. Those who wish to control humanity, are watching and collecting info on us, towards the day when they come out of the closet, and herd the more rebellious humans into new concentration camps being prepared by FEMA ,and others. The net is tightening, so plan your escape route now.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Christoph er	l.	I don't want any freedom of choice taken away from my online activity.
Joseph	Н.	I don't want any government interfering with my search results. I want to find what I'm looking for not be told that I need to watch something.
Peter	C.	I don't want any government regulation of the internet. I want to be able to access all shared news, articles, information, opinion pieces from Google and social media platforms like Facebook.
Dennis	G.	I don't want any government regulations at all, period!
j	I.	I don't want any government tampering in anything that we the people didn't request.
CHRIST Y	W.	I don't want any government telling me what I can or can't see or hear. I don't want them making recommendations, either. Too many people are too stupid and we have seen how powerful and dangerous corporate/government-guided thinking is in the last 3 years. We are living the nightmare now of the divisions that were purposely created using censorship and millions of us are fighting with everything we say & do to try to undo the damage that was done. We cannot the damage to be further compounded in law. Sincerely, Christy Washer Toronto, ON M6H 1N3
Lori	J.	I don't want anyone deciding for me, what information is available to me. Canada is fast becoming a totalitarian regime. Regulating information and discussion is a primary element of dismantling a democracy, which is what our government claims we are. Cultivating ignorance is a fundamental step in becoming an enslaved, country. Now is not the time for apathy.
Becky	M.	I don't want anything curated by a bunch of bureaucrats who don't know me, or anything about what I like. I'm an adult capable of making my own decisions. I don't need a pencil pusher deciding for me.
Tristan	N.	I don't want anything to be censored and I don't believe that our government should have ANY control over what we are recommended. This should not be a cause for concern of our government and is a MASSIVE waste of tax dollars. Focus on allowing me to find a family doctor in Canada or lower the cost of living in Canada. Or fund Canadians to start making better higher quality content that people want to watch instead of reducing our viewing experience on our favorite social media platforms.

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Adelaide	Н.	I don't want anything to change! Just leave it all alone. Leave us alone! We don't want this unnecessary interference. Stop changing things that don't need changing. I'm so sick of this Canadian government. It's just horrible.
Michael	S.	I don't want anything to change. I don't believe the government or CRTC should be changing my online experience. If the CRTC wanted to help the could lower internet and cellphone service costs.
Aaron	M.	I don't want Canadian content rammed down my throat. I recall being forced to consume mediocre Canadian television and music during the 80s and 90s as a child and young adult. If the content is good, it will stand on it's own merit. The Internet has changed the world and has allowed Canadian content creators a global reach. We don't need laws to protect a rapidly changing Canadian identity.
Francois	G.	I don't want Canadian content shoved down my throat. If I want to watch them I'll do it, no need to have the government mess with the apps I'm paying for.
Donna	Ο.	I don't want censorship at all.
Hannes	P.	I don't want content hidden from me that I would otherwise like to access. It is important to be able to make my own choices about what content I want to access.
Andres	M.	I don't want LESS overall content in order to satisfy some arbitrary ratio between CanCon and other content, nor do I want CanCon to be force-fed to me by altering feeds, etc. Advertise it, fund it, promote it, but don't force it.
Dennis	W.	I don't want my access to any content to be restricted in any way. I should be able to view whatever I want and not have certain content forced upon me or be regulated. We're not living in a dictatorship yet, so why should any group of people be given the right to regulate what I have the right to view? Having selected Canadian content mandatory or specifically recommended while regulating other content is called propaganda and there's no place for it in our Land of the free.
Julie	T.	I don't want my content regulated. I am perfectly able to control my own feeds. I do not need the government stepping in 'for me'.
Bianca	L.	I don't want my feed controlled. i want to be able to see the content I choose.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Marj	В.	I don't want my feed to be manipulated. I want the same rules that apply to Canadian broadcasters to apply to companies operating in Canada no hate speech no lies no disinformation no AI bots creating hallucinated content nothing promoting violence no fake news They can do a better job by screening posts before they go up, not removing them later AI created content labeled as what it is
Russ	Н.	I don't want my feeds altered AT ALL. I can choose to search for Canadian content if I wish to see it. NOBODY should be determining what I see. I am very concerned about these attempts by government to manage what I can see and hear on the Internet. The Web is NOT cable TV because everyone in Canada could watch a different program at the same time, unlike legacy cable TV.
Scott	G.	I don't want my government attempting to manipulate me via the content they ALLOW me to see.
Shawn	P.	I don't want my government trying to control what I watch or what is recommended to me anywhere, but especially online. The fact the CRTC thinks they have the right to tell a company what they can recommend to me when they have done a fine job of recommending content to me over the past decade (YouTube specifically) is in my opinion a huge overstep. Over the past decade I have cultivated a very specific preference profile and YouTube is usually spot on in their recommendations, and a good portion of creators I follow are indeed Canadian but those creators, I follow because I enjoy their content, not because they are Canadian. The world should be a meritocracy and no democratic government should be giving a helping hand to get any content served over other more deserving content. If creators can't attract an audience with engaging, entertaining content they should fail and make way for people who can earn their audience on their own. This Bill passing HAS altered my viewing behavior in the sense that if I am recommended a new channel I now check the location of the creator and avoid most that are based in Canada out of spite for this Bill, which I would imagine is the opposite of the desired outcome of this C-11. The internet is the last bastion of truely free and democratic content (aside from harmful things prohibited by various site's TOS) AND IT SHOULD STAY THAT WAY. Canadian culture is the things that Canadians say not what the government tells us it is. This issue can't be forced, and attempts to do so are not Canadian and certainly aren't democratic. I would wager that not one citizen wrote their MLA or their Premier asking for this policy to be put in place leaving the only likely culprit to be legacy media broadcasters. I don't care about their problems or their hardships. They

Amanda	D.	I don't want my YouTube feed to become a Canadian propaganda machine. I want to see works from independent producers and videos that match my interests and curiosity. I don't want my video feeds to be controlled by the government, and I want to see global content as well as Canadian content. I'm worried Canadians will miss out on the perspectives of other places in the world if we prioritize Canadian content and we could loose the opportunity to achieve a more balanced,
Michael	M.	I don't want my watch recommendations to have Canadian content injected into them if that content wouldn't have appeared there on its own. I enjoy some Canadian content creators, and I don't think they need training wheels to help them compete in a fair content marketplace.
Remo	W.	I don't want my streaming services flooded with low quality Canadian shows. I don't want to pay extra for content I don't want to watch. Streaming services already know what content Canadians want to see. These new rules don't benefit anyone except for a few Canadian producers. I would rather see Canada compete by raising the quality of their programming and that will require a loosening of the definition of Canadian content to allow greater creative freedom and access to larger audiences, and stop funding models that greenlight projects based on their Canadian-ness rather than quality. Canadian art and corporations will never become competitive with American ones if they never have to actually compete.
Gary	C.	I don't want my searches to be censored!
Wren	Н.	I don't want my internet to be censored, much of my favorite content isn't canadian and I loathe being pushed away from quality over consideration. Some of my favorites are Canadian, and that isn't thanks to censorship, I found them because they were actually good.
Daniel	L.	I don't want my internet experience regulated in any way, shape, or form. Nothing imposed by government should prevent me from reaching high quality content in favour of Canadian content, regardless of its quality. Censorship/heavy regulation is unacceptable and not the right way to promote Canadian material. Use positive reinforcement to promote Canadian culture; pay Canadians competitive wages, foster ingenuity via grants and funding, promote higher education, etc.
		enjoyed a monopoly before internet streaming was a thing and they squandered it. They had every opportunity to adapt and make quality engaging content that would earn them v

		global perspective, more in line with the whole truth, rather than just the approved Canadian version of the truth.
Hoang Thang	B.	I don't want new sources to be restricted to just the big Canadian new broadcasters. CBC, CityNews, CTV, etc. have their use. However, smaller sites like Rebel News should have their voice as well. I also don't want my recommendation algorithm to be heavily influenced by location. For example, I enjoy music from a variety of artists that aren't from Canada. I do not want to see these recommendations get replaced by Canadian contents that I might not enjoy as much. This can be applied to Youtube videos, news etc.
Samanth a	K.	I don't want news and content I like to watch kept from me. I don't want conservative or Christian media kept from me.
Scott	W.	I don't want or need anyone messing with search results or pushing mediocre fare. If it's good, I'll watch it under my own volition. I don't need, want, or accept an incompetent, borderline corrupt Government entity driving my content.
Gordon	C.	I don't want or need anyone to tell me what to watch!
Nolan	W.	I don't want over regulation amounting to censorship restricting what types of information I have access to. Monetization is the problem of business not the Canadian government, if businesses want to make money then they need to provide a product that people are willing to pay for. Forcing companies that drive traffic to your website to pay you for the privilege is morally and ethically wrong.
Joanne	L.	I don't want small Canadian Content providers to choose leaving Canada to make more money. We shouldn't make things harder in the name of fairness.
Mike	C.	I don't want the Canadian government to dictate what I see and do online. I don't want the Canadian government to force anything on me.
Christoph er	P.	I don't want the content that I see to be filtered without my knowledge. I would like to be able to have content providers that I watch be able to continue with uninhibited content streaming.
Trevor	W.	I don't want the CRTC to force Government mandated supposedly Canadian content into my feeds. I watch a large amount of diverse content from all over the world. Canadians don't need to be treated like children being told what to watch.

		I dealt went the CDTC to regulate ray on line processes. Lors 04 years ald
Fred	D.	I don't want the CRTC to regulate my on line presence. I am 84 years old and don't need anyone to make decisions for me. I am a big boy now.
Andrew	R.	I don't want the government controlling content, period. But helping to promote Canadian content is entirely different, and a noble cause. Encourage rather than control.
david	l.	I don't want the government controlling what I see on the internet.
Michael	D.	I don't want the government deciding what I watch and don't want my tax dollars being wasted on creators. I think this media fund shouldn't exist and the money would be better spent on failing infrastructure and taking less than 3 years to build a 5 km road. Promote the building of subways and houses, don't waste my tax dollars on propaganda and corruption which is all this media fund will lead to
Jean-Mar c	B.	I don't want the government or anyone who works for the government to have any say over the content that I have access to for any reason whatsoever under any circumstances. The internet is a forum for free speech without borders and the future is open to all global opportunities. Is my decision which conversations I take part in and it is no one's decision or right to moderate those conversations or have any say in their content. As a free spirit and free thinking adult in a supposedly free country I believe that no organization government or anybody of people should have any influence on activities online that are not criminal nationally or internationally.
John	X.	I don't want the government steering me to there propaganda:
Doug	D.	I don't want the government telling me what I can or cannot watch.
Austin	V.	I don't want the government to be able to tell platforms what to push to the platform's users. We aren't special. We don't get special treatment. You can't regulate the internet as much as the Liberal Party would like to. Regulating the internet leads to users finding illegal workaround. Deciding what Canadians can and can't see is censorship. Stop trying to censor us.
Victor	C.	I don't want the government to control what I see on social media. I'm OK with them *influencing* it by requiring companies to provide options to control how much Canadian content I see, as well as funding more Canadian creators. However, I think that allowing the government to mandate what content companies *must* provide would be going to far. The government shouldn't have the power to regulate this.

Loretta	C.	I don't want the government to decide what I see and hear. I want the choice to make my own decisions. Government is involved in too much of my private business.
Ria	C.	I don't want the government to have any control over what I see on my social feeds or my entertainment apps. I don't want any tax dollars to be distributed by the government to produce any sort of content.
Mike	P.	I don't want the government to have any influence over what I can find on the internet. And I'll vote against anyone who voted for this bill. Our media should not have to be government approved or sanctioned. If the government forces Canadian content on users, then users will downvote that content, thus making it less likely to be seen by everyone especially larger markets outside Canada. Once again we can see that the incompetent government trying to help will only make things worse.
ТОМ	T.	I don't want the government to regulate in any way what I see on online platforms .
Jessica	M.	I don't want the government.s lens affecting The news and pieces I see
Cheryl	N.	I don't want the intentions/beliefs or commitments of others dictating what I choose to consume/experience.
Amin	M.	I don't want this communist censorship to be implemented. Cancel the bill c-11 altogether please.
Issabella	S.	I don't want this to push creators to people who wouldn't want to watch their content just because they're Canadian because this could result in less interaction and sink small accounts.
George	C.	I don't want to be blocked from seeing things that are not canadian, I really believe when something is done well canadian us europe it will come to your attention and we watch. I don't want to be forced fed crap like cbc tv does and others. Canadians if they want to compete built good stuff. If anything help promote it without shoving it down our throats.
jill	S.	I don't want to be censored or forced to be shown something I'm not interested in. And I don't want to be restricted to watch what I want, Canadian or Global content
Jeremy	B.	I don't want to be filtered.
Michael	E.	I don't want to be force-fed Canadian content. The content should be able to speak for itself and stand on its own two feet. If it cant compete it

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		should be allowed to die. I also don't want to be forced to only see state funded news. I will use a VPN to bypass this bullshit bill if I have to.
lan	М.	I don't want to be isolated or cut off from any of the choices globally! Canadians are not babies, can handle and should be exposed to global points of view and truths ALL of it!
Hugo	P.	I don't want to be restricted from viewing content because someone decided what I can and can't watch. Promoting Canadian content is something but imposing CRTC rules and tax video and audio content on the internet is absurd and totalitarian. CRTC is not independent since it's government funded and cannot be trusted to be fair.
Lyne-Brig itte	L.	I don't want to be spy on or on surveillance to know what I am watching on my feeds .
Leah	K.	I don't want to have content censored. I don't want to be routed to watch 'Canadian' content. Leave the public alone and let them choose what they want and don't want to view.
James	W.	I don't want to loose all of my Canadian news feeds
Brian	D.	I don't want to lose the freedom of receiving vital information and communications which I now receive.
Joanne	C.	I don't want to see anymore made in Canada programming. We have had it shoved down our throats from CBC over the years regardless of whether the programming is any good or not, and I'm sick of it.
Rachel	В.	I don't want to see fewer recommends from international creators or have Canadian content prioritized in search results/algorithms to the point where international content gets buried.
Connor	G.	I don't want to see general algorithmic changes I cannot see that artificially push Canadian creators to the top of my search just because they are Canadian. I want to see the content with the most merit or genuinely the most popular based on engagement and topics relevant to me. I also have concerns about how the CRTC will define Canadian content when it pertains to independent creators, or creators with small online businesses. It seems like a move to force Canadians to watch Canadian broadcast content from legacy media platforms when they may not want to.
Tabetha	D.	I don't want to see government funded news or creator content because it's Canadian. I want to see content that has risen to the top because of

		its quality and its relevance. I don't want news content pushed in front of me over the news that is supporting itself.
Frances	A.	I don't want to see less global content or have those restricted.
Matthew	W.	I don't want to see shadow bans for the content the government chooses is inappropriate for me, I get to choose what's inappropriate, nobody else.
Jamie	S.	I don't want to wade through government-mandated junk on every platform I use because the CRTC wants more power. I'm also worried that this will set a precedent for additional government overreach in other areas.
Philippe	Н.	I don't want too be kept in the dark even more on what happens globally. The results of the algorythms should be based on merit, and not on what any governemental entity wants me or anyone to see.
Michael	B.	I don't want you to tell me what I can and cannot see on an open internet system that has no boundaries hopefully we live in a free country that allows us to consume what we want when we want without a gatekeeper to tell me that I need to watch Canadian content because I live in Canada.
Julia	В.	I don't wish to have my online platforms censored in any way and would like the choice to see options related to my interests not yours.
Michel	D.	I don't agree with incoming sources being charged at all, information should not be controlled by government period. Just another totalitarian move to control everything people do, say, and live their lives. People should have total control of their own lives
Janell	M.	I don't believe ANY content should be regulated or censured. People are smart enough to choose what they want to see and consume. I want to be able to see everything and choose for myself.
Tiana	S.	I don't believe anyone should be able to manipulate what content I am able to view or access based on someone else's options of what they believe to be right or wrong. How can you have freedom of though or real democracy or even engage in a debate with out knowing all sides not just what you are being feed as information.
Elisabeth	R.	I don't believe it is the government's position to interfere with Canadian content. I am capable of searching for the content I want to view and capable of making a decision on which content I want to view. American,

		Canadian, Chinese, etc,Ķ The government has no business interjecting themselves into this. It's up to content creators to build their own platforms not governments.
Bronwyn	B.	I don't believe my internet searches should be influenced by where I live and what my country wants to promote.
Andrew	M.	I don't believe that the Government should have any control over what people see, hear, read or think, we live in a supposedly free country not a dictatorship, bill C-11 smacks of George Orwell's 1984.
Bill	C.	I don't believe the content I choose to view should be influenced or controlled by the CRTC.
Paula	Н.	I don't believe the government should be controlling what we see.
Nicole	N.	I don't believe the government should be regulating online content except to block all pornography and illegal activities like online scams and human trafficking.
Gary	C.	I don't care about Canadian content. Stop forcing it on us. How will this be policed? Is someone going to force alternatives media sources to adhere? Sports coverage sites? Sites that only show certain shows that are all from another country? Porn? This was poorly thought out.
Debra	N.	I don't fancy having some govt org control anything I choose to use as a social media or new platform. Period.
Don	A.	I don't like being told by government what I can or cannot watch!
Nathan	C.	I don't like Canadian content. I don't think the CRTC should force a certain content in my feed. I will take necessary steps to avoid it. The rules around this are already bogus. Leave my feeds alone.
Brian	M.	I don't like government manipulating or influencing my search content. If my search is Canadian related then I expect Canadian information.
Jaime	E.	I don't like the idea of not being able to watch what I want to watch. We don't live in a dictatorship,Ķ.yet
Ryan	P.	I don't like the idea of not having diversity in the content I watch, I think everyone's content should be seen, and Canadian content shouldn't get any favours.
Curt	S.	I don't like the idea the Canadian government could censor my news or searches just because they deem something more Canadian than something else. I am mature enough, reasonable enough and rational

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		enough to make my own decisions without the government telling me what to read, watch and listen to.
Kimber	В.	I don't need anyone to control what I see. Making the option available or creating a section is more than adequate! Tired of being regulated and decisions taken out of my hands without my consent!
Debbie	R.	I don't need government telling me what I can see. It turns me off and I do not watch the government propaganda tv. Proven lies from them just like Trudeau and his NDP coalition government lied to us.
Robert	S.	I don't need my media to be regulated
Francisco	F.	I don't thing we need bill C-11 at all
Jordan	K.	I don't think any changes are needed and neither is bill c11.
Donna	М.	I don't think c-11 should be passed at all. I can figure out for myself what I want to look at and the government is over reaching on my right to do so.
Noah	C.	I don't think Canadian content should be propped up by the government. If it's good then let it stand on its own two feet. I find it rather disingenuous to try and regulate the internet in Canada. The CRTC has enough control over what we watch and listen to on traditional media. We do not need to add another mandate for them.
J.	L.	I don't think I should be forced to see any content, including Canadian content that I don't want to see or am not looking for or not interested in.
Sharolyn n	J.	I don't understand why they want to become more like China and have so much control. We all don't live in China for a reason,Ķ.we don't like China and don't want the restrictions put on us in Canada. If we want restrictions, we'll move to China.
Nyk	D.	I don't want an outside body deciding what I do and do not see in my searches and feeds
Matthew	S.	I don't want any content hidden from me because the government deems it misinformation people can decipher what's real And what's not on their own
Monica	K.	I don't want any govt or CRTC to be messing with what gets to come up in my searches and I don't want an altering algorithms that limit the type of content I want to find. I have no interest in more Canadian content, in my mind Canadian content must become more desirable so that the

		market can determine who will succeed and who will not. If Canadian content cannot stand on it's own then it will need to become better and provide better content to survive, like any other business or service. I do not accept any level of censorship as ok or desired.
Devon	F.	I don't want any restrictions on what I see in my feeds online. The internet should be free and open to anything unless it contains violence, racism, sexism, or any form or cultural misappropriation. Outside of that we should be allowed to post what we want and view what we want. If we can't I feel this is an attack at our basic freedoms, primarily our freedom of speech.
Carson	Н.	I don't want anyone to be censored. Freedom of speech is key.
Rosanne	R.	I don't want anyone to manipulate my feeds, control the content I consume, and down-rank the content I love in favour of government-stamped CanCon.
Shannon	M.	I don't want anything I have free or paid access to censored. There shouldn't even be the option for one conglomerate to decide this and censor what the entire population of country can or cannot watch.
Tiffany	P.	I don't want anything regulated, leave things alone. The censorship is already bad enough. Canada is turning into China.
Cheryl	R.	I don't want Canadian content thrown at me just because it's deemed Canadian. I like the current model and want to see what interests me not what I'm told to see.
Sofia	P.	I don't want censorship of my online platform experiences.
Annette	M.	I don't want censorship, I want to access any and all sites without restrictions.
Mark	S.	I don't want government bodies redirecting my searches for any reason
Carla	E.	I don't want government to decide what I watch. Sounds like totalitarian. Why are you afraid for both side speaking about the topic at hand? Control people by controlling what they watch, sounds like people programming or manipulation. Do you agree?
Ada	Z.	I don't want more control over what I'm able to search/see. The internet should be an equally open platform.
Allisa	D.	i don't want more government control and watching, i want less. i want autonomy, i want choice, i don't want to be in the dark.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
John	D.	I don't want my access to information decided by anyone but me. I can decide what's real or what's misinformation. Honestly I don't trust our current government to tell me the truth and I'll decide for myself based on all information available from all sources.
Gay	М.	I don't want my feeds touched and I dont want to be forced to watch anything. Don't be a liberal govt stooge and brown shirts. We pay your salary with tax dollars. We are the customer.
Myra	E.	I don't want my media choices to be regulated so that I have to choose Canadian content over all other sources
Chris	d.	I don't want my right to chose taken away from me. I want more options not less. I want Canadian culture to be represented in a way that doesn't take away but adds to my experience.
Courtney	R.	I don't want my searches filtered by the government. I want full access to any media broadcaster that I choose - whether or not the current government likes what they have to say. I am concerned that the government will grant itself powers to censor and only allow content that is non-critical of the government.
Jonathan	P.	I don't want or need any restrictions to what I search online. I didn't ask or vote for this. Let people choose what they want to see.
Meghan	P.	I don't want regulators controlling my feeds period.
Kevin	D.	I don't want somebody telling me what I can and can't watch or how much of something I should be watching. Keep the internet a free space as it was intended. No government or anyone entity should be controlling what people want to see or search for. Leave my internet alone!
G	A.	I don't want someone else choosing what I can or cannot view online. This reminds me of Fahrenheit 451 but it's digital instead of print.
Laureen	D.	I don't want someone else, or an algorithm, deciding what's important for me to see or not to see. Those decisions should be 100% up to each individual.
John	C.	I don't want the Canadian government / CRTC modifying my searches or results or causing non Canadian content to be shut out. I want choice over what I watch and when. I think this is an overreach that sometimes produces substandard "Canadian content". Allow good Canadian content to stand and succeed on its own rather than have mediocre content funded by the government just because it's Canadian. Perhaps

		instead fund colleges and universities to help train and educate students who would be producers of entertainment and documentaries to have the best education in media and story and production techniques and quality programming?
Emily	M.	I don't want the CRTC to be able to effect discoverability. I think that gives CRTC and the government too much power to control what we see in our feeds. I'm concerned it will be used to silence dissenting voices and stop important and relevant discussions from taking place. It could be used as a quiet means of silencing the voices of minorities and be generally used for racist, sexist, ableist, bigoted purposes. C-11 is very vague and open in its language that leaves too much room for dangerous interpretation.
A	A.	I don't want the government changing anything. I like it the way it is. I like hearing many sides to people views. I am concerned the government overreach will remove my ability to share my content online and we will loose individuality. I'm concerned the government will become North Korea!
Marcie	W.	I don't want the government filtering anything for me. I want to make my own choices about the content I consume
Edward	S.	I don't want the government regulating what I can see online
Chad	N.	I don't want the government to control anything online. We have to let the best content win. If Canadians can't compete, so be it. We shouldn't prop up useless and terrible content. Now, if we want to train Canadians to make great content, and compete, thats awesome
Barbara	L.	I don't want the government to decide what I can and can't view online.
Jason	S.	I don't want the government, who is funded and works for me, to censor anything. I should be able to look at what I want, when I want
Christa	V.	I don't want there to be algorithms or any other mechanism in place to limit or hinder me from finding what I'm searching for.
Dan	F.	I don't want to be censored or impeded in my viewing content in any way.
Nicole	Н.	I don't want to be force fed Canadian content, and I don't want my access to international news to disappear. Canadian media is biased and will not give me the full story.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Sheri	E.	I don't want to be forced to watch poor Cancon. I watch good Cancon all the time but there is a lot of poorly made content both Canadian and elsewhere that I do not watch. It would be sufficient to have a Cancon section to browse through and if it's good then I'll watch.
Holly	Н.	I don't want to be limited in what I can see.
Greg	S.	I don't want to be told what I can watch and what I can't watch.
Justin	F.	I don't want to be under a Socialist regim like China. I want the freedom to look at whatever I want when I want. There's literally nothing wrong with watching stuff for other countries outside of Canada. I just don't want it shoved in my face everytime I open up youtube or instagram for example. I want to be able to still watch whatever I want, and to see reccomendations based on what I like to watch.
Julie	B.	I don't want to have my content censored.
Kim	K.	I don't want to log into Reddit and have it only show racist shit because I can only see the Canada subreddit. I don't want to have my potential content censored on Apple News or when I open Google. This is a load of horse shit. The fuck, CRTC?
Shelley	D.	I don't want to lose my freedom to choose what I read.
Thesa	W.	I don't want to miss things just because they aren't Canadian or have things be censored.
Richard	B.	I don't want to see Canadian content that pushes agendas from only one political party. Movies, TV shows and social media content from other countries will be very limited in Canada.
Quin	G.	i don't want youtube or any streaming platforms to change, i don't need a made in canada tab, i just want the stuff that i'm interested in on my feed
Ralph & Judy	M.	I don't watch or listen to CBC! I WILL pay for variety NOT JUST Cdn content! I DO NOT think I need more Canadian content or ANY government telling me what I can or WANT to watch or pay for EVER! I pay for everything else except CBC or Canadian Content as it is BORING, unappreciated & unnecessary! I am an Adult, OR WAS in a FREE COUNTRY, until 2015 when Trudeau JR came to power. I lived through 8 years of his Communistic loving father & this is NOT what Canada is about OR what I want for my children & Grandchildren. My father spent 5 years in Europe during WW11 fighting this oppression preventing it from coming to Canada & here we are, with this schmuck &

		his hypocrite MPs. This is something that the Libs & NDPs have decided is best for us by ignoring the & all Parliamentary laws! We WIIL NOT allow this to continue & happen in OUR Canada,Ķ,Ķ.
Geoffrey	P.	I dont know.
Lois	G.	I dont need comunistic control over what the hell i want to search for!!! I'm sick and tired of the stupid power mongers wanting to control everything we do!!
Keeghan	W.	I dont need you to regulate anything I watch or view period.
Jane	M.	I dont want Government or (CRTC) telling me what i can watch !!
Donovan	W.	I dont want my feed and search results to change as it would limit my experiences and ability to research certain topics, if the government controls my feeds and search results, how do I know what I am reading and watching hasnt been tampered with? Another part is needing to share your personal government issued identification, which could pose serious threat in potential data breaches (which happen quite frequently).
Kazimer	C.	I dont want my feeds to prioritize "Canadian content." I like the way it is now, and I don't want the crtc regulating anything online. If every other country makes their own version of bill c-11, content creators will be restricted to whatever low population country they happen to exist in, which will lead to Canadian creators just going to America anyways with the larger population. Immigrants that have recently migrated here might be trying to keep an eye on what's going on in their home country too, especially if they have family or friends there.
Во	T.	I dont want the government to control anything on my side. It's intrusive and goes against our right to express and believe in what we want. Keep Canada the country of democracy, and dont let it become authoritarian or communist.
Jason	C.	I dont want the internet to be censored or main stream media funded by the government. I want to receive the facts as they are presented. The government amd crtc should not block negative information. People need to know the truth.
Pierre-M arc	G.	I dont want to be force fed Canadian content if I don't want to It should be a choice.

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
Braden	B.	I dont want to be forced to watch Canadian content. If it's worth watching I'll watch it.
melissa	r.	i dont want to have to hunt for things when i wana watch non Canadian content
Karen	T.	I dont wish to have my feeds manipulated to prioritize Canadian content.
Dean	C.	I dread the idea of platform feed algorithms being forced to show me more content based on where it was made instead of by topic. Some people may prefer it and that's fine. Please please please give me an "opt-out" of whatever complex CanCon rules socialist Canadians want.
Wendy	A.	I enjoy content from all over the world - not just Canada and not just the U.S. My access to worldwide content is extremely important to me and I am very concerned that my access to worldwide content will be restricted by bill C-11. I am also concerned that content I may create and want to share worldwide will be restricted to only Canadian viewers.
Denise	G.	I enjoy listening to all viewpoints, then making my own decisions. I can see everything turning into a one-sided conversation with no chance of differing views.
Joshua	S.	I enjoy many foreign content makers and providers, and I do not want the government to meddle in my searching or viewing patterns. I am perfectly capable of finding Canadian creators on my own. I do not need the CRTC to hold my hand.
Yoon Ho	P.	I enjoy what I enjoy. I don't want C011 trying to force feed me videos that are 'Canadian' just for because they are 'Canadian'. I want the better content, regardless of where they are from. Sure, I wouldn't mind Canadian viewpoints on certain topics, but I don't what that to cannabilize my recommended list, just because I'm Canadian. Therefore, a switch to view Canadian content would be ideal. Thank you
Alaki	M.	I escaped dictatorship of Iran, I am not stupid. I can choose what to watch myself and I don't need government help at anything. The Best thing can happen to Canada and humanity, is full distruction of all unnecessary government entities, which is almost %90 of government. Stay away from our life. We know how evil fascist you are.
Christoph e	C.	I especially dislike being told what media I should or should not consume, Canadian or otherwise, as if I couldn't form an opinion for myself. Manipulating what I view for any reason is coercive and

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		unworthy of any country that deems itself a democracy where people are supposed to have rights and freedoms.
Julia	G.	I expect free and easy access to news and media (both small scale independent and larger) from all around the world. I will not accept censorship of foreign online platforms unless it is related to pedophilia or true hate speech. If I do not have these freedoms i may as well live n China. I should be able to easily find Canadian content and the CRTC can help support Canadian artists financially but not at the expense of limiting foreign content!
Charles	P.	I expect internet to be a dumb pipe any attempt at censorship, no matter the reason should never be acceptable in a country which touts free speech. This is particular important in nation claiming to be as diverse as this one.
Elizabeth	Ο.	I fear for more control. Leave things as they are.
Eric	a.	I fear I may loose my ability to seek the truth outside of the mainstream media's narrative
Blake	M.	I fear I will have to find other means to watch the content I like just because of a government who believes people like me can't be trusted to consume the correct media. If you want to get a Canadian content section on platforms fine that's ok. But no Canadian platforms give me the content I want and so I look elsewhere. I have soo little trust in this government that if it was not for my job I would have left so giving them the power to say what I can watch is sounding like a reason to leave.
Julie	S.	I fear independent media will be censored.
Evan	G.	I fear platforms will leave Canada
Emilia	P.	I fear that Bill C-11 will ruin the diverse exchange of knowledge online that varies by culture and location. I worry that I will no longer have control over what I want to watch or be immediately bombarded upon opening a platform with content that is irrelevant to me only due to it being made by another fellow Canadian. Canadians are people of all lifestyles, cultures and traditions and ethnicity and history, to limit or control our content in any degree to only show or to favour content made by Canadians in a forceful way without the option to opt out is just another way of narrowing our view of the world. We are people of Earth. We are people who enjoy travel. We want to embrace one another's cultures and having easy access to content from other countries helps
		us understand one another better and fosters peace and teaches about

Wayne	L.	I fear that there will be a lot of bad unintended consequences when a government attempts to control in any way what people see online. The government should stick to the business of efficiently and effectively
Alex	L.	I fear that the experience of surfing online will be changed in a manner that is rigid, restricted, artificial, deceiving, disingenuous and most of all could be used as a ploy for government to spy and surveil me and persecute and criminally charge me simply for wanting to surf the web as freely as I want without their intrusion.
Amy	V.	I fear that the biggest accidental consequence would be that Canadians who produce excellent content on online services and who create work/jobs and represent Canada well, but who DON'T produce strictly 'Canadian content' will be punished rather than celebrated.
Gordon	G.	I fear that political pressure would be brought to bear and any voices contrary to the desired government narrative would be silenced, deplatformed or monetarily penalized.
Shane	T.	I fear that my preferences and likes doesn't matter anymore, if I'm being forced to watch more Canadian content. I wish it was user opted or separate tab for Canadian content in all platforms. Being forced to watch online content from only a certain region totally defies the purpose of internet which is to make the world a smaller place to live in
		our roots. That we are all of the human race. We shouldn't be divided by politics and nationality more particularly when sharing the search for knowledge on the international library that is the internet. Imagine if the Library of Alexandria only had texts made by Egyptians, we would have never made as much advancements in the pursuits of knowledge and science as we did by translating texts and opening doors to knowledge from all nations. Let us share our information with one another with no favouritism except by quality through naturally garnered attention. We can promote the Canadian economy through advertisements, and we can create platforms with sections that celebrate Canadian content creators, but we should not alter the natural flow of information, doing so would break the integrity of truth and knowledge. Having full transparency over where to find specific Canadian content will provide a healthier way to share Canadian culture and multicultural lifestyles specifically through a Canadian lens. This would be welcomed by many, especially as many people like to know what is happening in their local communities.

		running the country and providing their monopoly services such as passports, taxation, and infrastructure support.
Gerald	P.	I fear that this will end up being a funding source paid by taxpayers to promote Cdn content etc like the CBC is now.
Aimee	G.	I fear that with control over the content we see, we will become out of touch with the rest of the world and what's going on outside of our country. While I support Canadian media and I think it's important to promote it, it's crucial to allow Canadians to make choices about which media they consume and how, and not to skew our content with forced Canadian media consumption. I welcome having the option to prioritize Canadian media in our feeds, but I don't think it should be forced,Äîit should be something you can choose to turn on or turn off.
Kai	Н.	I fear the government is implementing what is in fact a trade sanction bill and isn't considering the implications. My digital business could be destroyed if other countries take similar approaches to what is being proposed for C11. If India/Italy/Japan/Turkey/etc implements an Nationalist us first C-11 equivalent law Canadian producers will be hurt. This bill is grotesquely bad lawmaking, in every regard possible. Only do things that do not threaten trade relations. Canadian companies have so much more to lose than they do to gain with so many of the proposals for C11 implementation. The CRTC/Gov is playing with fire and companies like mine are about to be burned.
Gregory	F.	I fear the government will use C-11 to push more of their agenda, and make it so we can't escape it. In the end, it will solve my you tube addiction, and I will basically use the internet only for such things as bill payments. The internet will be dead to me!
Daniel	C.	I fear this is attempt to control what Canadians should see and a slippery slope towards censorship and propaganda. Modifying searches and recommendations is a TERRIBLE idea. This limits the usefulness of the tools.
Rick	G.	I fear to lose the variety of options.
Ray	R.	I feel any party in power would easily be able to manipulate this process Myself and quite a few Canadians already feel the CRTC is not for the public interest as it seem that corporate concerns seem to ring louder Even though no longer airways this form of communication should available for all to be informed ,Ķ fully informed not a party in power selection of information

Lisa	P.	I feel Canadian content is of high enough quality they can compete on merit alone and do not require government regulations to interfere. My concern is regulations which could stifle creativity.
Karon	F.	I feel I can decide with my own critical thinking what I wish to see and what I don't. I do not believe government should manage or use any censorship through the CRTC. We live in a free country to choose for ourselves what we wish to see and all Platforms have blocking features that we can block stories or people ourselves. It will create a biased representation of the world
Denise	S.	I feel it is not up to the government to tell me what I can and can't read/watch on-line. They need to work at getting rid of the carbon tax, lowering the cost of foods. Why are big companies like Loblaw allowed to raise our food prices to high that Canadians can't afford to buy food and make huge profits at our expense. CANCEL C-11 It is none of your business what we watch we are not children we don't need to be regulated. There are many programs I watch on line that are not Cancon. The government has no right to tell me I can't watch them. This is a huge over reach of the Trudeau government and it needs to be stopped. I don't understand how anyone could of agreed to pass this bill. If you agreed to pass this bill you should not be part of our Canadian government. You are to be working FOR US NOT AGAINST US.
jessie	m.	I feel like I would be forced to see content that does not interest me. Being Canadian does not mean that I am primarily interested in Canadian content. At this point I watch quite a few Canadian content creators because I enjoy their talent not because I was forced to do so. I was able to find their content on my own so I do not see the value of this bill. I understand that the nature and intent of this bill is to promote Canadian and Indigenous content but feel that the practical use will be something other than intended. If I am forced to see content that does not interest me, the question then is will my lack of interest affect the creator? Could this bill negatively affect who it was designed to help.
Paige	L.	I feel like it is a huge overreaching on the part of the CRTC and the government of Canada. Leave people who create the culture to be free to talk about the culture. By forcing Canadians to produce Canadian content you are stifling what they may have naturally created. While simultaneously telling them to censure their content. I feel like you go after law abiding citizens while avoiding real issues in media, like censorship, we can't even openly discuss suicide and topics of mental health to offer mental health support effectively at this time. Yet there

		0 0 11
		seems to be a growing network of content that promotes propaganda and agenda, which has never served Canadians. What is currently in place is a method to rob creators of money as well. I have nothing good to say about that. Just stop already.
Quentin	В.	I feel like there is imminent censorship abound as long as we continue down this Turdeau dictatorship path. I'm concerned about his admiration for the basic dictatorship of China.
Karen	W.	I feel like this has nothing to do with protecting and sharing more Canadian content but rather tyrannical control over the citizens of Canada! We are done with Trudeau and his ignorant takeover of Canada. He is a treasonous traitor! Leave my god damn feeds alone! I am a free sovereign being and we don't need more agencies who don't have the people's permission in the first place sticking their nose in our business. The CRTC needs to stay out of our personal business and choices period!!!
Arlyn	G.	I feel quite strongly that C-11 is the federal government sticking their nose into my business! I am N O T impressed!
Dante	B.	I feel that C-11 does little to benefit Canadian content. CanCon and regulation remove incentives to make innovative content. Its can also have a delibrate political bias as there is no criteria in the bill to determine what canadian content actually is. Considering the current political climate, that is not suprising. The current government seems keen on getting as much control as possible.
Sandra	Н.	I feel that C-11 is only the beginning to full on censorship. The internet should be returned to what it was. Freedom to receive whatever I'm searching for. C-11 is a dangerous, slippery slope. There's lots of Canadian content available to those that want to ask for it.
Lillian	F.	I feel that C11 has the potential to breach human rights like freedom of speech and freedom of expression.
Deb	P.	I feel that this is all government overreach and censorship! I should be able to choose what I would like to read & watch.
Donald	S.	I feel the government should stay out of private business. If I want to see Canadian content I will search it out and look at it. I should not be forced into it.

		I frequently access news via links in social media and I'm highly disturbed by the Canadian government's attempt to make social media
Peter	Н.	I font want the Canadian government or the CRTC manipulating searching online to suit any of thier agendas in any way, shape or form. If I want to search for Canadian content or points of view, I am quite capable of searching for it myself without the help of the crtc.
Cindy	G.	I follow a number of small Canadian producers who may cover topics not directly Canadian. I want to be able to see their stuff. Big international companies that have filming or an outlet in Canada may be considered more Canadian than a small producer who lives and works in Cananda. I do not want my feeds dictated by politicized government agenices.
Daryl	B.	I follow a lot of American content on Youtube and would not want anything messing with my choices. Thanks
Eliz-sha	K.	I find both C 11 and C 18 a huge disservice to Canadians. It takes away our rights i am not the least bit interested in being a socislist or a communist. This is steps that takes us down this pathway, far to quickly. I am very concerned that very few Canadian wanted these enacted yet the bills were pushed through regardless.
Taylor	S.	I find Bill-C11 very concerning. It will not help the way I think the government intended and Canadians will suffer in the end. Media is changing and Canadian MSM needs to find more up to date ways to be financially viable not through pressuring the government. They should listen to what their audience is wanting them to change!

Gordon	Н.	I fully support the initiative of the federal government to support Canadian media, and endorse the decision to stop advertising on social media sites that do not comply. I suggest that all of the provincial governments in the country should be implementing similar policies. Further measures to support Canadian media should be explored and implemented if deemed appropriate.
Jeri	W.	I generally do not like to give control of my privacy and personal experiences over to govetnment control, that's crazy. But I am truly interested in increased generation of Canadian content in my search and research links and I want to be able to contol that if needed, switching off and on. But big media platforms have been running rough-shot for far too long. Yes, there needs to be regulation on these companies, they need to pay their fair share, provide us, THE CONSUMERS, with relevant content as Canadians, and must be held accountable to our standards, not theirs.
Kyle	M.	I get a lot of badly produced Canadian content, and badly designed ads; Quite honestly, IF Canadian companies deserve to have their content be more popular, THEN THEY NEED TO MAKE IT MORE VALUABLE TO THE MARKET! It isn't just that they aren't funded as well, so they can't produce as well, it is also that the content they make is junk. We need to create more valuable Canadian content for it be provided funding, so a more valuable use of funds would be to provide content analysis, to determine why Canadian Content is inferior to imported content, then provided that information to Canadian companies such that they can create more productive material. IF I want to watch another countries content, then I should be able to, no exception. Likewise we could, instead, coin, such a movement as this as would be honest, and practical. If, on the other hand, Canadian content continues to be produced and maintained as it is, it doesn't deserve to be identified as equally valuable in a globalized world.
Bob	J.	I get all my local, provincial, national & international news, and video entertaint from internet streaming. This bill will severely restrict what I want to find and jam down my thoat what the current corrupt government wants me to watch.
Renee	В.	I go online to explore the world Not just one corner of it. I go online to learn from different perspectives not sterilized ones.

I go to sites like Youtube and other video sharing platforms specifically for the diverse experiences that appear there. I don't want anything to interfere with my ability to find quality content that could be made by one small person in Iceland, or a team of Nigerian filmmakers with a shoestring budget, or all of the DIY and catalogued expertise by people around the world in all kinds of languages. I go to sites like Crunchyroll because they localize anime in a legal way, they're specialized to the point that they cannot, by definition, stream Canadian content. The strength of the Internet is it's Global nature. forcing a site to have over a certain percentage of CanCon counter intuitively (or worse, intentionally) limits the diversity of Art and creativity and perspective we can see of the world and the people in it. I am concerned as a Twitch/youtube Streamer and content creator, that my content, despite me being 100% canadian born and raised, doesn't qualify as canadian content because of a wildly outdated bureaucracy and because I'm concerned that Canadian Content is entirely too specific based on some arbitrary set of criteria that doesn't reflect the diversity of life as a Canadian. especially in the however many decades it's been since those criteria were established. extremely sanitary criteria that leaves us with mediocre samey stuff like Corner Gas and Kim's Convenience. it's not bad to have those, but we need edge as well I'm concerned that friends i play video games with from other countries will be limited on my social media platforms. that friends i have around the world i wont be able to watch their stuff, or their stuff gets limited because it's not CaNaDiAn enough for some stuffy jackases in suits who don't understand the internet. I'm concerned that MY CHOICES in what media I want to consume, post, and interact with; that MY CHOICES in what media I want to consume, post, and interact with; that MY CHOICES in what people i want to interact with on social media at that time, was able to see			lework regarding continuations to support canadian and indigenous content
government controlled ALL media with well documented results. I came to Canada in 1988 and, thanks to the freedom of the Canadian media at that time, was able to see the real story of my home country without the lens of government propaganda. It was a feeling that I will never forget. I never thought that my adopted country would be trying similar tactics 35 years later. It's alarming and very sad to see. Canada is fast losing its  Michael N. reputation as a bastion of freedom in the world.  Shauna b. I hate ads. I would prefer to be the judge of what I watch and choose.  Phoenix S. I hate any law that would narrow the breadth of research I'd be able to	John	C.	for the diverse experiences that appear there. I don't want anything to interfere with my ability to find quality content that could be made by one small person in Iceland, or a team of Nigerian filmmakers with a shoestring budget, or all of the DIY and catalogued expertise by people around the world in all kinds of languages. I go to sites like Crunchyroll because they localize anime in a legal way. they're specialized to the point that they cannot, by definition, stream Canadian content. The strength of the Internet is it's Global nature. forcing a site to have over a certain percentage of CanCon counter intuitively (or worse, intentionally) limits the diversity of Art and creativity and perspective we can see of the world and the people in it. I am concerned as a Twitch/youtube Streamer and content creator, that my content, despite me being 100% canadian born and raised, doesn't qualify as canadian content because of a wildly outdated bureaucracy and because I'm concerned that Canadian Content is entirely too specific based on some arbitrary set of criteria that doesn't reflect the diversity of life as a Canadian. especially in the however many decades it's been since those criteria were established. extremely sanitary criteria that leaves us with mediocre samey stuff like Corner Gas and Kim's Convenience. it's not bad to have those, but we need edge as well I'm concerned that friends i play video games with from other countries will be limited on my social media platforms. that friends i have around the world i wont be able to watch their stuff, or their stuff gets limited because it's not CaNaDiAn enough for some stuffy jackasses in suits who don't understand the internet. I'm concerned that MY CHOICES in what media I want to consume, post, and interact with; that MY CHOICES in what people i want to interact with on social media,
Phoenix S I hate any law that would narrow the breadth of research I'd be able to	Michael	N.	government controlled ALL media with well documented results. I came to Canada in 1988 and, thanks to the freedom of the Canadian media at that time, was able to see the real story of my home country without the lens of government propaganda. It was a feeling that I will never forget. I never thought that my adopted country would be trying similar tactics 35 years later. It's alarming and very sad to see. Canada is fast losing its
ENDENIX 13	shauna	b.	I hate ads. I would prefer to be the judge of what I watch and choose.
	Phoenix	S.	The state of the s

		limit any country's people from searching or producing content that isn't exactly according to some arbitrary code.
Elizabeth	Н.	I hate everything about bill C-11. I think it fundamentally shows a lack of trust in Canadians. I have been to massive art festivals which were only spread by word of mouth, I have seen Canadian poets and creators lifting one another up. We are capable. Canadians are capable of discerning for themselves without government-supported features. This is a huge waste of tax dollars and it breeds a lack of trust in the government and worse still content creators by association.
Dave	D.	I hate other people telling me what I have to watch!!!! Or restricting what I deem as ok ,,,,this is what China does !!!!
Liz	J.	I hate that my searches are always American results even when I specifically say Canadian. I think options to limit it would be fantastic. I just don't want anyone to suffer because of heavy banded laws.
Paul	M.	I hate the idea of having my media experience controlled by anyone, including governments and corporations, whose capabilities and intentions do not align with the concepts of freedom of thought and communication. I am a law abiding person, yet I am very concerned about the number of times each day that my image is recorded, my telephone and online experiences are monitored, and, in short, my essential rights to freedom, privacy, and democracy are eroded, all with the approval of my elected representatives and their appointed and hired civil service. Where and when does this loss of freedom stop? The answer is here and now with resistance to and rejection of Bill C-11.
Calvin	G.	I hate the idea of the government regulating my internet feed. Let me watch what I want to watch without having certain things crammed down my throat for the sake of meeting some quota.
Mathew	R.	i hate the predatory regulations like c-11 that lead to more bills are even more restrictive access to the internet and what content that is fed to me.
Rachel	A.	I have a large international demographic- it scares me that I wont be able to reach any new prospective clients abroad, and its an abuse of government control.
Phyllis	F.	I have absolutely no faith or trust in big government or mainstream media. Anyone that gets a large percentage of their money from government is automatically going to promote mostly one side of a story and that is totally unacceptable. We need to hear the whole story, and unfortunately that seems to mean that we often need to go to other

		sources or even other countries. This censorship is completely unacceptable and unnecessary.
Gregory	Н.	I have already had a bunch of Canadian Content shoved into my feed on Amazon Prime, and i' not a fan of it. I want my feed to align with my actual interests, and not based on my Nationality, or The Province I'm from, or any other thing like that. My Feed should be my own, Period.
Jody	M.	I have an interest in European culture. This would have nothing to do with Canada. But I am afraid that if I look up, for example, renovated castle somehow content from Canada will come to the forefront just because someone put castle in their title. When I pay for a service I should be able to search for what I want when I want without any outside influences. In this day and age of the Internet, all contact creators are given an even playing field. Canadian content will be based on the merits of that creator. As it should be.
Kathryn	D.	I have answered as best I can with the info I know. I do not believe in any form of sensorship. This is a step toward communism. I am an extremely concerned Canadian and will do my absolute best not to be silenced.
Barbara	В.	I have been extremely concerned with the erosion of free speech during the COVID crisis. The government agenda regarding vaccines has been promoted on mainstream and social media and dissenting opinions censored. I am strongly against further government interference regarding what Canadians can watch and access.
Hodman	M	I have been on the Internet for as long as I can remember. I had my first computer when I was 10, I was one of the first people to see YouTube grow into the amazing phenomenon that it is. My recommendations are based on what I like and that includes many Canadian content creators. I believe that forcing Canadians to ingest more Canadian made contact will end up making us resent them due to the forced nature of the algorithm as opposed to recommendations based on what you would like. I pay for the subscriptions that I have based on the current algorithm however, if this continues I will be forced to either use a VPN or simply move to a country that supports the ideals that we had before this c-11 bill. We should be more focused on removing content that could be harmful than changing the already pre-existing ones because of Canadian pride.
Hoaman	M.	Canadian pride.

Gerry	C.	I have lived with the crap of mandated "cancon" in the music and arts for over a decade. The only thing I have seen is total crap produced for no other purpose than to supply the demand the regulations created. The government has absolutely NO reason to control what I choose to see or listen to and should not be spending ANY public funds to support "artists" who could not survive without such support.
G	F.	I have little faith that the CRTC will do the right thing by Canadian citizens given, basically, all their prior decision and close ties to the industry(s). I am concerned that the gov or its agency will be interfering with what I seek out to see on the internet. It is 2023 and I am an adult who can make my own decisions. Canadian and local news content may need extra help after all the consolidation and shift to online. Gov assistance for this should take priority over entertainment content. Canadian (entertainment) content is important and I support some gov support for its creation. Still, it needs to be of quality, relevant, and of interest to viewers/consumers. We should not be throwing money at anything hoping something sticks. We have great writers, actors, production staff, etcthere is no reason we can not create and market great quality and commercially viable content. I am more concerned about misinformation online than looking for Canadian content.
Jaime	C.	I have family and friends all over the world. This will cut out much of the information we share back and forth.
Melanie	F.	I have cultivated a global community of people who share my interests. We support one another despite the distances between us. I am concerned I'll lose the diversity in this community as well as lose the ability to continue to build that community further. I'm also concerned that I won't be able to keep as up to date on world events.
James	C.	I have concerns regarding the potential impact of government regulation on free speech online. It seems impractical to expect a regulatory body to accurately determine what qualifies as Canadian or a platform, which could be a costly endeavor. Moreover, I worry about whether these rules would also apply to non-Canadian media startups. If they choose to exclude Canada, we may fall behind other nations in terms of media innovation. Another concern is that if major platforms like Google and Facebook decide to ban Canadian new media, it could lead to increased reliance on government funding for our primary news networks, potentially resulting in bias towards government perspectives.

Teena	T.	I have lost the freedom to decide for myself what I read and watch, and research, and from where that information originates from! Two years ago I could easily find news from around the world and today I especially notice it is blocked and made unavailable! I have also noticed price increases, which are becoming exorbitant! The World Wide Web is my library, and I demand the Government meddling be removed from it! They have no right whatsoever, n shaping where and from whom, I learn!
Shawn	B.	I have many friends and family aro7nd the globe. If the Liberal government thinks it's okay to limit what I see then they are not seeing Canadians properly and should not only be ashamed of themselves but they should leave politics forever. These idiots are trying very hard to make a dictatorial government and an enslaved communist country. My grandfather didn't go to WWII to defend our freedoms just to have some rich spoiled little bot cam become a dictator. This goes a long way beyond just censoring what is on online platforms. This is another piece of the grand plan by the liberals to control everything and make sure that people don't have homes to live in or food to eat so when the masses are so hurt they go to the govt for help only to find that the dictator has planned this the whole time, to make people more dependant on their regime.
William	L.	I have many interests outside of Canadian content. I would hope for these interests to be reflected in my recommendations and searches. The oversaturation of Canadian-focused content would ruin such algorithms, and would make my personal experience worse on streaming services. It could also obscure useful content (ex. educational videos, tutorial videos) from non-Canadian content creators, which could make learning about certain topics (ex. Microsoft Office) much harder.
Ryan	VV.	I have my own preferences and interests on a service I have paid for. I do not want programs forced on me when I am directly searching for other things because they are made in Canada. The definition of what is Made In Canada is loose at best and would prefer to see financial support going to new content producers on social media versus traditional media oligopolies who have not had to produce unique or quality content due to being entrenched. Additionally, net neutrality should be enforced and governmental bodies should not be able to filter or change original content from service providers or companies. All political parties have their own motivations that can be communicated

		through their own means, don't reduce choice to force others to watch what you want them to.
Dustin	G.	I have no confidence in our leadership, I think Justin Trudeau needs to be removed from office and all of his laws and rulings abolished immediately
Margaret	K.	I have no interest in and do not watch ANY government funded main-stream media as it is all government propaganda just like it was in the USSR. Trudeau is a shameless dictator who should be deposed. I would like to be able to freely search for the independent media sites that I prefer to get my news from.
Kevin	D.	I have no interest in my searches being tailored to me as a Canadian instead of access the same ,ÄòWorld Wide Web' as the rest of the world. This seems like a huge overstepping of a fundamentally open source media.
James	L.	I have no issue mandating that Canadians productions are highlighted. However, I do have issue when we as Canadians are limited in our choices to watch Canadian productions. Canada has a bright future in film and tv, our products are world class, but in no way should anyone force suppliers or consumers to watch movies or tv they don't want to. It's fine to highlight the Canadian content but the beauty of the internet is choice and manipulating that choice is the same as taking away our freedom to choose, any government that does that will never get another for from me.
Janine	J.	I have not listened not watched any Canadian news for over thirty years as we have no cable. I truly believe this is the way to go as our government has way too much control over what we should listen to. I would be afraid for more Canadian creators at this point because of where we are heading.
Michael	M.	I have seen so many government attemps to make Canadian content the thing we are all going to watch. Non of them have been very successful. The problem is every time you take away competition service degrade rather than improve. This whole bill will do nothing to improve existing Canadian content and only limit consumer choices
Leslie	М.	I have the right to watch what I want. Nobody and I mean NOBODY has the right to curtail anything I watch. canadian or otherwise.

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
NJ	В.	I have very specific personalized recommendations on all my platforms of media and I would like to keep that privilege of having my own personal interests be on my social media platforms. I believe it to be unnecessary for regulation and forcing Canadian content to Canadians nationwide. I do believe in supporting all Canadian creators/artists etc. If anything needs to change, its the support that our Canadian creators need. I believe the safest solution to pushing more Canadian content to Canadians should be an optional page of recommendations that is easy to access.
Dwight	H.	I have zero need for any governing body to limit/censor my media as I am an able minded thinking human being that is capable of filtering the content that i see. My experience as a human would be degraded by a governing body limiting what i can and cannot see/think-through on my own.
John	M.	I haven't read bill C-11 I only know that google u tube And other stream services use advertising income for profit .As they claim to benefit the commercial world ,then should the Canadian advertising community profit from this application be applied to the Canadian business as well YES .So how can one do this without violating the rights of Canadians and not deny them right to the freedom of the internet, OR cause a large cost to the consumer through excessive taxes again violating the rights of Canadians
Brandon	B.	I just believe it is WRONG!
Manuel	G.	I just generally disagree with this kind of interventionist/protectionist measures.
Edward	D.	I just scroll through the internet and do not search to find the origin of interesting material.
Tracy	Н.	I just think that the CRTC should stay in their lane. I'm not a child and I don't need or want anyone regulating my choices as an adult!
Ed	L.	I just want fee speech and zero censorship because this is what our country was built upon
Derek	R.	I just want the internet left alone! I already subscribe to fantastic Canadian creators that are thriving in this existing formula I don't want government enforced Canadian content that I have zero interest in. Give me the option to opt out at least like a toggle I can switch on and off from the governments version to the version we have now.

Nalomie	b.	I just want total freedom to select content
Stephani e	D.	I klwould like to escape gov't intervention on platforms all together.
Priscilla	G.	I know the government will be shutting down alternative media that actually tells the truth and doesn't just parrot government propaganda. C-11 is the Ministry of Truth from 1984 that will continue to gaslight Canadians into believing lies. That's what it's all about. There should be no media content control. If people don't want to absorb what they're listening to, they should just turn it off. Government needs to STOP trying to control every aspect of our lives.
Marie-Fra	G.	I know what I like to watch or read when online. I consider myself à global citizen. I cannot limit my input in order to be Canadian, or just proud to be a Canadian. News is global and in order to understand what it means, one must read widely which means news from the actual countries, written by citizens of those countries as well as through our Canadian eyes. The whole world and the 8 billion people on it must be able to speak their truth without us censor their message, even when one doesn't agree. Who are the bureaucrats who would take our choice away by stating it must be about Canada or have content that is associated with Canada. We must keep open minds you small minded government workers who would silence us.
Khadijah	D.	I like having international content especially US content. I don't find Canadian content that interesting
Magdele ne	F.	I like it just the way it is, if you don't like something, don't watch it, it's quite simple. If someone feels something is not true or does not fit the narrative, well they are not forced to watch that content. Content that does not include hate mongering or direct fear mongering, all to get clicks on the platform, should not be regulated, it's fine the way it is. If you don't want to watch something, click off of it. What is this, an effort to keep persons in the dark? I want to know what other people are seeing and doing, I have enough common sense to figure it out on my own, if I can't figure it out well, I do know some others that could assist. So, no I do not need a babysitter, leave the Freedom for the people to choose.
Patricia	M.	I like religious serves and programs and some of them come for the US and some from other countries, especially Rome. I want to still be able to get them even if the Rone ones come from EWTN IN THE US. Salt & Light is in Canada but it goes between English and French and I do not know enough French to understand it Right now I do not have a TV but

Jeff	S.	I like to keep the choice my own, of what I deem appropriate media content and not give that choice to another party ,which may have ulterior motives ,be more concerned solely with political agendas or profiteering by skewing regulations to meet their margins.
Linda	K.	I like to have to freedom to google anything. Quit making us like communist China. We are Canada
Anne	L.	I like to control what I watch, and not have the government decide for me.
Gordon	Н.	I like to be in charge of what I search for and watch without government interference. Manipulating our online search results and programming choices is not right.
James	C.	I like to be able to preform random searches instead of my thought process being streamlined with the small minds of a governing body.
Jeffrey	F.	I like the status quo. Yes we should develop and present more Canadian content, but present it as optional content. Present it in a way that makes it of interest and more interesting to Canadians so they will want to consume it
Т	C.	I like the most relevant to me personally recommended to me, not manipulated by a government source. If I want Canadian content specifically I'll look it up, also I don't want someone else telling me what kind of Canadian content I should be watching.
joey	f.	I like the freedom to experience other countries views for my educational purposes. Whether it be Historical Science Art Creativity Natural health Botanical Or any open educational ideas and references
Donna	R.	I like the freedom of experience in all forms of media globally - that should never be restricted. If you are funded by Canada to produce content, that content should meet the demands of Canadians.
Mandie	S.	I like that social media platforms recommend additional content based on my interests rather than my geographical location. Please do not change this.
		hope to get it soon. Then I will want to see CBC and Weather Network and some Do-It-Yourself channels. I want the News doesn't have much about Canada on it.

Wes	G.	I like to view foreign content as well as domestic. I don't want to be limited to my viewing option. If creators Cant make content worth consuming then the problem is with the creators.
Nadine	L.	I like to watch small Canadian youtube creators and don't want anything to happen that hurts them.
Evie	J.	I listen to a lot of european music and watch a lot of european shows and american shows and youtubers. If I wanted to only watch Canadian shows and listen to Canadian music I would. I DO NOT NEED the GOVERNMENT blocking access or restricting my searches or in ANYWAY SHAPE OR FORM telling me or suggesting what I should watch or listen to. NONE OF THEIR GD BUSINESS!!! Besides, if Canadian content was all that great to begin with (with the exception of one or two shows) you wouldn't have to FORCE US TO WATCH IT!! It's just a way for the government to force their woke agenda on us, and I'm tired of it!!! Let me watch and listen to what I damn well please! And regular folks who make tik tok and you tube videos should be left alone!! They aren't businesses, just leave them alone!
Michelle	S.	I live in Canada and am surrounded by Canadian content every day. The internet is a portal to the rest of the world. Regulating ones access only to Canadian content will not impede cyber crime or improve cybersecurity. The Canadian population decides what Canadian Content is, the CRTC is the medium for supplying it.
Fraser	H.	I look forward to being able to find Canadian content easier. I think anyone who does media business in Canada should support our cultural sectors. I want to hear and see Canadian stories and opinions and interpretations, even if they aren't as well funded or polished. Canadians in general haven't taken communications 101 or understand how small we are compared to the American media juggernaut next door. We need to fight for our cultural lives here.
Vaughn	P.	I lose control of content I want to see
Joy	H.	I m concerned that I wont be able to search or have access to whatever I chose to look.up. I'm concerned about losing my freedom to search stream and watch whatever I wish to Im concerned that as a Canadian citizen Im not free and have to listen and watch what the government says. They work for us. They have no contract with me. I am not their property. We all have rights as women , men or a child to do and say and watch.

Jane		I may be Canadian but I live in the world, and the world is getting smaller every day. We need access to foreign and domestic content equally. Viewing foreign or domestic content should be the right of the individual, and not filtered by the governing bodies. Helping to fund Canadian content providers to be able to more successfully compete for viewership is a far better solution than falsely increasing viewership/popularity by limiting competition.
Gregg	K.	I may miss necessary information because it doesn't fit CRTC's criteria
Donna	A.	I may not be able to access or find the social media content that I am used to consuming regularly, including Facebook and YouTube and occasionally Twitter and TikTok.
Paul	V.	I never want my searches filtered by the Canadian government or altered so as to produce government approved content. The CRTC does not think for me. The CRTC was not elected by me. The CRTC does not represent me.
David	Т.	I no longer can get any news from google or Facebook because all search results from Canada are now blocked. It's censorship of ALL Canadian content from major media groups because they are being forced to show more Canadian content, so now instead of getting even just a little CanCon, we are getting NONE AT ALL
Patricia	P.	I normally support this government but as guardians of the truth is a practice that so easily corrupt. Cancon has brought nothing other than mediocrity; the true cream of Canada's talent made it in spite of CBC not because of.
Suzanne	K.	I object to government interference in the Canadian population to promote one-sided federal government narratives only, like the CBC.
Lori	M.	I only care that news / information is just news based on the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. Truth based on facts and supported by good evidence. Evidence that does not have biases or vested interests where there is compensation of any sort. I am so disgusted by the lies, manipulations and propaganda put out now. The same is true of our governments. They are all liars, manipulators and propagandists. I insist that this all must be stopped and must be stopped immediately. If misinformation and / or disinformation is made, the media and governments must be required to announce the error as loudly, as boldly and for as long as the misinformation and / or disinformation.was

		made. And, within the retraction / announcement the whole truth and nothing but the truth must be revealed.
Mary	Н.	I only want Canadian content if it is good. I don't want to be forced to watch something just because it's Canadian. We have always had the talent to compete in a global market, so don't make us watch bad TV or listen to bad music just because it's Canadian. Bring back the talent we wanted to watch like This Hour and the Air Farce. Those could compete with any global programming.
wayne	f.	I oppose any and all interference in what I want to see online. No one has the right to restrict, change, or interfere in my internet or any other media.
Jessica	В.	I pay for internet access and streaming services. The CRTC should not limit access to content my family and I enjoy on a regular basis. As Canadians we are quickly losing our free choice and force fed whatever narrative the government wants to shove down our throats and that's BS.
Kerry	M.	I pay for services for media content which means the government has no business interfering with my paid for services unless they want to assume some of the financial load. Viewing Canadian content should be optional. Canadian content should have to compete in the free market just like all other goods and services in a free market society.
James	W.	I pay for the programs and content I want to see. Government should not dictate what shows / content I should be viewing. If I want to watch things that interest me from around the world that simply aren't made in Canada I should not face a barrier to that. Yes Canadian made content is good for us all but a barrier to international content is not the way to do this.
Susan	M.	I personally don't use online platforms regularly but feel that user-uploaded content on social media platforms should be excluded from CRTC regulations
irene	T.	I personally feel that we should be able to continue to watch what we want to watch without government interference. We have rights and freedoms guaranteed in this country and anything less is a violation of these rights,.Bill C11 is an affront to the people and to our democracy. Unfortunately the present government has overlooked that the government is supposed to be run by the people for the people.not to

		rule over the people and not to take our rights and freedoms away.Many fought and many died to guarantee us these rights and freedoms
Christoph er	P.	I personally know my tastes and interests. As a Canadian who embraces content primarily from the U.S., I don't want less interesting content being shoved at me. I watch a lot of what could be considered center right / conservative views. Canadian news for example is very much on the side of leftist views. In order to view balanced news and views, alternatives are very much needed. I also watch a lot of American franchises, Star Wars, Marvel, etc. No mandate is going to stop me from watching the content I prefer. In fact, just to be spiteful I would steer clear of Canadian content in protest should it be forced upon me. In short, I don't want to see my feeds replaced. I don't want to have to search manually for the content that interests me.
Tim	C.	I personally think that any censoring of content will inadvertently harm the Canadian Content creators. Our creators have stood their ground in the world and it is not necessary to force more Canadian content. It's patently absurd. I watch a lot of Canadian content all the time. It's not just the legacy media any more. If any news organization in Canada can't stand on it's own maybe it should look at what it is doing because ultimately it's the viewers that choose to watch. If that free market isn't worth while maybe then that organization need to change or close it's doors.
Chris	S.	I prefer an open market where the best content is enjoyed by whomever wishes to enjoy it. The government should not pick winners and generally stay out of Canadian's lives as much as possible.
Ethan	М.	I prefer my online feed to be entirely generated by my video history, and the Canadian government should have no hand in being able to regulated or restrict the type of content visible on a Canadians feed.
Patricia	M.	I ran a business for over 30 years, designated a heritage site. I have many people all over the world that I communicate with. I believe that Canada being a free country should have the right to chose their own content. Thank you for listening. I appreciate you.
Ted	R.	I really don't think that the Governent should control the news media.  News should be World News not biased, slanted news like we have today
Pamela	M.	I really don't understand why anyone has the right to tell me what I can read or not Reid.

Shenoah	P.	I really don't want to be flooded with irrelevant content just because it's Canadian. Honestly, I think the level of regulation involved on this is absurd, and counter productive.
Jodi	T.	I really think it shouldn't be up to the CRTC to decide what content I do see. All should be equally available. In the name of disinformation they are trying to control content in case you see something that isn't right. Based on who's bias is it not right? It should be up to the person what they see and whether or not they do the research to believe it. We know that everything relating to Covid was a lie and now, 3 years later, Canada health recommends ivermectin as a treatment. What wasn't true 3 years ago is now true. This is how most things are. We should be able to access Canadian content more, but I don't think Canadian content is unbiased and true.
Mary	L.	I reject anyone having control over what i coose to see, hear or read. I'm an adult and prefer to make my own choices an not be under government control
Stefan	V.	I remain deeply concerned that the content creators I follow, who provide me with endless hours of entertainment but live all over the world, will be pushed aside for the sake of claiming that Canadians should only be allowed to enjoy content made in domestically. Even more concerning to me is the potential for our federal government to use these new powers to censor news and facts that may not be flattering to them or their donors. It seems deeply na \@ve to think that if we give the federal government control over that news stories or entertainment is recommended to us, that members among them would not be tempted to abuse that power. The internet, and all the content therein, should be for the education and entertainment of the governed, not the governors.
Jeannie	L.	I search for truth, not forced-fed information.
Amanda	D.	I search out media that I like from all over the world in a number of genres. If Canadian creators want my eyes, they should make content I want to see, not be artificially shoved in my face.
Rafal	P.	I see C-11 as a form of censorship that stifles free expression, creativity, and the democratization of media. It is particularly concerning when viewed in the demonstrated history of government overreach, and the forthcoming implications of Bill C-11. This kind of overreach, moving from regulatory to intrusive, sets a dangerous precedent and is clear evidence of the government once again, overstepping its bounds and encroaching upon individual freedoms and rights. It threatens freedom of

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		speech and will result in the suppression of dissenting voices or controversial opinions. In fact, it already has. It limits the marketplace of ideas, which is essential for a functioning democracy. C-11 sets the stage for governmental bias to influence which content is deemed acceptable is a real threat. It will limit access to a broad range of global content, lead to surveillance, monitoring, or control of user-generated content. This is a resounding NO for C-11.
Carl	G.	I see lots of Canadian content and actors on my streaming platforms. Leave them alone.
Gerry	Н.	I see this Content control as another method of censorship and limiting of content to that favourable to the federal government. Truth will be the first casualty of C-11, and there will be many more casualties. Creativity will be the next victim, as Canadian Content will have to meet the censors approval, and must be Canadian enough to pass. Even under the existing regime, a very successful musician named Bryan Adams is deemed not Canadian enough, because he is internationally successful.
Soleil	L.	I seek out content I enjoy, and I usually don't care where it comes from. I don't want any country to be given preferential treatment anywhere. Forcing online services to carry Canadian content in preference to other content is a recipe for abusing government preference to distribute low-quality productions.
Caleb	M.	I seek out the most effective educational content. I worry that prioritizing Canadian content over effectiveness in my searches and recommended sections will decrease the quality of educational content I consume.
Shalie	J.	I should be able to choose what content I see.
Brian	В.	I should be able to look at and search for what ever content I want without government intervention. What I can't assess from my ISP because of government rules will require me to purchased the services of a virtual private network. The government should promote access to VPNs at no user cost.
Jason	r.	I should be able to search and find content that might or not be canadian. I should not be restricted to content on any platform based on the source or if there is a revenue share agreement. Internet content has many business models and the government should not restrict content based on 1 specific revenue model.
MaryAnn	R.	I should be the one to determine what I watch, not the government tools.

David		I should have the choice of choosing whatever feeds/searches that I decide to look at without any interference from the platforms under C-11.
Scott	W.	I should have the freedom to watch what I choose. However the optional Canadian content selector would be fine.
Michael	F.	I should have the right to search for any content I choose without the data being manipulated. Censorship is what I expect from a communist government not elected parties that were voted in by the people even if the election was rigged. The least they could do is behave as though we still have a democratic society.
Janis	R.	I should have the right to see any content I desire from all over the globe. I should not have any restrictions on any information I am seeking online. Example: If I was going oversees on vacation and I want to look up news in that particular country. I should be able to do a search to find out the weather their or if there is any harmful situations that is going on in that country. I should be allowed to search to find out what is happening in any particular country. Or maybe my adult child is going to say New York and I want to make sure there is nothing crazy going on there. Most people want to know these things especially when they travel all over. Our government should not be stopping searches on the internet when people are trying to find out if a certain country or location is safe to travel to. Also why can't they just put a section on Made in Canada if someone wants to search something in Canada? The government has no right to stop us from wanting to see news, weather and any unrest that might be in a particular country that we want to travel to or family members that are traveling to. These news outlets should not have to pay to provide valuable news and weather information to travelers. I demand that I have control over my own news feed. I don't understand why the CRTC wants to control what I want to see and do on the internet. This is not right!!!!! Canada is not a communist country but Trudeau wants our country to be like China. I am totally against this 100% wrong. The CRTC has no right to control and expect other news to pay to get any information to me as a Canadian citizen.
Chantal	A.	I should not be dictated what media coverage to consult.
Elaine	C.	I should not have any manipulation to my viewing,Äîl am capable of locating what I want to see myself.
Lauren	D.	I shouldn't have my content coerced according to the government. This is not North Korea. All Canadians should be free.

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Anna	l.	I simply want a free and open internet and I can modify it to my liking.
Trevor	S.	I start constantly seeing government propaganda and woke advertising.
PAULA	A.	I still want to have a variety available
Ralph	S.	I strenuously object to any government control over the Internet in principle, because I think it's an inhibition of free speech. What's the CCRTC is doing is deleting material that they object to on the grounds that it is not Canadian enough. They are objecting simply because they disagree with it. I think the Internet should be totally free of ANY interference from governments of any kind, particularly in the guise of hate speech. If I ask a stupid question, I expect to get a stupid answer, but that's up to me to not ask the stupid question in the first place. NO GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE!
Christian	Н.	I subscribe to non-Canadian services PRIMARILY because I don't have to have Canadian content forced down my throat. If the Canadian content is good enough, then *I* want the choice to be mine, NOT that of a government organization that repeatedly has shown it's in the pockets of companies such as Bell, Rogers, and Telus. In addition, I DO support when American companies film in Canadian locations. Yet, such filiming in itself apparently does NOT make the content even partially eligible to be considered Canadian content.
Daniel	F.	I support an open and free internet. I do not think it is necessary for unelected officials to have control over the internet. Allow people to watch, listen read, what they choose without the influence of bureaucrats. Yes, we as Canadians should support Canadian content, but not in a manipulated and forced way. I vehemently disagree with this bill having control and influence on individuals and small groups posting content online.
Sandra Irene	Н.	I support Canadian content creators. I am proud of Canadians and Canada but do NOT appreciate being MANDATED to watch Canadian content when I want to watch other things. I watch things from around the globe. That does not make me a bad Canadian. I am a FREE Canadian and do not believe I should be told what I can and cannot watch. I have worked all my life till I was disabled. Now that it is all I can do since I cannot afford a TV which you also control. You are now going to control what I get to watch on the Internet?? Yeah, I do not appreciate you trying to tell me what I can and cannot look at. I look at Canadian news and Canadian content creators. But do NOT tell me what I can and cannot look at. Give me the option to point me in the direction of MORE

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		Canadian content - that would be helpful and would make me very happy. A place where I can go and see what Canada has to offer. Give help to Canadian Content Creators would also be helpful to help them compete in the Global marketplace of ideas. But DO NOT mandate what Canadians MUST WATCH or you will just turn off Canadians and they will not want to watch Canadian content just like they did when you tried to shove Canadian TV down our throats. Learn from Past mistakes and do it right this time.
Marvin	S.	I tend to watch US Politics, and like to be able to Select what.
Eric	Н.	I tend to watch very niche clips on YouTube. If the government imposed their will instead of giving a choice to the citizens we will never get genuinely great content. By allowing citizens to vote with their clicks the production companies can, on a more limited budget become better story tellers and actually create great content that a lot of people world wide would want to see, there are only 36 million people in Canada, there are 360+ million in the third largest country in the world directly south of us. Our content should be able to reach them as the Internet tends to level the playing field
Marjorie- Anne	S.	I think bill C-11 and all other bills that come touch my freedom of viewing or expressing should not exist!!!
Blair	F.	I think C-11 is garbage. I think #CanCon is an outdated idea. We have never had it so easy to find new content. If Canadian Content is good enough it'll be found without the government forcing it down our throats.
Stephan	Т.	I think Canadian content content should compete like any other content that exists. I'm no against canadian content. I just don't want to have my freedom of choice taken away from me. I want to have access to any existing content that exists, wether if its content is true or not, funny or sad, violent or accessible, good or bad, etc. NO MATTER WHERE ITS FROM! It is MY OWN CHOICE if I wish to watch, listen, believe or not, the content I watch. I can make my own decisions in life and most of all I will chose for my children as well. Leave all access to any content on the web as it was. I understand publicly funded canadian content has it tough trying to compete with more popular content. I get it. Nothing is more simple to unsdertand. It all has to do with numbers, quantity. We're 33 million overtaxed people in this country that are funding our own media content! Why? Because we darn well know we couldn't do it otherwise! No canadian private companies would be able to produce all this content on their own. We're not enough people. It's not a money
		making business in Canada. I'm not saying we don't have talented

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and malgerious content
		artists, technicians, etc., I say they all have to leave the country to make a living with their art. That goes with everything else in Canada. We're not enough people and yet, we pay ourselves more and more public services than all Europe combined!! Don't wonder why everything's about to collapse.
Shawne	B.	I think Canadian content must be protected and promoted.
Andrew	M.	I think Canadian content should be promoted but not limiting our own choices.
Lene	K.	I think Canadian productions could be helped by some funding,Ķ But unless it is better than foreign content, I shouldn't be made to have to watch something less interesting or less well done. I don't like somebody monitoring my media usage and or manipulating it,Ķ Free speech is a basic right of every citizen.
Yves	G.	I think having more Canadian content and it being more visible when we subscribe to platforms is a plus. A lot of Canadian content is way more interesting and well done. Let's show it off!
Robin	C.	I think it should focus on drawing attention and showcasing Canadian content over forcing people to watch a percentage of Canadian content or something to that effect. More visibility, instead of enforced viewing.
Donna	A.	I think just the concept of CanCon. Let's break that word down. Can means you are able. Con means to be contrary or against. That means to me that you can con me with what you think. I am over the age of 50 and should be able to decide what I can and cannot watch or search out on my computer. As long as I am not delving into sexual things, or things that I deem as spiritually wrong for me. My God has given me a mind to discern between good and evil. not some robot or AI. I CAN STILLL THINK FOR MTSELF.
Brian	E.	I think necessary Canadian content that is directly connected to culture, information and quality of life isn't all entertainment media related and there needs to be a stronger distinction and labeling it doesn't need to be limited per say ,Ķ US content rebranded for Canadian audiences isn't the same as a general international feed either,Ķ the US should be seen as international content not dominant extra media there is a place for all of it of course but us opinion based content being marketed as identity politics is a different altogether,Ķ they have subtle differences but those distinctions show up everyday,Ķ where international view is lumped into identity example FOX News ,Ķ is taken as general useful

		"information" where the politics is completely different, from our country based on the population and history of ea country. Some of those issues simply don't apply the same,Ķ Americana is an escape it shouldn't be an ideology.
Stephen	C.	I think that all content creators should have a level playing field and let meritocracy decide. Lets say you're a manufacturer if you make product that people want you will be a success, if not you go out of business. It's as simple as that. If the Canadian content is good it will be chosen by preference. If you force me to watch mediocre content I will just switch you off I'd rather watch nothing that be censored into watching rubbish!!
Joslyn	N.	I think that international content is a right and should not be restricted to just Canadian content. We live in a land of freedom and should not be restricted to our choices of media content. Restricting content appears biased and geared towards the ones that control media information. Stop with the fear based information and just report issues. You know, people can THINK FOR THEMSELVES!
Brian	R.	I think that the CRTC should be disbanded. We don't need all these regulations.
Darlene	L.	I think that, until there is an actual definition of what constitutes 'Canadian Content, government or CRTC should leaves us alone, period.
Gareth	Н.	I think the bill is fundamentally flawed in that it does not recognize the difference between broadcast media and internet. I think the best way to support Canadian content is to develop local and locally-owned means of disseminating Canadian content.
les	b.	I think the choices of which content I have access to and watch should be mine. I like to watch content from other countries it informs me and helps me understand other cultures, other peoples and so find common ground. Canada is also a multicultural country we have people from around the world and limiting access to only Canadian content is discriminatory toward those people that did not originate here in Canada. Limiting access to only CanCon defeats the purpose. What promotes Canadian content best of all is when the content rise to the standards of non Canadian content. This makes the playing field equal. Limiting our access does not deal with the issue it actually weakens the need for CanCon to improve its production quality. Whats most important to me

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		as a consumer is to have access to high quality content regardless of its national origins.
Brady	W.	I think the government should release their tenticles from social media content. It is up to us as Canadians to decide what content we consume; It is my free will and not the government's will. I will decide what I see or I will use a VPN like many others.
Cathy	R.	I think the only thing that needs to be controlled/sensored is pornography, violence (games included), paedophilia, targeted hate groups (not those talking about alternative health issues that the government doesn't want people to know about - that is not a hate group even though the media/governments tried to make it look that way during Covid).
Murdock	M.	I think there needs to be moderate and not extreme regulations on online platforms in order to provide as much Canadian content as possible on the most popular platforms.
Laurence	F.	I think there should definitely be content charges for Canadian sources, and Cancon available WE ARE NOT THE USA
Mark	I.	I think there won't be anything good come out of these changes as the CRTC always seems to do exactly the opposite of what helps Canadians all the time. We were all screaming not to let Rogers and Shaw merge but that didn't help.
Nora	Н.	I think this is a slippery slope. I do not feel we need any monitoring. I feel Canadians have the where with all to figure things out on their own. I think the way we have been including Canadian content up until now has been working well.
Kayla	S.	I think we should be able to choose the media we consume. We're not a country that regulates that kind of thing, it's immoral. I encourage more Canadian content but not to be forced on us. Create a better industry for it in Canada first.
Paul	W.	I think we should be entitled to see everything and have a choice if we believe it or not. We should know what our government is doing at all times. The CRTC has no right to manipulate what we see or hear. It is in our constitution for freedom of speech. What out PM is doing is just wrong.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Liz	W.	I think we should get rid of bill c-11. Along with the communist Liberal government and all the liberal MPs. They should be sent into space never to retirn.
Shaun	W.	I think we should have freedom to choose our own content. The government is over reaching in bill C11 and I am concerned that I am losing freedom to choose because those choices will not be visible through the usual channels. In fact I believe this has been happening already for years because of federally funded media like the CBC. They should not be funded by government at all.
Nathan	S.	I think you should leave us alone and stop trying to control every facet of our lives.
Kathleen	T.	I thought this was a free country, but, now our rights are being taken away from us.
Maxine	F.	I try not to watch MSM propaganda. I sometimes read a bit but only to find out what new scams they are putting out there in order to create more fear. So I don't know how much this would affect me. I do like to see different opinions so I can make up my own mind about what is going on, not only in Canada but also around the world.
Jacob	Н.	I understand that my feeds are already manipulated heavily by corporate interest. It does not make sense to add another manipulator. There must be a way to expose Cancon without wiping out the algorithms we already work with and have found entertaining.
Amie	S.	I understand the want to promote Canadian content, but this shouldn't be done by limiting someone's options, or regulating the feed/options that are available to them (especially today, when there are so many great options available to everyone from all over the world). The idea of having a Made in Canada section is great! But forcing only/mostly Canadian-made content upon us seems very narrow-minded, overly controlling, and somewhat patronizing to the shows/movies that are produced a lot of Canadian-made content is doing really well on it's own.
Anzan	Н.	I value accessing news, journalism, information, and entertainment from a wide variety of international sources as well as local sources. I subscribe to many newspaper sites and also read RSS feeds from hundreds of sources that I have personally shaped and maintain. I want my UK sources to reflect the UK, US sources to reflect the US, Japanese to reflect Japan, EU to reflect the EU (while also reflecting the

		various nations and cultures therein), and of course Canadian sources to reflect Canada.
Kim	M.	I value my freedom of speech
Lucie	В.	I wanna see canadian content! I don't wanna be controlled by tyrant trudeau's overreach! I wanna be able to watch what i want. I pay tax i m all qbout freedom of choosing my online contentwanna take something off? Take porn sites offline away from our kids
Chris	K.	I want a free and open internet experience and want to know what media companies are funded by the government.
Barbara	P.	I want a free and open internet. Definition of CanCon and it's use should be minimal. Or even eliminated.
Varun	S.	I want a free marketplace of ideas for adults. For those under 18, I understand there being some moderation. More for youngsters and that should apply in schools, online, home etc. The most vulnerable should be protected. However, most adults are capable to filter through content and make their own choices rather than through the lens of an entity like the CRTC or others on what's good and what's not. Those who want the CRTC stamp should also be able to choose an option to watch, read, digest filtered content. However, those that don't want it, shouldn't have to.
Alexandr a	T.	I want a FULL STOP of censorship in Canada.
Leora	N.	I want access to ALL news. Not just crtc approved news.
Cameron	J.	I want access to content regardless of where it is produced. The government should not be allowed to decide for me what content I am able to access.
Cassie	S.	I want all changes to be transparent to users like me. I do not want CRtC to manipulate my content.
Jay	В.	I want an open a completely free internet that has absolutely no government involvement or manipulation. If I want to look up Canadian content then I should be able to do just as much as I may want to look up international content. I don't want the government manipulating my search results ever!! Bill C-11 will take away my freedom and we do not yet live in a communist country - canada is a free country and it needs to

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		stay that way. Focus our tax dollars and efforts on building our economy and stop meddling in what I see online.
Amie	W.	I want CBC and globe and mail articles to show up, but I don't care if it's on social media. I only care about Google searches.
susan	C.	I want complete control over my internet viewing experience. I do not need to be directed toward specific content or censored from specific content. If I want more Canadian content allow me the choice and ease of access to enhance my own viewing experience.
Alexandr	F.	I want complete control over what I see and engage in and post,Ķ I am Canadian but I am launching an international online school so I don't want to be limited in any way.
Tony	C.	I want complete control over what I watch. I don't want the government or CRTC interfering with this behaviour. The video services already have systems in place to protect minors and vulnerable individuals.
Terry	Z.	I want free speech. I want to be able to hear all opinions. Our leaders are lost feeling that they should be able to tell us right or wrong. This last 3 years has been appalling with many experts being censored already. I do not trust the so-called experts of my own country, so I do not trust the intention of our leaders, especially Freeland and Trudeau. They avoid answering questions. This is unacceptable. I dont trust any censorship from my Country.
lan	D.	I want freedom to choose what I see, without a government overlord making these decisions for me. I'm an adult and I can decide what to watch for myself.
Rita	L.	I want more indigenous. Input On everything!I am so against being censored! It's like being in a Dictatorship! In Oh Canada we sing Glorious and Free! If we are Free. FREEDOM of SPEECH is VITAL to our country!!!
Shelli	C.	I want my experiences to be about what I like and want to see. Not what is forced on me to see or what the government feels I shouldn't see. If I want to see more Canadian content, I will search it out myself and that will naturally change what the algorithim shows me. We are not children, we can and will search out things we want to see. We do not have to be protected from things we don't want to see or what someone thinks we shouldn't see. As adults we can click off a page we don't like. We make our own decisions and no government should be telling their citizens what they should or shouldn't watch. The government is far overstepping

Anna	L.	I want the freedom to choose for myself what I read/watch online. I am not a moron: I have above average intelligence. I am perfectly capable of deciding what is worth my attention.
Pascal	L.	I want the freedom of choices and not be affected by search modifying algo.
Cindy	B.	I want the choice to be mine, not the Canadian government or the crtc. I'm a grown adult I can make my own choices. I have no problem with labels showing Canadian content but I don't want to be forced to watch it.
Connor	M.	I want the best content in my feed, not content based on the country I happen to be in.
Slawomir	J.	I want the best content available. It's good if it's Canadian but quality is more important than nationality.
Annik	L.	I want That Bill c-11 to be abolished that's it. We do not need this and we don't want this !!!
Adrian	M.	I want quality music, movies, shows and podcasts,Ķ,ĶI don't really care where it's coming from but I know I do not want additional filters to my feeds (more so than they already have). I would like to see more investment in quality content and do like the idea of have a Canadian content section on most platforms which gives me choices. I understand that without the previous versions of this Bill which support Canadian content we would have been overrun with American media and ideologies which do not necessarily support Canada's beliefs or best interests. I support the Bill but only if it means marginal interference and suggestions not forced content.
Ezra	M.	I want my search results and recommendations based strictly on my preferences, not on government mandates. I seek the best content regardless of whether it's CanCon or not, just like I seek the best products and services regardless of its origin.
Eliza	J.	I want my feeds to reflect reality, not a Canadian filter bubble.
Kalen	M.	I want my feeds to be full of my own interests, not have them dictated by the government. I know what I like, the government does not.
		in forcing this on the Canadian people without our consent. Not one person I know is okay with this.

Jane	W.	I want the freedom to review, read and browse any information from any source on the internet; it is my alienable right to unlimited information through any online source and only "I " decide what to read and search out from any country in the world. My beliefs and views are no one's business and I want my freedoms and rights enshrined in any and every legislation constitution, charter in Canada. Crtc must allow this No matter what a government or non government sources want. My alienable right to source, read, believe or not believe information I find through on line sources, books, tv, radio and every other medium.
Jeffrey	D.	I want the government and the crtc to stay completely out of it. No pushing Canadian content on me. I'll find it if I want it.
Ted	Z.	I want the government OUT of our daily life! They can take care of the infrastructure, and that's it. That's all their mental capabilities can handle.
Darlene	D.	I want the government to stay out of my business and focus on lowering taxes, making housing more affordable and house the homeless, lowering the cost of living in general, tackling rising crime and increased drug problems, stop sending our taxes to other countries (looking after our own citizens first), and monitoring immigration. I want to watch what I want to watch and I do not want my content to be curtailed or promoted by CanCon. Stay in your lane!
Rida	M.	I want the government to stop regulating me. The government should have very little power in my day to day life.
Dianne	V.	I want the internet to operate without the regulatory interference of the CRTC. I want the government to stop messing with the internet, period. Bill C-18 has been a verifiable disaster. Hands off what's left of the goddamned internet.
Brian	C.	I want the right to browse content the way I choose. Options for specific content are fine but anything that automatically interferes with content selection is unconstitutional and restricts people's freedoms.
Troy	G.	I want to be a free sovereign being. To not be controlled by an outside regulator because of my interests. Same as freedom of speech.
Betsy	R.	I want to be able to choose what I view. I am adult with the freedom to choose. No one should be telling me what to watch and what to think.

Lyn	T.	I want to be able to choose what I want to see when I want to see it from wherever I want. If it happens to be Canadian programming all well and good but if isn't well that is good too. To take YouTube for example; I follow a lot of language teachers one of which is Canadian Steve Kaufman-(lingosteve - LingQ). His advice and videos are excellent and happen to work for me. However, all of the other language teachers I follow come from other countries: England Sweden France Netherlands Hong Kong US. I don't want to find that I am loosing these other videos because I am repeatedly being referred back to Steve just because he is Canadian. My choice of content should be just that, my choice.
Desforge s	D.	I want to be able to chose what I want!
Amanda	S.	I want to be able to continue using social media as it is.
Jasmine		I want to be able to decide what I want to watch and when.
oudining.		
Frances	D.	I want to be able to explore for myself any and all views and content. I am an adult, I do not need a nanny to steer me in any particular direction.
Chantelle	В.	I want to be able to have the option to watch everything.
Suzanne	C.	I want to be able to make my own decision. I absolutely do want Canadian content, but also content from stable platforms around the world, like the BBC, and MSN.
Rick	M.	I want to be able to pick and choose what I want to view and be able to freely share my thoughts and views on any or all issues ,the way it was before Bill c-11 was introduced . No sensorship because I don't agree/disagree with their agenda. Free speechfreedom
billee-jea n	m.	I want to be able to pick my own content, news sources, etc from any country or source. I do not want to be force fed Canadian content of any sort. If Canadian sources of any type cannot compete then perhaps they just plainly aren't good enough. As a result what will change for my personal experience is that I will, by choice, reduce my exposure to Canadian content, not increase it, even if that means reducing the platforms I utilize now.
Marcia	A.	I want to be able to read all my information emails It's my choice

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Stephani e	C.	I want to be able to see all content on the web. I want to government to take their hands off the internet! To have a free society, we must have a free press; it is the fourth leg of the government!
Donna	M.	I want to be able to see any alternative new on my computer. I don't think the CRTC should have the power to limit Canadians to only be able to see news that the Government wants them to see. I total disagree with C-11.
Marie	S.	I want to be able to see global content, especially news. If my news (or any other) content is restricted on YouTube, Facebook, etc. then I am left with recycled U.S. news which most mainstream Canadian news uses. I want to be able to access ALL Canadian and international news from all sources, small broadcasters too. It should be MY choice, not dictated by a Government body. That is NOT democracy.
Katina	G.	I want to be able to select the kind of content I'm interested in myself. I don't want the government to decide what I can and cannot see.
Kryssie	U.	I want to be able to still see what I want to watch not be forced with shows I'm not interested in.
Shane	W.	I want to be able to watch what I want when I want regardless of any affiliation. Censorship is a terrible thing if people read and actually understand history.
Dara	W.	I want to be able to watch what I want when it comes to streamed media. If I wanted specifically CanCon I would watch cable tv. I go to YouTube, Netflix etc because of the diverse content and because they are not regulated by government to the extent that they have to have a specific percentage of whatever content. We are becoming a global society and streaming platforms should be kept as such!
Rachel	T.	I want to be able to watch what I want, when I want. I follow local and world news. I don't want to be told what I must watch and what I cannot watch. It is NOT up to the CRTC or the government to control my media input. I am capable of creating and regulating my own experience.
Shirley	M.	I want to be free to choose what I want to view, without oversight by our Government
Ryan	В.	I want to be in charge of the content I engage with. It's bad enough already with the way online services choose which content to recommend; don't make it worse by adding yet another layer of forced content. If you want Canadian content to be promoted above other

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		content, fund Canadian creators such that their content is better, and it naturally floats to the top. C-11 is remarkably short-sighted.
Maureen	A.	I want to be in control of what I am searching for without the government's involvement. No more censorship!!!
Thomas	M.	I want to be shown the content that is most relevant to my interests, regardless of where it is created. If you want to promote more Canadian content, this should not be done in my usual recommendations, but instead have a dedicated area for it. If I'm getting recommended content just because it's Canadian content, but it's not relevant to my interests, I will tend to down vote this content. This will negatively impact Canadian content creators, and backfire in attempting to promote Canadian content.
Robert	D.	I want to be the one to regulate what I investigate.
Carla	D.	I want to choose my own content, especially the news I watch, & not what the government thinks that my content should be. At this point, after witnessing the way the government treated people during the pandemic, I don't believe the government or Big Pharma or the mainstream media have my best interests at heart. They are only interested in their own agendas and their own profit making endeavors. The Canadian government twisted people's arms to make them play the vaccine roulette game and it cost some citizens their health and their lives. If only more Canadians had been listening to the alternative press which basically told the truth that we were being denied in the MSM. I also want to know what is happening in the larger world, not just what is happening in Canada. I want to hear individuals and small news outlets giving witness to what is happening. I don't want to live under controlled propaganda mainstream news like other communist countries that don't encourage any intelligent thought or dissenting voices/opinions.
Barbara	A.	I want to choose what i want!!!
Huguette	C.	I want to choose which news media I want to listen too. This should never be decided by others or forced on me. I want option to opt out of any trans ad campaigns.
Philip	S.	I want to continue enjoying the internet as I do now. You do not have the right to regulate my viewing pleasure. You already regulate enough of the radio wave and television. Once again, Mr. Trudeau has overstepped his authority.

Kinsey	Н.	I want to control the content I see - I don't support any of the currently proposed filtering of online content by the government. I am very concerned about the government pushing their own propaganda and pushing out the content we actually want to see. This has historically been a free country but now this is a step in the opposite and wrong direction. We want to see news from platforms like Google, Facebook, etc and they should not have to pay to play in a free country.
Wendy	M.	I want to control what I see
Marianne	G.	I want to control what I watch or read. The government should not control what I get to see, that is censorship. Stay our of what I see or don't see. It should be completely under my own control. We don't want to become like Russia or China, where the government controls what people get to see. Does your control mean that I can no longer see things like reports from Ukraine by Ukrainians, but only the reports that are made by Canadians who just interpret things from their viewpoint, not necessarily the truth?? Or anything from other countries like any from Europe, or Australia, cause they don't contain Canadian content??? Smells like censorship to me. How about any Youtube videos about crafts that are made by people not necessarily Canadian. Will they be blocked???
Mikhaela	J.	I want to determine what I search and watch, government should not be stepping into free speech.
Eriola	K.	I want to have control over my feeds, what I see and watch, talk and discuss.
agustin	g.	I want to have the freedom to be the one who chooses what I want to see and not let the government choose for me, because this as an obvious result of the right to freedom of speech.
Sergiu	G.	I want to have the liberty of my choice about the contents I want to consult.
Roberto	C.	I want to have the option to decide what content I watch or not. I don't want anyone to decide for me (I don't want anyone to hide content from me cuz they claim is more canadian) I wanna see ALL results available when I do a search I will decide on my OWN what content is relevant for me no organization has the right to HIDE content from me cuz they think is not appropriate for me to see. I am the one who is GONNA MAKE THAT DECISION. Thanks

	iraii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Damien	B.	I want to have the same experience as the rest of the world and not be isolated from the rest of the online world (even if it's only slightly).
Peggy	M.	I want to know what is going on Canada wide and globally also. I do not want the information filtered or censored by any governing agent. My media consumption is no one's business but my own. I am free to make my own choices and come to my own conclusions based on the information I access and the brain I was born with.
Elizabeth	B.	I want to maintain freedom in choice for everyone
Gregory	M.	I want to preserve & enhance my choices of online content: I don't want our Canadian Government to remove or modulate my freet choices & access my preferred content
Rob	Н.	I want to retain my right to access material based on the quality of the content, not just because it's Canadian. Canadians people produce excellent material, and can compete with any other country in terms of quality. I don't need "big brother" to determine what I see, or hear.
sbuc	k.	I want to search the internet FREELY, without someone or body deciding what I see.
Gerrit	L.	I want to see all sides. I can decide for myself what I believe, what I think, what I like. No censorship.
Allan	В.	I want to see misinformation and disinformation off of social media. Fed directly into young minds' social feeds - particularly with foreign influenced technology with government interest ie. Tik-tok, kick.com using your data/analytics to track you and make content creators advertise gambling or pro- CCP narratives.
Margaret	P.	I want to see news from independent media!! All voices need to be heard. Free speech in Canada is a MUST!!!
Kamalne et	S.	I want to see the content that I like, Canadian or not.
Ralph	G.	I want to see the honest content of creators, whether they are from Canada or not. I do not want content filtered for Canadian government approved Canadian content.
Matt	S.	I want to see what I want to. Not what you think I should.
Cristian	I.	I want to still have the same amount of access to outside/foreign media sources as previously.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and malgenous content
Richard	V.	I want to the right to choose any content, and do not want any restrictions.
Vanessa	S.	I want to view what I would like, and it needs to stay what I want to view
Lorne	S.	I want to watch what I chose to watch. My choice and I will form my own opinion on issues.
Colin	R.	I want to watch what I want to watch ,not what the Government decides I should watch
Diane	K.	I want to watch what I want to watch. Canada isn't a communist country.
Henry	T.	I want to watch what I want to watch. Not something of what state wants me to watch. If you want you make me watch something you deem to be Canadian content make something good and I will watch. If I don't like I won't watch like all other shows. Even if it is made with the most budget ever in the most advanced country if it sucks I still won't watch it. Do not try to shove in my face because I still won't watch it.
Philip	K.	I want to watch what I want, not what you think I should want. Go away!
Chris	G.	I want to watch, what I want to watch. to have the government step in to my algorithm is just inappropriate. This borders on censorship and is unethical.
John	L.	I want total freedom to control my own experience any way I want on all platforms.
Chris	S.	I want true results when I search for something online. Do not favour Canadian content over the rest of the world. A level playing field for everyone.
Edward W	V.	I want unfettered access to the world without government censorship I don't want to be forced to cull through supposed Canadian content by the government that has destroyed Canada.
Robin	S.	I want unrestricted access to the news sources I currently use, and TRUST, such as Canadian News Channels and Canadian newspapers. I want to make MY OWN choices about what I read and do not read. I can think for myself and do not need to be spoon fed information by a giant controlling company concentrating on their bottom line and not journalist integrity. It is concerning to me that I would not be able to Google a story and feel I was receiving a balanced number of news stories covering the

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		content. I am really really tired of being pushed towards content based on an algorithm! Please do not fix what is not broken.
Cristyn	В.	I want what I see to be my choice, with clear links or areas that support Canadian content to be accessible
Charles	S.	I want zero government involvement zero government involvement
Lisa	N.	I was born and raised in Ireland. I prefer more content from Ireland and England because it's more in tune with my upbringing. I thought that Canada encouraged individuality, to allow newcomers to maintain their cultures and values. If my searches are being edited, and directed to content not relevant to me, then I feel like I'm being deprived and manipulated.
joseph	m.	I was to curate my content personally without the need for regulation.
Gaetan	S.	I watch a lot of Motorcycle, fabricating and machining content and most are from US, I would not want to have that content regulated. I also watch Canadian content when available but I think I should be able to choose for myself what I want to watch based on quality and not from location. Educational content should not be regulated.
Lisa	P.	I watch a lot of multi cultural way of living content. I'm afraid I'll lose access in lieu of more Canadian content.
G	S.	I watch American YouTubers and Americans this is scaring every platform honestly you're even have Canadians starting American immigration even some ordinary gamers channel I'll be moving. https://youtu.be/1ETWoVLZ4aI
Guy	G.	I watch content from all over the world and I dont want to be forcefed Canadian content I watch content from Canada as much as I watch content from Usa, Uk, Japan, France, etc I watch what I want to watch whatever I want without censure anyways we are supposed to be multicultural so I want to watch content from whatever culture I want.
Olivier	D.	I watch very diverse programming, from American blockbuster movies/series to a bit of Canadian content to British series to even Korean made content. No sports, that is fully lost on me. I am really not liking the idea of having content pushed upon me that is NOT in my interest. Canadian content is often not the greatest and mostly I have no interest in it. Also living in Quebec and with the current language climate in Quebec, I also have no intention to watch particularly more French content nor do I want more of it pushed upon me either. We already lost

		so much in our English speaking community here (Have you noticed that the main CTV station is still running 'The big bang theory' in prime time ?? Really ?? Now on CTV news we even have local news stories from Winnipeg or Alberta as main news items I don't think anyone cares for Montreal anymore. Our highly regarded Montreal Gazette newspaper has been cut to the bone with more mergers and staff reductions and now lost most of its greatest contributors. Not surprising also a wish of the Quebec governement. I am against any further interference in my private life and living in a democratic country, should not even have to write this consultation. I am not OK with having content pushed that I have no interest in.
Mary	F.	I watch, listen to and financially support many small freedom loving groups online. Most of them are Canadian made with Canadian content. I don't always agree with them but they must be able to express themselves, whether using hard facts or opinion, and I must be free to listen and analyze. This must not change. I am a 75 year old adult who has much life experience and I am quite capable of making my own judgements.
Alik	V.	I will be angry that our democracy is being destroyed by ivory-tower-inhabiting elites.
Michelle	m.	I will be blocked from seeing/hearing anything that the Canadian government disagrees with even if the information is true so will not be able to make informed decisions. I will only be able to see what the government wants me to see even if the information is not true. Basically, everything will be biased towards what the government wants me to know so that they are better able to control my activities.
Elaine	Ο.	I will be manipulated on what I can see and not see. We don't need government to control us on what we view
Stephen	D.	I will be pushed content that I am not necessarily interested in or will ever watch, while some creators may be pressured, by fear of these legislations, to create, or spend time creating content that doesn't suit their audience or creative strengths.
Amanda	M.	I will be recommended things I have no interest in simply because they are Canadian, which would be a detriment to the creators as their videos would be directed to the wrong audience and cause loss of income. It will also cause frustration to the viewers who are not being shown what they are searching for, and will have to find alternative measures to do so. That the CRTC, under government authority, will suppress creators

		acceptable,Ķl can make that determination for myself, as well as my family. Canada is a FREE country. These internet regulation bills are reminiscent of communist China's control over their citizens. We are NOT an authoritarian regime but Bill C11 and C18 would indicate
		I will lose the freedom to search, access and choose the information and programming that I want to consume. I am a grown woman and don't need any government body dictating to me what is appropriate and/or
John	S.	I will lose the access to a generally free and open internet. I do not want or need the government controlling what I can or can not view. History shows what happened with Canadian radio stations and requirements to play a certain amount of Canadian songs. I feel it was a good decision to implement Canadian content on the radio. However, if you try to do the same with the internet, I would consider that censorship. Do not close the door to the world of information and education. Keep freedom of choice
Stefan	M.	I will lose of the opportunity to more deeply nurture and develop my tastes, curiosity and education through global and diverse content. I will be restricted to a very narrow view of available content that will, out of necessity, be anemically curated. Bill C-11 appears to overestimate profoundly the breadth of Canadian content and, once passed, will threaten forced adoption of its gross misconception.
Michael	P.	I will lose non Canadian feeds.
Ecaterina	C.	I will have a lots to say. Unfortunately I have to abstain for now. We are not a free nation anymore. Sad and true.
Dianne	M.	I will create my own viewing Eliminate cable completely Stream only Permanently get rid of CBC And select USA and international viewing Also, get VPN installed each year to get freedom to select on my own
		or individuals whom they deem as having unsuitable views (any view counter to their own), which will create very biased views, as well as limiting options for alternative media, and secluding Canadians even further from knowing what is occurring both within Canada and outside of it. What will the CRTC even consider Canadian content to begin with? I do not believe any threshold was even discussed when pushing this bill through.

		iework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and malgenous content
Corey	B.	I will most likely un-subscribe from all online services and internet providers since i DO NOT support Bill C-11 at all and go back 50 the way it was prior to the internet and other related stuff. Their is more i could add, but i don't have the time and space to add it all at the moment.
Evelyn	В.	I will never comply to any government demands that force me to watch only government approved anything. The government in Canada does not, under any circumstances, have the legal right to force me to listen, watch, or OBEY their paid for media broadcasts. the government in Canada may think they have passed a law restricting my freedom of expression, but they cannot enforce such a law. This is not a law, it is a tool of control, and I will never comply. I do not watch CBS, CTV, or any other paid legacy media for my news and will never again. These media formats are now propaganda outlets only. Nothing but absolute cancellation of bill C-11 would satisfy me.
dirk	k.	i will never find what i am looking for i am forced to try to cheat the algorithms if even possible
Troy	D.	I will never support any changes that distort reality, or hide the truth. It IS okay to promote Canadian media; but it IS NOT okay to manipulate search results, or censor anything on the basis of its origin. Adding new features sounds great! Give me a Canadian Artists section, sure, I love that idea. But if you hide Pink Floyd in favour of plugging Rush, I'm going to be mad (even if I'm a Rush super-fan!)
Sean	M.	I will no longer use online platforms regulated by CRTC if this proceeds. There is no need for any government interference with the internet. The government should stay out as this is only interference to push government agendas and is not helping Canadian citizens. This will drive citizens to find ways to circumvent anything put in place by the government.
LC	C.	I will not be able to access the content I WANT because my politics are right leaning,
Diana	P.	I will not be able to find the info I want, I will only be able to find the things my government prefers that I see. This is extremely concerning.
Sherri	D.	I will not be able to watch what I want to watch. My choices will be limited. It is sad to believe my government is going to try control my choices. The public servants work for the people, not we work for them. We are private citizens & it should be kept that way. Why do they even

		care what we view It has only been in the last 3 years, the interference has become too annoying. Leave us alone
William	L.	I will not be getting the news truth because the government in power are buying off the media
Martin	M.	i will not be recommended content I actually want.
Ryan	L.	I will not be shown data that is objective and non partisan. Health Canada data medical and govt studies are out of date and are not considered accurate data when correlation is done with American studies like Harvard medical school or New Zealand. Especially studies on substances/drugs including side effects tend to be generalized and over and under reported. If CRTC is allowed to change data and remove search results the general public will lose the ability to discern truth. Also, there is already way too much cash going to TV broadcasting, like major news networks Global CHCH TVO. All of these channels are favoring liberal and woke content more frequently. Social media should not be overly regulated. It is a vital source for the people ran by the people. If anything YouTube as a company needs to be further regulated so there is more transparency for the users what user data is being mined and be shared publicly as required to benefit the public.
Robert	C.	I will only be able to see what Trudeau wants us to see. That is not open free speech which is not good for the public. Bill C-11 must be removed from law.
Mark	C.	I will purposefully not engage with any Canadian content that is aggressively promoted to me as per any government-related Bill. I do not welcome any attempts to compel me to engage with Canadian content.
Deid	R.	I will see what the government wants me to see. I frequently disagree with the government so this is a bad thing.
Bruce	W.	I wish our Liberal government would leave the internet alone! Canada is a Democratic open society! As a voter, I never thought for one second that this Liberal government would stoop so low as to try to strangle people's freedom to enjoy the content they wish to peruse! We pay the internet provider for the right to peruse the sites and the content we wish to see. So is the liberal government going to give us money back on our internet services? Because we are no longer getting the service we paid for? I doubt it! Yet they pay the media companies to lie to us! I think it's the CRTC s job to fight for Canadians! Tell Trudeau sorry but we will not

	II aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		allow it to happen! You guys are in charge of the airwaves and internet aren't you? NotTrudeau and Klaus Schwaub!
Patrina	W.	I wish to maintain control over my social media content and have freedom to view.
Eric	K.	I won't be able to find the information I need when searching for tutorials
Tammy	R.	I won't be able to find the things I usually look for. For example: What if I can't find an apple cider vinegar recipe because there isn't a Canadian version?
Andrew	R.	I won't be able to find what I want.
Mohamm ad-Amin	N.	I won't be able to follow the news from my sources
L John	Н.	I won't be able to have any control on any choices that I choose under C-11. I will not support anymore Canadian content than what is already available
Jacky	L.	I won't be able to watch foreign content that is not able in Canada due to bill C-11.
Thomas	B.	I won't have a choice anymore. This just sounds like censorship or the work of an oppressive regime. Similar to China and Russia
Maxine	J.	I won't have a choice or decision in what I want to read, buy, or the ability to research different opinions, websites from all countries.
Sari	R.	I won't have access to certain streaming service if they stop providing content in Canada due to the requirements of C-11.
Tattiana	S.	I won't have access to the content I want regardless of where it is created. The majority of the content I consume is from Japan, not Canada.
Nichola	V.	I won't have the freedom to watch what i choose to watch like the rest of the world. I didn't think we would ever see this in Canada!!!
Amy	T.	I won't see what I want to see, or it will be harder to find what I want. I don't want to be told what to look at.
Dave	N.	I won't see what I want.
Tina	K.	I won't be able to see the content I would like to see.

Chris		I won't be getting the results that I am looking for and how can I have trust the results reflect what I'm getting served.
Carmen	B.	I won't see the creators I have come to know and love and will be forced to see Canadian content that is chosen for me by my government. I want to decide what is shown in my feeds. I do not want my government dictating how I utilize the social platforms.
Karen	L.	I wonder if I'll be able to access on IG all I'm able to do now, once C-11 becomes the law!
Gabriel	A.	I wonder why should anybody restrict the content people want to watch and read over the Internet. I thought we lived in a free country. With these measures in place it feels like living in Comunism, more like the Chineese government where the government wants to take away people freedem. Is this what Canada will soon become? If that's the case we should look for other places where we could live freely.
jordan	F.	i wont be able to find any canadian news on facebook or google thanks to this wonderful bill. the plan was to make money an all its doing is shutting is off of a global audience, its pathetic. lets reduce the people around the worlds ability to view canadian media by charging companys to show it lol fuckin brilliant idea by the clowns in charge
Ken	l.	I work in film and 90% of the first that come here are from USA, Need I say more, it will kill film industry
Carla	P.	I worried about losing access to some non-Canadian, or at least making access to non-Canadian content more difficult.
Andrew	W.	I worry about Canada becoming more and more isolated and ignorant of the world around us
Bruce	P.	I worry about censorship or limiting of my ability to access information on the internet. The internet offers an unlimited amount, breadth and depth of information from our entire human experience. Let me as an individual decide what I wish to view, research and understand. Access and sharing of information is the reason the internet came to be. I have no problem with Canadian content provided it's of good quality. Manage the funds provided for CanCon to ensure that good content is being created as well making available the tools needed for our Canadian creators to achieve a level world class media. CanCon will carry itself once it's established.

		lework regarding continuations to support canadian and indigenous content
Eric	V.	I worry about the ability of government of the day to stifle free speech and harm the livelihood of content creators.
Nicholas	S.	I worry it will push more mainstream content and leave independent content creators behind.
Ryan	D.	I worry someone will deem what is appropriate or not appropriate based on their views and not my views or values. To say that the government or any regulatory body has say over my choices is not a democracy and easily stumbles down a slippery slope of totalitarian control.
Adam	V.	I worry that C-11 will make it more difficult to find Canadian content as platforms have already said they will be disabling Canadian new sources. I think this is what the government was hoping for all along.
Leah	M.	I worry that CanCon produces poor quality shows. Promoting it more is not going to make me watch it, it will just make me angry because it feels like government overreach if my search results are limited to CanCon. I will watch high quality programs, like Schitt's Creek, because it is high qualitynot because it is Canadian.
Charles	В.	I worry that free speech will be curtailed; as there is no finite definition for misinformation/disinformation it may be used as a broad tool for censorship
Claire	Н.	I worry that I won't be able to access the same content that I currently do. I'm OK with Canadian content being highlighted but it must not replace other content from other producers.
Jody	F.	I worry that the government will decide what is the best content for me to watch. That is a bit of a government overreach. Government is NOT big papa. Government was created to serve the people not RULE them. This bill can end in no good.
Madison	C.	I worry that this would change what content is acceptable to be promoted since even content that IS made in canada or by canadians might not be chosen as being labelled as 'cancon' and would be suppressed over what the government determines is 'good' or 'appropriate' canadian content. Platforms like youtube already have algorithms that openly suppress content from LGBTQ creatros and other marginalized groups and I worry this will be a furthering of that. I worry content that criticizes the canadian government or it's interests would disappear. I am a Canadian who creates entertainment as my job and I

	IIaii	know the governmetn would not be putting anything I make on a list to
Jesse	В.	I would be concerned if we still weren't able to get recommendations based on what I like. A lot of Canadian movies are very B list, and aren't as good as the content produced out of the states.
Deidre	S.	I would end up seeing things on my feeds that I'm not interested in seeing because of this bill.
Dorian	L.	I would fear that greater restrictions that increase the cost of doing business in Canada would cause Canadian creators to be de-prioritized on the global market of creators.
Victoria	L.	I would just like to have access to any information without restriction. I would like the freedom of choice to choose what I watch and not have anyone dictate to me what I should or shouldn't watch. I would like to see content from all different viewpoints and countries and cultures, not just a mainstream viewpoint or just a Canadian viewpoint.
Andreas	K.	I would like a clear definition of what we are considering Canadian content. Is it something that is filmed in Canada? Is it something that uses Canadian actors? Is it something that depicts Canada? Is it something that was filmed using a Canadian crew?
Ellen	G.	I would like access to ALL online information. I'm intelligent enough to understand and sift through information. I do not need the government telling me what I need to hear or not hear.
David	G.	I would like as much freedom on the internet as possible other than criminal conduct or content. I know what I would like to view, my own preferences better than any government agency does.
Francine	C.	I would like content available from all content creators and not just the ones that the government is paying for.
Gloria	K.	I would like no Government intervention in the feeds that we use all news and other topics should be free of government intervention and regulation. What we need are factual information, whether good or bad.
Brigitte	M.	I would like the best value for my money, e.g. I don't have TV - why? b/c they play commercials. I do not watch commercials. Also In VPN German program I am updated on climate initiatives, car CO2 reduction initiatives, Technical and regular universities research & development about biology (water examination that beech trees have been suffering

		under our climate; yet beech trees are what insects eat, it's the start of the food chain, yet we de-forest 11 football fields every minute, and beech trees are suffering and get sick easier than ever before. There is info on plastic and how we right now! eat it. I don't find that stuff on Canadian TV/I-net. but this is important and should guide our behaviour starting school age I take a USA or British song most times over a Canadian song. APTN is a good station, albeit I don't speak French. In short, Canada increase your quality of music and shows and stop commercials.
Laura	S.	I would like the government to stop restricting content!
Ellis WYN	R.	I would like the internet to be free of any governmental/political restrictions. I wish to exercise my own judgement always.
Dylan	C.	I would like the platform support who ever the viewer, content user wish to have. I do not think the platform should be dictating content that gives a false view of media being produced. maybe or or less content is being made in your area but that does not make it good content for your personal liking.
Deborah	S.	I would like the same choices on Netflix as the United States has.
Stephani e	W.	I would like to be able to obtain information from the internet, with only the most basic safeguards put in place. As an intelligent, critically thinking adult I am fully capable of making my own conclusions in regards to information on the internet. The government should allow Individuals to decide themselves and not overstep. It is critical that information can be shared, even if it does not fit a certain narrative.
Cornelius	В.	I would like to be in control of what I see, I don't want the crtc or the government to decide that for me
Trudy	M.	I would like to choose what I watch! The only regulation should be for illegal conduct
Christine	L.	I would like to continue watching the content that interests me without it being buried by stuff that I don't enjoy. This is the main reason for me not having cable as the uninteresting content keeps being pushed at me when I just want to watch a few minutes of something I enjoy. If you make it difficult for me to enjoy the content I like on a platform I will just leave the platform and move onto something else.

Donna	B.	I would like to have the capability to check out websites platforms news outlets wherever I so choose, I don't appreciate government regulating my interests. This is a free country I should be able to explore as much as possible. When the internet became available to us baby boomers it opened up a new world of learning, lets keep it that way. You can regulate pornography obscene language which doesnt bother me one bit. Thankyou
Ramin	S.	I would like to have the option of viewing Canadian content. People should not be forced to only have Canadian content delivered on their medium of choice.
Anthony	K.	I would likely never see Canadian content because I would circumvent the filters to ensure that I could continue to see all content from abroad.
No√1	B.	I would loose the freedom to see what is now available to me. I find that appalling and frightening!
Virginia	T.	I would lose my sovereignty.
Erik	L.	I would miss a lot of important content for myself
Latifah	B.	I would miss my friends on Facebook & Instagram if they pull out. I don't do Tick Tok. Mostly I would miss Messenger & WhatsApp's free phone calls.
Ruth	K.	I would not have access to material that someone else deems is of a different value or faith system than mine and considered unacceptable
Sam	B.	I would not have access to the news I want. I don't want content forced down my throat that I am NOT interested in. I don't want the news sources that I like hidden from me because the Government says that they are not good. Canadian content is Canadian; Rebel News, True North and The Counter Signal are news sources that originate in Canada, made by Canadians, whether or not the current government thinks so. I am a grown up and I don't need a parent/government to control what I watch online. I already have 2 or 3 ads that pop up first in my searches and then I am going to have Canadian (whatever arbitrary rules the CRTC deems Canadian) content appear for the next 4 - 10 hits and then I might see what I am looking for, so basically I will just always now result to skipping the first page because I know it will be full of nonsense.

Eric	L.	I would now have to use a VPN to make sure that Canada doesn't mess with my online activities.
Ruth	S.	I would only be allowed to see or hear content or potentially propoganda that our present government and government funded outlets wanted me to see and or hear. That would stifle Canadian initiative and creativity and prevent me from being inspired and educated, for the good of others through international outlets. Also, I know what is good for me to be seeing and hearing. (I can usually sense when news is fake and looking for propoganda. And the fact that I can listen to international news helps me to identify propoganda here at home
Karen	L.	I would rather expand my freedom of choice, rather than limit it.
Daniel	R.	I would reduce my experience or migrate to platforms that do not have the regulations
Sean	M.	I would want to be able to make complaints to the FTC at FTC.gov, my free psychic readers like Miranda, work things out at HackerOne.com and others including like OpenMedia and I wanna use bounty bug programs to be able to be in control of what I do and don't censor in my own online recommendations feeds especially through 5GTechnology and no one should control whatever is on your online feeds but the only things the government should censor is anything that is harmful but they shouldn't censor advice about how to correct and fix all issues and they shouldn't censor anything righteous but they should only censor against anything evil on the Internet that promotes all evil stuff They also should allow freedom of expression and freedom of the press but the only freedom's people can't have is the freedom to harass and if they innocently harass people online they should be required to correct and own up to their own mistakes
Kristi	Н.	I wouldn't be able to get accurate search results when researching things. Actual Canadian content creators would have more trouble creating content than foreign content creators because they have to follow guidelines that people from other countries don't have to follow.
Morgan	E.	I wouldn't be able to see creators I appreciate
K	M.	I, and I alone should ALWAYS be the o e who determines what information I consume.
Ginette	V.	i,m not sure

		ework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Justin	S.	I'd be concerned about an over prioritization of Canadian content that I just don't care about. I watch many canadian creators but because they make good content, not because they're Canadian (though it's cool to know creators like Linus Tech Tips and ElectroBoom live less than 30 mins from me).
Gary	Н.	I'd be concerned about losing non-Canadian media. That they wouldn't be available to me any more.
Kenneth	M.	I'd be sad if it were to be that way with no change once c-11 goes through should they not listen to us Canadians that want to watch whatever it is they/we/us want to watch.
Ugo	G.	I'd hate to think i'm being monitored and that the content is modified based on my location. To be sure I'd use a vpn to circumvent and location based filtering. Filtering. This bill opens the door to other location based controls (News, Specific keywords, Partisan censoring,Ķ) I mean this is not how CanCon should be promoted. Besides who's to decide what content has the 'right' to go up or not? This is arbitrary at best and might even lead to some corruption to be 'on the list'. The state wants to see more CanCon up front? How about funding more? Helping create events to promote it? How about easing out on some regulation that make export difficult. How about cutting taxes to CanCon creators? Canadian culture should be subsidized like it is in France and in the USA (and many other places). Our creators are insanely good but forcing then down everyones throat is not the way to go.
Arsham	S.	I'd like that taxes collected on streaming services (business and sales tax) be used, in part, to incentivize Canadian content. If content creators want to take advantage of those incentives, then they need to meet strict regulations and perhaps scripts be read and approved. What I don't want is manipulation of content creators or providers through fees, regulations, etc.
Brigitte	M.	I'd like to see everything that's available leaving me in control to filter my choices as to what I watch. Thank you.
Norman	M.	I'd rather like to get (1) more Canadian content, (2) more international (European, Asian, African, South-American, etc) content and (3) less United-States content.
Alexande r	M.	I'll have to use a vpn 100% of the time

ken	j.	I'll skip over any content as I do now that I'm not interested in. I'm not a child I dont need an elected few to make my decisions on what I can or cannot watch or listen to.
Rachelle	S.	I'm not comfortable with None of the above. The Federal government does NOT own the internet. He needs to back Off.
Colette	A.	I'm a believer in checks and balances and cannot believe that experience would exist under C-11. Severely controlled media is a tool of totalitarian regimes and free press and free access is for democratic states, like ours.
Dawn	L.	I'm afraid my experience will be narrowed and redirected based on someone elses preferences and criteria and I don't want government, or government agencies, having any say in what content I see.
Danielle	J.	I'm afraid of losing access to all our amazing Canadian content. And that big companies like Prime, Disney, and Netflix will steamroll over Canadian broadcasters.
Sharon	В.	I'm afraid of the true facts from around the world regarding sensitive content will be censored to favour certain government agendas and keep the general public in the dark about matters that can and will effect our quality of life Its already been happening and is causing major distrust of mainstream media
Heather	C.	I'm afraid that #1: my choices will be limited and I will be excluded from content because I'm Canadian and #2: content that I create will be subject to limits and regulations that would make my life as a content creator even more challenging than it already is!
Kevin	R.	I'm afraid that free speech will be stifled and only favour corporate media.
RICHAR D	N.	I'm against all forms of censorship or media control .
Roger	B.	I'm against all forms of restricting access to content on the internet, except illegal content, such as child pornography. I do believe however that content creators should be credited and paid appropriately based on views and advertising revenue on free to access sites. I believe that people should be free to purchase access to any and all streaming sites at their discretion.

Brady		I'm alarmed that the CRTC would limit content at all. Censorship is a very slippery slope.
Helen	M.	I'm an elderly woman, educated, experienced and engaged but I find the drive, so to speak, to 'identify-alize' as Canadian is not only necessary but called for. The thing is though, our identity in this huge country cannot be pin-pointed which is fortunate in the this world of rising populism and yet recognition of our Indigenous People , our migrants and our refugees do, truthfully represent us as a nation. So - more diverse content produced by diverse artists, please/
Dale	P.	I'm concerned about any change! Things are great just as they are.
Roth	M.	I'm concerned about anything changing at all. I want it to remain just as it is now. Now being June 30th of 2023. Don't touch it, please. It's not something we want or need.
Doug	T.	I'm concerned about censorship by some group of biased people we don't even know and may have a weird agenda that doesn't fit into an open society. I.E. religious agenda, or pseudo moral agenda or political agenda.
Constanti n	I.	I'm concerned about censorship, therefore limitation of my freedom of choice
Sloane	W.	I'm concerned about CRTC manipulation! We should be free from our feeds and content being manipulated by the CRTC. This seems a no-brainer to me. Why impose more regulations when they're simply not necessary?! Just to have more control? Won't don't want that! YOU may want that, but Canada is supposed to be democratic. TikTok videos are not broadcasting. What we choose to post on social media is not broadcasting. The podcasts we listen to are not broadcasting. To even consider labelling them as such is outrageous. Bill C-11 makes me smell a rat.
Pat	W.	I'm concerned about everything to do with this bill. I do NOT trust Trudeau or any of the Liberals nor their agenda when it comes to this censorship of what content can access. We are still a long long way from having anything truly entertaining in this country to watch and I don't want to read ANYTHING from the news outlets that love Trudeau and only report favorably on his woke agenda. I haven't watched anything Canadian in over a decade and I'd I get forced to, then I'll just stop using as much of the internet as I can. This is communism at it's finest, that's how I feel about this garbage. Pat Ward Jr.

Kim	D.	I'm concerned about the disguise of Censorship and limited access to unbiased news  I'm concerned about the over-zealous enforcement of CanCon policies on foreign entities within Canada. All its going to do is drive them away, this isn't like it was in the 70's and 90's, when CanCon was forced upon brick and mortar broadcasters. This is the internet, is very different, if they don't have a brick and mortar installation, its just going to drive them out of Canada, further geo-blocking us from content. We already
Jaden	S.	I'm concerned about the discoverability and censoring of my favorite creators and content. No creator should be censored or forced to include something in their content that they would not otherwise include, and so their content should not be forced to change under any circumstance.
Jeff	J.	I'm concerned about non Canadian providers opting out of providing their service in Canada all together.
Danielle	P.	I'm concerned about money being used by lobbyists to drown out the voices of people trying to speak out in defense of human rights for all. I'm also concerned that my user content will become even more restricted than it already is. Affordable and accessible internet which is kept with net neutrality in place is of vital importance for our rights to freedom of speech and our rights to same access to means of our own livelihood which for many of us is dependent on the internet remaining open, neutral, affordable and accessible.
Alex	E.	I'm concerned about missing out on content from subscribers I actually care about. Canadian content creators being pushed ahead of everything else and drowning everything in a sea of algorithmic garbage doesn't benefit anyone, be they consumer or creator.
Demetrio s	P.	I'm concerned about losing my freedom of choice. I don't want any government authority or any arm of government to make choices on my behalf. I do not want to live in a dictatorship!
Erik	D.	I'm concerned about having more Liberal media being promoted over Conservative media. I'm concerned about not seeing the content I currently enjoy. I'm concerned about any changes that will be made and think the whole idea should be abolished
Dustin		I'm concerned about government control. This is a VERY bad step in all respects.

		What the bill SHOULD do is force those companies to stop geo-blocking us.
Leandros	P.	I'm concerned about the stifling of free speech and free expression.  Losing the right to share information, news articles and videos with others, surrendering our ability to speak our minds and debate the issues, ultimately leading to everyone being less informed. We can't be aware of the things that we are not permitted to hear or see.
Daphne	M.	I'm concerned about the things I post being considered broadcasting, and therefore, being subject to nit-picky CRTC regulations and scrutiny. Why on earth would any Canadian citizen want that?! No one I've talked to wants it.
Heather	Н.	I'm concerned about the vague language in the bill that would allow the government to control more thought and speech via algorithm. i don't trust anyone in the government systems to be impartial or apolitical in any censorship endeavours. this is a dangerous, marxist, narcissistic attitude on the part of the government; it is arrogant of them to think they know best. I am the expert on my own life, and i don't need government actors to make my decisions for me or subject me to online proselytising and propaganda without recourse. i think the whole narcissistic project should be scrapped and we should be treated like the adults we are.
Jessie	M.	I'm concerned about three things with C-11: First, I don't want recommendations to be forcibly changed. I feel it's a disservice to Canadians who consume media to have things they'd actually be interested in suppressed in favour of something else just because it's Canadian. There should be no way for content to be downranked in any sort of recommendation algorithm by the CRTC or other regulatory body. Second, I have concerns that this could negatively affect individual Canadian creators, or those Canadian companies with small teams, if they're determined to be not Canadian enough. They could easily find themselves buried under recommendations for big production companies that don't need the assistance. Third, I'm worried about the precedent this sets. I do not want the government or any other regulatory body controlling my internet usage. It starts here where they determine who gets promoted in recommendations, where does it end? I fear of a future where I am only allowed to access state approved media and websites.
Paul	M.	I'm concerned and quite uncomfortable about the government manipulating what I see/watch in my personal feeds. They have no right and frankly should not be given the power to do this. The internet is not

		the same as television or radio and attempting to treat it as such just shows how little the government truly understands. Again these are my personal feeds, I would hate to live in a country where a VPN is required to ensure it stays that way.
Michelle	D.	I'm concerned for independent media creators and I am concerned about my access to the content I am interested in.
Mi	D.	I'm concerned for the lost of freespeech. Which is a fundamental right. Concerned about censorship, about someone else deciding for me of my content and pushing their own views on me. Without taking ME and my taste and worldviews in consideration. Blend content. Communism.
Bram	K.	I'm concerned government would damage the Canadian economy and individual rights.
Scott	B.	I'm concerned i won't have control of what i want to watch and listen to.
Scott	R.	I'm concerned I won't have ease of access to good content. I'll be blunt, I don't care about Canadian content, I care about good content. There are fantastic Canadian creators that I watch regularly, but I do not watch them because they are Canadian, I watch them because they are good. The internet is the free flow of information and content. I do not want some government hand putting artificial weights to make Canadian content appear before any other for the sole fact that the content is Canadian and I am Canadian. The world is bigger than that.
Nathan	F.	I'm concerned I'd lose access to content created by people living in countries outside of Canada and that it would throttle creativity, reduce sharing of ideas via media, and push ideologies of specific political parties in charge.
Nathan	C.	I'm concerned I'll be forced to view content I am not interested in, even if it is Canadian. Just because the content is Canadian, doesn't mean it is better or up to the same standards as other content. The goal should be to invest in Canadian creators and entities so that they can gain popularity and interest in a more organic way that attracts Canadians to view it. The same way a business needs to have a good product to attract customers, Canadian content creators need to do the same. Investing in programs and facilities will allow Canadians to shine properly. Drake is a great example of Canadian talent that couldn't succeed at the same level he is now, if he stayed local or relied solely on the Canadian market.
inaulall	<b>О</b> .	LITE CANALIAN MAINEL

Christian	M.	I'm concerned I'll lose access to the content I currently enjoy watching at the price I currently find acceptable to pay.
Bryant	T.	I'm concerned independent Canadian content creators (i.e., writers, performers, directors, etc.) won't be able to create work, push CanCon in new directions that truly reflect the ideas and creativity of the changing Canadian population, and build Canadian culture in a more organic way. These independent artists should be able to find an audience and build their work into something not just personally sustainable, but something that builds artistic communities across the country. I'm also concerned resources will be directed back to legacy media outlets that have decimated their local news services while receiving generous government funding, silenced local voices, and stifled a grassroots Canadian culture that reflects our country's diversity. Finally, I'm concerned I would be less likely to discover content from around the world. This type of access is essential for Canadian audiences, whether creators or not, to compare our experiences with our international neighbours. They can help give us new perspectives of life in our country and inspire new kinds of CanCon. Maintaining access to quality international content helps create quality Canadian content.
Jeffrey	E.	I'm concerned non-Canadian content will be removed from the various online platforms, or be hidden so deeply that it is difficult to find. It sets a precedence of the CRTC being able to moderate what people can view.
Chad	B.	I'm concerned that a bunch of people I do not know will dictate what I see online. I would rather those people concern themselves with their own viewings than mine. Thank you very much
Joshua	W.	I'm concerned that algorithms are being used to manipulate the public. We already get that from corporations looking to sell us things, we don't need politicians doing the same.
Judy	D.	I'm concerned that all my options for receiving what is the best for my needs and preferences will be limited and I will never know that something BETTER is out there to address what I'm looking for and prefer. I'm concerned that the government's attempt to control platforms is an insult to our intelligence to determine for ourselves. We are being put inside a BOX!

Thacze	K.	I'm concerned that all of the creators I've grown to love, many of which are Canadian, will become less available to my viewing on social media, through the changing of algorithms/requirements for algorithms and shifts in recommendations (to me) from social media platforms. While I would love more Canadian content to be promoted to me, I'd like to be in charge of how this is done on my device (e.g. making these algorithm changes into ONLY a specific section on Instagram, Youtube, etc.), and I believe that it should be our choice as Canadians whether we want this sort of shift in marketing on our personal feeds. This may not seem like such a big issue for some, but because of the place that social media holds in our current society, and the way it shapes a lot of our discourse and knowledge, I believe user generated content should be free from restriction, and individuals should have a choice in whether they are subject to these changes that will occur on social media.
Rolland	F.	I'm concerned that alternative news feeds and Christian content will be cut from access. This bill c11 is against the Canadian charter of rights and freedoms and should never have been tabled in Ottawa.
Trevor	S.	I'm concerned that Bill C-11 is just a tool to suppress Canadian's ability to spread news on Social Media as well as discover news on Social Media. Some of us don't have Cable TV or watch traditional 6pm news anymore. I noticed a drop in investigative journalism back in 2016. I am fairly certain this is just another way to Censor what Canadian's are able to see. Censorship is the Ugliest word in the English Language.
Andrii	Z.	I'm concerned that Bill C-11 will be used as a propaganda machine to force government opinions on people. I'm against any use of force. And I support free will, including the free will of people to consume content how they want to consume it.
Robert	T.	I'm concerned that Bill C-11 will censor my ability to access content on the internet. I don't want or wish to have more Canadian content AND I don't want or wish to have the government tell me what I can and can not access or see when that content enters my home. If I wanted my internet regulated and censored I would live in a communist or totalitarian country.

Muco	h.	I'm concerned that Bill C-11 will limit the amount of content from outside Canada while also simultaneously preventing Canadian content from easily reaching outside the country. I like the idea of promoting Canadian content, but not at the expense of cutting us off from the rest of the world. This bill would harm Canadian content more than it would help; limiting our reach to the confines of our country would be highly detrimental to the growth of our content creators. I'm also concerned as to what this bill would actually prioritize. It has not been made clear what exactly qualifies as Canadian enough in the eyes of those in power. Canada has always been a quite multicultural country and I'm concerned that this bill will end up excluding other cultures in the interest of preserving one specific aspect of the Canadian experience. Our connection to the rest of the world and the preservation of our culture does not need to conflict. Canadian values and experience are deeply intertwined with the rest of the world, not letting anything else in does not protect us nor does it preserve us, it isolates us. No one has the right to tell us what to watch, read, listen to or think. I am concerned that Bill C-11 doesn't have the Canadian people's best interest at heart as it claims to.
Mya		I'm concerned that C-11 will restrict the variety of content that I am offered. CRTC regulations originally came into being to prevent the Canadian media landscape from being drowned in American content. This threat doesn't exist on my laptop the way it used to on my parent's TV. On YouTube and on social media, I view content from a number of different countries. In our highly interconnected world, one naturally wants to develop a more global perspective.
DAN	K.	I'm concerned that Canadian content will be forced into my feeds simply because it's Canadian, not because it's quality content.
Kristine	C.	I'm concerned that Canadian content will be forced upon me. I like lots of Canadian content. I will listen to or watch it when I choose too, not because a government says I have to. Just like anything, when it's shoved at you, or you are forced, you get tired of it, and begin to hate it. Let me choose for myself, as is my right.
Patrick	C.	I'm concerned that Canadian content will be pushed on me without my consent or knowledge.

Ron	C.	I'm concerned that Canadian independent small content creators on platforms such as YouTube will be legislated out of existence, we need to ensure that small producers of content are not crushed by big brother crtc or corporate influence. The internet was made for the free sharing of peoples ideas, and work. It started out that way and should continue, however I think that some free speech needs moderating such as hate speech etc. Us organizations should not be able to freely dispense lies and disinformation on the internet in Canada. If they even if big news outlets such as fox should be removed if they're pushing misinformation, as they are supposed to be trusted media, not pedlars of propaganda.
Karen	D.	I'm concerned that censorship will become the priority in controlling online platforms and that government control will eventually, insiduously, become the norm. If I wanted to live in a country where government controlled my thoughts and actions, I'd have moved to that country long ago. I value our freedoms and our way of life in Canada and want all of us to remain free to 'choose'.
Cyril	G.	I'm concerned that everything could change! I don't want this change! I want none of it. See, under Bill C-11, platforms must make CanCon "discoverable" by filling our feeds and search results with a mandatory quota of official CanCon content, or face stiff financial penalties from the CRTC. That's wrong on every level. It's asinine and greedy.
Delina	Y.	I'm concerned that free speech is now conditional As a veteran I am appalled at the suggestion that we need block some speech or some news If the government is the only one that decides what news we should watch, then it's propaganda. I may not like what you have to say But I will defend your right to say it in a free society That is what's free speech is!!!! Stop this cancel culture b s C b c included. In fact being one of the most biased government news programs on the planet. People see you!! When I suggested we need to support canadian Creators I mean Independent creators Not So called government approved If you can't question The government and what's going on it's Not free This bill will destroy canada as we know it.
PAUL	L.	I'm concerned that government entities will drive Canadian users towards approved content that promote a one sided or biased view of a particular issue or ideology. Canadians should be allowed to choose from all content and decide for themselves after looking at all sides of a subject matter. It's very patronizing, demeaning, and dangerous to be told what we can or can't view.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Jean	J.	I'm concerned that Government Overreach has gotten out of control. They need to do their job, and remove their noses from our personal lives.
Jean-Mar	T.	I'm concerned that I do not get to see or send content that I wish to see as a free Canadian. CRTC should not have the right to control what I choose to see
Cheri	F.	I'm concerned that I might miss some important news that is posted on a local news channel Facebook platform. I don't watch TV and would like to be able to see that content when going through Facebook
Michael	M.	I'm concerned that I will be limited and not able to find content iam wanting from outside the country when i want to find it.
Lily	E.	I'm concerned that I will no longer have access to alternative media of any kind.
Nikolay	R.	I'm concerned that I will not be able to freely search and choose the content I want and enjoy in favor of whatever the government chooses for me. This isn't Communist China, or Russia, where the population is fed whatever information the government wants you to consume. I should be able to choose exactly what I want to see without manipulation or interference from anyone.
Chris	G.	I'm concerned that I would lose the ability to watch content other than what the federal government deems essential (canadian content), not being able to watch alternative media sources aside from the government funded media would be a detriment to canadian citizens.
Victoria	C.	I'm concerned that independent news won't be equa,lying promoted as legacy media.
Alana	S.	I'm concerned that it will be difficult to access content that I would like to view.
Anne	D.	I'm concerned that more of my freedoms will be taken away. This is supposedly a free country. In Canada we've been free to say, read, watch and believe what we want to. All my life it has been that way. Our laws were founded on Judeo-Christian principles, and that has given us freedom. I think it's pretty straightforward that most, if not all Canadians don't want the government deciding what we can say, do, watch, read, believe, etc. That is what happens in communist countries. I'm filling this

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		out, but I doubt the government or the CRTC are going to pay any attention to what people want.
Chelsi	D.	I'm concerned that much of the content I currently enjoy is going to be cut or websites I enjoy will no longer be accessible.
Tyler	V.	I'm concerned that my content would be regulated and that the major lobbyists are large corporations like Bell and Roger's who would benifit from our tax dollars to make content they are already producing.
Aaron	G.	I'm concerned that my existing algorithms could get messed up because of potential forced content interactions. I'd rather use a VPN to mask my country if it came to that.
Kyle	N.	I'm concerned that my experience on the internet will be made more Canadian when I believe that the internet should be open and unfiltered.
Stephane	G.	I'm concerned that my searches and recommendations will become controlled in a way that I cannot control or is obfuscated in some way. This legislation is ripe for abuse, very poorly considered.
		I'm concerned that my wishes will be ignored when it comes to what I want to consume. What I follow, what I watch, is not because of where its produced. I watch shows because of the themes, story, cast, etc. My subscriptions and feed on Youtube is based on my interests, not on the fact that they were created by people in my province or country. When I want to find things relevant to Canada, I search for that specifically. Otherwise, if its Canadian and it matches my interests, it'll get consumed, otherwise it won't. I do not need the government to impose regulations on platforms to force them to suggest content solely based on origin in order to meet arbitrary metrics. This will reduce the quality and relevance of the content in my feeds. And by reduce, I specifically mean, its not what I'm asking for. Canadian's aren't inept, and are capable of competing with content produced elsewhere. Canada is already more affordable to produce content in than the majority of locations in the US. If we want Canadian content to be consumed, produce good content Its quite simple. Don't force mediocre content to show up above user's preferred content just for the sake of meeting quotas that are made to artificially sustain Canadian content. If the content cannot survive on its own merits, then too bad. The only exception I'd take to this is news. News should not be influenced by where the money is from but from what is actually happening and reported in a neutral way. News shouldn't be crafted to be captivating
Peter	C.	reported in a neutral way. News shouldn't be crafted to be captivating and clickbait, therefore it won't always generate the same revenues

		other entertainment content gets. For this, I understand funding (not controlling) a local news agency, so that its not as likely to air entertainment news just to get views. Not quite sure how else to put it, but please butt out of my personally curated content.
Matthew	M.	I'm concerned that my YouTube feeds will start containing content that I have no interest in. For the most part, my interests are fairly niche and I watch videos based on the type and quality of the content rather than the nationality of the creator. If I'm not interested in a video, I'll just scroll past it, as will many other people, which teaches the YouTube algorithm that people don't want to see that video. Over time, these Canadian creators will end up being suggested to fewer international viewers because of this, which will only make it harder for them.
Crystal	I.	I'm concerned that news will be excluded from social media platforms. I do not want free speech to be oppressed particularly that regarding political parties, our government's decisions, changes to legislation and debate about that, critisism of our government and political parties, what individual users post regarding government, corporations etc. Debate about the WEF etc. I want to be able to choose based on my interests not a government group what I want to watch and see. I don't want Tax payer money going to support one news outlet being promoted online.
Lionel	L.	I'm concerned that only ideologically content congruent with the ruling Canadian political party will be pushed while any dissenting opinions will be suppressed and demonized.
Aaron	P.	I'm concerned that the bill won't actually achieve its desired result. If the bill is requiring sites/services to produce a minimum percentage of cancon there are 2 ways to achieve that; either by producing enough cancon to meet quotas enough to compete with non-Canadian content by percentage, or by restricting the amount of non-Canadian content available to be viewed by Canadians to ensure the quota is met leading to a form of functional censorship. How and what would be cut would at best be arbitrary and at worst controlled by a governing body restricting what Canadians can view.
John	C.	I'm concerned that the content I choose to view will no longer be available and nor will I have the ability to comment and interact with the content creators of my liking . Get out of the business of censoring anything . It has never gone well for anybody when government gets involved .

Christoph er	C.	and that those decisions will be influenced through politics. Therefore we will be exposed to content the CRTC and Government want us exposed to, not content we would have otherwise been exposed to based on the platform's usual algorithm which is typically personalized to the individual.  I'm concerned that the focus on promoting Canadian content will remove content I regularly consume. Even when following specific users, their content does not end up on my focused viewing and this would create a larger gap between my viewing and Canadian content. Canadian content
Gord	B.	shouldn't have any higher promotion in any field unless they pay to promote themselves.
Peter	M.	I'm concerned that the government wants to control what I see, read and, if i choose, to post online. Stay the heck out of my life. Government does not often do anything correctly. Most of the time whatever they touch turns to S&%#!
David	G.	I'm concerned that the government will exert influence over the content I consume. I'd prefer that private corporations who I willingly consent to curating such content via algorithms do so.
Jim	S.	I'm concerned that the government, through the CRTC, will start to censor information that is currently available just because the gov't disagrees with what is being said. It is not the gov'ts, nor CRTC's, realm of responsibility to curtail information that they do not find politically correct. If people wish to critisise the gov't online, then that's their right and their views should not be censored. The same goes for news organisations that may not be favourable towards the gov't, they should not be censored or punished for doing so. Non-Canadian online sites that provide entertainment, such as movies, should not be regulated by the Canadian content formula; or at the very least a minimum amount of Canadian content required. News should not be censored from any

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		source unless it's from a source that is encouraging civil disobedience or other illegal activities, as determined by Canadian law.
Diane	V.	I'm concerned that the public is not being provided all the information we need in order to come to a thoughtful opinion on this matter. For this reason, I found it difficult to provide an answer to question number two for which I would be comfortable with. Without the full spectrum of what C-11 implications will be to our ability to remain individuals quite capable of making our own decisions, these public 'consultations' seem rather manipulative than they are transparent and informative. The impression was given that we the people could freely surf the web in search of our interests or use it as a tool for communication, etc. I'm concerned that our freedoms are quickly being removed under C-11 while making it appear as if it is to protect society as a whole.
Jeffrey	M.	I'm concerned that the regulation of content will narrow the available perspectives portrayed in media to certain narratives. If we limit exposure to diverse sources, we become less inclusive of those with differing views. I think it is better to make the source clearly visible, i.e. made in Canada labels, than to filter content
Terance	L.	I'm concerned that the regulation will be corrupt, in that anything posted in opposition to the Liberal government will be buried and/or completely censored. After all, that is the sole intention of this bill.
Leneath	M.	I'm concerned that the use of a bill with the intention of promoting content could be misused and that creators will gain views through hard work and quality naturally the government will do less harm by focusing on finances, Healthcare, and letting people choose what they would like to see on the internet.
Bradley	L.	I'm concerned that this bill will actually make it harder for Canadian content to be come world wide content.
Tracy	W.	I'm concerned that this bill will take away my voice. Everyone wants to be heard. And everyone wants the right to choose what they see, hear and watch. The people trying to control the online platforms have an agenda that not all of us want to be a part of.
Stephen	О.	I'm concerned that this was will lead to defacto censorship of content that is independently produced, especially content that is coming from sources that are demonetized or otherwise non-profit
Laura	G.	I'm concerned that this will become to parental and over reaching. I do see the need to have a regulatory body. I'm concerned that I see a

	- II GII	nework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		varied difference between what's expressed in Canada VS the openness of other countries and areas in the world. I worried it will become boring, too over ruled and suppressive.
Adrian	Н.	I'm concerned that under bill C-11 the government will have even more influence in my day to day life in general.
Jade	S.	I'm concerned that we will not be able to access news and information that the government doesn't approve of such as what's happening in other countries. Free speech will be dead. Already we are censored almost to the point of strangulation as far as speaking out about things we disagree with that the government is doing, especially if we say negative things about Justin Trudeau. Canada is rapidly becoming no longer free and democratic and censoring the internet is one more nail in the coffin.
Jennie	R.	I'm concerned that we will only see liberal propoganda. I want to be able to see multiple news sources and multiple opinions. Especially from sources that do not have government funding. I want news from all over the world. I want to see what other countries say about Canada and what Canada is doing on the world stage from their opinion not cbcs I do not give much credence to government paid for news and media.
Randy	L.	I'm concerned that websites and information that I regularly access online will be censored or blocked. There is no need for the CRTC to be regulating the internet and creating an online nanny state environment for adult Canadians. There are already software programs and browser settings available to parents in order to keep inappropriate content away from their children. If content is highly immoral and criminal in nature then it should be investigated to determine if such content needs to be removed from the internet: otherwise, the CRTC has no business in regulating diverse online content containing a wide range of opinions, journalism, artistic productions, personal expressions, factual analysis, et cetera from all over the world. The internet was developed to be a free and open platform to share ideas and information and that's the way the internet must remain.
Seann	G.	I'm concerned that what I want won't end up mattering in the face of what my Government wants, and that I won't have any options for opting out of things that I don't want or feel aren't relevant or interesting to me because my Government wants me to see these things.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Ryan	C.	I'm concerned that with the government controlling the media we won't get stories about shady deals and corruption about our government. They will have no one to answer to and we will be none the wiser because the government will just make it dissappear. I already don't trust our government. Now its clear they are hiding things from us.
Stuart	C.	I'm concerned the content I see will be influenced by what others think I should be seeing rather than what I'm actually interested in.
Phil	W.	I'm concerned the government would try to ensure compliance to it's agenda by promoting it's own version of misinformation through controlling and restricting our access to information.
Tyler	C.	I'm concerned the suppression of naturally created trends and suppression of peoples content will take place under the Canadian Government. No governing body should be able to dictate what its people or consumers see/do on its platforms.
Thomas	A.	I'm concerned there will not be equal opportunities for all broadcasters. I believe this could reduce the quantity and/or quality of Canadian made content. Content I may want to view seems like it won't be accessible as easily or at all. I'm worried some may qualify for funding and not be able to produce.
Laura	F.	I'm concerned this will just be another face of censorship.
Kagan	M.	I'm concerned truthful media may be shadowed by hidden corruption. Censoring voices has the potential to allow crimes to be committed without fear. Many agents have been speaking the truth for decades, which may be deemed a crazy conspiracy, yet it's been easily directed away from the public. How do we allow the truth to come through now? It's my discernment to decide what I believe or not. And who decides what's factual? At one point, the world said the Earth was flat. That percentage is much less now. What if censorship stopped us from saying the Earth is a globe? I hope the person making these choices for us is an all-knowing intelligence.
Jennifer	C.	I'm concerned with censorship and government over reach. I believe this bill is just another way for the government to control canadians, what they see, watch and know. The CRTC should not have these powers, which can be abused.
Jonathan	G.	I'm concerned with government censorship tools being built with good intentions, then used badly.

	man	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Dan	Н.	I'm concerned with government overreach. Canadian mainstream media has already proven to be extremely politically biased and untruthful. This Bill seems to be increasing the current problems.
Benjamin	G.	I'm deeply concerned about government having the ability to choose what content gets promoted or demoted on the Canadian media landscape. This is a completely unnecessary power that has minimal benefit and many horrifying possibilities down the line.
Nathan	K.	I'm deeply concerned by the possiblity of being fed content which only supports a given agenda/narrative. I believe we must do everything in our power to maintain and promote freedom of speech regardless of what country it may originate from.
Herb	G.	I'm extremely concerned about government deciding what I can and can't view on-line. That's antithetical to a free society!
Nathan	D.	I'm extremely concerned about government overreach, if not immediately, then over time. CanCon rules are a blunt instrument made for a previous century when television and radio ruled. Today is different, and the internet has democratized entertainment. Bill C-11 needs to be thrown out.
Sally	Н.	I'm extremely concerned about Trudeau's nefarious dictatorship rule over Canadians and his attempt to spy on and control Canadians any way he can.
Ward	W.	I'm extremely concerned that Trudeau and the liberals are lying and will continue to discredit anything other than the media groups that he has bought and paid for. he is a Fascist and and a wanna be dictator. we need to have freedom of speech
Preston	M.	I'm going to start by suggesting a naïve position: I assume the intention of any legislation or interference is for the betterment of the people. That said, I feel this initiative is misdirected. Is the problem that Canadians MUST watch content created by other Canadiens? To what purpose? To control what we know? I'd suggest that making Canadian content World-Class is the actual goal, so the solution(s) will be found searching that pathway. If it's important (and I'm not sure that it is, when compared to all the other actual important issues), then funding and special provisions need to be available for the production of quality content. If it's good, people will consume it. Forcing limited options is dictatorial. It's clear that politics and technology don't mix. The absolute misunderstanding of the medium is going to be the end of us. When and

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		how did government decide it's ok to tell me what I can and cannot watch? It's not necessary to have the hands of the government in everything. Is there something you don't want me to see? As for individuals, unless the content is illegal, it's still no business of the government. I'm not naïve. I know it's about control and taxes.
Steve	K.	I'm hoping it can help stop fake news and misinformation. I see so many shared links to 'news' stories that aren't really news outlets at all. It's horrible and people are falling for it.
Bettina	S.	I'm just in general concerned about what the hell will be considered Canadian Content. Who decides that and what are the criteria. It all seems a ,lot of burocracy that will either bee too lenient or too strict and inevitable will not make sense.
Marcy	S.	I'm most concerned that politicians are going to tell us what we are allowed to say or not say based on total misinformation campaigns they have been running since the start of vivid. They are trampling on far too many of our freedoms and most especially Freedom of speech.
Alexandr e	V.	I'm most worried about Canadian creators getting less worldwide views, and Canadian viewers getting less worldwide videos
Adrian	D.	I'm mostly concerned about censorship. The government and CRTC should not be allowed to censor any content. Is not government's job to decide what people can watch. They can decide for themselves!
Jason	K.	I'm mostly concerned with losing any additional non- Canadian content and international content. All content should be available to Canadians. We should have the right to chose for ourselves.
Ivan	G.	I'm not a child. I don't need the government to decide what I can and can't watch, under the flimsy pretense of CanCon. The CRTC needs its mandate massively overhauled since the widespread adoption of the internet and streaming platforms.
Shannon	В.	I'm not going to see the Canadian content I want to see because it won't be the kind of content the government thinks is Canadian.
Jo-Ann	R.	I'm not in favor of anything 'mandatory.' I'd like to think that most of us have brains enough to figure out what we want for ourselves. But perhaps that is a fool's dream.
Glenda	M.	I'm not interested in Canadian content. I find it boring. I don't want to have it forced onto me. I find this offensive to my free will.

		iework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Bruce	M.	I'm not to worried about my Canadian content but I would be concerned if could not access foreign content and that immigrants should not have content from their homelands blocked. They need to have their culture respected just as we want ours respected.
Terry	T.	I'm old enough to make MY OWN DECISIONS as to what I see . This is supposed to be a democracy not regulated like China , Russia and Canada already does its share of controlling the news we see . Pathetic
Steve	S.	I'm opposed to propaganda
Andrei	C.	I'm perfectly capable of choosing my own content. If I wanna watch Canadian content, I search for it and watch it. Easy! And then, after that, similar content would probably start popping up on my feed. I don't want something I have zero interest in taking crucial real estate from something that I'm quite interested in on my phone or TV screen.
CHARLE S	M.	I'm sick and tired of what I do being someone else's business. We already live in a world where privacy is not possible. Did the CRTC forget to ask themselves if they even have the right to alter what someone else see's ? back off.
Nancy	E.	I'm super concerned about the disappearance of Canadian media on online platforms.
Jose	A.	I'm uncomfortable with the government regulating public content.
Jens	W.	I'm very concerned about censorship in being unable to discuss any views or ideas contrary to the liberal / WEF agenda. The German socialists used censorship, the soviet socialists used censorship, the chinese govt uses censorship That is not the kind of country Canada should ever become. If you give these kind of people an inch they will take everything.
Andrea	Н.	I'm very concerned that C-11 will shut Canadians and Canadian creators out from the world. I'm concerned that I will be less visible and less informed.
Bruno	E.	I'm very concerned that I won't be able to view the content that is critical of the Canadian government and especially our Prime Minister, whose name I don't even want expressed by my mouth.
Gillian	G.	I'm very concerned that my feeds are going to hide content that I'd normally like to access and favour Canadian content. I'd be far more

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		supportive of a dedicated section featuring Canadian content or extra funding for Canadian creators.
Annalise	M.	I'm very concerned that our government can have such a high level of control over what we are allowed to see. Government has proven again and again that they cannot be trusted, and having such a heavy handed big brother approach to censorship is extremely worrying. It's too easy for them to control their population through misinformation. And willfully blocking other viewpoints and forcing Canadian content is not the way to go about it. Why don't they simply create programs to support and nurture Canadian content creators, rather than forcing it upon us like a dictator would.
Norm	G.	I'm very much concerned about the government controlling what I see online. As a rational adult I should be able to see what I want not what the government wants!
Jess	M.	I'm worried about having less choice in what I watch and especially about hurting small content creators. I'm worried about ill-conceived algorithms controlling what I see online.
Christian	M.	I'm worried about having my searches modified, restricted, or forced to display things that I don't want which will make it more difficult to access the content that I'm interested in finding.
Jason	B.	I'm worried I as a Canadian will be cut off from niche or large services from global markets due to their lack of Canadian content. If Canada needs more content, build or support a specific platform with government aid and promote it there. Never dictate what other companies must do, or companies will boycott the meager Canadian market and consumers will lose out. I that hypothetical world the only way to experience the fullest culture outside our own will be by VPN like the people and population in China are forced to live with.
Travor		I'm worried I will be blocked from, and suppressed from viewing the content I regularly enjoy regardless of where they are from. I'm worried there will be an attempt to tailor the Canadian media consumers feed to completely Canadian made and funded media. The beauty of the internet is it is so multi diverse and international. I enjoy the internet because I can watch or learn any number of things from media from around the world, and my concern is Bill C-11 will tamp down or silence those voices in favor of Canada selected predetermined and approved content.
Trevor	L.	CONTENT.

		long as CanCon could be added without taking away other content, that's cool. Another big worry is that only content creators with deep pockets will be able to produce content that is sufficiently Canadian to make the list and get promoted. Bell, Telus, and Rogers have consistently shown that they will use their monopoly to suppress any
		long as CanCon could be added without taking away other content, that's cool. Another big worry is that only content creators with deep pockets will be able to produce content that is sufficiently Canadian to
		I'm worried that out-of-Canada content would be completely expunged in favour of Canadian content. If Canadian content was placed and made more visible on my feeds by using a switch or toggle, that would be cool. And a Made In Canada tab for me to go looking for it sounds cool too. As
Logan	B.	
		worried about how the bill could escalate to the point where non-Canadian content is not allowed or harder to distribute in the country. I personally wouldn't mind if Canadian creators received extra
		I'm worried that my feed will be loaded with annoying, low-quality Cancon content instead of the content that I want to watch, and I'm
Eric	B.	I'm worried that content that I enjoy will become invisible.
Paul	J.	I'm worried that C-11 will reduce the quality of search results due to favouring things I am not searching for simply because they are Canadian. If I want Canadian content I will search for Canadian content, do not force it on me when I do not search for it!
David	K.	I'm worried my experience on online platforms will degrade.
Phillip	D.	I'm worried I will have to spend more time sifting through content I don't want to find the content I do.

Aaron	F.	I'm worried that the government is eventually hoping to control criticism of itself on the Internet and that that is their true ultimate goal. The coming Online Harms Bill is pie-in-the-sky craziness, allowing for removal of anything that hurts someone's feelings. Yes, that's an admitted exaggeration but I fear that's the real purpose. The Internet is NOT the same as broadcasting. The public airwaves are limited spectrum. The web is limitless. This is totally unnecessary and I will continue to watch what I want, regardless of what they do. (Thank God for VPNs.) Just like the CRTC (and the federal government) could not tell NBC to produce more CanCon if it wants to be seen in Canada, the Liberals should not be allowed to dictate what foreign companies must produce simply because they're serving Canadians online. They're forcing U.S. streamers to make or invest in shows there's no guarantee anyone will ever watch. It's an utter waste of money and should never be allowed to proceed. (Canadians already barely watch the CBC. Making more of this programming at great expense that won't be seen is absolute madness.) And the fact they adamantly refuse to take out the user generated clauses in C-11, despite insisting they won't go after them (at least at the beginning!) amid the endless warnings and pleas from dozens and dozens of experts, speaks volumes regarding what this is really all about. C-11 makes me ashamed to be Canadian. Control over what I see and watch or am being offered is the kind of thing I'd expect in Russia or China. The fact they're doing it here is simply frightening and I will do everything in my power to get past these rules. Shame on them all.
Anne	В.	I'm worried that we will not be able to get information or content we are currently using/experiencing. I'm also worried that we will be put in a little bubble of Canadian manufactured news so that we are unaware of what the rest of the world is doing/thinking.
Karla	В.	I'm worried the Canadian content producers I do enjoy will be buried in search results because the content they cover isn't deemed Canadian enough. 80 percent of the YouTube creators I watch are coincidentally Canadian. It's hard making a livelihood on the Internet, why punish our fellow countrymen?
Alex	J.	I've already seen changes. 70% of ads online are about Montreal, Ontario, French-Canadian fiber supplements, French-Canadian toenail fungus treatment, and Canadian branded health products. Sometimes 4 ads In a row will be the exact same. It's so annoying that I rage quit when I'm trying to watch YouTube, Netflix or crunchyroll. I don't get any news from foreign countries anymore, I bet they don't get news about

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		how we're being repressed, either. In short: Canada is under seige by its own government and I hate it. Kill it with fire. C-11 is a dumpster fire.
Derek	S.	I've been online since the web was text based only. I remember when there were no 'borders' and I discovered more that way than through algorithmicly curated feeds which dominate our lives today.
Kirk	W.	I've spent a long time curating my feeds and tailoring my recommended suggestions on platforms like YouTube, and I do not like the idea that a video I might really enjoy could be downrated in favour of something the Canadian Government thinks I should watch, as one example.
Amanda	B.	I'd like the algorithms to remain the way they are. If I want to watch Canadian content I'll do so on my own. I don't need an algorithm to tell me what I should watch. I don't want to have to search hard to find something I like.
Erin	F.	I'm afraid that C-11 will cause poor content to be promoted rather than allowing content around the world. Also, the content could easily be only what the government allows, quickly becoming a propaganda arm. How is this any different than what the Chinese Communist Party is doing by preventing any content they don't like?
Barry	F.	I'm already noticing sensorship and targeting and this is very displeasing.
Sergey	M.	I'm Canadian, but as many Canadians I'm an immigrant. I want to preserve my right to see the news and other content from all of the world, unfiltered. Enforcing online platforms to increase the share of Canadian content will force them to remove some non Canadian content, limiting my choice. This would violate my right to choose what to watch and read, severely damage freedom of speech.
Octavio	D.	I'm concerned about everything associated with censoring,Ķ The government has no place in censoring content to Canadian consumers want to see. I am concerned that the reason the CRTC wants to control content is to manipulate the minds of Canadian residents to believe thatThe government is doing a great job, when it's not.
Bridget	F.	I'm concerned about government control over certain freedoms and the freedom of all information is crucial for me.
Alicia	B.	I'm concerned about how this might affect smaller platforms, similar to Facebook and YouTube, Twitter, Instagram, etc.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Calvin	B.	I'm concerned about my shows being marked as Canadian content.
Merlot	D.	I'm concerned about the amount of surveilling across platforms.
Slade	S.	I'm concerned at any bill that pushes any agenda of any kind, this bill is overly concerning because anything that doesn't match the opinion of the Canadian government will not be seen or be banned and that's pushing a one sided agenda. It's simply a way to stop Canadians from forming their own opinions which isn't right
Logan	L.	I'm concerned companies such as large government-funded media organizations sharing the same message or agenda flooding creators on sites like YouTube, creators who tend to take an unbiased and educational approach to every situation they cover. It's already a problem when using the search bar on YouTube as it's all you will get for the first 2-3, some times 4 or 5 pages will be government funded media which should probably be avoided 9/10 times.
Ashley	K.	I'm concerned I might not see enough world news. As well as indigenous local and world news.
Susana	E.	I'm concerned I won't be able to find the content that truly interest me because it will buried under the Canadian content that not necessarily interest me. I'm also worried that the content I see will be biased
Jeffrey	D.	I'm concerned I wouldn't be able to see content from elsewhere. I do not care if it is Canadian content or not. I care if the content is good and if Canada starts limiting outside content that would be absolutely ridiculous. There are much better things that could be done besides trying to ruin the internet for Canadians. Be better than this. It's embarrassing.
Tanya	B.	I'm concerned I'll be limited to only approved content which may be biased and used to spread propaganda.
Tracie	S.	I'm concerned I'll only be able to see propaganda. I want to be able to investigate both sides of any issue, and not just the opinion of the government. I am an adult and I don't need anyone to tell me what I should think about anything.
Brittany	I.	I'm concerned over the fact that they are doing bill c -11 what about future generations? Will it affect them like it is affecting us?
Melissa	M.	I'm concerned that bill C-11 will interfere with my organic experience on my social media platform. I want to make that choice myself to what

		online content I want to digest and altering that process can lead down a dangerous road of an "authoritarian government approved content" online experience.
Samanth a	D.	I'm concerned that even as a Canadian, my content would be restricted by the government to what only they want the public to see, not the actual opinions of the majority!
Scott	P.	I'm concerned that government may use this legislation to essentially censor or edit the media we see. I'm worried that this can be used to influence Canadians' perceptions of the world around us. Instead of trying to control the narrative, our government should be looking at having easier and more visible access to Canadian content for those that want it without forcing it upon those that don't.
Rhoda	S.	I'm concerned that I will no longer see Canadian news online, as the big companies (Meta, Youtube, etc.) have said that they will be blocking Canadian News companies due to new Canadian taxation laws.  Because of that, I will no longer share Canadian news on my Facebook page.
Danica	K.	I'm concerned that I will not be exposed to a free market of ideas and expression if regulations manipulate what I can see or not. Diversity of thought, opinion and perspective is one of the most valuable traits we have in human culture. It allows us to see more than we would have by ourselves alone. This is critical to having a compassionate, productive, efficient society. I'm concerned that diversity will be censored in favour of whatever the regulators deem permissible thoughts.
Helen	W.	I'm concerned that I will not get to read the news as I usually do, concerned that groups would be blocked and we cannot share. In other words take control of what we can or cannot read, what we can or cannot say. Take away our freedom of speech.
Janet	B.	I'm concerned that I won't be able to search for and find the content I want to find news wise. With mainstream media in Canada being anything BUT objective. I need to be able to find balance in what I'm reading. I'm also concerned I won't be able to watch what I want to entertainment wise, as much of what I want to see isn't Canadian content. Sure Canada can and should be able to contribute more, however the general Public should be able to choose to watch other content not made in Canada if they so choose.

		line appearance of the state of
Felicitas	R.	I'm concerned that I won't have access to my favourite creators and likewise, people outside Canada won't have the same access to my content. I love seeing what people around the world are doing - I love experiencing different perspectives on things. I do NOT want the government curating what I am able to see. I'm an intelligent and discerning adult and I would strongly resent politicians dictating who or what I may have access to simply because I live in Canada.
Bob	N.	I'm concerned that it will be no news or anything from rest of world when the liberals are finished
Alicia	B.	I'm concerned that it will go from choosing content based on merit and ratings to pushing through lower quality content just because it's classified as 'ÄòCanadian'
Walker	J.	I'm concerned that major platforms (Meta, ABC) will block Canadian content or exit Canadian markets making it harder to promote my small business.
Luke	d.	I'm concerned that much of the services I use now, whether video content or otherwise, whether paid or free, will simply choose to geoblock Canada rather than comply with onerous and excessive new CRTC regulations. I'm concerned that educational content will be taken away from Canadians, especially educational content that helps me maintain my skills and career, due to not being "Canadian enough". An extreme example is a source code repository like GitHub being regulated because some bureaucrat considers it to be a "broadcast undertaking" under specious criteria. Among this insidious regulations is the requirement to promote "cancon" globally and to send user data to the CRTC: a gross violation of privacy.
Neisha	A.	I'm concerned that my choices will be limited.
Jo-Ann	L.	I'm concerned that my choices will be limited. Incomplete news listings to choose from on various sites. Make Cdn. Content better, maybe I'd watch it.
Joshua	R.	I'm concerned that my feed will be filled with Canadian that's only being promoted because it has to be, not because it's good. I think it's funny how the world is trying to unify but we're busy making laws about "protecting Canadian culture."
Jacquelin e	R.	I'm concerned that only Trudeau approved content will only be allowed. That independent media will be blocked or pushed out.

	li dii	I'm concerned that our hard earned tax dollars are paying for this propagandist, tyrannical nonsense. I turned my television off 3 years
Glenna	L.	ago. I only trust alternative news. This Bill is totally communist and our Government needs to face Nuremberg 2.0. Never in our history have we seen this tyranny.
Cheryl	R.	I'm concerned that the current content I access will be no longer available to me. News on any platform should be available to all users.
Allan	T.	I'm concerned that the government will have control over what can be found online and bend the truth to benefit whichever political party is in charge.
Wayne	P.	I'm concerned that the internet will be censored by CRTC. There should be NO government censorship!!!
James	U.	I'm concerned that there will be a favoritism towards content produced by Liberal leaning media over conservative media.
Michael	В.	I'm concerned that under C-11 the Government is trying to take control of what we see and hear and pump us full of propaganda, limiting differing opinions and turning us into a communist country.
Amy	W.	I'm concerned that vital information from around the world will be hidden from Canadians. I'm also concerned with the level of lies and propaganda pushed on the public from agencies we're supposed to trust. We should all be free to choose what content to consume without interference from any government agency or other entity. We should all be free to fact check and gather information from any source we choose.
Monique	G.	I'm concerned that we will end up with a censorship level that is akin to China, Russia and North Korea. I'm concerned that we will be fed propaganda that conditions people to think act and behave as carbon copies of the rhetoric being force fed to them
Lucia	P.	I'm concerned that will change my online experience completely.  Everything about bill C-11 is concerning.
Lori	D.	I'm concerned the CRTC will be filtering what I can see online. I'd like to be my own filter and be able to see a wide range of opinions and research and not have my government or organization deciding what I can and cannot research and think. This reminds me of communism and I'm concerned that we are stepping into that controlled state with this legislation.

Marlyn	M.	I'm concerned the government will restrict content based on political gains. Freedom is a basic right in Canada which should carry forward to content, Canadian or otherwise.
Heather	L.	I'm concerned we are living in a fascist country.
Brendan	C.	I'm concerned with freedom of speech, and silencing those that do not have state sanction support, I want a free and equal platform for all to speak and be heard regardless of content it is an important tool for democracy and the future of America.
Cole	В.	I'm concerned with government involvement in any censorship,Äîparticularly when it comes to newsworthy information and art.
Phil	L.	I'm concerned with having my searches skewed when looking for something particular and having so called CanCon pushed on me rather than a general search for any related items. Considering how CanCon is determined it has the possibility to reduce the actual Canadian content in my searches.
Myk	B.	I'm extremely concerned about the potential to censor and manipulate what is available for streaming.
Anna	M.	I'm extremely concerned with the federal government's meddling in the rights of Canadians. The government does not have a right to control or censor what we see or search for. They are grossly overstepping and should stay out of our business. Time for the federal government to remember who they work for!
Dian	B.	I'm just tired of having my thinking done for me. Yes, Canadian content is important. We need good Canadian content but good content overall. No more Big Brother,, is all.
Joshua	B.	I'm mostly concerned about free speech implications. This bill has the potential to kill free speech if not properly implemented.
Rob	M.	I'm not a content creator, but I fear for the many Canadian creators that have freely chosen this path for a career. I fear that our view from the rest of the world will be limited as well. It's no secret the western world is in great turbulence right now and that C-11 seems one step closer to censorship in this country. There is no need for C-11 as content created and anything broadcasted was just fine. This is censorship in the making.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Daniel	B.	I'm not actually concerned . In the big scheme of things, social media is still very young and why would it not be regulated the same as other media,Ķ. Especially with the onslaught of Mis/disinformation and clever influencing soundbytes or memes.
Dorothy	F.	I'm not in favour of the Canadian government controlling my streaming platforms. I though I lived in a democratic country. The government should not be controlling what I see or read.
Brian	S.	I'm perfectly happy with an open borders Web and I'm a content creator
Dave	C.	I'm sick and tired of state narratives we are being told how to think and what to believe. I'm tired of being labeled and belittled for having opinions that don't align with the official lies we are being force fed!
Peter	F.	I'm tired of government telling us what we can and can't see. They have proven to be an UNRELIABLE source of information. Their bias makes them unfit to be the arbiter of content.
Phil	Н.	I'm very concerned this is an enormous over step, and a push toward censorship. Which will have to government only push propaganda they agree with. No dissenting opinions will be tolerated and only those channels that parrot government lies will be promoted.
Amanda	E.	I'm very concerned with how they can simply shut down anything that goes against ,Äòa narrative' that we (the people of Canada) have no control on. I should be able to see and share any news, regardless or who it benefits. There are so many infringements happening on our freedom or speech and ability to tap into real news stories. It's completely unreasonable. And rather scary. Let us share our truth. Let others speak their truth. Enough with this micromanaging on OUR lives. I do not want the government to dictate what I can see or do. Enough is enough! We pay for the government. It's time they start acting like it and serve US. Not their own pocket books or creepy political agendas.
Félix	A.	I'm worried about the government censoring content they do not want canadians to see
Brandon	T.	I'm worried I will not be able to be properly informed if the government has the opportunity to pick and choose what I am or am not aloud to view. I personally think it's unacceptable that we even have to go through this. Most people understand that this has nothing to do with the protection of Canadians but more to do with protection of the government.

Kimberly	K.	I'm worried that Canadian citizens will receive a disproportionate amount of Canadian government views and not learn all perspectives of any one event or issue. I'm worried the government will continue to use outlets like CBC as propaganda machines and not inform the people about what's really happening in governments and with our tax dollars.
Michele	M.	I'm worried that I won't see content from my non-Canadian friends in social feeds.
Guillaum e	D.	I'm worried that some of the important content might not be easily accessible. This should never become a tool for propaganda or a mind control tool. Free speech and various content should be prioritized over what is described as security.
Terrence	K.	If a government bias in enforced on recommendations/search, the following should happen: a) the user should be informed that the content is _government_ promoted b) the recommendations company should be paid an advertising fee c) it should be in a separate swimlane or page d) ideally the separate swimlane can be disabled OR one whose position changes according to usage (ie if a user views such content it would be a the top of the page, if they don't it would be at the bottom). Effectively this should be the same as users are used to seeing on search feeds - where content is labelled 'sponsored' (and it is paid to be sponsored).
Benjamin	M.	If any government or non governmental regulators are able to control the flow, focus or freedom of content viewed by ANY adult Canadian they are out of line and out of touch with the core values of Canadians. People of our nation are incredibly diverse and Intelligent. A free open market if information is best for all Canadians. Allow the people not regulators to determine what is valuable and what is Canadian.
Ljubomir	N.	If any regulation is required, Canadian or otherwise, through any broadcasting medium, that regulation should strive to be as fully transparent to the consumer, or end-user, of that content as possible. Along with this transparency, the content consumer should be presented with the maximal option to choose the regulation of their choice (i.e. change the channel) if need. In an ideal situation little to no outside regulation of consumed legal content should take place other then the individuals own tastes or wishes. To error in the contrary introduces the risk however slight that benign external regulation by a third-party if left unchecked may evolve to erode the liberty established between content producers and their consumers.

Sapphire	D.	If Bill C-11 goes through as they want it, it will give the CRTC the power to regulate our posts. WHY would I want that?! No one wants this! The CRTC should never be given the authority to do this nonsense. You think the Freedom Convoy PEACEFUL protests were bad? Just imagine what would happen if the power-hungry Canadian government gets its controlling hands on our personal posts/feeds! Stop trying to manipulate the voices of the Canadian people!
Glen	R.	If Canada Media cannot make it on their own, then they need to die out. No public funding for propaganda of the Trudeau Liberals.And lets be clear Canada's Media is a propaganda outlet for a corrupt government right now. Its disgusting, just like communism.
Alex	M.	If Canadian content is good ,people will watch. You should not legislate the necesity to watch inferior content. Let there be competition.
Bernie	G.	If Canadian content is good, it will survive in the marketplace. If Canadian content is sub-par, it will not flourish and should not be promoted solely on the basis that it is Canadian. I view the CRTC's involvement in the internet to be a waste of tax dollars and a means to the slippery slope of censorship.
Edward	P.	If Canadian Content is of value to me or any other individual we should be free to make our own choices about whether to consume it. I will add that the political drive to promote Canadian Content is misguided, in my opinion. Any content that is of value to consumers will necessarily be accepted and even promoted by many of those same consumers. My primary concern is that regulation under such monstrosities as Bill C-11 are an indirect assault on free speech and expression. In fact, all regulation is a form of preventive law, which assumes that everyone is guilty of something and must therefore be controlled or prevented from doing certain things, or indeed possibly requiring that they do certain things, such as being only able to watch sub-standard programming, for example.
Jacob	P.	If Canadian content is trash, I don't want to see it. There's nothing in here guaranteeing this Canadian content will be any better than what I'm already finding, and I suspect it will likely result in showing me more garbage content I don't care about. I've found Canadian creators organically already, by virtue of their content or business *actually being good*. None of this artificial boosting in feeds seems like a good idea to me.

Sam	T.	If I get less Canadian content, I won't be happy. We need to support our country and what the CRTC is doing ain't okay. Removing Canadian
Ralph	D.	If I am not interested in certain CanCon programs I should be able to not have it come up in my feed, just as I do with with other content that is of no interest to me. While I enjoy a lot of CanCon already, I do not believe that the Federal Government should decide what I can or cannot watch anymore than I believe that they should decide where I am allowed to go on the Internet. Most of the Canadian content that I have enjoyed watching is no longer available unless I go to US feeds to watch reruns that provide the programs! I enjoy programming from CBC, CTV, APTN and the Knowledge Network but there are programs from BBC and ITV and US Stations that also have programs that cater to my tastes than CanCom doesn't have.
Joshua	F.	If I am a Canadian content producer, how do I prove I am Canadian enough to be worthy of promotion? If I am a recent Pakistani immigrant, am I Canadian enough? If I am descended from Irish immigrants, am I Canadian enough? Are Jews and Muslims Canadian enough? Are Christians diverse enough to represent Canadians? If I talk about American movies and play Japanese video games, am I guilty of promoting foreign interests? If I like bowling over hockey, am I a danger to Canadian culture? If I promote the ketogenic diet, am I Canadian enough? If I am a vegan, am I Canadian enough? If I criticize the Canadian government, am I Canadian enough? If I support the Canadian government, am I Canadian enough? How can I become Canadian enough for you? Please tell me! I beg you! I supplant myself at your feet! I humble myself before you, I kneel under your boot! CRTC, grace me with this blessed knowledge only you posses: HOW DO I BECOME CANADIAN ENOUGH?
Michael	M.	If Canadians produced high quality material there would automatically be more Canadian content! It would not have to be forced on us
Thomas	S.	If Canadian creators produce interesting and quality content it will be enjoyed regardless of the laws. C-11 will make it more difficult to search for content relevant to anyone individuals specific searches, internet should never become the equivalent to radio and television. It was not created for such. Same goes for online commercials.
Bryan	F.	If Canadian content was worth looking at people would. Also who wants to see dictator content based on an agenda of Trudeau's?

	IIaII	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		content is about the stupidest thing you can do in Canada, I want to support other Canadians when I can, not any foreign country.
Daryl	В.	If I want Canadian content, then I will go get it. Do not force it upon me. I am an adult and do not need politicians or bureaucrats making any decisions about my internet usage.
Lisa	Н.	if i want to see more Canadian content - all we need to do is to put it in the search engine of whatever site we are on this nonsense and a waste of your time, energy and money!
Rod	M.	If I wanted to watch more Canadian content, then I would have already looked, searched, and subscribed. Quite frankly I find most Canadian content boring, Iame. Same production that brought us Little House on the Prairie, Beachcombers? Regardless of how many more Canadians Tax dollars is getting air dropped to CBC & Affiliates, the people don't trust the news media to do an honest, open, and unbiased job. I prefer to choose my own choices!
Stephen	M.	If I'm paying for my internet/tv the govt should leave what I see up to me and me alone.
Delmer	В.	If I'm doing a research type search and I am mostly directed to Canadian content and not a broad based search.
Ed	K.	If it becomes regulated or controlled by the government, then I'd stop using it. Pure propaganda then
Bob	S.	If legitimate alternative political views [ to mainstream media, like the CBC ], such as True North and Rebel News, are squelched then SCRAP C-11!
Lawrence	M.	If most Can Con was watchable I'd watch it. It is usually of such a highly political and negative nature I don't enjoy it. I do not want to be forced to watch it first or have non Canadian content censored or behind a pay wall. I pay for my access already and seeing as it is Canada, quite a bit more than other citizens in other countries do. I am quite capable of deciding what I like and don't need the nanny state forcing me to be patriotic or contribute to the bottom line of grudge nursing Canadian hacks.
Nanea	M.	If narratives that are not agreed with are taken down it is the end of free speech and therefore democracy in Canada.

		if something is good, regardless where it's from, it will find its way to the
Frederic	M.	top and people will see it. Same thing has been done in Quebec since 1970 by legally protecting French language instead of subsidizing artists and let market dictate who wants to see what.
Bethany	S.	If the content and news we are able to see has to be "Canadian enough" than what qualifies as Canadian? And if the government gets to decide what "Canadian" is, then it's very likely that actual Canadian creators, much like myself, would not qualify as "Canadian enough" because I don't meet the criteria the are looking for (namely outspoken liberal view points, or in other words I don't promote enough social justice and woke ideas the government has decided make us "Canadian"). Further more I fear that if the government gets to regular what Canadian content is, than all we're ever going to see is government propaganda promoting their views, ideas, and opinions which means we lose the ability to hear both sides and decide for ourselves.
Matthew	S.	If the content is quality and good, it shouldn't matter where it comes from ultimately. By this I mean I don't want to see Canadian content just because it's Canadian content. I would only watch it if it was quality and appropriate for whatever it was that I was watching it for. I don't search for content specifically because it's Canadian. I search for it because I want To choose what I see. I also do not believe that any type of social media post should be regulated by any government body it violates free speech and the right to expression. Ultimately, if people are creating quality Contant and they happen to be Canadian, then they will get recognized. However, they should be funded by programs in Canada in order to help them. TLDR; we don't want to see more Canadian Content just because it's Canadian content and it should not have any regulatory power in terms of our social media posts, etc.
David	P.	If the government gains too much control, why bother having the internet, we can just go old school and get our information from other sources, even good old mail.
Lee	W.	If the government promotes a thing, something else is getting pushed down to make space for that. This opportunity cost is tantamount to censorship. We don't need more censorship.
Ronnie	C.	If the Government propose regulating online streaming services, this means lost of many streaming services who would no longer stream within Canada, it could mean more additional cost for Canadian Consumers as streaming services will likely pass on the cost to consumer if they were forced to pay into the Media Fund, it would show

		that Canada would rather regulate more with red tapes than deregulate less with cutting red tapes.
Michael	Н.	If the government spent our hard earned tax dollars here rather than giving it away to every foreign project that comes along
Ben	C.	If the government wants to push their narrative on mainstream and internet, I will just turn it off, and resort to previous forms of advertising. And ignore the internet all together, just like I haven't watched mainstream news in over 3 years. The internet will die if you continue to get in the way of public use and the ability to see information from anywhere in the world. If your business is dying because it is unpopular and considerd propaganda by most of the world's population, then your business does not deserve any funding by tax payers dollars. A dead duck is a dead duck. No amount of money can bring it back. Everyone on the planet knows exactly what is going on and the communism of the wef and there sold out politicians who have betrayed all of their citizens. And are guilty of treason. We will all just tune you monopolizing criminals out. Freedom of speech is written in our constitution. And communism and wef propaganda won't stop the world from knowing what they are doing. It's far past the point of no return for this socialist communist human rights violating treasonous agenda, to be hidden any more. We know that the entire world is in a full out revolution against the evil perpetrators of the great reset. This will be known in history as the great awakening. Meaning we know every one who has any power is corrupt and treasonous.
Jacques	F.	If the main stream media want my support they need to stop censoring the truth and labelling it misinformation. They also need to stop being puppets of the Liberal government. If I want to see Rebel news, I go to their website and other news organisations need to to the same. I am against main stream media getting paid for providing links only. My biggest concern is the CRTC deciding for me what is misinformation; the pandemic as proven the governments cooperated with main stream media to tailor the message to prevent vaccine hesitancy when all the time the pharmaceuticals companies were lieing: their vaccines had not been tested with a placebo, did not prevent getting covid, were not safe and did not prevent transmission. Lies and more Lies. the msm always leave out news that make Trudeau look bad. I have zero interest in watching any of the msm content - they need to go bankrupt and the government should not waste my tax dollars keeping them on life support.

	II GI	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Jon	V.	If they are regulating the internet, it is one small step away from censorship.
Jeffrey	В.	If this bill is serious about promoting Canadian content then please stop making overpriced, tax subsidized content that literally nobody wants to watch. Not a single penny should be used to help canadian creators. As a YT content creator I do not get a single cent from the government which is rhe way it should be for an even playing field.
Mitchell	Y.	If this goes through, the CRTC will decide what our experience on platforms should look like, and it will be up to the platforms to decide how they will manipulate our feeds to get it done. Bill C-11 is NOT for the people. The real motive of the Online Streaming Act is simple; streaming platforms and creators on them are bringing in more and more revenue, and legacy media wants a piece of the pie. I do NOT want this, and no one I know wants this. There will be huge protests if this goes through. Enough with the gov't meddling in our damn lives! Bill C-11 starts from a fundamentally flawed view of the Internet and can't be wholly separated from it. The Internet is simply nothing like a traditional broadcasting platform; we as users have many more options and choices, and heavy-handed government interference doesn't belong.
Gregg	В.	If we had a truely open and free enterprise market for entertainment, the content we could create would make hollywood look tiny. Artists need less regulations and control.
Kyle	G.	If you are gonna let this new law control and regulate our everyday lives then this is wrong. Canadian don't need more CanCon, they don't need to be forced to watch what the Liberal government wants them to what. They deserve to make their own choices on what they want to watch and what they think is in their interests.
Brian	D.	If you don't live in a large centre such as Ottawa, Toronto, and Montreal, etc., then you don't have up-to-date news and weather during most long weekends and other Holidays. I have to rely on sources outside of the maritime for important and critical information on those days. Stop reporting political Promises as news. Most of them are made to occur in the future after the next election so that the Polication does not have to worry about them being false promises because they are forgotten about, or they are voted out by the next person or party which is elected.

Mike	S.	If you limit or exclude foreign content, especially news and opinion, and only allow or promote Canadian predominantly government funded or assisted providers, the results could lead to biased propaganda that the Canadian government want to promote. This is not limited to the current governing party but, any party that forms the next or subsequent government. Limited or no access to differing view points is a dangerous path for any country to take, especially one that has a history of free and open debate with democratic rule.
Margaret	G.	If you put in more Canadian content I would find alternate entertainment. There seems to be only one opinion in all your so called Canadian content, very biased. I would think being a Canadian media outlet you would like to report the TRUTH but unfortunately that doesn't seem to be the way.
Luke	M.	If you try to force non-Canadian service providers to follow CANCON rules then you will help them decide that at 40 million people we are to small of a market to try to court. This will decrease the choice of veiwing for people. This will increase Piracy of movies, events, TV shows and sports.
James	W.	If you want Canadians to watch Canadian made television, make better material
Keith	M.	If you want to know who controls you, look at who you are not allowed to criticize Voltaire So long as the people do not care to exercise their freedom, those who wish to tyrannize will do so; for tyrants are active and ardent, and will devote themselves in the name of any number of gods, religious and otherwise, to put shackles upon sleeping men Voltaire If all mankind minus one were of one opinion, mankind would be no more justified in silencing that one person than he, if he had the power, would be justified in silencing mankind John Stuart Mill
Andreas	Н.	If, and when, I want Canadian content, I'll look for and find it. I don't need big brothers suggestions.
Daniel	P.	Il est ESSENTIEL que dans C-11 une v√©ritable et SOLIDE protections qui couvrent la ¬′ d√©couvrabilit√© ¬ª des contenus recherch√© par les citoyens soit AU SOMMET des pr√©occupations de ce projet de loi., de sorte que le CRTC NE PUISSE PAS manipuler nos flux, contr√¥ler le contenu que nous consommons et d√©classer le contenu que nous aimons en faveur de ¬′ CanCon ¬ª estampill√© par le gouvernement. Je m'exprime au nom de dizaines de milliers de canadiens. Cette requ√™te

		est aussi représentative des préoccupations de la grande majorité de non concitoyens. D. Parent Longueuil, Can.
Nathaniel	A.	Im afraid this is opening doors for censorship and will cause us to lose what litle voice we have left in canada. Medias should not be forced to produce canadian content. I think if the government wants more canadian content they should incentives and pay more canadian and foreign companys to do so And make it so they want to make the content because forced content will always be shitty content. this is not the way to go about it. I always thought it was the countrys job to pay for there propaganda not only in a bad way but just in general
Chris	Z.	Im already seeing platforms like Facebook remove the news feature thanks to this new bill. Nevermind that this Bill is being rammed through by the same government that used propaganda, lies, intimidation, blackmail and outright thuggery to promote the Covid-19 narrative. Remember when they told you that it was misinformation when talk began that it came from a lab? Remember when they told you that it was misinformation that the vaccine didn't work? Remember when they told you that it was misinformation that the vaccine doesn't have adverse side effects? Remember when they told you that mask worked? Remember when they told you that global warming was going to melt all the glaciers and that polar bears would be swimming? Remember when they told you about babies being tossed out of incubators to justify an Iraq war? Remember when they told you that there were WMDs? These are just some of the lies that our government has either promoted, started or been engaged in at some point of time in order to manipulate and persaude the public to do its bidding. Do you really think it's a good idea to let them have more control when they are not only liars but actively involved in a global soft kill program to depopulate? Crazy talk if you couldn't add two plus two together and realize that if they believe that cows farting create too much global warming and that cows must be eliminated, then imagine what they think about you.
Don	N.	im concerned about the goverment telling me what i can see ,AS this is the road of goverment control and i want no part of this
Gurkirat	T.	Im concerned that i will have what i see censored and controlled. I want the government to have 0 control over the internet and what we say same with any broadcast organization
Larry	D.	Im very conceared about our press and media to be controlled this to me is like communism we had freedom of press and media that tue way a democratic should work. Our government must have someting to hide

Vincent G. fear not having choice in what I want and/or deserve to see  Immoral and authoritarian people and groups suppressing truth and moral responsibility.  Imparting too much control over what is intended to be a free internet. was created as an unregulated platform whose content should be controlled by the user in their choices. Not a government agency with it own agenda.  In a country that constitutionally enshrines my freedom of choice, I should be able to watch what I want, and not have to weed through suggested content to get to the programs that interest me. I also should not have to explain or defend this, given said freedom.  In a democratic society, it is crucial to have a diversity of opinions and perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on interne content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may		man	iework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
sides of the story, about what's going on around the world and canada fear not having choice in what I want and/or deserve to see  Immoral and authoritarian people and groups suppressing truth and moral responsibility.  Imparting too much control over what is intended to be a free internet. was created as an unregulated platform whose content should be controlled by the user in their choices. Not a government agency with it own agenda.  In a country that constitutionally enshrines my freedom of choice, I should be able to watch what I want, and not have to weed through suggested content to get to the programs that interest me. I also should not have to explain or defend this, given said freedom.  In a democratic society, it is crucial to have a diversity of opinions and perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on interne content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may			knowed by the people for the people who took it away should be
E. moral responsibility.  Imparting too much control over what is intended to be a free internet. was created as an unregulated platform whose content should be controlled by the user in their choices. Not a government agency with it own agenda.  In a country that constitutionally enshrines my freedom of choice, I should be able to watch what I want, and not have to weed through suggested content to get to the programs that interest me. I also should not have to explain or defend this, given said freedom.  In a democratic society, it is crucial to have a diversity of opinions and perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on internet content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may	Vincent	G.	sides of the story, about what's going on around the world and canada. I
was created as an unregulated platform whose content should be controlled by the user in their choices. Not a government agency with a own agenda.  In a country that constitutionally enshrines my freedom of choice, I should be able to watch what I want, and not have to weed through suggested content to get to the programs that interest me. I also shoul not have to explain or defend this, given said freedom.  In a democratic society, it is crucial to have a diversity of opinions and perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on interne content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may	KEN	E.	, , , , ,
should be able to watch what I want, and not have to weed through suggested content to get to the programs that interest me. I also shoul M. In a democratic society, it is crucial to have a diversity of opinions and perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on interne content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may	Rick	M.	controlled by the user in their choices. Not a government agency with its
perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on interne content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may	Jason	M.	should be able to watch what I want, and not have to weed through suggested content to get to the programs that interest me. I also should
information that aligns with a particular ideology, hindering critical thinking and the ability to consider diverse perspectives. It is important for any government seeking to regulate internet content to strike a balance between protecting citizens from harmful or illegal material an preserving the principles of free expression and open access to information. Transparency, accountability, and public participation in the development and implementation of such regulations are essential to	Larry	K.	perspectives, allowing citizens to engage in open dialogue and make informed decisions. When governments impose regulations on internet content, there is a risk that certain viewpoints or ideas may be suppressed or marginalized, limiting the range of information available to individuals. Moreover, the subjective nature of ideological agendas introduces further concerns. If the Canadian government were to filter internet content based on a specific ideological lens, it could potentially exclude alternative or dissenting viewpoints. This kind of filtration may create an echo chamber effect, where individuals are only exposed to information that aligns with a particular ideology, hindering critical thinking and the ability to consider diverse perspectives. It is important for any government seeking to regulate internet content to strike a balance between protecting citizens from harmful or illegal material and preserving the principles of free expression and open access to information. Transparency, accountability, and public participation in the development and implementation of such regulations are essential to safeguard against potential abuses of power and to ensure that diverse
Paul  In a free and democratic society, the individual, not the state, should decide what, if any, content, news or other media they wish to see. The state has no place in deciding, filtering, limiting or censoring what the	Paul	J.	decide what, if any, content, news or other media they wish to see. The

		individual wishes to see. This, I believe, is a fundamental right of Canadians and all free peoples.
Marcello	D.	In a free country with constitutional charter rights and freedoms, no one should be forced, coerced to produce more Canadian content at the dictates of the Gov. If there is an incentive to do so, if there is Gov money or a fund for this, go right ahead but it should always be OPTIONAL. P. Rodriguez, Liberals, NDP and Bloc are more interested in power and extracting money. However, the majority of Canadian Citizens, online Media companies, independent News companies and Content creators where consulted and mostly disapproved and criticized these Bills, C-11, C18 and so on. The Liberals, NDP and Bloc also ignored the recommendations for revision of the overseeing Senate! Their legislated mandates are designed to take away revenue and income in a free enterprise media system where content creators are forced to create content that pleases the Gov and State funded Legacy news that overall have shallow narratives and agendas. Manipulating algorithms the way the Liberal Gov wants is a form of overreaching power using technology! The current Legacy News has been proven online to create unreasonably biased content that is only in line with Liberal power in Ottawa. Over a billion dollars has been received by the CBC from a Liberal Gov and leads Canadians to believe there is a biased, paid for and coerced News in Canada that is vastly limited! In my opinion, all forms of media, TV, Radio or the Internet cannot be designed like it's done in Communist countries where they are forcibly limited, coerced and controlled. Or under a merger of Gov and Corporate interests in this case TV, Radio, and Internet content and media; would become Fascism.
James	M.	In a free democratic country freedom of choice, freedom of market, freedom of speech is what makes us a free country. More government control is a progression towards Marxism. We don't want more control, less control! More freedom of choice!
Greg	B.	In a word: CENSORSHIP. It is an absolutely gross and repugnant idea that our government needs to save us from misinformation. This god-complex ideology of government, where government becomes the arbiter of truth raises an important next question: And, who saves us from the misinformation straight from the lying lips of government? (I know. Government would NEVER lie to us! Of course not. And, I have some oceanfront property in Saskatchewan to sell you really cheap, too!) As I have been following the political theater, that is, the progression of this bill, it is glaringly apparent that Bill C-11 was designed by the House

		of Commons in such a way, as that our government would have a sole monopoly on the flow of information, on what is considered truth, and what is not. This very dangerous precedent has no place in our law books. And it is my opinion that the CRTC has to put as many safeguards in place as possible to protect the individual content creator from big brother overreach.
Simon	P.	In a world increasingly defined by the extreme concentration of wealth and power in the hands of a a select few people and institutions, Bill C-11 stands out as a particularly egregious strategy to further violate the rights and freedoms of the Canadian people. Forcing media platforms to promote "approved" content onto people's feeds will, in one way or another, directly result in the suppression of content inconvenient to the regime. A government prescribed media feed is an abysmal characteristic of a censorship driven dystopia lead by an authoritarian elitist establishment desperate to manipulate its citizens into a state of complacency by suppressing any freedom of speech that remains online.
John	R.	In addition to funding CanCon and Funding Canadian creators, all the named streaming platforms etc (above) should be mandated to pay a fee to the Canadian Government specifically to support enhancement of the putrid Canadian tele-communications oligopoly so far permitted by the CRTC and to force better telecommunications coverage in the future for all Canadians.
David	C	In all honesty, it makes me feel ashamed that merit alone isn't enough for discoverability for Canadian creators and that the government needs to intervene in their discoverability. This is 100% inappropriate. I expect my feed to be merit based and to only cater to my interests, as it currently is. The mere idea of government manipulating what I see is also scary from a historical perspective and is a wild overreach of power. They have no right in my opinion. There are ways around this regulation anyways (for people that are moderately tech-savvy) and it will just end up costing them money to get back their old feed. I don't know if the CRTC realizes that if they promote Canadian content that hasn't been naturally promoted by it's own merit, the platforms will punish these creators because if people skip their video (or only watch it for a few minutes), then that creators video will get less discoverable, internationally, because it will be deemed by the platforms as undesirable to watch by viewers. You cannot get around this fact, and it's way more likely that a video that gets artificially boosted will also get
David	C.	skipped by consumers because it wasn't good enough to earn that place

Ati	P.	In my opinion C-11 should not exist at all. It imposes on people content they may not want to watch, while removing or making it hard to find content they are searching for. It limits people's access to the world of art, ideas, information which is expanded way beyond Canadian borders. We already have a lot of Canadian content coming out of Canadian media and it is sufficient and it is well funded - why limit our free access to media in such a random way? If Canadian content were the best and most exhaustive and advanced in the world, people would naturally prefer it to other dubious sources. Forcing Canadians to watch or listen to things they have not so far reached out for is a form of coercion and will eventually limit the creativeness, intelligence and cosmopolitanism of the nation as a whole, bringing it down to the level of third world nations that have little access to world news and views, so they grow up thinking that the whole world is liketheir country. Whoever is proposing and passing this bill has already fallen to that level of world view - the narrow and nearsighted view of small minds that never got a chance or even sought to expand their horizon beyond small ideas of national and personal interest and now is dragging us all down that slippery slope. When you try to suppress natural growth or flow, you get such a build-up over time that it inevitably bursts out in the most destructive way and this is what C-11 restrictions will create - resistance and blanket animosity toward ALL Canadian content, which is exactly what we don't want!
Leah	Z.	In my job I need to do a wide variety of research. It is becoming increasingly difficult to do as platforms show me what they think I want when really I am looking for a variety of ideas. We need to be free to search for what we actually want to look for not what they think we are looking for.
Lawrence	L.	In general social media is just that "social" and the Governmebt has no right t control our personal opinions and ideas
		in the feed. This will hurt both creators and consumers. In all fairness, I'm not okay with any of the above being implemented, but if somebody held a metaphorical gun to my head and made me choose, the option to turn on/off canadian content would be the least damaging. I am also 100% in support of more funding for Canadian creators. Let them grow and flourish naturally and lets make Canadian content more desirable, globally, instead of having the government acting as a mom/dad giving their child a participation sticker that they didn't earn! It's degrading to the creators. Please make me proud to see merit based Canadian content rise to the top!

Maksym	S.	In my opinion Canada is multinational company, and as people coming from different parts of the world, they a free to continue consuming content coming from their homelands and a=other countries. Media algorithms already making promotions based on Watch history and geographic location. In my opinion CRTC controlling media content is a huge leap to totalitarian regime where government dictates which propaganda it people should watch. About looking that appropriate content reaches people, all media platforms already have mechanisms that eliminate unappropriated content. Freedom is the main law of our country, C-11 is standing against that main law.
Michel	L.	In my opinion, there is absolutely nothing positive about government control of media. There is already too much control, direct and indirect, over media content. Government funding for media is problematic if one thinks of the fifth estate as a guard against government overreach. Trust in media will not be achieved if it is perceived to be controlled, in whole or in part, by government. The CRTC is a non-elected body whose members are appointed by the government - We have seen what can happen to the judiciary appointed based on their political or societal leanings (conservative vs liberal), whether in the USA or Canada. Trust in the political system is at an all time low. Further media control is not a recipe for success when trying to increase trust - 1984 should be a requirement for all politicians to read. There might have been a need for the CRTC and its mandate decades ago but it is not the right approach in today's world.
Killian	S.	In order to promote content, it is a reality that other content will be hidden. I don't like the idea of the Canadian gov. pushing content or ostensibly hiding it.
zheng	h.	in principle, i am against censorship of any kind. in order to promote canadian produced content, you can provide more funding for canadian content creators, as well as introducing sections for content that is produced in Canada
Sally	W.	In regards to question 3, I am not comfortable with anything listed above. I spent a great deal of time making my Tiktok For You page perfect for me! I don't need or want anyone else telling me what I should be watching. I am very concerned that my feeds are going to be manipulative and I am not going to be able to choose what I want to look at. I feel that my choice is being taken from me and that my right to choose what I watch and read is being restricted! The Internet is Global! That is the beauty of it, we can see what is going on in the world and

		how is that and what is that because I been there. Freedom of choice that is the fundamental ground of democracy. If we do not have democracy in Canada any longer perhaps we should not pretend than.
Adam	L.	In theory I see that you would want to help Canadian content reach a wider audience. In reality what you're going to do is keep The Murdoch Mysteries going forever. Don't believe that media sources will just display the same content over and over again? Look at how radios handle Canadian content: instead of giving new creators a chance they play the same songs over and over again. In the 1990's it was Alanis Morisette, The Tragically Hip, Great Big Sea, and The Bare-naked Ladies played every hour. In the 2000's they added Avril Lavigne, Nickleback, and Justin Beiber. Nowadays we have Drake. Over and over and over again. They are all so overplayed that I turn the radio station if I hear them. Why would you expect online content providers to behave any differently? If you want to give Canadian content creators more money then I'm all for it, but you don't need to force Canadian content to the top to do it. Leave my feeds alone.
Barb	S.	In this day and age I am not that interested in getting Canadian content. I don't want my access to information to be to be affected by the government.
Nikolas	B.	In this day and age where progressively more and more of what we consume is not actively chosen by us or humans, even less of it being what I might want to watch is a bit concerning, all that being said, I am in favor of more funding for Canadian creators, but maybe in the form of grants instead of changing algorithms
chris	r.	Inability to access the information I am searching for, as quickly as I want because content I don't want to see it being forced upon me.
Jenni	P.	Inability to find content due to search blocking. Blocking of "unacceptable" or "anti-government" views on social media. Decision-making by government and CRTC in defining what constitutes "Canadian" content,Ķ and then blocking at all levels what is deemed "unCanadian". I am staunchly against many Liberal platforms and am very disappointed and discouraged by CBC lack of reporting on anything that does not agree with Justin Trudeau's ideas and mandates. I have been at large rallies where no media coverage is granted, although masses of Canadians are gathered with a voice, there is no allowance for broadcast. We are in dangerously undemocratic times in Canada.

bill	W.	individual choices
David	C.	Independent news content.
Bruce	A.	Independent creators and news outlets will be squelched by regulators, particularly if the government doesn't like what the outlets are saying. More and more Canadians get their news online and trust in legacy media is plummeting. Governments have too much say in what we consume on the Internet as it is. Let us decide what's disinformation and misinformation. Are we not adults?
Atticus	B.	Increasing Canadian advertising content and calling it quota filling while not supporting Canadian artists. Allowing special interest groups in Canada to force feed propaganda to Canadian viewers, reprogramming to their truths.
Chris	Z.	Increased regulation removing freedoms, privacy, and personal choice.
Teresa	G.	Increased monitoring and censorship.
Tom	E.	Increased censorship! As these recent crazy times have shown, what (in many cases) was last year's misinformation or even conspiracy theory has turned out to be the truth or at least closer to the truth than what Government and mainstream sources have pushed as being fact. Science, and with it knowledge, has always advanced through debate of differing thesis, and differing ideas, and recently this has been not just stifled, but stopped. Government control over the internet experience, where Canadians are limited in what they are allowed to see, hear, and read is unacceptable, especially when important things like a real, workable definition of CanCon is severely lacking (missing) from the Bill.
Nicholas	C.	Increased amount of irrelevant content or less content I actually care about showing up on youtube or twitch.
Andre	F.	Increased / Complete Censorship No Freedom of speech No more full access to content around the world
Stephen	A.	Incentives to encourage Canadian content but don't require it.
Stephen	D.	Inability to find quality content due to over-prioritization of CanCon in searches. Not to mention the idea of Gov't manipulating social media/streaming services, which sounds like a slippery slope.
		Please do NOT use a heritage/celebrate Canada message to put forward a censorship agenda by government.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
André	В.	Individuals ought to be the sole arbiters in determining what content they wish to consume. It is neither appropriate nor necessary for the federal government to play any role whatsoever in determining what content you might consume.
lindsay	f.	Information being blocked under the guise of it not being Canadian
Terrance	R.	Information needed to make informed decisions could be restricted. Political information could and would likely be regulated and restricted, affecting free political dialog and choice.
Robert	V.	Information needs to be freely accessible without government interference. This includes information from independent sources and foreign sources (media and otherwise). To restrict non-Canadian sources while simultaneously wielding financial influence over Canadian sources is a dangerous approach that risks turning Canadian news feeds into streams of propaganda. More information, freely available lets Canadians assess information for themselves and draw their own conclusions which is essential to a healthy society and democracy.
Jennifer	C.	Information should be free and censorship is fundamentally wrong. I do not wish to have to use back door methods to access the internet.
Anton	C.	Information that Canadians can access will now be regulated by the government. I'm worried this will affect our freedom of choosing which/what media we observe.
R Gerald	L.	Information will be filtered out to promote CanCon.
Patricia	P.	infringe on free speech
Rita	Н.	Infringement on my personal preferences for videos, music and news. I like Canadian content, but I should have the option of whether or not I want it up front and centre or not. Why should the government or the Big 3 media people have more control over my personal content suggestions than I do?
Robert	G.	Infringing my rights that were paid for in Canadian's blood .
Jennifer	V.	Infringing on my freedom of choice by forcing Canadian content on me without my permission or interest. Reducing the amount of the types of content that I do like.
Noah	О.	Instead of forcing platforms to have X amount of CanCon, we should be funding more arts and content to bring it to the same level as is being consumed. The internet is a tool that allows us to share freely from all

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		parts of the world. Having a made in Canada label or something along those lines is good to help promote CanCon worldwide. We should not be limiting access for anyone or any creators to share.
Carla	S.	Interference in democracy. Biased against entrepreneurs to sell market beneficial products to consumers. ThT would lead to dominance in the markets
Klara	B.	Interference of our right to information.
Lloyd	Н.	Interference with freedom of information-access is a foundation for tyranny that should be eliminated if we are the least bit interested in preserving a free, democratic society
Rafael	A.	Interfering with search results, if you want to promote canadian content allow the platforms to tag or indicate at same level the content is canadian, also the definition of canadian content should be explained, is it funding, the creator or the topic?
Venu	W.	Interfering with what content I consume and search for. My recommended content.
Karen	C.	International news, media, content but I'd rather support our own foremost in funding and supports and create more and generate quality entertainment locally.
Robert	W.	Internet censorship is foolhardy and should never be attempted by ANY government.
Clinton	P.	Internet censorship.
Kent	S.	Internet should be kept free. Our media can stand on it's own.
Paul	K.	Internet streaming services are global, for profit activities which should not be legislated to provide any type of content. Creators can snd should compete on the merits of their work without forcing Canadians to restrict their viewing choices to only those "made in Canada" while denying us our freedom of choice. The CRTC has enough on its plate to deal with and doesn't need that grief that will result from this bad decision.
Lee	B.	Internet was created to share information freely with government interference
Dustin	M.	Introducing a specific designation for CanCon grants the organization granting that designation to content disproportionate power to influence Canadian identity. Inevitably, content from high-profile producers would

		gain the designation with minimal examination, while smaller creators looking to attain it would be made to jump through the necessary hoops or have their viewership suffer a hit. This change is not pro-Canadian, it's only pro-corporate.
Mark	G.	invasion of my private feeds and information that I have chosen to read or watch. I would like an open system of information from all sources. The label misinformation has been misused by the media.
Christoph er	D.	Invasive changes to my feeds Are a horrible idea. I don't want to see things recommend to me that I have zero interest in, just because they happen to be from the same country as me.
Matthew	W.	It concerns me that this bill can easily circumvent content that Canadians are searching for by showing only government approved content.
Mark	C.	It could be abused and gives gov undue control over freedom of information.
David	R.	It could be an excuse for censorship and biased political content.
Connor	L.	It could get a lot harder to find what I'm looking for.
FRANK	C.	it could hurt creators who are scared to meet the rules of people who wont even watch their stuff
Nicole	M.	It feels like the beginnings of censorship and communism. I am an adult who works and pays my taxes. There is no reason why I should be put under the government's thumb.
Quang Vinh	D.	It gonna affected or prevent non Canadian content
Lloyd	A.	It has become a global community. I am worried that Canadian content will be regulated by those with an agenda of what they feel the public should experience. In other words shutting out content that may be important but is deemed immaterial to Canadians. In other words our content becomes a system of propaganda. I believe that Bill C11 is the federal government's, and consequently, the relative agencies, the CRTC and whatever else, has determined that they are worried about false information, misinformation and disinformation reaching the public. It indicates their opinion that the general public is not intelligent enough to make those determinations themselves. They shall remain as children as regards what they will be allowed to experience through the media. It's really an expansion of government control over our lives. Apparently,

		we are not adults. This could get into other areas as well, such as education turning into indoctrination. It's a very ominous indicator of a central and, I must say, not very Canadian, global system of social engineering. Frankly, it is quite unsettling.
Sarah Christel	K.	It is absurd to require social media feeds have a certain percent of Canadian content. What if someone only follows their family back home in a different country? Would they be forced to follow Canadians just to scroll past in order to see the content of people they know? We should be encouraging Canadians to view content from diverse cultural background which may be new to them, not limiting which content they can view to include a lot of local content. If the goal is to prevent US influence on Canadians, then limit the amount of specifically US content we can view. If the goal is to increase funding for Canadian content producers, then we can do so without altering the algorithms and feeds of Canadians.
Pius	B.	It is all liberal canadian communism
G	M.	It is best if bottom lines and board members dictate algorithms, not governments. Remember Capitalism? The money will tell them where to go.
Tammy	G.	It is clear the over reach of our Government and Governments around the world are continuing the attack of everyday Citizens Rights and Freedoms. It is clear they seek to manipulate every manner of our lives and monitor our every movement. I believe my freedom to watch what I want will be severely hampered. Already many people have lost their channels on Youtube and it is clear we are heading to where China is, as our P.M. has made it abundantly clear he admires their Regime.
Anne-Ma rie	В.	It is concerning that any government should have a say in what content we can consume. This is something akin to the novel 1984.
Damian	F.	It is disgusting that in a country like Canada ANY idea of controlling media is even being considered. Any elected official who thinks this is a good idea should resign!!!
Damian	V.	It is no business of the government what I access on the internet. I will not watch more canadian content no matter how much it is shoved down my throat. I will watch canadian content if it is good.
D.B.	R.	It is not just regulation; it is essential that foreign platforms PAY ROYALTIES when they post content produced by Canadian sources.

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		The issue of royalties should not be omitted from the discussion, as seems to be the case.
Barry	T.	It is not the governments role to get involved in what we as a free nation decide to look at or what not to look at. Also we decide what is true or not. No need for a bigger Big Brother state
Tina	B.	It is not up to the government to decide what information I can access. I am perfectly capable of filtering out what I should not see
Desta	B.	It is not your business what I watch or have access to. I'm an adult and a taxpayer and I don't want you meddling with my business . This is a free country. Take your cancon and shove it
Eva	A.	It is so easy to abuse these rules for censorship, which our government has proven it is very happy to do. I really do not trust the government to decide what i should see, i sense there are many things i should see that they do not want me to. I think in particular if not handled properly c-11 could be used to make it much more difficult for canadians to see news and information from sources outside of canada, which is an incredibly scary thought.
Laura	S.	It is the world wide web. This is how it should stay. I have the ability to pick and choose which content I prefer. Not content that is selected for me.
Irek	C.	It is too much censorship already, we don't need more , it is good as it was.
Michael	S.	It is unreasonable to tax creators and not support them to create CanCon. As I read the bill Netflix and more importantly many smaller creators will never be eligible to collect CanCon support from the CRTC but they will be required to pay them to create and deliver the same. I can not see a more effective way to kill or maim the creation of CanCon than this. Please fix the definition of CanCon to include items like The Handmaids Tale and Turning Red. Please reconsider rules for eligibility for funding to create content. The stories we need are diverse stories from creators in diverse media. We don't need another re-hashing of Anne of Green Gables as a television series.

Donna	M.	It is very concerning that my ability to research anything now has become restricted. I can believe the media even less now because it will be choked. Are we living in Russia or China where people disappear because they speak out? Where information disappears on line? It is already disappearing in our schools and universities. I can not trust the government to have my best interest in mind. They have lately shown that they want to control us and blind us to what is really going on. Not surprising that Trudeau does not answer questions because if we really knew the truth there would be a revolution. This is why the government is taking this opportunity to choke our voice at its own volition. If I WANT TO POST A YOU TUBE VIDEO, I AM NOT GOING TO KNOW IF PEOPLE WILL BE EVEN ABLE TO FIND IT SCARY. How can this be Canada and how can our freedom on the internet disappear almost overnight. This medium is essential to free speech and our entertainment has been squeezed to death. Let us decide freely what we want to watch.
colin	k.	It just forces Canadians to consume non international content on the World Wide Web and pushes us towards internet control schemes like Iran China and Khazakstan you can't force Canadians to consume content based on how much money you throw at the content creators they'll just inevitably leave companies such as google Facebook for which we have domestic power over for foreign supplied content from other countries and companies. I fear that if you're trying to fund media production it will end up having to be approved media and only produced by and proliferated with a very singular world view and that is very anti democratic. Famously there's a quote the internet treats censorship as if it were damage and routes around it this will be no different. It ushers in a whole lot of well intended ideas hidden under layers of censorship based doublespeak.
robin	f.	It mainly depends on how C-11 is to be enacted. At the moment it is rather hazy in its objective
Kasandra	D.	It seems a bit too much like censorship
BRUCE	B.	It seems C-11 has effected me. I can't get into my account on Facebook. It's the third time this year I was not allowed permission onto my Facebook. It's because our dishonest Soy Boy Crime Minister doesn't like certain content that questions woke culture, the pandemic, about deleted products that were made over three years ago with the dispicable cancel culture movement, and a freedom of speech the other side of the coin wants phased out. My plans once I get back into

Terry	J.	It will change my search results to CanCon, it will limit me from watching what I want (to what the CRTC wants) and it's just a bad idea all around. That's the beauty of the web, you can watch whatever you want and when you search something, you will get all the results. Not just what the government wants you to see. CanCon is a failure across the board.
Brian	W.	It will be manipulated by the sitting government to skew available media and information in their favour. It is by definition a form of censorship as streaming and social platforms are the public square of our century. The elected government is not the correct body to decide who is lying. That is their chosen profession, so they are disqualified. If the house of commons ever allows for a representative to be openly called a liar when they are caught lying, perhaps some credibility can be restored. Until that house is held to account for the words they say, our system cannot censor anyone. They can stand in question period spewing distractions, deflections, feigning ignorance, and outright lying, and there's no consequences. It's tiresome.
karen	j.	It will be a disaster. There was nothing wrong with the old system. The government is counting on Google and Facebook to bough to the federal government terms. What if they don't. They don't care about Canada. They will do what is best for them.
Courtney	D.	It takes away the freedom of being able to access what I want when I want. I also worry as a business owner what's this will do for my business that is advertised on platforms that are now trying to block Canadian content. Give Canadian content more funding so they can have the same possibilités as others
Richard	J.	It seems to me, that government meddling on social media will only benefit the mainstream media, and damage the small, independent news services, that are not reliant on government funding. In my opinion, we do not need anymore government sponsored news in Canada. I want to hear the dissenting voices, not have them silenced by our ever increasing government over reaching into our personal lives. Want to help? Butt out!!! Stop disguising this law as a Canadian content farce. Keep the internet free and open!!!
		Facebook is to remove my account with them, remove all my photos and videos from FB and move to a similiar social media outlet that allows speech to be heard and seen, even if the more sensitive viewers find it objectionable.

Georgie	H.	It would be offensive for the little warnings to pop up like they do for Covid. If there are little warnings constantly that our feelings may be hurt then sm is ruined. Keep pornography, pedofilia & grooming off, grown men trying to instruct kids how to sneak around their parents is offensive.
Chris	M.	
Geordie	N.	It would actually have the reverse affect on Canadian artists with algorithms.
Amberly	C.	It won't be tailored to me. People should be afforded the right to choose for themselves and not have someone deciding they know what's better for them.
Lee	E.	it will make t.v. & computer suck
Ryan	M.	It will have the opposite effect of the intent, which it will. Forcing Cancon onto an audience doesn't work if the Cancon doesn't meet a certain standard.
Justine	B.	It will create a conformation bias that is biased towards the governments favour. It won't promote opposing points of views that aren't deemed acceptable by the government funded Canadian content creators. It will decrease the ability for people to create their own beliefs and push others towards an ideology based on government values that represent the current governing body. That can lead to harmful propaganda and freedom of speech is a basic human right. Changing the information to be biased in favour of anything can be damaging.
	li di l	Whether it's TV, radio and now the net. Its a bad idea, not planned out well at all.

		lework regarding contributions to support Garladian and malgerious content
Jim	В.	It would be taking away or limiting my freedom to choose, what I want to experience. Canadian content laws from when I was younger have made me dislike some Canadian artist as they were played over and over while other Canadian artist were not played. Who gets to decide what we see or hear (when I see that I think CENSORSHIP) Maybe having sections hi lighting and promoting Canadian content ,not forcing it on us like certain French language laws in Quebec.
Sandra	Z.	It would be untenable to know the average person was restricted from accessing online content from anywhere in the world ,Äî just when our world was becoming more "global."
MICHEL	N.	It would be wise to reduce the amount of foreign subsidized content that doesn't reflect Canadian ideals.
Brad	M.	It would definitely change my experience online to the point that I would be forced to mask my connection via VPN for all traffic so that I would bypass what this ruling would change.
Ron	Y.	It would make me feel like dropping a lot of on line stuff, if I am told or limited to what I can see, This is still a free country is it not?
geha	g.	It would make searches for events and perspectives in other countries and cultures even more difficult. Perspective taking is an important aspect for being a responsible human being
Leonard	M.	It would regulate the algorithm to their content instead of what content we want to see and do as it's shouldn't control our online lives.
Alexis	M.	It'll affect non-Canadian content creators that I support.
daniel	d.	It'll leave canada as it's own little bubble and interest from other countries will be cut off. Most Canadian content creators wouldn't even benefit from c-11.
Jérôm e	P.	It'll make it even easier to skew search results towards content that might not be relevant in favour of accurate results. This is especially true for video sharing platforms.
Miykael	T.	It'll make it harder to find content from topics I'm interested in, harder to find foreign and obscure news, and it'll fill feeds with things I've already watched or have no interest in.

It's already happening under c-18. Which doesn't make sense to begin with. Google providing a link to the news page is providing them with traffic and ad revenue. Where is the logic in forcing them to pay to provide a link when the link directly provides that news source with funding. My main concern and I say this as an Igtbg individual THAT I DONT WANT TO IDENTIFY AS BUT FEEL LIKE I HAVE TO TO BE HEARD. An independent voter and a former liberal voter is that this government has proven that it doesn't understand anything about ethics. I'm very concerned that the PM has expressed admiration for dictatorships, claims to be speaking for me as a Canadian and someone who sleeps with everyone is acting like he already has his dictatorship. This is not what I voted for at all, I voted for electoral reform, a transparent government and the promise that firearms would be left untouched. Lie, lie, and lie. This government told me that they support women and Indians, well thats been proven wrong time and time again hasn't it? There is no reason to trust this government. I watched through live feeds, through independent journalism what was happening at the protests. I compared them to the CBC and other government funded trash. Nothing, not one thing these sources put out were true. Furthermore, it's lately been proven that information that was factual but in opposition to the government narrative was infact censored. This government is trying to censor journalism and free speech. This is not something I will ever supported no matter who is in charge. Ndp, cons, libs, green, NONE of them should act like our current PM. I would despise them all just as much as if I were betrayed the same way. You cannot give control of the internet to the government, the only thing ive 100% factually seen with my own eyes is there is no reason to give them anymore power and Infact it needs to be taken away. I don't recognize my own country anymore.

Tyler

Τ.

It's been years since anyone thought too sensor me...though I wouldn't accept from them and I don't think I need to accept it from my own government. I was born in this country many years ago and I equate this censorship to the Germans burning books in WWII. Canadian content is good and we should support and fund it not at the expense of what others in the world have to offer. We should let interesting content be our guide for what we choose. Just like there is only one tax payer, there are only so many hours in a day we can peruse the internet for interesting articles. I prefer a more global choice so I may understand my neighbours point of view. Especially living in a city as diverse as Toronto. If you want actual canadian content please do not limit our choices but fund and encourage small independent Canadian sites to give us REAL

Mary

R.

		Canadian content, made by Canadians for Canadians and not made by Canadians to look like it's actually the USAThat's why I gave up watching television 4 years ago!
Dennis	F.	It's crazy I can't search without Canadian content popping up in my face. I want to search for whatever I want to search for and it could be from anywhere. Government needs to keeps their hands out of our pockets and out of our personal businessits non of their business what I chose to do with my time and searches. Get rid of the communism for good.
Brent	E.	It's government censorship and it's the first step a government uses in creating a totalitarian regime. The Canadian Government has swung more than far enough in that direction already it is time to rein in this daddy-knows-best attitude this whole government seems to have. You work for the citizens of this country not for the little narrative you've created in your heads.
Linda	В.	It's interrupted my feeds and notifications already. I'm not getting to choose anymore they are choosing for me and that I DO NOT AGREE with. I'm 60 yrs old and I will watch and listen to whoever or whatever I please. They have no business interfering in my social media life. BACK OFF Sockboy!!!
Jadon	P.	It's just blatant censorship if there's no way to opt out of it (and it's no better if it opts in automatically without alerting you and there's no clear way to opt out of it). Due to the nature of Opportunity Cost, every piece of media that is forced onto your recommended page/search results by this methodology would therefore push out content from non-Canadian sources. That is intrinsically censorship based in xenophobia. The only way this methodology would be used is to restrict what content we are able to see and force us to see more government approved messaging. Remind anyone of 1984 at all? It should.
Dianna	E.	It's my right to choose what I want to see or hear more off. An option button that I could turn off or on would work!
VICKIE	F.	IT'S none of the politicians business what people search for unless it promotes child pornography, pedophilia.
Johnatha n	D.	It's not going to let the real news in. Only the big companies that take bribes will be giving the payed news

safe and protecting societies also addressecurity of Aaron O. while action	noting that while some regulations are necessary to maintain a secure online environment, there is a fine balance between g individuals and restricting freedoms. It is essential for to find a balance that prioritizes freedom of expression while ressing legitimate concerns related to safety, privacy, and online - and C-11 provides none of these securities or benefits ively degrading Canadians' enjoyment of online platforms  EE Country. Our government NEEDS to stop funding other and start promoting ours. They should NOT be able to regulate
It's a FRE	
countries	ing consumers choose to post.
Azaira D. It's again includes	st our constitutional rights to take away free speech and that the internet.
	ke stop putting Canadian shit. I wanna watch stuff that is sensor back home is not.
upset sho	the WORLD wide web, not Canada wide web! I would be very buld the CRTC start to manipulate my feeds on ANY platform to v Canadian content, it would stifle our knowledge, creativity and vity!
Only evil expression	orship and my freedom to read and speak is being curtailed. maligned people try to pull a stunt like this. Freedom of on is a fundamental right and essential in what is suppose to be ratic society.
A C. It's censo	orship. This was rammed through and not wanted by canadians
governme window. opinions listening	irning into propaganda and only hearing or reading what the ent wants you too. Freedom of speech and agency goes out the This essentially is the core of democracy having difference of and coming to a resolution. Honestly I won't be watching or to the media once C-11 comes into play as it's filtering what you watch. When they passed C-11 was truly a sad day in history!
Maureen H. It's taking	away from our freedom of speech or what we share.
content w	ingement on our freedoms. We should be able to seek out any we choose and as an adult make up our on mind on what is fake dont need to be feed content.
Lucy P. Its censo	rship to control us and prevent us from getting the real Truth.

Joseph	F.	Just leave the internet alone it ain't yours to regulate
tony	l.	just have no filters at all, Internet is a one free world and no boundary
Doug	L.	Just don't get involved and interfering.
JE	S.	Just do what Canadians have been asking for every year.
Grant	G.	Just because I live in Canada, doesnt mean Canada get to control what media I consume. IF you want more people to consume Canadian content MAKE GOOD CONTENT! To make good content you need to fund content. Fund student films, Canadian Indy bands and artists, fund Tv shows to have more appeal to general audiences.
Mona	B.	Je veux faire mes propres choix
jules	m.	Je veux accès libre de voir ou écouter ce que je veux. Je veux voir ce qui est en dehors de mon pays. Si je veux du contenu canadien, je met .ca dans la recherche. Je désire la liberté totale de la recherche.
Marcel	D.	Je n'ai pas besoin que le crtc décide du contenu sur internet que je doit regarder et lequel je ne devrais pas. je suis un adulte qui n'a pas besoin besoin d'être Enfantiser par une loi anti-démocratie. Tout les canadiens devraient avoir le choix de décider par lui-même de visionner ce que bon lui semble. Je pense que les prix pour avoir un abonnement internet par les multinationales au Canada sont assez élevé et que je ne devrais pas a avoir à débattre sur mes choix de contenu internet Canadien ou NON
line	p.	Je n ai pas a me faire dicter ce que je dois regarder. J'aime bien plein de contenus qui sont produit en France et autre pays! Je suis bien capable de $d\sqrt{\mathbb{C}}$ cider par moi-m $\sqrt{\mathbb{R}}$ me!
Luc	F.	J'ai la crainte que nous soyons isolés du monde a l'international et que nous nous faisions pas connaître aux contraîre des autres pays. Nous avons droit de choisir ce que nous voulons regarder. Le pays tel que la France interdit l'accès aux streaming de leur contenu radio-diffuser pour ne pas se faire voler leurs droits de création mais il n'interdit pas a leurs streameur de se faire connaître.
Liam	G.	Its vague language would make non-Canadian content more difficult to find. Most of the content I enjoy is from the US and from European countries, specifically music.

Clifton	L.	Just leave the internet alone. It is a open global network and everyone should be able to compete freely without artificial support. Let the content speak for itself. Stop trying to recreate the Chinese version of the internet in Canada. It won't work and will only destroy the free and open principles the public internet was created for.
barrie	S.	Just stay out of my internetkeep it open and free. Not a one sided story decided by government .if you just tell the real truthit will rise to the top
Elvin	G.	, ,
Andrea	S.	Justin Trudeau is taking away my choice! I am quite certain that the majority of Canadians will search out local broadcasting (maybe not Mainstream Media as we are well aware that it is sponsored and funded by various governments and large conglomerations which makes them completely biased) sources without being forced to do so. Censorship is

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		going to create resentment. And as clearly seen by the recent developments with Google and Meta, they will not stand to be censored, and now all we will receive is propaganda from the Canadian government.
Richard	S.	K
ken	m.	Keep government hands away
Todd	P.	Keep the Internet censorship free.
Alan	M.	Keep the internet open. Big brother needs to stay out
Kimberly	В.	Keep your hands off what we see and read. Your steal free speech is only aggravating Canadians including myself. If I wanted to see Canadian TV and news I am finding it in my own. Enough this is a horrible bill.
Blackie	N.	KILL BILL C-11 STOP FORCING THE CRAPPY CANADIAN CRAP DOWN OUR THROATS! LET CUSTOMERS CHOOSE AND WATCH WHAT THEY WANT!! WE PAY FOR THE DAMN SERVICE!! (FOR NOW!!)
EFREM	V.	Kill the bill and desist from the progressive creep towards totalitarianism
Rupert	В.	Knowing what is going on in the world. Becoming a North Korean feedback bubble. With a culture that's strongly and proudly international. Canada is the country for the world. Seems strange to cut ourselves out of it. A content Brexit.
Bev	Н.	Knowing what the rest of the world is doing/thinking
David	M.	I want to view the world not just canada what if I decide to criticize the govt is that no longer an option like china
Bernard	D.	L'intervention de l'État et des corporation loby
Denis	T.	La censure
HéIèn e	L.	la liberté de regarder et d'écouter tout ce qui m'intéressent, sans aucune exception.
Theresa	G.	Lack of ability to choose what I watch Lack of ability to create and be successful in my own business building practices on social media The ability to lose streaming of specific content due to this bill Censorship- is a big one This agenda was pushed by government and corporations only- not sure why the people of this country do not get a vote with this

	man	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		government. Lack of access to content I am interested in The loss of media and news from other countries- this feels like a communist way of doing things with this government. In my opinion this is overreach.
Greg	P.	Lack of access to Canadian content as a result of large platforms removing this content from their sites.
Brent	D.	Lack of access to information .
Patricia	B.	Lack of access to sites
Manuel	A.	Lack of control.
Harrison	R.	Lack of creative new content or sharing of ideas. Censorship will most likely fall to use for personal gain as evident with most positions of power
Susan	Н.	Lack of freedom of choice.
Peter	J.	Lack of freedom of speech, and access to information regarding government wrong doing or cover ups for ethical misbehaviour by politicians of all stripes.
Damian	Ο.	Lack of freedom to choose. Hidden interference regardless of choice.
Diana	S.	Lack of individual choice to seek out content relevant to each person as needed, organizations that want us to believe that they should be entrusted to our best interests. They do not. They have broken the Public's trust. Many no longer trust these entities that have convinced us in the past that they are the best choice. They are much worse.
Brian	M.	Lack of international content
Alice	M.	lack of our freedom. Tyranical mandate.
Sheldon	C.	Lack of Real news and not what the so-called Government of the Time wants you to see or believe.
Kim	A.	Lack of truth and the explosion of propaganda from government funded or tech funded or pharmaceutical funded media. I am a member of the Cowessess Cree First Nations and a survivor of the Sixties Scoop. My mother is a survivor of the Residential School system. This Bill C-11 smacks of Patriarchy and Paternalism. The government acted as our great white father and was a really really bad one with a history of violence, abuse and neglect. I say No to Bill C-11. Most Canadian citizens are above the age of 12 and most certainly do not need parental control over what we watch and listen too.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Brian	L.	Lack of unbiased international perspectives and a forced 'Canadian Entertainment' bias.
Bonny	C.	Lay time I checked we were a free speech country. Let them say what ever they want and let the individual choose what they want or do not want to look at and decided for themselves what is truth and what is not!
Allen	Н.	Leave all content choices to Canadains we don't need more censurship
Arthur	I.	Leave freedom of speech on all sites. We can regulate ourselves what we want to listen to. Regulate CBC and keep them from pushing liberal agendas. CBC is funded by Canadian tax dollars, if they keep pushing liberal agendas, defund them. We do not need a Communist state.
John	F.	Leave it alone if I want to find Canadian content I can search for it, forcing Canadian content on Canadians is not what any of us needs were smart enough to find it on our own we don't needs the government to act like parents and force what they think we should be viewing
Robin	P.	Leave it alone! If it's good, relevant or important we'll find it on our own Cream rising to the top and all that!
Wes	L.	Leave it alone! We need freedom of speech. Not a government agency that decides for us what acceptable or not. We do not live in CHINA!
Diana	T.	Leave it alone.
Derek	C.	Leave my streaming alone. If you are forcing CanCon and excluding content from outside Canada that I want to watch, how is this different than communist China where the government has control of what the population is allowed to watch. We live in a free country and should be allowed to watch whatever we want. If I want to watch CanCon, I will (and I do on a regular basis), but don't force this down my throat.
Fernand	S.	Leave the canadian content alone
Jonathan	L.	Leave the choice up to us! We don't want the government in our homes, tv, internet, bedroom, toilet, etc. We need privacy not totalitarian dictatorship.
Adhithya	N.	Leave the creators alone.
Ron	Н.	Leave the internet alone is the best option. I can regulate what I want to and see other content and views of all who use the internet.
Thomas	P.	Leave us alone to determine what we want to listen to and to see!

Nick	S.	Leave us alone. You forced us into globalization now take it and like it.
Aaron	D.	Leave us be it is our privacy
Don	Ο.	Left wing propagandists, foreign investors, fake news, fake fact checkers
Jonathan	P.	Legacy news should be regulated. Independent media should be allowed to speak true news and media without having to pay. Freedom of speech on the internet maybe comprimised with C-11 in place. Government will control more of the internet and I dont think that's right. The people should be able to watch what they want and stream from other countries along with canadian based media.
Joan Marie	J.	Less access to non government funded media. More difficult searches. Gov's political leanings could be the only POV available to the public. I think it's up to the individual as to how much and what content they receive. None of this should be limited by any gov. Information wants to be free!
Imtiaz	A.	Less censorship. We don't live in a dictatorship.
Dennis	B.	Less choice - automated and forced viewing
Gregory	S.	Less content available because a government official deems certain content should be blocked. Eventually censorship.
Michael	C.	Less content available because of increased censorship.
Melissa	D.	Less control over what I view.
Robert	F.	Less freedom to find worldwide content. I don't want my information or search criteria manipulated by the government.
Gordon	Y.	Less funding for Canadian creators.
Doug	S.	Less government influence in our lives not more. Leave that to the communist states period!!!!
Michael	В.	Less government regulations, the free market should decide what is successful.
Aeron Blair	A.	Less of a push for Canadian content.
Lisa	N.	Less of what I truly want to see, hear or read. I am interested in the world not just my country. I am not interested in being pushed into

		Canadian content. I will use my own judgment and make my own decisions. I like world views.
malcolm	S.	Less open media platforms in general.
FRANK	S.	Less Personal CHOISES and Restricted Access to other services.
Blake	B.	Less subtitles.
David	B.	Less truthful news and more fabricated Liberal news.
Brett	D.	Less visibility to smaller group or solo-creators. I don't mind the idea of supporting more Canadian content, but as far as I'm concerned the entertainment industry is/should not be a priority. Being proud to be Canadian is fine but hiding other perspectives can be dangerous.
Michael	B.	Less world wide content. Also being fed government sanctioned content isn't a good look. Read anything from George Orwell.
Amanda	D.	Let Canadian adults make their own choices. The government is not our parent. Citizens are not children to parent.
Wes	G.	Let Canadians decide what they want to watch themselves. Stop the censorship!
Dylan	B.	Let creators be creators and offer their best. I am all for lifting up canadian creators, but I do not want to be spoon fed canadian content. It should be easy to find, but I don't want to be forced to consume it.
David	D.	Let me choose what I watch. Adults don't need politicians dictating to us.
Nick	N.	Let me decide what content I want to see and hear. I would like the option to turn additional Canadian content on and off.
Allan	C.	Let me have a choice what I want to see. I do not care if it is Canadian or elsewhere. There is a world of ideas and art and music to view. everyone talks about diversity but restricting what I see or want to see is wrong. There is good Canadian content and bad. I want to make my option of what I want to see. More funding for Canadian creators is not the way to go. If it is good content, people will support it. No Government funding please. We waste enough money on the CBC.
Gibson	W.	Let me put it this way; *I* want control over my feeds!!! I and I alone have the right to control what I post, and I expect it to not be subjected to CRTC overreach.

		LET PEOPLE BE THEMSELVES AND REGULATE WHAT THEY
Elaine	M.	ACCESS OR PROMOTE T-H-E-M-S-E-L-V-E-S.
Arnauv	G.	Let social media be free there should be nothing moderated. (Apart from dangerous explicit stuff) This should not happen in a democracy the government should not have any control over what gets banned. I feel like the govt in power might use this to alter public opinion in their favour and this could seriously elections any govt in power can alter the narrative so easily
robert	I.	Let the marketplace choose the winners and losers. The truth will always surface and rise to the top. Anything else is someone's propaganda!
Robi	C.	Let the users decide on what they want to see.
Judy	G.	Let us decide what we want to watch. We know our own minds.
Cheryl	P.	Let's try Stopping Censorship altogether. This was a proud a patriotic democracy before Trudeau decided to become our Dictator. À
Gil	W.	Let's just keep it simple and return free speech! Not something the government should control. All this control looks a lot like countries you don't want to be, so let's be careful! Free countries is where people from around the world want to migrate to, doesn't that tell the story?
Frank	D.	Liberal dictators
Darlene	S.	Liberals like a nanny state think we can't make a decision
annie	r.	liberté d'expression et d'action moins de contrôle du gouvernemaman on est capable de faire nos choix
Jean-Seb astien	S.	Lies to canada while other country know truth over many crucial subject
Grace	Н.	Like most things, screening control is only a bad thing when it is abused. When there is the opportunity for overt manipulation it leaves me concerned that persons with agendas, and governments seeking ever more power, will use these new rules to curate the information available to the public. Especially when said persons and governments are doing things they don't want us to find out about. I am concerned Bill C-11 will limit my ability to hear opinions or find information that run counter to the government's narrative. How can I form an informed opinion when I only hear one side?
Annalisa	C.	Limit of free speech and individual choices

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Waldema r	P.	Limitation of my search results and limitation of visibility of all available content
Rob	B.	Limited access to American services that we currently enjoy.
Robin	K.	Limited content. One-side view of an event (local or global). Political grasp of the news content. Higher price for Canadian citizens on foreign streaming services (coupled with one of the highest internet cost).
don	b.	Limited information
Tracy	D.	Limited information about world events. Censorship over what information we are receiving. Being excluded from valuable pertinent information. Feeling isolated from the rest of the world.
John	K.	Limited information as controlled by the Government. Information should flow freely.
Bruce	P.	Limited scripted searches, non bias discover ability & a over abundance misleading corporate control advertising
randy	C.	Limited viewing of anything Liberal government doesn't want known or seen.
Kyla	M.	Limiting availability of viewing of items/content of my personal choice
Jeremy	В.	Limiting content on platforms like YouTube is a disservice to canadian consumers. A lot of world news and opinions come from places around the world. If you make it mandatory you will be in essence giving future generations a skewed view of the world and not prepare them at all for being an adult in this increasingly digital age. We're not China
Lance	T.	Limiting content, only to promote one interest over another isn't a good choice for Canadians. Transparency in what is available and allowing the consumer all the options is the best way forward.
Roderick	V.	Limiting my choice at what i want to watch and see. Besides that censorship is doomed to expensive failure. VPNs exist and are cheap.
Detek	Н.	Limiting of views expressed to those supported by government or the currently popular ideologies
Tim	D.	Limits on searches across the world. Overall control of internet to the detriment of free speech from all places.
Scott	G.	Limits to my choices

Andrew	I.	Listen you have no right in telling me what I need to consume when it comes to content. We are not a dictatorship are we? If we are still somewhat a free country then you need to step off and let foreign content in without modifying any site or streaming service I use. Whoever is on this board is clearly out of touch with everything going on in todays world as for as content. You will not be able to stop the internet and what people watch. Why create more distrust for your organization and our country as a whole by regulating and putting your nose in things that you have no business doing. Also Canadian content for the most part is trash outside of a few shows. Give some creators some funding without a box for them to stay in and maybe we will have something good.
Curtis	C.	Lobbyists don't represent your constitutes
Opal	C.	Look, Bill C-11 expands the Broadcasting Act that grants the CRTC regulatory powers over radio and television to cover all audiovisual content on the Internet, including content on platforms like Tik Tok, YouTube, Spotify, and podcast clients. WHY on earth would any Canadian want this?! We don't. We do not want this. Unless you want a massive backlash, do NOT do this.
Katharina	G.	Loose visibility to content I watch that is not qualified as Canadian enough. I am not Canadian born, so I watch a lot of content from other countries. Plus there are many Canadian content creators who I consume content from but their content is not Canadian. Or they produce content with others who are not Canadian. If Canadian content is not being watched, then it's because the content is not good enough. Maybe funding for the creators will help them create better and more engaging content. We must have the freedom to choose what we want to watch or not.
John	T.	Loosing access t independent media
Terry	B.	Loosing Access to content I want to watch,Ķ and not told I can watch
Marie	Ο.	Loosing Freedom on information. It's a freedom of choice FREEDOM!
Marion	W.	Loosing my inableling rights as a citizeen born and free
Michael	A.	Losing access to certain works due to a blanket rule would be detrimental to a growing global exchange of artistic ideas

Robert		Losing access to media due to not fitting into a quota of Canadian content that needs to be met with this bill.
Ares	N.	Losing access to media I observe daily. Losing access to my favourite creators who are all American. Losing the ability to speak freely on my own social accounts. I have NO interest in being forced to look at Canadian content. I don't follow any Canadian creators. The people I follow bring me comfort and I don't want to lose access to them. A person's search queries should NOT under ANY circumstance be forcefully modified by the government to force people to look at more content they don't want to look at. The internet is a free place and we have every right to look at (and not look at) what we want to look at (and don't want to look at). This is beyond messed up.
jake	W.	losing canadian content
Dale	A.	Losing choice of content or having censorship over content
Beatriz	S.	Losing control of my feeds and the content I access. As a Canadian, I love and support local content, but I shouldn't be limited to access only CanCon. I should be completely free to choose my content.
Jeff	B.	Losing control over seeing all content on the internet. Or some one deciding we should not see some content and block it completely
Gary	J.	Losing control over what I want to find, choose to view or read online. Canadians do not need the Government to tell us what we can access online as long as it's legal. If I choose to not look at Canadian content that is my choice, just because it's Canadian does not automatically make it good, most of it is garbage in my opinion. Do not stop me from finding what I want to.
Zephrine	W.	Losing free speech. To much government control if the government controls what we see and hear than they can control the people. We will lose our democracy.
Theresa	F.	Losing my right to choose what I want to see or hear. I am a grown adult. No one should be making those choices on my behalf, without my personal consent.
Tom	P.	Losing our freedom of choice; this should not be decided by bureaucrats!
ABDUL	B.	Losing streaming channels, videos of non-canadian users Around the world and only have Canadian content

Brenda	S.	Losing the ability to find information that is not put out by the government
Collin	N.	Losing the free choice to choose the content I want to watch, read or listen to etc Censorship has no place in a democracy which is based on the freedom of speech and expression.
Phil	D.	Losing the right to information and free speech!!
Rouben	T.	Loss of access and discovery of quality international content if viewers' choice is removed in order to "promote" CanCon.
Daniel	G.	Loss of access to content rest of the world has. Our world has changed and antiquated policies like content rules don't work anymore. I want to be able to watch the same content as my friends in other countries.
Matthew	E.	Loss of access to information and content I already consume.
Monique	M.	Loss of autonomy over my own access to content I view. I do not want big brother oversight over my freedom to view what I want and what I have access to view. I do not want my wandering mind to be quashed by imbalanced influence outside of my own.
Terry	P.	Loss of Canadian media funding to video game and video movie creators.
Petra	R.	Loss of choice and freedom. The internet is a true organic form of world-wide content that is freely available to all humans on earth and should not be controlled by governments. By regulating what Canadians can see is diminishing the value of Canadians on the world stage. I'm not interested in globalism, I fear the loss of Canadian's rights to venture out among the world's most interesting, daring creativity and cultures. Some people are limited in mobility and the internet serves as their only source of freedom and entertainment. Regulation limits theirs and all Canadian's right to freely engage with the world in this context. It is a form of government control on the population.
Eric	G.	Loss of free speach
Cori	F.	Loss of freedom and control to choose options from everything available including choosing something other than Canadian content. Also no trust that the power will no be used to promote political agendas or ideology.
Jason	R.	Loss of freedom of choice
Cynthia	M.	Loss of freedom of choice and overreaching influence

	II GII	ework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Michael	L.	Loss of freedom of speech and ability to share opinions would be terrible. Just Preserve people's freedoms to communicate.
Kelly	P.	Loss of freedom of speech and government controlled propaganda.
Peter	P.	Loss of freedom of speech and opinions that differ from liberal government. Misinformation or lack of information of Important geopolitics
David	Ο.	Loss of freedom of speech and other rights
Jennifer	L.	Loss of Freedom of speech Loss of Freedom of religion Loss of Freedom of choice Loss of Freedom to be free
Warren	D.	Loss of freedom of speech, government control as to what I see online, government propaganda
Gerard	P.	Loss of freedom to chose that which I wish to watch.
Jack	R.	Loss of freedom to produce content
SHARON	S.	Loss of freedom to read and write what I want to know or share.
Linda	M.	Loss of freedom. No manipulation please.
Allison	C.	Loss of integrity of media sources and censorship of content. Concern over government dictation of media I consume.
Trevor	C.	Loss of news content and also content that I want to have available to me. We should be able to see the content we want to see not what someone else says I can see.
Samuel	T.	Loss of potential creator content from outside Canada
Jarret	J.	Loss of providers, content and access. Blocks on small new providers to create things for the world because of a focus on regulation as technology and approach has shifted
Edythe	C.	Loss of streaming of Educational, Philosophical, Religious, Political and Health Views from ,Äòpersonal' groups and knowledgeable individuals.
Dave	M.	Loss of visibility for small content producers due to forced algorithm changes. A small Canadian producer can have a large international audience and if the forced Canadian algorithm gives bad results it could lower international views.
Paul	C.	Lost of freedom of choice

Jean-Ren é		Ma grande crainte est que les différents contenus soient soumis aux algorithmes du PCC.
Danny	T.	Main concern is two-fold: 1. Content that has no business on personal feeds showing up just due to being categorized as CanCon. 2. Content from Canadian creators -not- appearing on general feeds as often due to -not- being categorized as CanCon.
Glen	O.	Mainstream media in Canada is bribed to the tune of nearly \$1 billion. I am concerned that the goal of the Trudeau Government is censorship of the independent news platforms like Rebel, True North, CounterSignal, Post Millenial, etc. in favor of those mainstream media. We are already seeing the CRTC entertaining a petition to ban FOX news from the US. This is purely a communist instinct. We do not ban news or opinions and still claim to be a democratic and free speech society. Ideas and opinions (no matter if they are hurtful to a segment of society) are best aired in the Common and accepted or rejected there. Just like we should not abolish history or change the teaching of it, we should not ban ideas. Why? Because NOBODY has a monopoly on the truth or what is correct, and we need to learn from history and the airing of all kinds of ideas. This is NOT the society I want to live in. If I wanted Government in charge of truth and correctness I would move to China, Iran, or North Korea. How simple a concept is that? We managed to figure that out ever since the founding of Canada. Now suddenly a bunch of arrogant, communist-light leaders decide to change our fundamental freedoms? No! It must not be allowed.
Paul	N.	Major censorship of what I view online, China style as admired by our Prime Minister.
Zac	C.	Major concern is getting cut off from the global internet. The web is borderless Modifying or otherwise filtering search results accomplishes nothing but creating a forced narrative I don't think any true, freedom-loving Canadian would agree that censorship of ANY legal media represents the values of the true north strong and free.
Bill & Ada	S.	Major curtailment of Free Speech.
Guy	Н.	Make accessing Canadian content that didn't apply for can con designation harder.
Kate	C.	Make Canadian Content easier to find and make multinationals pay more to access the Canadian market.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Philippe	C.	Make international content (especially news) or content I am not interested in less visible
murray	r.	Making content origins more visible is preferable to enforced viewing.
lan	B.	Making relevant non-Canadian content harder to access.
Michal	K.	mandating Canadian content on platforms is going to prevent me from watching the content I enjoy from other countries.
Nathaniel	R.	Mandating content with a certain country of origin be featured or worse inserted over desired content is ridiculous. This goes too far.
jose	y.	manipulated content and mass propaganda media
Graham	l.	Manipulating my content away from a natural algorithm.
lhor	K.	Manipulation by law makers who don't know what to do. Manipulation by monopolies greedy selfish money grabbing businesses To much regulations. Broadcasting like it was written in original statues first and foremost. Who, what, where, why, when. In our space our airwaves. When manipulated causes to much interference. This is gives way for other countries to match, or do more since our friends next door dislike what is going on. All of this rift, manipulation, are caused by big greedy business owners. See top two check boxes. The bottom box plays hard on free enterprise, and creates great revenue grabbing by lawmakers, giving away, revenues to few Broadcasting as it was!!!
Jesse	В.	Manipulation of consumers options. Difficulty in finding and accessing known media.
Dale	В.	Manipulation of content by the government to hide or distort information to further their own agenda.
Elaine	C.	manipulation of facts to suit certain agendas
Figgy	N.	Manipulation of I see you a skewd bias
Douglas	G.	Manipulation of information and public perception.
Andrew	S.	Manipulation of my Youtube feed to promote content unrelated to my interests. Negatively affecting my experience.
Lexanne	C.	Manipulation of the news I receive. Especially eliminating news that doesn't support or contradicts current (at any given time) Gov't rhetoric. Treating all Posters as though they were main stream media outlets, therefore become subject yo the same rules, parameters & broadcast

		criteria, which they should not be. This is a free speech country,
		everyone should be entitled to an audience, should they seek one. And we want world wide news access, not just CBC & other Cdn Broadcasters. Thank you for your time. This is so very important to Canada.
Martin	K.	Manipulation, censorship
Paul	D.	Manipulation.
Jason	F.	Many Canadians do not belive in nor condone manipulative propaganda of media content by a Government department or entity to either promote or censure political ideology depending on which political party is forming Government in the House of Commons. Freedom of speech is greatly threatened under Bill C-11 and it further reinforces monopolies of Government funded Canadian mainstream media.
Benjamin	S.	Many of my favourite creators are foreign. I watch a lot of YouTube creators, and while I do consume Canadian content, it makes up less than 10% of what I actually watch, and that makes sense. There are less Canadian creators, so it makes sense less of what I consume would be Canadian.
Liliana	K.	Many platforms will be eliminated.
Taha	S.	Many streaming services backing out some services, like news, out of their Canadian apps.
Carolyn	K.	Material that I am looking for that may not fit with government agenda, will not be able to be viewed or researched.
steven	b.	MAYBE CENSOR CBC AND GLOBAL AND CTV BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN ALLOWED TO LIE FOR OVER 6 YEARS NOW WITHOUT REPERCUSSIONS AND STOP FUNDING TRUDEAUS LIBERAL BS
Andrew	M.	Media companies cutting off Canadian news and content instead of supporting Canadian content.
Jason	C.	Media platforms could pull ot of the Canadian market entirely. It's not a big market, and if the hurldes to be in it are too high they have no incentive to stay. This leaves the big Canadian players (Bell, Rogers) in a position to dominate and, in turn, reduce choices and increase prices. We see this in the telecom space, this will be the same. I won't say that Canada will become a cultural wasteland, but content will be decided by a small number of companies for all Canadians.

Shane	Y.	Media sharing was designed to promote global unification. Isolating an entire country by regulating their media is not only dangerous, it's downright insulting to members of different cultures and heratiges. Exclusivity has no place in Canadian media, and this form of regulation can not be tolerated.
Stacy	G.	Messing up my feeds. Platforms are not visible in Canada anymore because they don't want to comply with rules. Annoying search results.
Evelyn	L.	Misinformation from political governing organizations. They seem to take liberties that harm society. Let all sides be presented fairly without bias and let we the people decide.
M.A.	L.	Missing content I want to see.
Michael	C.	Missing international content due to it not being Canadian enough. It should be up to the consumer to filter through the g content they want or do not want to see.
Sue	T.	Missing out on certain world news and opinions that may be unavailable.
Christian	L.	Missing out on content I've been watching for years just because it's not canadian enough
Stacy	W.	Missing out on important events going on around the world and in Canada. Things happening outside of Canada can still impact people here so we should be able to know about it.
Samuel	l.	Modification of recommendation algorithms to prioritize Canadian Content (whatever that means) instead of things I would rather see is incredibly problematic. Algorithms are already designed to serve what they think you would enjoy watching; nothing needs to change. If I want to see Canadian Content, I'll search for it.
Iris	Н.	Modified searches would be concerning, as well as increased surveillance and data gathering.
Olivia	S.	Modifying searches and recommendations would be detrimental to Canadian YouTubers. It would hurt Canadian creators, not help them.
Andrew	L.	Modifying the results of my web searches for online content is, at it's core, censorship. And censorship in the first world that we live in means more often than not that wealth has the power to buy opinion through targeted media publishing. Politicians can (and have in our very recent history) used targeted media sales to influence votes. Our country is less

		free and democratic with this type of concerning and Lycen for the
		free and democratic with this type of censorship, and I weep for the future.
Nazar	K.	Modifying your searches: Your feeds/searches featuring more designated Canadian content over other content Modifying your personalized recommendations: Your Related or For-You pages, or automatically generated playlists featuring more designated Canadian content over other content
Cullen	S.	Modifying your searches: Your feeds/searches featuring more designated Canadian content over other content. I'm sorry but as a media viewer I don't care. I don't want this. The majority of what I watch comes from Japan or Indonesia.
Andy	L.	Monitor the media like Facebook where they promote fake media feeds
beatrice	C.	more canadian content and giving young independent film makers more opertunities and more funding, we really need to focus on our young film makers, because they are ou future in good films. we have a lot of really talented youngters in Canada all they need is hope and a chance as a mom this is my focus.
ron	p.	More CanCon is , plain and simple, just another form of internet censorship.
Dustin	B.	More censorship and less privacy.
Allen	F.	More censorship and manipulation of the public.
Louise	S.	More censorship and no freedom to choose control over feeds and content and posts.
Tyler	G.	More censorship than we already have, The internet has been around decades why now with the nonsense
Matt	О.	More censorship, bill C11 is very dangerous territory. Besides, it's become a globally linked world, we interact with the far reaches of the world daily whether it be business or personal. Locking the doors and blocking the world out because of content origin seems very backwards and authoritarian to me.
Nadine	P.	More censorship, less choice
Jacquelin e	S.	More Communistic Agenda

Gerald	В.	More control from the government is something we don't need. Unable to watch the content that interests myself or others,
Jeff	В.	More Digital locks, manipulated feeds and costs towards the internet & content creators affect me as a disabled Canadian. Prices for internet, wireless and TV access only continue to increase while more and more online streaming services are created by giant corporations, with each individual service requiring continually increasing subscription payment costs to access. The price of everything continues to increase while income assistance for people with disabilities like myself continue to remain stagnant & unchanged well below the cost of living at poverty levels. Which is exactly what drives online piracy of digital media. I'm not going to pay for a service that is then forced to manipulate my feeds and searches to promote often substandard CanCon Canadian content made by large legacy media companies who know how to stack the deck so they can draw from Canada Media Funding to often produce content that isn't even about Canada or Canadians, while new media is left out or penalized because they don't fit into the outdated ridged rules for what the CRTC determines as Canadian Content. And now they want to add even more laws and regulation that only tilts the already uneven playing field even more towards big media?! C-11 is just another bill created with outdated standards that only benefits large legacy media, forcing CanCon's rigid, exclusionary rules onto new internet media, social media platforms, feeds and search results.
Alan	W.	More dominance of foreign broadcasters
Jean Kathleen	D.	More expensive and less options
John	D.	More expensive and less options
Françoi s	D.	More french content is needed
Doug	R.	More government censorship and restrictions that limit what we can access to sources they alone control.
Tim	M.	More government censorship. I am an adult and should be able to make up my own mind on what I wish to see or read. Bill C11 is promoted as a Canadian Content protection but it appears to be a slippery slope to more government censorship. We need less government involvement not more. At its worse this bill could be used as a government propaganda tool.

	II GII	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Allen	D.	More government control, more government INTRUSION into my life. What I am willing to subscribe to and/or watch in the privacy of my home is NOBODY'S DAMN BUSINESSESPECIALLY big brother TruDOPE.
David	A.	More government overreach and control of what THEY want me see. Freedom of speech is paramount in a democratic society.
james	m.	More government sponsored propaganda. Just like in Nazi Germany or Communist controlled Russia, when a government oversteps into what we can and can not view, creating laws to enforce what we see, think and hear, we are on the downward spiral of everything any good dystopian fiction has told us, what our out come will be.
Ryan	P.	More junk in my feed. Less freedom of choice, less freedom of expression. Loss of soverignty over my online choices.
Duane	K.	More Liberal propaganda from CBC.
MARYLY N	S.	more lies, more encouraging sodomy. more Canadian nonsense and Ontario is best propaganda. more liberal lies more difamation of Americans and Donald Trump
Debbie	S.	More misinformation being put out there for conspiracy theorists to thrive on.I am tired of the idiocy.
Deayne	S.	More of the content I watch/listen to daily and that I'm interested in, becomes harder to view/listen to and find. The internet was created to be free and open, not REGULATED by governments!
Shane	M.	More propaganda, and control, and price gauging off the top of my head. I do not think Canadian citizens should be restricted or have there online activities, be controlled and monitored by government.
Huma	A.	More regulation of content based around ethics would be agreeable to me and if this is required for those broadcasting into Canada, I would support it.
Colleen	В.	More restrictions. There are already too many restrictions with content in Canada. I would like to see more channels or the same channels/content as the US.
Sandra	S.	Most can-con is dreadful and I take measures on the platforms I use to avoid it. I want to continue to be able to access content that interests me and not have it limited by arbitrary designations.

Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in.  The market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cate to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may actually be interested in.  Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be served Canadian content is when I'm looking for it.  Greg  V. most of Canadian content is shit. dont try to force me to watch it.  Most of the content I watch seldom comes from Canada. They may be made in Canada but it doesn't make them Canadian and I don't want m homepage to forcibly change  Much information is being blockTruth matters and I believe blocking any content is an infringement on free speech  Much like pre-roll ads, I will disregard and avoid any media I'm not interested in.  Much of the content I currently tune in to is not covered by Canadian content creators. I feel that forcing anyone, Canadian or not, to cover such interests and/or topics, however foreign content creators that put in more effort or present their content better should not be punished for simply not having a specific ethnic or political background.  Multiculturalism could suffer as we would not see as much from a broad spectrum of creators and creators themselves will likely suffer as it is trends and ideas that they get from other sources that provide them with content to make. We can emphasize Canadian media and creators without taking away or limiting access or exposure of other nationalities of creators.	Chris	R.	My ability to access all information freely without government involvement.
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in. The market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cater to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may actually be interested in.  Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be served Canadian content is when I'm looking for it.  Greg V. most of Canadian content is shit. dont try to force me to watch it.  Most of the content I watch seldom comes from Canada. They may be made in Canada but it doesn't make them Canadian and I don't want m homepage to forcibly change  Much information is being blockTruth matters and I believe blocking any content is an infringement on free speech  Much like pre-roll ads, I will disregard and avoid any media I'm not interested in.  Much of the content I currently tune in to is not covered by Canadian content creators. I feel that forcing anyone, Canadian or not, to cover such interests would result in a poor experience. I believe it is a good thing to promote Canadian content creators that wish to cover certain interests and/or topics, however foreign content creators that put in more effort or present their content better should not be punished for simply	Rebecca	D.	trends and ideas that they get from other sources that provide them with content to make. We can emphasize Canadian media and creators without taking away or limiting access or exposure of other nationalities of creators.
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in.  K. The market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cater to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may  Brock  S. Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be served Canadian content is when I'm looking for it.  Greg  V. most of Canadian content is shit. dont try to force me to watch it.  Most of the content I watch seldom comes from Canada. They may be made in Canada but it doesn't make them Canadian and I don't want m homepage to forcibly change  Much information is being blockTruth matters and I believe blocking any content is an infringement on free speech  Much like pre-roll ads, I will disregard and avoid any media I'm not	Savas	Т.	content creators. I feel that forcing anyone, Canadian or not, to cover such interests would result in a poor experience. I believe it is a good thing to promote Canadian content creators that wish to cover certain interests and/or topics, however foreign content creators that put in more effort or present their content better should not be punished for simply
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in The market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cated to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may actually be interested in.  Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be served Canadian content is when I'm looking for it.  Greg  V. most of Canadian content is shit. dont try to force me to watch it.  Most of the content I watch seldom comes from Canada. They may be made in Canada but it doesn't make them Canadian and I don't want m homepage to forcibly change  Much information is being blockTruth matters and I believe blocking	Peter	F.	· ·
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in The market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cate to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may actually be interested in.  Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be served Canadian content is when I'm looking for it.  Greg V. most of Canadian content is shit. dont try to force me to watch it.  Most of the content I watch seldom comes from Canada. They may be made in Canada but it doesn't make them Canadian and I don't want metals.	Karen	T.	9
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in The market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cate to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may actually be interested in.  Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be served Canadian content is when I'm looking for it.	Jane	L.	made in Canada but it doesn't make them Canadian and I don't want my
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in the market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cater to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may Brock  S. Most info/entertainment I consume isn't Canadian. I should only be	Greg	V.	most of Canadian content is shit. dont try to force me to watch it.
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in the market will do the rest.  Most homegrown Canadian content (thinking conventional TV shows) isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cater to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may	Gordon	J.	
Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in	Brock	S.	isn't well written or isn't high quality, so more of the same thing doesn't work the way you want it to. Between that and forcing platforms to cater to that will result in less people interested in watching that content, especially if they're forced to see those videos then what they may
Most Canadian content is sub standard. The heat way to promote	Bill	K.	Most Canadian content is sub standard. The best way to promote Canadian content is to produce quality content people are interested in. The market will do the rest.

DONALD		My ability to access world news that is not edited by major media and the government!
Paulina	K.	My ability to chose what I want & don't want to see.
Karlene	J.	My ability to decide for myself as an adult what I would like to see. I want the content I choose and not to be pulled by my nose towards content the Government favours.
Corrine	K.	My ability to find news sources that are not Main stream Media.
Chris	N.	My ability to freely interact with any content and not be fed a specific experience based on governmental motivation and intervention.
Maurice	R.	My ability to gain knowledge in specific areas of interest.
Sabrina	S.	My ability to have international news
Gord	M.	My ability to make my own choices when it comes to the content that I want to look at.
Jocelyne	Н.	My ability to watch the news I prefer (independent news), that they have free speech online and that I still have freedom of speech online.
Morgan	S.	My access to the truth. I have a right to know.
Darrell	S.	My big concern is that this law will be abused by the government and stop ANY content that that they deem not appropriate for Canadians to watch. Right now you are trying to promote Canadian content, which aside from some special programs is not worth watching, but if there is something news worthy but will make the government look bad, they can block it and simple say it is not Canadian enough. Since when did we become a nanny state that tells their people what they can and cannot watch. This is Bill C-11 setup for abuse by the government.
Ken	M.	My biggest concern is that the government can control what I watch and what I say, i.e., censorship. Sometimes our government gets things totally wrong, and should not be able to force us to follow the same path or ideology. An example is its pathetic subservience to the US position in its war against Russia in Ukraine, causing the deaths of many innocent Ukrainians and Russians.
Luba	V.	My biggest concern is that there would be no more freedom of speech and no more freedom of information Mainstream media which is government sponsored will be the only source of information (news) which is biased towards the Liberal agenda as seen in Alberta. C-11 will

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		allow government to censor information online just like in China Individuals should decide what is misinformation or disformation, not the government.
Tyson	B.	My biggest concern is the attempts by this particular federal government to censor canadians in ANY form or way
terry	p.	My biggest concern is the Canadian government censorship, and I fear we're losing our right to free speech and free will. We starting to become a country that's not democratic and the news will be controlled by the liberal government . I believe censorship of the internet is the wrong way to go
Adam	F.	My biggest concern is the government having control over what I am able to find on the internet, especially on video sharing websites like YouTube. I also don't want Canadian creators to feel pressured by the CRTC to make Canada related content to gain an advantage over those who don't.
Kathrine	W.	My biggest concern is to have content censored or muted with bias. The user should have control over their own experience.
Dave	R.	my choice
Jen	P.	My choice to choose what I want to view. I don't want to be directed to something that I had no intention to view
Susan	W.	My choices not being available.
Adam	G.	My concern is giving to much power to one body, I would not want to see Canadians voices be silenced no matter who they speak for, it's our freedom and having our posts online controlled by the CRTC is against those freedoms. The promotion of Canadian content to Canadians is a wonderful thing, just leave it at regulating the amount of Canadian content seen and not what content Canadians see.
Lynn	S.	My concern is government violating my freedom to have available and view any internet content that I wish to access. I don't need some dictatorial politician determining what should be available to me on any social media
Garett	M.	My concern is Justin Trudeau is attacking Canadian media with is Orwellian propaganda that I'm scared of, his family are the most corrupt people in Canada and I'm mad as hell and I'm not going to take it anymore.

		lework regarding continuations to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Keith	Н.	My concern is losing my ability to make my own choices about what I see or read. Nobody has the right to take that from me. This bill continues to turn Canada into a dictatership.
Francesc a	В.	My concern is not having the ability to view what's truthfully going on around the world, and especially being censored. I don't want to have a government force more "Canadian content" upon me. It's limiting and sanctimonious to think that Canadians want this.
Donald	C.	My concern is primarily disinformation and spread of hatred on the internet. If Bill C-11 eliminates substantially more of this seriously harmful content I would be most satisfied. If my search results are 40% shorter as a result, I am content.
Nancy	P.	My concern is that all voices need to be heard, respectfully! Todays government says they are diversified, freedom and liberty is their goal! Instead this government has know tolerance for any other voice but they're own! What do they fear, the voice of those with different views? That then would make our leadership small minded, unable to allow others to speak. Fear is always the opposite of love!
Nancy	E.	My concern is that any choice of content I choose to read, search, watch etc would be potentially blocked. The CRTC and the Canadian government should not be allowed to dictate what my choices are. I'm old enough to know what my preference is and things that I think aren't suitable to my taste, I don't watch and/or search for.
William	M.	My concern is that C-11 will, of course, and undoubtedly, be used to censor political opinions that contradict Liberal propaganda and talking points. The leftist-dominated CRTC will now be the de facto, behind-the-scenes, arbiter of truth for Canadian internet content.
Rita	M.	My concern is that Canada is becoming more and more a government run authoritarian country - We are losing our freedom of speech - freedom of choice in many areas, especially the media - and veering towards a dictatorship style of government.
Layne	K.	My concern is that Canadian content is being rammed down our throats. Forcing Canadian content in peoples' faces first indicates to me that it is poorly created and executed. Content ought not be designated merely because of country of origin. Nor should taxpayer money be used to fund creators of stuff just because they are Canadian. If the content is interesting, creative and beneficial to them, people will make their own

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		choice/decision to engage with it. CBC is a great example of what not to watch.
Darcy	М.	My concern is that content results get manipulated. I think the market should decide that. Also some platforms may decide the implementation of this isn't worth the hassle and will simply not accept Canadian accounts.
Paul	D.	My concern is that free speah is evaporating.
Thomas	C.	My concern is that I am an adult, and I'm being treated like a child by people who work for me. I want less censorship and not more. I want to be able to read opinions that's are contrary to those held by the government. Canadians need access to independent news sources, we don't want to be stuck with just the government funded CBC telling us what they're been told to tell us.
Christoph er	Н.	My concern is that I want to have full freedom of access to the internet news or any social media content of my choice without any government / corporate / Canadian Radio-television / Telecommunications Commission (CRTC) interference in what i get to see.
James	M.	My concern is that I won't be able to consume the content that I enjoy watching.
		My concern is that I'll no longer be able to find the most entertaining and relevant content to me because of a government filter determining that I must be consuming Canadian content with a definition that's flawed and doesn't help consumers or content creators but instead brings everyone down as has been seen with big traditional media (i.e. CanCon is an issue for all media). It's already been proven that well done Canadian media can succeed as seen with Kim's Convenience, Working Moms, etc. and while I agree Canadian content should get support, it should succeed based on its merits of appeal to audiences, quality, relevance, etc. and not just because it's mandated content to make us more patriotic (lots of US content is already shot and produced in Canada plus there's lots of grey area for what exactly is Canadian as our identity is not clear cut or secular which could be seen as a form of systemic discrimination trying to apply black and white labels to something so subjective that changes over time). I'm concerned individual content creators on YouTube and social media will be disproportionately impacted due to their more individualised status compared to larger corporate media outlets (while YouTube and social media companies are
Blane	P.	big, users are essentially independent contractors as opposed to

	II GII	lework regarding continuations to support canadian and indigenous content
		employees and thus lack resources to deal with huge bureaucratic labels of CanCon).
Alexandr	R.	My concern is that more lgbbtq videos will start to appear in peoples feeds, and what they do a just fundamentally immoral and wrong, as days go by more and more i see how these people try to confuse and ruin children enforcing their perverted views onto ppl that can easily be indoctrinated and are having difficulty comparing facts and truth to what is going on, and that should not happen, as well as i dont want current government blocking information they think is bad for example videos of ppl exposing them for where they were wrong and are trying to cover up, internet should be a tool of free choice and opportunity within reason for the user is how i see it
Daniel	J.	My concern is that only specific "government sanctioned" Canadian content would be pushed upon users while other Canadian content may go unrecognized as being canadian in nature. Otherwise, that "Canadian" content may be pushed as being more relevant than non-Canadian content.
Litsa	G.	My concern is that our feeds are now controlled by a handful of people. They control the views and opinions of what they want us to hear and see. We won't be getting the full picture of what is out there and what is going on. I feel our freedoms are lost and my viewing is controlled. I honestly feel we have become a communist state. This is morally wrong!! We should be the ones making our own choices and listening and viewing what interests us.
Yonggan g	Z.	My concern is that our government may invade our charter of right and weaken our fundamnetal right.
Sean	A.	My concern is that streaming services will, as done with Can-con rules for radio, will just recycle old "classic" Canadian content instead of new content. If a fund is created to support Canadian content, it should be for new content.
Jonathan	P.	My concern is that there's streamer's and media that tell us the reality of our government and I'm afraid they will ban all of the content so we don't find out about the truth.
David	S.	My concern is that these decisions are made by unqualified, corrupt, scumbags.

Michael	T.	My concern is that this latest piece of unnecessary government intervention will result in superfluous and entirely undesired further deviations from my intended search queries towards artificially promoted subpar media expressly against my interest or entirely replace region agnostic results with explicitly Canadian alternatives. Whether content is Canadian or not is entirely irrelevant; only being so when I explicitly state as such. Taken to an extreme this mandate is ripe for abuse in the service of superficially justifiable government censorship under any of a multitude of specious guises and mandates.
Allan	B.	My concern is that we can't really trust any Government Agency to really care about the Canadian Public, otherwise, the CRTC would break apart the Cell phone, Internet, Media companies that are basically a monopoly keeping our prices in Canada higher than anywhere else in the world. Another concern is that we will be blocked from accessing important world wide news and entertainment and documentaries that we as Canadians have a right to know about and peruse at our convenience. The only things that needs blocking is illegal activity and porn, but especially child porn!
Heather	F.	My concern is that we will only see what Government wants us to see.  Therefore restricting our right to know.
Janette	S.	My concern is that when I research news content, medical and scientific articles, I get these things from Canadian, US, British and international sources of my choosing. I do not want my searches railroaded into Canadian content priorities, though with news, I would like to continue to see content but also other non-Canadian news sites as I do now. Not turn off completely Can Con to avoid more Can Con. Things are fine the way they are now. As far a social media goes, it should not have content from other Canadian broadcasters who pay real people to create content, then have it distributed far and wide through social media platforms who pay nothing. So there has to be fairness there.
Peter	C.	My concern is the CRTC deciding, based on the 'flavour of the month', what is promoted and what isn't; basically doing an end run around Free Speech. The people have the right to say what they want but arrest anyone who listens! - Capitan Esteban, Zorro, the Gay Blade.
Deborah	R.	My concern is the government should not have the right to suppress any content. All ideas, good or bad, should be held up to the scrutiny of the public forum. We do not need a nanny state and the last people we need censoring the public forum is the government or its hired regulators (CRTC). I am not sure why Mr. Trudeau and his Liberal Party what to

	man	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		push Canadian Culture as he doesn't like Canadian Culture and is busy tearing down Canada and all good things about this wonderful country.
Laurie	B.	My concern is the loss of Freedom of speech through true democracy.
Rosemar y	P.	My concern is the search criteria would give more Canadian content with out supporting the creation of more Canadian content. I am not sure if the CRTC can force the online services to display more Canadian content but I would like that.
Kris	V.	My concern is with any censorship of individuals contributions
Mike	I.	My concern that government is too involved in day to day business. Which leads to capital and investment moving away to places where the government isn't as involved in people's business.
Darrin	P.	My concern with Bill C11 is that Canadians will not have access to global news sources so they can make informed decisions based on news services from out side of Canada. Bill C11 is apparently, in effect, putting a gag order on outside of Canada news sources. This is not how a democratic country operates, it is how a communist country operates. Stop trying to control what Canadians have access to. Bill C11 is an over-reach of government power.
Mike	L.	My concern would be having the gov't direct and/or curtail access to content. Let me be the judge.
Doug	B.	My concerns are censorship and infringement on individual freedom of speech whether popular or unpopular mainstream. Canadians should be free to hear all views/research from Canada or worldwide and decide for themselves what they wish to believe. Propagation of a doctrine or views sympathetic to C-11 administration is really only propaganda which is a slippery slope. It is the government's job to protect free speech, not hinder it.
Nomi	L.	My concerns are the sneaky way in which this bill is being twisted into sounding like it is concern for Canadians. When it is, in my and many MANY others just a silencing of independent voices within Canada. Trudeau is already a tyrant and this will give him and his lying cronies even more ways to turn this country into a fascist state.

Angela	Q.	My concerns: 1. Streaming companies have already been hit hard with the recent recession. They have pulled content that hasn't been selling off of their services (https://www.cnbc.com/2023/05/29/streaming-services-remove-movies-s hows-heres-why.html). Shows sell if they're interesting, not if they're CanCon. Just as most books don't become bestsellers, most shows are not going to be popular. So there's no point in forcing companies to fund content that's ultimately not going to sell. 1.5. If streaming companies must fund CanCon, then that's ultimately going to come out of my paycheck. Companies will have to increase their streaming rates and then internet in Canada -which is already the most overpriced in the developed world- will just become more expensive. That's assuming if these streaming platforms decide to stay in Canada, which leads into my next point: 2. Small streaming platforms (e.g. Britbox, Crunchyroll) would simply pull out of Canada rather than comply with the current Bill C-11. I like watching anime, and Crunchyroll is the largest anime streaming platform. If Crunchyroll pulled its services from Canada, then I will no longer be able to legally watch what I like. 3. Youtube / Tiktok / whatever recs for Canada content might not be so bad depending on how it's implemented. For example: I like listening to music and watching games on Youtube, and I don't think it's the end of the world if I'm recommended music made by Canadian musicians or let's players. But the current phrasing of Bill C-11 and how the CRTC will manipulate algorithms is vague. It may be that content that I've never seen before shows up on my feed, such as nature docs in Canada. Sure, I guess I'm exposed to something new and maybe it would compel me to check out content that I wouldn't have checked out otherwise. But, as a digital marketer, I know that the more likely answer is that I would pass up content that doesn't relate to my interests at all.
Adrian	В.	My content and my interests will be filtered, and no one should do that. I can hunt for Canadian content if I want to view it!
Riley	B.	My content choices are drastically reduced
Christine	F.	My content feeds should be under my control. I don't want someone else controlling it.
Paul	E.	My coverns are mostly over who decides what is to be regulated and the transparency of what exactly will be regulated and what other measures might be implemented such as content prioritization, filtering, throttling or blocking due to political pressure.

	II all	My entire ice had the internet Lyce told at a very young your generation
Jacob	C.	My entire ice had the internet I was told at a very young your generation is the first to have access to other people across the world. I was moved by statement and spent my life using platforms like Wikipedia and YouTube about other countries and cultures it's always been so fascinating. With the passage of this bill the algorithm will change as to not show me the creators I watch who are the majority of online streamers I follow (foreign YouTubers)
Caleb	N.	My experience going through my feeds. If I find Canadian content I like on my own through my suggestions, I'll watch it, but I don't want my recommended filled up with Canadian creator nonsense.
Peter	M.	My family and circle of influence are diametrically opposed to bill c-11 or any form of government censorship.
scottd	d.	My feed should not be suggested, but what I choose. From who or where I want.
Holly	M.	My feeds are already based too much on previous things I have viewed or based on location even if I turn it off. Ridiculous and angering search features now barely work. CBC is over funded and should not be allowed to show any foreign and needs to disclose on all broadcasts they are funded by the Federal Government. I am still irate over their refusal to report with any accuracy on the Income Trust issue during Conservative Stephen Harper's regime. Unreasonable that Flaherty refusing to debate Brent Fullard with proceeds going to a non profit was not newsworthy. Especially since it's been proven that structure did not cause tax leakage and many regular and financial people have not been corrected due to CBC's refusal to report on this issue. Refusal to report without a strong government bias continues to be a problem and has escalated drastically under the current NDP / Liberal regime on all news outlets - especially CBC. After subjecting myself to CBC radio on several long drives I am coming to the conclusion overall CBC's programming is frivolous, no longer Canadian and a waste of time. How is it that Radio Canada has better reporting and content? Equally unacceptable I have to do too many searches to find my French Canadian content if I am in Ontario. Somehow this is not an issue if my devices have recently been in Quebec. Annoying. I want to view whatever I want, from whatever country I want in whatever language I want without Canadian government interference. I feel I have the right to be offended or make up my own mind on anything if I choose to view something. I am not an idiot and deeply resent being treated like an agricultural animal.

Roy	M.	My first experience with streaming radio was that I could find more Canadian content on American stations than ones in Canada. When you force something on people, there will be resistance. Let the people decide on what they want. Protectionism only makes it worse.
Tom	S.	My freedom
Michael	S.	My freedom and diversity
Michael	B.	My freedom and right to view the WORLDWIDE WEB without any interference from our government!
Cheryl	F.	My freedom as a Canadian on the internet is important I'm concerned what I want to watch that is important to me will either be taken away and me forced to watch Canadian content, if this happens I will shut of my social media. I want freedom not being told what to watch, if I want to watch Canadian content I can if I don't want to watch Canadian content that I have that option too. Thank you
Mickey	A.	My freedom of choice is being compromised Living in a global society means access to global information!
Karen	M.	My freedom of choice limited against my wishes. Or content constantly in my feed before what I'm already interested in watching. I'm an adult I can choose for myself.
Jeanne	L.	My freedom of choice would be a huge concern Canadians are free to choose what they want to listen to or watch The more variety the better We need independent media We do not want to be subjected to government interference
Margaret	C.	My freedom of expression is being taken away by the government .There is to much government control on everything these days.
Magdale na	В.	My freedom of speech will be limited and my voice will be silenced, especially if it goes against the mainstream view. This is wrong. And I do not agree with silencing my opinions through regulation of what I can post on social media or what I can watch and talk about or comment on. Bring back freedom of speech!
Paula	P.	My freedom of speech. My ability to pass on important information to the rest of my contacts etc.
Justin	S.	My freedom to choose

Liz	S.	My freedom to choose if I even want more canadian content than other content.
Rob	M.	My freedom to choose the content I engage with, and the ability to research topics of my choosing. I'm not in favour of authority in any form censoring the internet; the past 3 years is a testament to its stifling of healthy debate.
Eve	A.	My freedom to choose what I see or hear.
John	Z.	My freedom to choose what I want to see online should not be regulated by some government agency. This is supposed to be a free country and as such the people should be free to make their own choices as to what they see. Not be forced to watch or read something that they have no interest in.
Irma	S.	My freedom to choose what I want to watch. I do not pay taxes for the government to tell me how I can live my life. I am an adult and can choose for myself. I also do not want to be forced to support Canadian content.
Fadia	K.	My freedom to choose what I want to when I want without my government restricting me from watching or seeing freely what I want or believe in.
Reg	C.	My freedom to choose what to read or watch. This is the thin edge of the wedge to control everything we watch and read.
Rigas	V.	My freedom to CHOOSE!!
Diane	B.	My freedom to decide in accordance with my religious convictions My freedom of expression My freedom to choose
Gerald	V.	My freedom to decide what I want to see, I do not need the gouvernement to decide what I watch like in China.
Jonathan	D.	My freedom to search for specific information which does not pertain to Canada. If I wanted to find details specific to Canada then I would specify keywords such as Canada, Ontario, Alberta, Toronto, etc. If I specify keywords for other countries I want to get detail on then so be it.
Susan	V.	my freedom to see the things I chose to see
Gord	C.	My freedom to see what I want to. Full grown adults should not be manipulated by liberal analogies.

Karin	P.	My freeedom to choose for myself!
Katherine	В.	My greatest concern is our federal government slowly taking away our freedom of choice. I should beable to watch or post my opinion.
Carla M	W.	My greatest concern is that the liberal government is trying to control what we see and hear online. This bill needs to be abolished . PERIOD. IF THE GOVERNMENT CKNTROLS THE MEDIA, THEN THE GOVERNMENT CONTROLS THE PEOPLE. CANADA IS NOT A DICTATORSHIP! TRUDEAU IS A TRAITOR AND NEEDS TO BE JAILED!
Garry	R.	My grievance is that Prime Minister Justin Trudeau is pushing this bill forward. I do not trust this man to act in the best interests of Canadians. He pushed the Covid 19 experimental vaccines and said they were safe and effective when neither is true and there was no way he could have known that they were without the test of time. He forced lockdowns that were detrimental to child development, family relationships, and small business. He showed his disreguard for community and family by placing harsh restrictions on church gatherings when they were most needed in the face of his oppression. He ignored Doctors that advised against his mandates and Big Tech censored skilled doctors and scientists with differing beliefs. He allowed Big Pharma to revoke safe alternative medicines that would have made the EUA null and void. I believe Justin Trudeau is a world class criminal and that his promotion of censorship is for the sole purpose of covering up his crimes. It is my belief that the RCMP or the Military should get him off the street and lock him up with no access to his current office so that he can do no more harm to Canadians. He has proven himself to be very prejudice and he has shown great contempt for the law and the lives of Canadians. He has no respect for science, religion, Canadian's rights, or even Canadian's money. His sole intent is to cover up his own crimes and those crimes of other world class criminals. He is the most unworthy person to push anything forward.
Kyle	B.	My largest concern is that subjecting individual small creators and content developers to the same standards as state-funded broadcasters will make it nearly impossible for them to keep operating, silencing those important voices entirely. At the same time, mandating what people can and cannot see through their feeds and recommendations, and especially making certain content undiscoverable amounts to censorship by attempting to control what the public sees and hears. This level of

		government control over the exchange of ideas and information cannot be allowed in a country that claims to be a democracy.
hadi	M.	My liberty to choose which content deserve to bé supported
Martin	D.	My main concern is censorship along political lines.
Matthew	N.	My main concern is if independent creators (wether using a platform like YouTube or independent website) will be subject to modifications of recommendation algorithms. While I have no issue for creators to receive funding to achieve their vision through CanCon, or even that video sharing/social media pays a share to Canada's media fund, it is counter productive to meddle with user-generated platforms recommendations that are already Geo-location relevant by arbitrary rules to prove how Canadian a creator is to be promoted in a . I do not feel applying similar rules of the television and radio world to the world of the internet is outdated, even if the promotion of CanCon can be admirable. in many ways you could argue it's trying to put square blocks into round holes. The Chief of Cultural Heritage says that these laws are not to target your cat photos and social media post feeds, but how true is that? Even if the current administration is relatively hands off to UGC sites now, that doesn't mean it won't change in the future. We have fair amount of decently sized independent and teams of creators in this country that generate revenue and is a net positive for the country a. Is there a monetary threshold for a piece content to be subject to regulation? Is the act of adding such a monetary threshold to be subject to algorithmic meddling prioritizing successful creators over those simply starting out? Does this not make all social media post regulated by proxy?
Paula	G.	My main concern is my freedom to explore and have access to all information, no matter which country it originates from.
Joshua	C.	My main concern is that my own content and access to the content I regularly watch will be restricted or prohibited. I'd like to retain the basic human right to watch what I want without any government interference whatsoever.
Tiago	M.	My main concern is that the CTRC is not the adequate organism to manage this issue at all. Trying to impose TV rules to the internet can only backfire. Trying to adapt TV rules to the internet will lead to poor results. The internet is a different medium, and should be treated as such. Since we seem to be stuck with the CTRC holding this role for now, I can only hope they designate a specific, separated team to

		oversee internet rules, and that this team has sufficient agency to even deny CTRC requests when needed. This team should, from the start, be created with the goal that it will, eventually, become its own commission.
Michael	N.	My main concerns about bill C-11 are the similar to the ongoing problems that Bill C-18 is showing. By using heavy handed, blunt and dim-witted overarching rulings, the amount of content freedom and creation in Canada will go DOWN instead of up. It is always easier for large and small companies to simply avoid making content in Canada than being penalized for trying to access our population.
		My main fear is that my social media feeds will be altered in malicious ways. We already receive plenty of government ads on platforms such as Youtube or Instagram which in general I find harmless. However, this changes when the discussion surrounding political issues within Canada is subject to more direct scrutiny and alteration under the guise of broadcasting regulation. While the current form of C-11 talks about prioritizing Canadian content, this definitively opens the door to more dubious applications of altering citizens' feeds in future amendments. Additionally, I follow and interact with many content creators, artists, musicians from around the globe. These are people that I have formed genuine connections with that would not have been possible without the internet in its current form. The way these sites already chose which content appear on feeds based in part on geographical location. Access to a global network should allow Canadians to not just explore content curated from just one country. It should, as it has always, allow people to interact with the world and expand their understanding of other cultures. Finally, from a more utilitarian perspective, modifying search results would make the internet less useful to Canadians. The fact of the matter is that a lot of the web based tools or local applications that I use for work come from around the world. Internet Archive for example, is based in San Francisco California. Clip Studio Paint, the app I use for work, is developed in Japan. Should search results be modified, these sorts of tools would be more difficult to find if at all, especially for users unfamiliar
Adrian	G.	to these tools that would benefit from them.

tram	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
C.	My name is Devin and I'm one of Canada's largest YouTubers. I produce videos and upload them to YouTube where they entertain tens of millions of people each month. I'm writing to you to express my immense concern with the implementation of bill C-11. I hope to explain the exclusively negative effects it will have on not just my multi-million dollar business, but a billion-dollar Canadian industry that's active in every province. YouTube runs on user feedback. When I upload a video, it's presented to users for their consideration. If those users choose to watch the video, YouTube's system sees this as positive feedback indicating the video is good and deserves to be promoted further. If users choose not to watch, YouTube's system identifies the video as lesser and promotes other videos instead. All of this is driven by a sophisticated algorithm which does an incredible job of successfully matching users with videos. If the CRTC were to force YouTube to show Canadians more Canadian-made content such as my own, it would destroy every Canadians' chance at competing in this system. By forcing YouTube to show our videos to users based on their geography rather than their interests, bill C-11 will lock us into a negative-feedback loop. The increased rate of uninterested Canadian users being paired with inspecific Canadian-made videos will result in our businesses struggling to succeed on an international scale. To put this into context; A vegan in Ontario will not watch a barbeque tutorial simply because the chef is Canadian. An 80 year old in BC will not watch a video game montage simply because the commentator is Canadian. A 13 year old in Newfoundland will not watch an analysis of the economy simply because the panel of experts are Canadian. In these hypotheticals, the quota may have been met but views have decreased, revenue has decreased and the videos have been put at an algorithmic disadvantage leading to less reach in international markets.
S.	my news canadian content will no longer be shown on platforms I used. it was silly to demand money from these platforms that link to the canadian content source. now we will not see it all unless we go directly to news sites, which I will not be doing. Also Bell media is reducing content for local Ottawa news (from a brief I read, not sure if it is accurate yet). I do not want to see a reduction in my local news in Ottawa. If they can't produce that locally then have them sell those stations off.
A.	My online life.

Devin

brian

James

Hani	S.	My only concern is international content and news will get cut, leading to media and news blackouts. The Canadian government already censored RT and other international news sources, which are credible news sources. The Canadian government shouldn't control what we see and hear. Canadians should have the right and ability to make their own decisions and form their own understanding. Clearly that is not the case and this is what C-11 is all about. Canadian content means Canadian narrative, even if it's completely false information, which is the case for the most part.
Justin	Y.	My primary concern is a gross misappliaction of regulation that will stifle Canadian creators when platforms start to shy away from Canadian markets. Secondly, I'm concerned that over-regulation will cripple smaller creators and indirectly benefit large corporations if funding becomes even more difficult to access. Finally, I believe the CRTC has no place regulating internet searches for media content: instead, they should focus on promoting and improving the quality of Canadian content as a global export.
Cherish	B.	My primary concern is sensorship of the news, specifically foreign news. I want to be able to find and view news; and other media for that matter, freely and without government regulation.
Michael	B.	My primary concern is that this bill is a slippery slope to passing laws that would construct free access to I formation including media that would would oppose the Canadian narrative. As a skeptical free-thinker I require opposing viewpoints to form my own opinions and conclusions of events in the media and restricting the content that Canadians are allowed to view is the first step in establishing and pushing a platform for government propaganda.
Russell	S.	My Privacy and My Choice To See/Watch/Link/Post.
Douglas	A.	My privacy foremost and the fact that you feel that you should tell Canadians what to watch and think is offensive, stay out of our homes.
Esterina	C.	My privacy!
Nick	P.	My recommendations are fine as is. CanCon is for radio, keep it off of my feed
Stephani e	L.	My right to choose what I can see.

	II aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
June	E.	My right to choose what I read, search for, choose what I WANT TO WATCH ON MY TV! Less gay content! Less rules so we can speak freely! My right to choose!!
Christine	D.	My right to choose what i see and when i choose to see it i do not the government taking my god given rights away or trying to control those!!
Carmen	M.	My right to choose what I'd like to read and not read. Limited and biased content
Michelle	R.	My right to FREEDOM OF CHOICE!!
Matthew	Н.	My right to information could be manipulated.
lucinda	k.	My right to see stuff that concerns me and to choose when I want to see what non CanCon content there is out there. I don't often wtch the news, but we know thazt mainstream news sources don't capture all the news or opinions out there. Twitter and documentaties highlight other ways of thinking we havn't been exposed to and experiences of other people around the world are essencial to giving us indepth look at what influences and events, such as Covid 19, are spreading, or happening and impacting other peoples. I believe we should be able to choose who we help personally across the ocean, and that can only happen if social media regulations aren't shaping our news feeds and communication with others more than they are currently.
Tim	W.	My rights and content availability.
Corey	K.	My rights and freedom to choose.
Marc	M.	My rights and freedoms as a Canadian citizen most importantly.
Terry	S.	My rights as a Canadian citizen. Supposedly guaranteed under my Charter rights . I want to see what is going on in the country and world uncensored. I do not trust trudeau and the liberals to decide for me, as they are corrupt liars,Ķ,Ķ,Ķ,Ķ
Phil	M.	My rights as an adult voting Canadian citizen to choose what and when I want to watch on what media, and what I want to be exposed to, without that goddamn government in Ottawa, telling me what I can, and cannot watch
JJ	T.	My rights to search/post/discuss anything I want taken away, and also freedom of speech taken away from everyone.
Deborah	S.	My rights to view what I want will be denied.
-	-	

Robert	D.	My search feeds being modified to direct me to Canadian content only . I don't believe in confining ourselves to our own little Canadian bubble.
skeeter	a.	My search results should be based on my search criteria and whether they are Canadian enough.
Abiel	Z.	My social media content like YouTube tiktok. Small content creators shouldn't be affected
Michel	P.	My ultimate concern is being forced to feed their legacy news media like CBC, CTV, Global News, etc. The reality is that it will backfire because most common sense Canadians wouldn't watch them more. They would just stop watching the news entirely.
Eric	R.	My view of the world should be controlled by no one but me. That's nearly impossible given how feeds are currently filtered and manipulated by advertising and cookie collection,Ķ. Last thing I want is yet another filter obscuring and distorting MY view. Let me see what I want to see.
Dennis	S.	My worldwide freedom to seek out whatever information I choose is at stake. I will not have a lunatic fascist government telling me what is appropriate and truthful information that I am permitted to read. I shall disregard all government sponsored dis-information.
Scott	W.	My YouTube channel has already changed dramatically. Now I don't watch anything there anymore. Bill C-11 is communism under a thin veil of disguise and is disgusting.
Jeff	L.	N/A
Gonzalo	Н.	N/a
Patrick	P.	n/a
Félix	Ο.	N/A
Daniel	P.	Na
Martin	T.	Nanny Canada will intefer with our use of the internet and in so doing will inadvertently cramp creativity!
Cody	M.	Narrow world view, jingoism, limited freedom of speech or opposing viewpoints
Joe	J.	Nazi censorship never leads to anything good.

Earl	M.	no censoring
Dorin	C.	No bill or laws should impose what content a person is watching/reading. That option should fall in the consumer choice. And MSM should not receive more funds to promote Canadian content. The content itself wether it's Canadian or foreign, the consumer will be the actual decision factor. Worthy to watch/read or not. Not by imposing bills/laws
Martin	V.	No attempt should be made by the govt to amend search results, search order, or descriptions in any manner. Any attempt will be perceived as censorship and govt media manipulation. This is not the Canadian thing to do.
Laurie	Н.	No access. I should be able to determine what I want to see and read.
Anthony	C.	Next step in communism
Nicole	C.	News/media should never be censored. Especially not in a "free country".
marianna	V.	News will be limited to approved content only. The government will decide what we can experience. Choice will be more limited.
Brandon	В.	News is being shut down because of forced payments, even though news agencies are already benefitting by being on large spcial media platforms, and should be required to have extra payment
Caleb	M.	News & Media Censorship
Lyn	J.	Never mind promoting Canadian Content - that is not what is country and or government is suppose to be about!
Roland	S.	Net neutrality is a must and this bill puts it at risk.
Crystal	V.	Neing denied the right to access the content I want.
Nick	L.	Negative impact on charities that are unable to share news on social media channels.
Noel	C.	Need to quit with the censorship. Does not matter weather it's, misinformation, hate speech, racist, or anything. It all needs to be free speech, no matter what.
harlan	L.	need control of our own media choices not have choices made for us by big business

Julia		NO CENSORSHIP BESIDES PORN OF ANY KIND!!!!!! WE'VE BEEN
	Α.	LIED TO ENOUGH BY GOVERNMENT AGENCIES!!! IT'S BEST LEFT TO THE PUBLIC TO DISSEMINATE CONTENT ON THEIR OWN!!
Vitus	Y.	No censorship of online media. Those of us who fled totalitarian countries NOT want to see Canada destroyed by a petty Tyrannt!
Grace	G.	No censorship, no regulation on any online platforms. It's up to us, not up to the government. We, consumers, are perfectly capable to decide what, when and how we watch. I believe that CRTC cannot be allowed to manipulate our feeds, control the content we consume, and downrank the content we love in favour of government-stamped "CanCon".
Colleen	M.	No censorship!
Robert	Н.	No censorship! Stop trying to tell me what I can watch read and ingest. You have overreached and will be held accountable.
Louise	P.	No change please
Mariusz	G.	No changes
Sydney	r.	No Comment
Teo J.	V.	No comment at this time.
Mihai	P.	No content manipulation should occur by default. Users should be able to select an option free of content manipulation.
Robyn	S.	No content should be censored. We have the right to know what is going on in the world.
Ronald	M.	no control over what i watch
Delilah	L.	No enjoyment, no privacy,
Geoffrey	W.	No form of censorship is of interest to true Canadians.
Gurtej	S.	No freedom and a throttled society
Carolyn	D.	No Freedom of Speech
Faith	F.	No freedom of speech fake news being pushed onto us
Peter	Ο.	No government restrictions to force Canadian based content or outcome of online searches.
Darlene	M.	No information available that is not goverment appeoved.

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
Graham	B.	No modification of my Internet usage is acceptable. Any modification will hide information that is pertinent to my Internet experience.
Javon	K.	no more free speech
Erik	C.	No more freedom in thought choice or actions
Mayu	N.	No more freedom of speech
Cheryl	A.	No more government over-reach. Stay out of our homes!
Chris	Z.	No one should be allowed to censor or mandate any speech.
Rakesh	S.	No one should be deprived of any content. The whole concept of Canadian Content and its regulation as in C-11 is about making the Canadian Companies richer at the expense of the consumer. C-11 will make it much more difficult for Canadians to make revenue from Facebook and Google.
Ken	V.	No one should be manipulating or regulating content that I am viewing. Censorship always backfires. CRTC is mostly a useless organization that shouldn't be paid for with my tax dollars. Bill C-11 should be annulled immediately. I'm only going to watch Canadian Content by happenstance; that is there is something about the content that I want to watch. I will not tolerate anyone forcing me to watch content simply because it is 'Canadian'.
Stacy	Н.	No one should have controls over what I or my family can see or can view, especially the government. This is censorship and Canada is supposed to be a democratic society.
Michael	G.	No one should tell me what to consume. The world is a big place and what goes on there is important. Canada is a small player and should realize their place. Shoving Canadian content down our throats, no matter how subtly, is indoctrination no matter how you portray it. I don't want any of it. I often watch US TV rather than the crap CBC offers and will only do it more if the Canadian channels start broadcasting politically correct, filtered drivel
Rene	G.	No one wants to be FORCED to watch 2 or 3 15 second ads before, during and after a video. I don't care who does it or which platform it is. We should have the option to skip ads after a 3 second *FORCED* viewing, not 5 seconds and definitely not 15 seconds. We should have THAT CHOICE!!!!!!!

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Jonathan	S.	No one wants to see stuff that is forced. Trudeau gov will use this to pump lies and BS
Nicolas	G.	No policing of the internet
Cesar	G.	No political content
Vicki	K.	No pornographic content or vulgar comments
Ethan	N.	No shadowbanning from isps from relations to c-11. (blocking internet acess for no good reason)
Karen	P.	No to any regulations. I am my own regulator as to what I want to see or read.
Kat	L.	No tracking.
Chuck	В.	Nobody has the right to tell what I can and can't watch. THAT is CENSORSHIP
Sylvie	L.	Nobody needs big brother watching every move they make. How Canadians choose to watch or read online content is a matter of personal choice.
Susanne	B.	None
Jeffrey	B.	NONE
Seto	K.	None leave this shit alone and stop trying to piss us off this bill sucks ass and whatever genius came up with it needs to be removed FFS
Robert	K.	None of it, remove the control, sensor ship, I really would like to see less Canadian content. Let people choose what they what to see and hear. C-11 is all about CONTROL its socialism at the very root. Canada is a disgrace to democracy. Just plain disgusting.
Brent	V.	None of the above we need unfettered access to the internet and the government should have no control at all!
Kate	K.	None of the above. Let the Canadian public decide what they watch.
Florian	R.	None of the above. This is not the burocrats for the government business. If the Canadian creators are not capable to atract attention from the public it means that the quality of their production is not good enough. The only way that they could impriove is to compete with the best. Ithink that we have a lot of talent that manage to promote their

		production without ther help from the politicians. Wherter the politicians are getting mixed, everything stinks.
Cindy	M.	None of those in Question 3 match what I have to say. Freedom to read whatever I want is what it should be. The government is not my Mom.
Kurtis	G.	None. Zero. The last thing any Canadian wants is the government stepping in and controlling what we can and cannot see. This is even more true when the reason they want control over this is to protect Canadian media companies (some taxpayer funded) that most Canadians could not care less about. I want to watch the best shows, regardless of their country of origin.
Kim	B.	Nos droit et libertés, le Canada ne va vraiment pas dans le bon sens. J'espère de tout coeur que aucune des plateformes fesant face a c'est bris grave de droit d'expression et d'information ne céderont aux caprice du pire gouvernement en place au Canada
Shelley	M.	Not able to watch what I want to watch when I want to watch it. playing with my personal algarythems.
Natalie	B.	Not accessing my interests.
Larry	J.	Not allowing unbiased reporting. Anything that the governing body wants to restrict is something people need to see.
Kerry	T.	Not anything too add.
edward	g.	not at ths time
Jean-Pau I		Not be able to see watch I need to find for my work, witch is definitely NOT CANADIAN THINGS!!!
Sean	C.	Not becoming a communist country under the current prime minister
barry	C.	Not being able to access all content available.
Joyce	M.	Not being able to access information.
Vickie	M.	Not being able to be in touch with my loved ones in other countries Thank you
Tracy	J.	Not being able to find and have access to videos and content creators that I enjoy.
Howland	L.	Not being able to find other forms of quality content. Less variety and selection of content, whether Canadian or not.

	11 0111	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Derek	W.	Not being able to find real news about the world.
Mike	P.	Not being able to find the content I'm looking for, Canadian or not.
Kennede y	F.	Not being able to get news from other parts of the world and being blinded by what's going on in this world
Marlene	B.	Not being able to get the information I am looking for. The truth would be harder to find.
Wendy	B.	Not being able to get the real news from qualified experts.
normand	b.	Not being able to get to see what I want to see.
Nicole	L.	Not being able to get what I want as a consumer
Meta	В.	Not being able to read global and/or Canadian news or stories. We all need to be up to date on Canadian news and news from around the globe. Which Canadian or global news to see, should be up to each person.
Jeff	G.	Not being able to receive information from news feeds other than our Trudeau biased mainstream media. Viewing shows I want to see, Canadian or not. Plain and simple, no censorship.
Judy	K.	Not being able to search for news stories on Google.
Kerri	M.	Not being able to search the content I am looking for.
Trudie	M.	Not being able to see content from non-Canadians. I don't want to see CanCon; I want to see content from the people or websites I subscribe to.
Daniela	Н.	Not being able to see content from other countries.
Michael	M.	Not being able to see posts from around the world
Barry	Н.	Not being able to see the same content as I do now
Sandra	K.	Not being able to see what I want or what the government thinks is untrue versus what they want us to see. Everyone has a niche whether it is for business or not and I don't think it would be fair if they stop us from seeing what is helpful or useful to us. We all have a right to express ourselves.
Randy	F.	Not being able to see what is going on in the world. The internet was made to be open and free not locked down and policed. While I agree

		some things should be regulated choosing what we want to see should be our right.
Theresa	P.	Not being able to see what's going on around the world
Mike	М.	Not being able to upload videos where I get to review video games, comic books & other forms of entertainment that I have come to love & appreciate, which I have for many many years, regardless if it constitutes as Canadian content or otherwise
Audra	Н.	Not being able to view alternative views or content that goes against what the Government wants people to see or hear. Not being able to voice an opinion that maybe not liked by the government.
Jennifer	M.	Not being able to view content that I decide is important, and having someone decide for me.
Pam	M.	Not being able to view the item I want to see
Chris	M.	Not being able to view the things that I prefer. If that happens I'll find other ways as I'm sure most Canadians will also) to view what I want and not our disgusting communist government.
Anna-Ma rie	S.	Not being able to voice an honest opinion or fact.
adjoy@s haw.ca	J.	not being able to watch content I chose.
Ken	B.	Not being able to watch or have access to foreign contents.
Sherry	R.	Not being able to watch or see what I choose to.
lyle	h.	not being able to watch what i want when i want !!only in a Communist country is where the government is allowed to Force you to watch what they want you to watch!!
Giuseppe	B.	Not concerned at all protect freedom of speech
Samuel	A.	Not discovering content outside of Canada.
Todd	L.	Not fair to regulate content control
Maria	P.	Not finding what I'm looking for Regulation of user generated content (by individuals) or small businesses

Rahul	B.	Not getting the whole story and creating a bias based on more liberal content
Ryan	L.	Not getting to sources of truth.
Susie	C.	Not happy with the federal government overreach deciding we shouldn't see what they don't approve!
Michel	Н.	Not having a choice. Having the government decide what we can see and not see and censoring free speech and expression. This is a dangerous bill that attacks our basic rights in a free and democratic country.
Carol	S.	Not having access to films or TVSeries I would like to see.
Angie	M.	Not having access to independent news media - being forced government propaganda and out right lies!
Darren	L.	Not having choices outside of my country. I want the contents that appeal to me. I do not want to be forced to choose what the government forces me to choose. I want freedom of content and choice.
Sean	F.	Not having control of what I am able to access. The Liberal Govt seems to think they should control what we have access to for information with this and other bills, no matter how they want to frame it. I believe I'm freedom of information and am quite capable of making my own decisions. Thank you
Colleen	A.	Not having free choice on what people want to watch. Being mandated by governments agendas, on what we can access.
Robert	C.	Not having freedom of choice as to what I can see of hear. One would think that if we are seen to be responsible enough to elect our politicians, we would be responsible enough to decide what we want to see and hear!!
Jeff	R.	Not having freedom of choice to view what I deem is acceptable. I don't need government to limit what I want to view
Kim	P.	Not having important information available that big government and big business want to silence.

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Noel	J.	Not having my internet regulated except for fraud - fraudulent operators, when identified, should be taken off google searches
Kimberly	C.	Not having the ability to choose what I want to watch or view.
Jack	J.	Not having the ability to control my own options. Let us turn on or off our own content filters.
Ghislain	L.	Not having the choice, i'm an adult and quite capable of deciding for myself what i want to watch.
Karen	M.	Not having the freedom of choice to look at what I want.
Bradley	В.	Not inform the public on the real issues going on and distract with false news articles to sway the mind of people
Christina	M.	Not knowing what's going on, in the rest of the world!!!
Cathy	C.	Not receiving News from all sources/web sites dedicated to world news
Erica	S.	Not seeing international videos. I like watching stuff all around the world! And having a voice, talking about what I want to talk about freely.
Keegan	В.	Not showing me the content I want to watch because it isn't considered arbitrarily canadian enough. If the content is good and canadian, it'll get recommended. This will lead to organizations and people creating sub-par content on mass that it deemed canadian enough to try and flood Canadian based users recommended feed.
Andrew	T.	Not sure
Doug	V.	Not sure yet.
randy	m.	Not the CRTC or any Government should be regulating our online content. Canada is not a Communist Country!!! Wake Up!!!
Katherine	K.	Not the government's choice of what I watch.
Ken	P.	Not to supptess foreign content but to encourage canadian creators
Omar	C.	Nothing
Ralph	C.	nothing
BRAD	R.	Nothing at all
FRANCO IS	D.	Nothing because under C-11 it will be shit.

Margaret	_	Nothing comes to mind.
Jan 5 a		
aidan		Nothing could change, the bill needs to be put back. This is a terrible decision for all of Canada. If you want more Canadian content then fund the Canadian Content. Do not force canadian content onto Canadians if
aidan	G.	thats not what Canadians want.
Barrie	A.	Nothing leave the subscriber decide what each wishes to watch because most Canadian content is substandard not worth watching
Madison	K.	Nothing less than whole scale internet Censorship a Free internet is the basis of a Free Society.
Lissa	A.	Nothing needed to be changed. Government regulation of what has been an open Internet is overreach, controlling, and meddling into every person's online experience. I want access to American, British, Israeli, Australian and other worldwide content just as much as Canadian. As a subscriber to streaming services, including Audible, it concerns me deeply that I may not have access to my personal preferences on these platforms.
Stephen	C.	Nothing online should be touched. The free market should decide what grows and what fails, not government or any ruling body. Any forced modifications only add to the problems.
Andre	B.	Nothing should be regulated
sara	T.	Nothing should change. ts my business what I want to watch or listen to. Don't try to influence me.
Rick	M.	Nothing Trudeau and his WEF partners are trying to censor and stifle truth for the Great Reset BS
David	В.	Nothing, I am an intelligent, law abiding citizen who has the right to view anything I want to. I do not need the government to censor anything for me. I consider it a right against my personal freedoms and can easily see where government will abuse it to promote their propaganda.
Amanda	S.	Nothing, I hate Canadian content. They are boring, useless. I like my own content Even Canadian music is horrible.
Mark	W.	Nothing. If CanCon was good it would be popular. It isn't so it isn't. Forcing me to watch it isn't going to make it good, it's going to make me not watch.

Christoph er	B.	Nothing. The government has no right to regulate what we see online and filter it before its displayed to us.
Day	J.	Now more than ever we should not have to deal with government intervention in what we consume online. I understand wanting to protect, and enhance, Canadian media, but suppression is absolutely not the way to do that. I don't want any government body manipulating what I am exposed to online. As adults, we can and should have control over how, where, and what we choose to view online. We are not toddlers who need the pre-approved kiddie section. Let us have freedom to choose what we want to watch; whether it's Canadian or not is irrelevant.
Tarik	Q.	Now we'll be forced to watch what the government say we should watch.
Andrei	M.	Obfuscating foreign content to promote Canadian content is a form of censorship that should never be allowed to exist. Content should be weighed on its quality. Origin is secondary.
George	W.	Obviously Control Over A Humans Mind To Think Freely & To Discover What They Want To Discover From The Internet, Is A Human's Own Will To Do So. If This Canadian Government Wants So Much Oppressive Powers, Go Run A Communist County & Their Broken Minds. Canada Is A Freedom, Not To Perverted By You!
Linda	S.	Obviously the liberal government has plenty to hide by trying to pass this disgusting C-11 bill. That being said this would be the start of having total control over our rights as citizens of Canada. Trudeau must be reminded that he works for us,Ķ NOT the other way around. Trudeau continues to over step his boundaries of simply being a glorified civil servant!! This bill is illegal by every sense of the word and should not EVER see the light of day!! This spoiled little rich brat should step down and let a real leader take over. Someone who will do the job he or she is meant to do! PUTTING CANADA AND CANADIANS FIRST!!! If he were acting in accordance with the position he was given, he wouldn't have to make up bills to keep his dirty little secrets hidden!
Dale	T.	Oh my God, it says the government needs to keep its face off the people. The governments here, to serve the people not the other way around.
Sean	J.	Omission of important world news. Only receiving the government propaganda news free speech
cynthia	g.	Once control measures are implemented and autonomy of choice removed, I find myself now purposefully avoiding any Canadian content -

Elena	S.	or not very difficult to access, because of it being labelled by the CRTC as dangerously spreading misinformation or hate of some kind. I am already extremely concerned how this cancelling of free speech under the guise of for the greater good has skyrocketed since 2020.  One of the best parts of the internet is the international reach and the ability to find content from around the world. Most platforms already allow users to create their own lists of groups/pages they want to follow, and are able to choose for themselves what they want to see and when. I prefer to have the freedom to set up my platforms how I want, and to
		One of my concerns is that there will be even more censorship of information, humour, viewpoints, discussions, news reporting, scientific papers (and other types of content) that encourages critical thinking and debate - about important matters for my small life, for humanity at large, and for the rest of life on Earth - that will be cancelled, made unavailable,
Simon	В.	One of my concerns is that it controls too much what the content creators make. We should do what we want to do and not be forced to make something that they think will appeal to the Canadian audience or what pleases to the CRTC.
K	B.	One more step to total govt conteol
Jeff	C.	One issue is that the bill is too ambiguous, leaving many issues unresolved - e.g., imposing reasonable limits content - what does that mean?? The CRTC has not done a good job in developing public awareness. I don't believe the CRTC understands the internet ecosystem very well.
Jane	D.	One can't help but feel like smaller creators are being cut out. I want to be able to watch actual Canadian things, like The Handmaid's Tale. I don't just want to see what giants like Roger's think I should see. I'm sick of censorship, how do we start conversations and find amateur content? Don't alienate foreign content. The answer is choice, if the people aren't choosing Canadian content on their own then don't strong arm them, look at why.
		finding ways to do so Are very easy This is the price of taking away free choice = censorship makes things worse

		door. I wouldn't mind government rules around reducing tracking, or forcing platforms to better moderate misinformation - but I really want my news, entertainment and memes to be left alone. With everything becoming more expensive and many people needing to cut back on so many things that make them happy, including food and entertainment, the internet is the only joy many of us have left. Please just let us have our memes and reaction videos, and maybe focus on reducing internet prices instead of destroying the only thing that still brings us any happiness.
Lisa	K.	One political voice heard only. Slanting children shows and injecting itself in everything
Aaron	A.	One thing I'm afraid will happen is that 60% or more of recommendations on platforms I use will be Canadian Content. Now don't get me wrong, I don't believe Canadian Content is bad, but I also enjoy content from other countries like Japan, Australia, and even the United States. I don't want to lose recommendations to some of my favourite content for Canadian Content alone.
Christine	R.	One word Freedom.
Judy	A.	One would question what is Canadian or what makes Canada itself; or is it just a homogenized version of every other country, obviously including the U.S.? Even though we've always been a melting pot of peoples, yet our peoples have somehow maintained & shared some special unique qualities all across this country, from north to south and from sea to sea that we embrace as Canadian!!!
Lyle	F.	Online censorship is a sign of serious erosion of democracy.
Debra	C.	Online censorship is wrong anywhere. Go after the real criminals trafficking humans!!!
Kristophe r	M.	Online censorship. Removal of Canadian news by online services. Lower quality content being produced just because it is Canadian.
AJ	Н.	Online content should not be governed, touched or censored by anyone! The internet connects the world, that's what it was meant to do, nobody should have the power to censor or stop any information online. We should be able to search and watch any content we wish. It's up to the individual to make that choice.

Howard	A.	Online is a world wide phenomenon, with content available from around the world. To try and regulate that to force Canadian Content is the same style of forced control used by countries such as North Korea and China. Canada is a democracy, and force controlling what we can view on the World Wide Web becomes a dictatorial regime and turns Canada into despot controlled country instead of a democracy that our current government claims that we are.
Scott	T.	Online privacy.
Viorica	R.	Only a communist controlled government apply censorship Free speech is a fundamental right in a free country! Anyone can choose how they get their information We don't need the government to do that for us except they want to control what we see
stephanie	b.	Only being able to access mainstream news/media and not independent journalists especially that criticize government.
Gerri	M.	Only being given access to content the government wants.
Ted	C.	Only countries under a dictatorship regulate media so that the ruling clique get favourable coverage, while opposing views are quashed. Canada has joined that infamous clique, and will be a desert of unbalanced viewpoints unless the crtc shows leadership re c11 and regulates broadcasting in a 100% UNBIASED manner.
Nicki	M.	Only having access to content someone else is choosing for me rather than ALL the content available.
Stephen	S.	Only seeing government regulated media.
Kim	В.	Only seeing what the government wants us to see. Pushing their own agenda on me and not being able to see what's happening in the world.
Joe	J.	Options to see diverse content should still be had. No thin-skinned narratives or singular supposed Canadian" viewpoints trump the feeds of others. It shall be freely exposed as with all international net content.
Kevin	W.	Other than porn and child exploitation, the social media feeds should be left alone. FREE SPEECH IS A RIGHT OF EVERY CANADIAN. Get out of our lives!
Jackie	T.	Our basic freedoms include freedom of choice. Control of choice by anyone other person than the viewer violates the basic and fundamental right for all citizens. Stop convoluting and micro managing everything,

Hugh	M.	Our Canadian identity and culture is vastly enriched by foreign origin content. We have a very strong sense of who and where we are, that needs no 'Aòprotection'. The funding of Canadian creators is a good idea, but the restricting of foreign content is detrimental to Canadians having a broad perspective and works against the competitiveness of Canadian content Quality. We need to be aware of what creators and commentators from around the world are seeing, saying and creating. Limitations placed on that only serve to stifle Canadian creativity and worldliness. Thank you.
Charlena	J.	Our choice would be taken from us.
Marc	T.	Our content will be censored in Gabor of the Liberal agenda
Lochleen	M.	Our experiences online are already heavily influenced by corporations. It's unclear why this needs to be further controlled by the government.
Shawn	M.	Our fascist dictatorship censoring platforms and programs that do not align with their moronic WEF pushed narratives
Anthony	P.	Our feeds and content should NOT be manipulated by the CRTC.
Carolyn	S.	Our Freedom of choice of information is being denied their saying we all need to buy a green car when I might want to get a blue car our independence is totally being eroded so sad ü•≤
Leighan	B.	Our freedom of choice is taken away and at the very least limited (and not as we would choice) Canadians content should compete on its own merits for support, not protected or government funded.
Lancia	L.	Our freedom to view any content we have a right to see. Our freedom to create content that is up to us. We will not give up our freedom. I enjoy my Netflix and other streaming services. I don't need the government to tell me what to watch. We are not a communist country and this sounds like Canada is moving in that direction. I can search for Canadian made content myself. A government has no right to govern in this way ,Ķ. This is tyranny.
Marien	В.	Our freedoms are being censored. I noticed it a lot during the Covid pandemic. We should be able to watch whatever we want to, not just government propaganda.
Amber	N.	Our freedoms are being stripped away and being dressed up like it's a gift. When truly, It's a form of control, to propaganda and control content we view to the point of indoctrination. If this moves forward, we will, as

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		the people be forced to develop or use already available free speech alternatives ei. The black web If this was on during the freedom convoy, our government would of been able to get away with a lot more, and the information wouldn't of been readily available to the people and there would of been ZERO proof of the criminal acts taken against Canadian citizens by the Current Canadian government.
Bryan	K.	Our freedoms of choice! The liberals are bought and paid for by the wef and nothing more then puppets they have no business telling Canadians what they can and cannot watch .
BRADLE Y	K.	Our freedoms, rights, choices & privacy as Canadian Citizens.
Troy	S.	Our governing body was elected to serve us. Not dictate content or morality or to promote their own interests.
Anastasi a	W.	Our government is already very repressive of any criticism. They control the media and thus people's thinking. There is very little open debate in Canada. This is stifling free thought and creativitiy which used to thrive in Canada. Any further repression of news and trends from outside the country will completely isolate us and consolidate total government control. This is not the Canada we used to be proud of! I've lived in Communist China and know the hallmarks of tyranny. This is all about government control, no matter how it's packaged.
Sam	R.	Our government is very corrupt and says the opposite of what is true and many times what I naturally think ie. vaccines, WOKE, etc. etc. independent media will be blocked to serve our current government's agenda. Our country already looks like Communist China.
Todd	C.	Our internet should not be censored or filtered in any way.
Lesley	Т.	Our media is already more propaganda than actual news. We need to go back to reporting on world events I, not having the government dictate what the news outlets can report. We are supposed to be living in a free country, but we keep allowing the government to strip us of those freedoms. C-11 shouldn't even have been passed.
Charlene	N.	Our rights and freedom of religion, freedom of beliefs, our freedom of speech, freedom of the press as according to our charter of human rights and freedoms. Canadians should be allowed to choose for themselves what they listen to and watch without restrictions and the government should not pick and choose for us

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Brad	L.	Our voice and/ or opinions won't be heard. The narrative of the powers that be, will be
Patrick	D.	Over exposure to extreme views towards both right and left.
Christain	J.	Over I hate the very idea of this bill and it's utterly disgusting that our government is trying to control our life's like this. I believe this affect our rights and it's definitely not in any way for the better. I don't think the bill should exist this is just working of some power hungry people and it's sad I fear for what the future looks like
Nikki	Н.	Over regulated and forcing our choices for us. Lack of freedom to choose what we want to utilize.
Betty	C.	Over regulation of peoples viewing habits. Under regulation of huge Corporate mergers!
Larry	D.	Overeach of government to allow only the big 3 to have a voice. The loss of freedom and MY right to choose what I want to do without anyone watching me.
DUILIO	R.	Overreach and censorship that limit information or eliminate government criticism, basically attacking free speech.
John	Н.	Overreaching information censorship
Darien	В.	overregulation/ nickel and dimeing small creators and people making content in canada for the sake of monopolizing telecommunication conglomerates in canada and being gaslit that this is for more canadian heritage. This is not cable, you cant force people to make stuff in the name of if they aren't inspiring to/ it just not being good enough or popular enough for you. this shouldn't have passed, we are giving crtc more power than needed, most users will dodge these charges anyways through vpn use ie most people will want to produce less cancon or content in general because of this. shame on this, i dont want to be canadian if this is what it means to be a such.
Sean	A.	Passing this would in essence throw all people it applies to or affects into an echo chamber, I would like if I had control over what I can see online and be able to decide for myself what I deem as worth looking into or, exposing myself to without that decision being made 100% for me.
Kevin	B.	People should be able to read freely without monitoring or interference.
Rachel	C.	People should be allowed to search what ever content they want. If it's more canadian then they can search that. It shouldn't be regulated

	III	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		because a government says so. Let people make their own decisions, period.
Lora	D.	People should be frrr to choose what they watch without any I terferencr from either government or a government-funded (regulated) agency.
Marianna	F.	People should have the freedom to see things from all creators regardless of region of where they live in the world. If Canadian content is to be promoted and produced that is fine but cutting off people's access to other creators from other countries is one step away from censorship and I refuse to support this. Freedom of speech and freedom to see any and all things on media platforms.
Roger	C.	People want to watch good quality content, regardless of where that content was produced. People should not be forced to watch Canadian content just because it is Canadian. Let people decide what they want to watch.
Rohit	S.	Personal content should not be monitored and privacy and confidentiality maintained.
Mitch	M.	Personally, no concern That is unless this bill and the CRTC block Canadian users from accessing whatever program/broadcast on the internet.
Neil	M.	Plain & simple, it's a fascist attack on freedom of speech. We do not need a corrupt government dictating what we can watch.
Richard	P.	Platforms being forced to close shop in Canada.
Jai	L.	Platforms can be 'Äòinfluenced' to serve special interest groups and regulatory bodies can be manipulated and influenced by power structures that do not support the choice of ALL. As a FREE society, we must always support freedom of choice. As we have seen, not all government policy serves ALL CANADIANS. The 'Äòfringe minority' under Canadian Constitutional Rights MUST ALWAYS have a voice and not only be heard, but validated.
Jonathan	M.	Platforms choosing to cut out Canadian markets rather then change their content.
Elle	W.	Platforms have already begun testing blocking Canadian news. So thanks to this fucking moronic idea I now have to visit 10+ different pages because some greedy dickhead thought they'd make more money. The joke is on me and people like me. Content creators in the

	Iran	US will just block Canadians instead of paying. Thanks a lot to the dumb fuck stick who thought this would generate greater content
Braeden	C.	Platforms may make it harder, intentionally or not, for Canadian content creators to grow outside of Canada.
David	G.	Platforms' rightful refusal to comply; the withdrawal of access to services for Canadians and therefore no Canadian content will be produced to these platforms.
Doah	E.	Please do not modify our experience online!! Nobody wants this! Your people, the Canadian people, DO NOT want this. We didn't ask for this. Yes, please provide more funding, yes add a popular in Canada section, but please don't tailor and mess with the browsing of our content.
Christine	M.	Please ensure that C11 allows "discoverability" of content based on user choices and that the CRTC cannot manipulate my feeds, control the content I consume, and/or downrank the content I prefer against what government-defined "CanCon"
Bennicke	T.	PLEASE FOR THE LOVE OF GOD LEAVE US ALONE
brayn	k.	please leave the internet open.
Brendon	C.	Please leave us alone. Stop with your meddling bureaucracy and unwanted involvement in our online lives. We can decide which content we want to watch.
Margaret	S.	Please promote Canadian content, ensure it is not buried below big foreign content and difficult to find. Feature Canadian co tent but do not limit my ability to choose content.
Mathew	M.	Please scrap bill C-11 and remove the digital iron curtain. We e are not a communist country. Nor do we wish to be one. We've had enough CBC propaganda over the years and should have the option to get real news from non biased news sources and or regular streamers. The majority of the misinformation has been coming from our liberal government. We can't allow them to have authority over this. Take it down!
Chris	M.	Please stop all this regulation and interference in personal freedom of choice. Let me know what is canadian and what is not if you like but that's it

Alexandr		Please, exclude all user-uploaded content on all social media platforms from the CRTC's regulation. Try to understand, that freedom is a pillar that Canada stands on.
Michel	N.	PM Trudeau is abusing his power. We need new elections. Leave our news alone!
Arthur	K.	Political censorship, mandated by the government.
Paul	K.	political interference / censorship
Clifford	D.	Political interference on what I can or can't access could get worse under C11
Brett	В.	Politically motivated censorship should be banned. I don't need a politician to tell me how to run my life and what is good/bad for me. Many politicians have criminal charges lurking in their closets. I do not need their life choices ruling my life.
Christina	D.	Poor searches for information Chinese-like censorship Government approved false-news will be too common - we won't know what's going on in the world properly Censorship of various opinions (including political views that are not that of the prime minister)  Blocking/restricting/fining people who have strong opinions deemed "negative" by the government Medical information restriction
Paul	D.	Poor searches for information Chinese-like censorship. Government approved false-news will be too common - we won't know what's going on in the world properly Medical information restriction
Karl	S.	Potential for major platforms withdrawing from Canada, resulting in Canada falling behind on the global stage
Lawrence	В.	Preventing me from finding non-Canadian content or content creators I currently enjoy.
Bruce	В.	price changes for subscription platforms that result from CanCon requirements
Mr.	J.	Price increases. I can see netflix increasing charges to pass along its additional costs. Then we will have to stop subscribing to netflix. More censorship. Twittster and Deaddit already censor way too much as does Canada's state broadcaster. Others might increase their censorship too. Canada may lapdog the US again and try to ban Tiktok. Why isn't

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		Canada's state broadcaster being converted into a more democratic, repressentative youtube or liveleak like entity?
Jamie	P.	Pricing for the regulation ie raising already high, compared globally, internet prices in canada Concerned about corruption and over reach in controlling information through the internet, the Internet is for everyone and shouldn't be gated.
Nigel	W.	Primary concern is that this will require a change to an algorithm that will then be poorly tested because it is only for Canada.
Lillian	B.	Privacy
Judith	M.	Privacy
Toni	B.	Privacy and control over why I want to access or have access to.
James	S.	Privacy on social media. Are we losing privacy?
Salomon	B.	privacy, and access to information from multiple sources which inhibits my right to be informed and create my personal opinion and perception
Shona	M.	Privacy, freedom of choice.
Kayleigh	A.	Promoting only Canadian things isolated and restricts our ability to see other creators world wide.
Jerryd	M.	Promotion of politically charged or state-sponsored media. Additionally, an increased difficulty accessing foreign content or inability to access it at all.
John	M.	Propaganda
Kerri	G.	Propaganda and censorship
CHUCK	P.	Propaganda, brainwashing. Free the Internet and stay out of our homes and bedrooms
Beth	L.	Public option should NOT be blocked.or overlooked.
Jason	P.	Pure sensorship Plain and simple. WW2 all over again! A world filled with hypocrisy and greed.

Shaun		Push-back from regulated companies. It concerns me that regulators seem to think they can just strong-arm any industry they like, with no consequences whatsoever. Consider this very plausible scenario: The vast majority of Canadians begin using VPNs to visit streaming & social media sites through proxies in other countries (literally any other country in the world, since Canada is the only country that plays these silly power games). As a whole, Canadian viewership practically disappears. Services stop delivering to Canada since no one from Canada seems to be using them. This negates the necessity of any infrastructure they have that's located in Canada (relay servers, compliance offices, etc.). It could reach the point at which Canadian content creators start pretending to be from other countries (again, literally any country in the world except Canada).
Karen	G.	pushing out or removing content I am looking for in favour of less relevant content that happens to be 'certified Canadian' content.
Trish	T.	Put control into the USERS HANDS not the Govt or big tech.
Gerald	Н.	putting lipstick on a pig legislation, that is nothing more than a totalitarian censorship
Louis	V.	Que les gouvernements censure les informations
Ginette	L.	Quebec is in Canada this survey should be bilingual
Charles	О.	Question #3 is awful. It's bad to worse options. Bill C-11 is nothing but a WEF controlled propaganda tool designed to destroy Canadian content and identity. It's a scam and a waste of government resources.
Efrem	A.	Question 2 is terribly worded, what are you trying to say?
Shelly	P.	Question 2 was very confusing - it is not clear what you are asking for. My concern is that the government is using out-dated criteria to try and box in a completely different media. I support Canadian content, but trying to force percentages or content I'm not interested in, is not going to work. It will increase dissatisfaction. I don't object to Canadian content being highlighted but if that content is not what I need or want, or worse of poor quality, then I don't want it to exclude what I am really looking for just because it is Canadian. As well, the current criteria for what qualifies as Canadian is also outdated and would disallow a lot of collaborative content. All of that should be considered when applying what is unfortunately a poorly thought-out Bill. You may not intend for this to censor content, but platforms will not be delicate in their answer to this.

	II GII	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and malgenous content
David	M.	Quit blocking free expression on the Internet. We are old and wise enough to vote with our clicks rather than have some paid bureaucrat hoisting their or the government viewpoint. Culture survives and grows because the people want it to, not the government. All I see pushed is left wing woke radical ideas. This is promoted by the stooges of the government such as legacy media. Quit interfering with the choices and thoughts of Canadians. In short, leave it the way it was!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Dieter	Н.	Quit removing our choices to listen or watch what was available to us before the Liberals under Trudeau have black listed what was available to us before! It seems like we are turning into a dictator state!
bob	r.	Quit trying to manipulate a free society (Canadians)
Owen	M.	Radicalization of any ideology is terrible and should be prevented from reaching more people but non intrusive or radical media should never be censord. Only harm, harassment, intrusiveness and extreme hatred should be regulated. Thank you for your time.
Brenda	M.	Re # 3, NONE are acceptable. We watch what we want to watch. The more Canadian content is rammed at us, the less we watch it. I grew up with more Canadian music on the radio because of this. It was inferior. It's a world market. No reason Canadian should be shoved at us. Re #1 No online services should be regulated. period. Freedom of choice. If the Canadian stuff were great it would do well. It's generally not that great. Re #2 If our tax dollars are paying for this, then they have to abide by the same rules and have to provide more. It's a waste of tax payer money. The question does not really make sense. Bottom line dont use our tax dollars to give the Canadian products an unfair advantage. its a world market. This bill amounts to censorship. We should be able to watch what we want. Period. With NO government interference. Since when is it the governments business anyways. Trust me, try to force me and I will find anything BUT to watch.
Vincent	L.	Recommend content must suit my interest. Recommend content must not suit only the place its been done or publish
Zooey	S.	Recommendation algorithms go to shit in the name of Canadian cultural protectionism. Don't tell me what to watch, leave my searches alone.
Artur	P.	Recommendations personalized for me like videos, shows and movies

Zane	R.	Recommending me content that is Canadian and that is the only thing that is related to myself should not be a thing. I don't care about Canadian content if it isn't related to what I already watch. If the content is good it will be recommended to me and I don't think that being Canadian should artificially give that content president just because it's made by Canadians. When it comes to recommending news Canadian stories are more relevant to me but a Canadian youtube channel doesn't hold any more value than an Australian one in my eyes, if the creator makes good content that is to my tastes then that is what matters to me.
		Reduction in access to content I want to find and pushing of content I
Matthew	S.	may disagree with or find distasteful.
Scott	O.	Reduction in access to content that is available to users in the United States and over countries not subjected to undue government bureaucracy. Making Canadians uncompetitive in an extremely competitive global world.
Paul	J.	Regarding #3 above, the answer is none of the above.
Mark	S.	Regardless what you think you are accomplishing, censorship IS NEVER EVER the way. Unless you want Candians to be less intelligent and informed. Fine; add a CanCon button for the 17 people who want it. Then LEAVE the rest of us in Canada ALONE.
Graham	M.	Regular content from outside Canada would be less in my feed.
wayne	h.	regulatating freedom of choice
Gihan	N.	Regulate/filter falsehoods, misinformation, and disinformation. Bring some decency to this medium, as opposed to a anything goes.
Andrew	C.	Regulated and moderated content. Content selected for the masses by the regulators. Loss of autonomy of choice.
Colin	R.	Regulating Media in any way shape or form by the Government is not in the best interests of the people of Canada.
Adam	В.	Regulating media in the way that it gives me what I do not want.  Removes the choice in what to watch/ see if you force Canadian content to the Canadian people. Stop censoring our freedom to chose
Carlea	W.	Regulating my values in media. Over reaching. Over riding my values and desired viewing.
Lara	E.	Regulation of the Internet is taking away freedom of information

Laura	B.	Regulation of what I see and what I don't see based on what the government or the CRTC thinks I should see. I don't want to be forced into all Canadian content. I don't want American or foreign content minimized. I don't want to have to get a cable subscription to access services like Disney+ if it is regulated by the CRTC. I think all content should be freed up. I should be able to pay for individual channels without a cable subscription.
Brian	C.	Regulation or manipulation of what we are able to view on any platform we use, more so smaller platforms. This is concerning because non-canadian or global content creators (who don't just produce Canadian content) could be completely left out. That includes documentary filmmakers who don't just cover Canadians, but everybody regardless where they are located, including those who have travelled abroad to contribute to the films/content being produced.
Gordon	J.	Regulation represents censorship and inhibits the right to free speech, which is a guaranteed human right.
Dmitriy	1.	Regulations to the Radio and TV were disastrous for the quality of content in Canada, making users seeking alternatives, and brining less resources into the actual Canadian infrastructure. People will be getting the content they want and we can't force them to watch the poorly produced stuff regardless, so investing into better Canadian content, and funding great canadian creators is the key here! Additionally Forcing studios to not be also owners and distributors of the content is important, the production of content and the ability to distribute it and have it exclusive creates a monopoly that is detrimental to Canadian consumer.
Ron	E.	Regulatory rules to content gives someone other than me control over my choices
Karen	V.	Remove powers of authorities who are operating with hidden agendas and corruptions that are taking away the people freedoms.
DAVID	G.	Removes my discretionary ability
Sean	S.	Removing foreign programming to maintain a certain percentage of Canadian content would negatively affect my entertainment experience
Robert	G.	repeal c-11, censorship equals to dictatorship. Good people do not censor others.But when you do not want people to see the truth then you censor them. What world do you want to leave your children.

Michael		
Michael	Y.	Repeal the bill.
Christoph er	Н.	Repeal this garbage act, and drastically reduce funding to the CRTC as it is an outdated government agency.
Albert	E.	Repel C-11, we don't need it
Cindy	Н.	Research! And health, lifestyle, and the unique needs Canadians have should be available to us! Canadian information is specific to Canada and unique to our country! And it needs to remain that way!
Fred	Н.	Restricted access to content I prefer and find valuable.
David	S.	Restricted information that I would normally be able to get from the world not just what the Canadian Government thinks I should only hear or watch.
Damon	E.	Restricting and changing how users see content harms Canadian Citizens that seek out content that is good, regardless of the nationality of who produced it. I am a firm believer that good quality speaks for itself and you CANNOT force people to watch things they do not find quality. I am concerned that as a result of C-11, I will spend less time searching and consuming that content and will instead view content less, resulting in an overall negative for Canada, as well as the content creators inside and outside of Canada.
Debra	W.	Restricting Canadian Content Producers or promoting them is ridiculous, it is the WORLD WIDE WEB, allow and it shouldn't be restrictive or promoting items just because of the area it was produced. If someone is searching for Canadian Content then they can search for it, but if they are looking for anything that might have been produced outside of Canada they shouldn't have to use the dark web to find it. Any type of censorship of Worldwide information from Canadians is meddling with their ability to find out what is going on in the world The people of Canada deserve to be able to see what is going on and hear from a multitude of sources to make up their own minds. We are not children, and therefore no censorship or promotion of materials is required. Please cancel Bill C-11 and allow the people access to whatever they choose, if the content is good, the people will come!
Gabriel	G.	Restricting freedom of speech and diversity of content offered worldwide in Canada
Robert	M.	Restricting my access to ANY online info

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Lawrence	L.	Restricting my access to what is available now
Sheila	L.	Restricting my freedom is what I am mostly concerned about. This is not right and should never be allowed to happen, ever!
Daniel	Т.	Restricting quality content for that deemed important by CRTC. Suppression of alternative news, which is often Canadian Citizens' only option to see other sides of stories vs. official Government narratives. Decreased competition.
Felipe Dutervil	C.	Restriction of diversity of content
Kyle	F.	Restrictions for content is a slippery slope that does not help Canadians, whether it's news or entertainment content. Regulation in this field is a dangerous and misplaced step for our already overzealous government.
Jay	C.	Restrictions from non-Canadian companies that create art I want to access, due to the new regulations forcing Can-Con onto my platforms.
Brendan	Н.	Restrictions of freedom of expression and the freedom to consume information that is not curated by a government.
Ted	d.	Restrictions on overall content
Maureen	G.	Restrictions on tv shows and movies that are not considered Canadian content. I must be able to decide what I watch. I don't need government making choices on my behalf. Remove C11 and C18 these are both government overreach bills.
lan	M.	Restrictions on what I can receive or distribute!
Ron	B.	Restrictions to my freedom. The government has no right to restrict, amend, or dictate what I can watch, post, or contribute to the online society.
Marlon	N.	Results I am actually looking for getting hidden, pushed away to prioritize Canadian results. Most of my searches are nationally agnostic. If I want national focus on my searches I include the word Canada.
Dennis	C.	Right now I do not use any of the major US streaming services.  However, for those who do I'd suggest that default searches and playlists be structured to highlight those either made in Canada, produced in Canada or featuring Canadian actors, themes or subject matter. I feel that current search algorithms favour popular programs

		based on the number of viewers who watch them (which defaults to USA content due to the relative size of their population versus Canada.
Seaghan	H.	Right now, similar items based on what I search for, what I watch, populate my feeds. I am careful about what I choose and KNOW how to change it, if I click on something by mistake. I DO NOT NEED A CANADIAN BABYSITTER in the form of the CRTC. I do NOT NEED more CanCon, because the Telecoms want me to watch their feeds instead of what the WHOLE WIDE WORLD has to offer. This is CENCORSHIP. Plain and simple. Censorship on the side of the Telecoms, who already have a monopoly on Canadian internet, cable TV, cell phones. We all know it. All talk about it, yet the government allows it to continue. I do not need or want my feeds to be modified, or MODERATED by the CRTC!
tony	k.	Risk to Freedom of speech, such as political opinions not agreeing with the current regime can be silenced.
Dan	B.	Rogue Government overreach and censoring. Trudeau deciding what is news and what isn't .
James	B.	Rule Nazis are everywhere, don't be one.
С	M.	Same rules apply to everyone. No citizen is exempt from the rules. Citizens subsidize the govt and govt related institutions enough. And now that monopolies and multinational corporations and banks have different criteria's to be responsible and pay their fair share it is important for the CRTC to stand for citizens. Half the mandates the CRTC males aren't complied with! What a waste. Take the cable providers as an example. Almost a decade has passed and affordable TV/Internet and pick and pay type service is now being usurped by online subscriptions. Country codes for music don't pay much attention all - the digital border is as bad as the CPCC was! No money reached the Artist's. Again usurped by bureaucratic corruption aka red tape and a board to oversee distribution and the board takes all the tax like a foundation takes donations and claims overhead and there is nothing left. No wonder there's hardly any innovation except in LGBTQ+ and First Nations. One of my 6th great grandfathers was Louis Riel. Many politicians are in my family tree. And instead of doing as you say we have to be the squeaky wheel. As if you at the CRTC reneg on your own ¬´¬†promises¬†¬a and never make amends. You owe us. Where is your sense of responsibility for you choices? We have to pay how come you don't? And members of Parliament get carte blanche??? It's highly unfair and not logical whatsoever. Canada is a shadow of its former self and you are tasked
C	IM.	

		with protecting ALL our identities including invisible minorities. Visible does not apply anymore since the visible outnumber the rest in some municipalities. It's not prejudice. It's reverse racism when those people who have been here for 500 years like my family are now the cancelled. Do your job as you've said you would and you are legally required. Why should a citizen have to prove you're guilty???
Griffin	В.	Scapegoating. Platforms will be quick to blame canada/the canadian government for their own failings. It is going to make us look bad.
Alex	B.	scarp C-11 as dictatorships tool
Regan	G.	Scrap Bill C11
Dale	W.	Scrap C-11
Yassir	K.	Scrap C-11 period!!
Bryan	K.	Scrap the c 11 law.
Mark	M.	Scrap the C11. Freedom of speech is essential in a democracy. Even if it has ugly content.
Laurentiu s	V.	Scrap this Biil
Geoff	T.	Scrap this useless legislation.
Andrei	T.	Search bias, downgrading of content critical of government, and eventually censorship of user produced content.
MARIA	T.	Security, security!! we need to know who is collecting data, why and which use is made of it
Sherri	R.	Seeing information the CRTC or the Federal Government want me to see only. It should be equal for all.
Alexandr a	В.	Seeing less about my culture. The little Canadian content being made with regards to my culture is shadowed by diaspora and pain. I want to see my people thriving and bring that to Canada.
Gavin	M.	Seeing less channels that criticize government
William	Н.	Seeing only biased media from the government who is in power and no opposition news or real world news that doesnt fit the current agenda

OpenMedia CRTC BNC 2023-138 | The Path Forward – Working towards a modernized regulatory framework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content

Seems to me the bill has in fact destroyed Canadian content on

Kensey	D.	Sharing news on facebook is the only source of news for some people. I share news on facebook because its the easiest way to share stories I think are important to the most amount of people i know. People can be very selective and biased about where they get their news. Having a platform like facebook and other social media apps better exposes people to different points of view which is very important in todays polarizing environment.
Ronald	G.	Sharing of information and government censorship. Basically, this let's the government do the same thing that China does with the great firewall of China. Bill C11 is absolutely ridiculous and it is government over reach.
Catherine	S.	Sharing of information and retrieving information over various issues and having undue oversight directly or indirectly from government or any associated agencies linked to the government.
Vince	М.	Shoving Censorship and forced Canadian content dpwn peoples throats will backfire and fail. Bill C-11 and 18 are dedicated to a small minority of people and large Corps. You mess with peoples choices, then there will be hell to come election time.
Kevin	G.	Showing me content that is irrelevant to me.
Quinn	S.	Showing people content that with guide opinions a desired path.  Manipulation. Basic brainwashing. Railroading. Keeping people I'll informed about the facts. True north strong and free. Let's keep it that way. Our money should be going to better places this is a shame.
Dale	J.	Simply the fact that government can and will begin blocking contrary opinions that they find unfavorable or in contrast to THEIR opinions of what people want and also allow themselves to be BOUGHT by special interest groups through campaign donations or other means. This have been proven time and time again that Canada's politicians are open to bribes and pandering from special interest groups.
Troy	S.	Simply, I do not want regulation or censorship on anything I choose to view or listen to.
Kathy	S.	Since Facebook has targetted my account and gives me 'Restrictions' pretty much any time I log in - even if I haven't done anything - anything that would allow more censorship (which basically is exactly what C-11 and C-18 are) I very much disagree with!

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Michael	P.	Sites retaliating and blocking canadian media and such from going elsewhere due to this censorship also vaugeness as some YouTubers from canada might not count which should not happen
Lisa	F.	Sites should only be monitored for the following type of content: animal abuse, child porn, extreme violence, etc.
April	P.	Sites/people that I follow may be downregulated or hidden just because they aren't Canadian enough.
Peter	C.	Skewed search results
Stacey	M.	Skewed to what the Gov WANTS us to see ,Ķ not what is really happening or available. They have taken our right to choose away.
Robert	Н.	Small Canadians content creators as well as all the other outside of Canada creators I follow being de-listed from the big platforms.
Frances	A.	Small content creators, small independent companies, and innovators starting out should not be penalized. Large legacy companies should support small content producers.
Razvan	A.	Small creators to be treated like large media companies.
Adam	P.	Small Dictatorship.
Sharon	A.	Small independent creators would be penalized and wind up with less of an audience.
Darryl	T.	So far, nothing. But I might want to whatever without censorship!
Frank	B.	So much content nowadays is a collaborative effort often from people all over the world. Stop trying to tell us what we can and cannot consume. Mind your own business instead of mine.
Miriam	S.	So much of the recommended content already is stuff I don't care about. I am afraid that with C-11, they will try to push whatever their agenda is into my recommended content, claiming it's ,ÄòCanadian'. And that goes for funding Canadian content too. They'd have the ability to only fund what THEY think is acceptable. So no. I don't like this idea at all. I want to be able to search my own content without government interference.

		regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
Clayton	K.	So net neutrality in both access AND content is super important. Yes, we can work in large consensus to cut down on the propagation of hate, misinformation and other problematic content,Äîbut this cannot be done by a nationalistic lens. Canada is known for its aspects of diversity and values of inclusion and kindness (even if in reality it is still a colonizer inheritance and still has work to do on its racist citizens, systems and factors of government.) So taking a turn and centering Canada as a media entity filter is counter to what Canada actually is: passive and receptive and responsive, rather than rigid and demonstrative. Right now, a Liberal government is in power, and for the most part, we are doing our best to be just, inclusive and consider the realities of others. If a problematic party were to take power, this filtering could further messages about exclusion, outright lies, or promotion of rigid forms of intolerance. There are other ways for Canadian art and media to be promoted locally and in the world. Enforcing this through essentially a form of censorship, is not the way to go. Canada is best as an observer, and sticking to its pillars of trust and openness,Äîand keeping up the work of addressing what does remain problematic. Thank you for your consideration.
Jim	V.	So NOT mess around with the content in my feed. I want to receive what I ask for. It would be fine to include a CANCON badge beside search results, with an option to have them appear in first priority; however, I want to have control over this. It is not for government to arbitrarily influence the content of my feed without my consent and control.
Doug	K.	So sad when I cna't watch nor be able to post any Canadian content with freedom of speech!
Cynthia	M.	Social media marketing is truly a nightmare. It has affected mental health for the last 12 years and during COVID amplified the problems. I currently subscribe to BBC news. I do not want to hear America's NARRATIVE! They will say anything, forever, if it makes them money and gets the AMERICAN SLANT on everything üò° Our beautiful country of CANADA does not need to be divided. We are ONE COUNTRYüá®üá¶ rich with DIVERSITY ,ô•Ô∏è
Kerry	C.	Social media platforms allows citizens to freely communicate. These platforms should not be censored and personal posts should never be withheld from others.
Chris	M.	Social Media platforms blocking Canadian content or news articles would be the biggest thing that should be of concern to everyone.

Erik		
	G.	Socialist propaganda
Wayne	B.	Some content will be removed from internet searches.
Winston	M.	Some incompetent ignorant radical controlling my life.
Marcia	T.	some independent sources could be blocked, I like many perspectives on world news.
Brad	D.	Some of the content would no longer be available on the feed
Cindy	B.	Someone dictating what I observe. Especially the liberal party. A dystopian society. I read Money Mafia The World in Crisis by the Honorable Paul Hellyer and I completely support his research as published in this book particularly regarding the media. I'm completely opposed to one) being forced online two) and then having an overarching dictator funnel information to me. Get out of my business. I'm capable of discernment. My frontal lobe is high functioning.
Rodney	P.	Someone else has control of what I look for or see, which is not right. If we want to see Canadian content we will look for it. No one entity should control what Canadians or anyone else watches or sees. It is to open ended for the crtc to take control of what we want to see or look for.
Jacob	K.	Someone else limiting my choices.
David	B.	Someone else making my content decisions for me. I'm the only one qualified for that!
Michael	Н.	Sounds like government overreach yet again to control what people read/see online.
marjorie	b.	Sources, content or subjects being banned. Could we not get a red Maple leaf indicator for websites, like the cow for Canadian dairy products.
Shane	B.	Specifically our Freedom of Speech. Government overreach and censorship of that is communism in the rising. This is not acceptable.
Josette	W.	State control of information State manipulation of information Data gathering for more control by governments
Usman	K.	State control of media is a dangerous thing. Let free markets be free.
ALAN	W.	Stay away from the internet. Go regulate television and radio.
Ted	M.	Stay our of controling free speech

Marie	C.	STAY OUT OF MY FEED!! I do NOT want my feed manipulated to show more Canadian content. I want my feed to show what I am interested in, regardless of who creates it or where it comes from. ALL CREATORS should have the opportunity to have their media shown, WITHOUT manipulation to direct more to specifically Canadian content. I do not want to miss out on seeing something amazing that may come from a different country, simply because YOU think people should see more Canadian content, and you start to overshadow everything else by pushing them out and shoving Canadian content over-top of content that originates from different countries.
Louise	L.	Stay out of my life. Period. I'll decide what content I want to watch and it sure as hell isn't Canadian television.
Beth	D.	Stifling freedom of expression.
Denis	S.	Stop / Limit restrictions on Hate Speech and/or Misinformation. The ones judging are often biased, too sensitive, misinformed, and/or etc.
Celeste	K.	Stop all the censorship! Canadian content should be treated like all content. Stop government control over media. If it is what people want to see they can decide for themselves without government interference. CBC should never be funded by taxpayers money. They should be like all other media earning their place by their own merit.
Marek	F.	Stop all this censorship nonsense.
lain	G.	Stop censoring media content Stop the communist propaganda
Mihaela	R.	Stop censoring results of searches/feeds. This bill should not have passed. It is a big mistake. We do not have a government to dictate what we see or hear. The government should do what people want, not the other way around.
Jesse	C.	STOP CENSORSHIP
PATRICI A	W.	stop censorship periodwill not stand for it! Time to cancel all tv subscriptions and platforms that support this tyrannical dictatorship. Canadians will not stand for it. SILENCE NO MORE
Mark	S.	STOP CENSORSHIP. Keep your grubby hands off. Stay clear of people who create. We need THE OPPOSITE OF CENSORSHIP. Back away don't touch a thing. You are NOT QUALIFIED. Go home and stay there. Don't fancy yourself as being much other than a control-driven enemy.

Claude	L.	Stop comunism.
Hardev		·
пагиеч	S.	Stop controlling content
Sleins	D.	Stop controlling people's opinions, because people are the ones that supposedly put this people as leaders, for them once they get in power do with their electors wherever they want, people think that their vote makes a difference but in my opinion, it is all demagogue.
Jad-Sha ms	Z.	Stop controlling what people see online!
Chris	M.	Stop forcing groups to produce or promote cancon - podcasts, media streaming platforms, music playlists. Tax if you must, especially for non Canadian content coming into Canada, but don't artificially force the creation or promotion of Cancon. If you want to be the BBC, fund content worth consuming. I'm very worried that large corps like Bell will get Cancon money, rather than the small artists who need it.
Cameron	T.	Stop Government marxist/nazi/WEF propaganda!
Gloria	G.	Stop it. Trudeau has to stop having suck attacks when people don't agree with him. I can't wait to get a grown up in government.
Cathy	N.	Stop lining the pockets of a few media outlets,Äîwe stopped watching those years ago!!
Frank	L.	Stop manipulating information. What I want to consume is my responsibility, not yours.
Emmanu el	V.	STOP MESSING AROUND WITH THE INTERNET AND HOW INDIVIDUALS CONSUME/PRODUCE CONTENT. YOU DON'T SUPPORT SMALL CANADIAN CONTENT CREATORS NOR DO THEY WANT YOUR HELP, SO GO BACK TO BEG FOR BIG CORPORATE MONEY. YOUR CONTENT PREFERENCE IS NOT THEIR PREFERENCE. YOU CANNOT FORCE ANYONE TO ONLY WATCH CANADIAN CONTENT. PEOPLE WILL FIND WAYS TO CIRCUMVENT UNREASONABLE GORVERNMENT CONTROL. STOP THAT, THE CRTC IS MAKING A FOOL OUT OF THEMSELVES BY IMPLEMENTING THINGS THAT QUITE FRANKLY DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA ON HOW THINGS WORK ON THE INTERNET.
Paul	C.	Stop messing with our rights and freedom we can pick what we like or dislikes don't need government to put his head in everything and our freedom leave it the was it is

		lework regarding contributions to support editidatal and margeneds content
Brendan	F.	Stop messing with things that are not broken! If we want Canadian content we will look for it.
Aaron	F.	Stop policing the internet.
Harold	M.	Stop stealing money and let people watch what they want. We do not appreciate being controlled!!!
jennifer	b.	STOP THE CENSORSHIP!!!
Chuck	P.	Stop the censorship. We demand the truth and freedom of speech as guaranteed by our Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Stop corporate and government propaganda to manipulate the masses. The TRUTH, peer reviewed science and documented evidence would be denied to the public.
Lori	Н.	Stop trying to censor everyone and leave it all alone. Period. You have no right telling me what I can and can not see on my social media. I'm an adult. Piss off.
Sean	R.	Stop trying to control all content providers to make them show Canadian content. I've already been follow content creators all over the world including great Canadian content creators.
Kyle	A.	Stop trying to emulate the CCP. Take away our rights and people will reject your positions of authority with a vote of no confidence. History will repeat.
Jean-Mar	L.	Stop trying to get someone else to pay for content. Stop financing the same producer of content over and over without performance. Stop trying to censor speech or ideas. We have freedom of speech. Stop trying to create a 2 speed internet. No censorship. We have the right to free press.
Curt	K.	Stop trying to regulate news in Canada. Protect freedom of speech!
Damian	D.	Stop turning this country into a Communist one.
jonathan	r.	STOP VIOLATING OUR RIGHTS!
Marton	R.	Stop watching Canadian content altogether
	-	

Michael

Daniel

Streaming content is NOT the same as broadcast media like live TV. It should not be regulated by the CRTC, CanCom or other. In effect this is censorship and I should be able to watch/search for whatever I like. If you want to regulate live TV in Canada, go ahead, but the internet is not the same thing. What would constitute Canadian content? How Canadian is Canadian. If I am Canadian and produce media in Canada would I be able to apply for and get \$? I doubt it. To me this seems like censorship and a cash grab to prop up failing broadcasters who can't compete. If people don't want to watch live TV and prefer internet streaming services like Netflix or YouTube, then I would suggest those broadcasters to improve their content. The whole point of the internet is that people can seek out and view whatever they want, forcing certain G. content to the top over others is a terrible idea. Subject: The Potential Threats of Bill C-11 to Internet Freedom and Independent Journalism I am writing today out of deep concern for the proposed Bill C-11, an act which purports to update the Canadian Broadcasting Act and other respective legislation. However, its likely implications on the free-flow of ideas, news, and opinions through the Internet have elicited my alarm, as I fear that the manifestation of this bill can inadvertently undermine our cherished democratic principles. Freedom of speech and the free circulation of divergent viewpoints form the bedrock of any robust democracy. On the face of it, Bill C-11, with its potential to grant the government extensive control over news and commentary on the Internet, is intimidating. While I understand the need to regulate online content, this bill seems to do far more than ensuring ethical, responsible sharing of information, Äîit could potentially curb voices and lay grounds for undue political interference. By extending the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission's (CRTC) remit into the vast terrain of the Internet, this bill could, in effect, give the government extensive power to regulate what Canadians see or don't see online. This is a precarious proposition, risking the turning of a blind eye to the diverse, often conflicting voices that foster critical thinking and informed decision-making. I am also gravely concerned about the implications this bill might have on independent news entities. With the shift of advertising dollars towards digital platforms, online media outlets - which play vital roles in holding power to account, have already been grappling to survive. The new regulative supremacy, embedded in Bill C-11, could potentially suppress these voices under the burden of administrative and financial challenges, thus inadvertently H. favoring propaganda.

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Leslie	S.	Supporting more local artists and Canadian artists and producers, including French content .
Michelle	P.	Suppresion of some view points homogenizes the way we see the world and that is not healthy. We need alternate views, so we can make informed decisions. We need others to challenge us, so we can consider our current view points and see how it stands to a different opinion. We are multicultural in Canada, and therefore we must be free, to see and hear things we don't agree with and make our own decisions. Of course, within the bounds of criminal law. BILL C-11 suppresses this freedom and let's others decide what viewpoints we should subscribe to. It marginalizes and quietly bullies those who are different.
Tanner	J.	Suppression and/or promotion of certain content based on what the government wants
Jeff	S.	suppression of conservative websites funneling money to pro left wing websites restrictions on free speech
HAREND RA	J.	Suppression of facts and debate, using pretexts like combatting hate speech, disinformation, fake news etc.
Judit	N.	Suppression of non-Canadian creators, interference with Canadian content creators who are not on the political left, this bill will turn put like the Chinese censorship rules.
Sarah	F.	Take away from the openess of internet. And doesn't let us close what we want
Audra	L.	Taking away freedom of speech, so people can not share opinions or information. Not allowing content because of religion, shared opinions, etc.
Ferne	M.	Taking away my ability to choose what I want to see and post.
L	S.	Taking away my choice to watch and decide what I want to watch and freedom of speech.
Christine	Y.	Taking away my freedom of choice as well as control over the content I choose to see first.
Benoit	Н.	Taking away my freedom of choice is in no way acceptable in anyway
Rhonda	M.	Taking away my freedom of choice to view what I want, who I want and when I want! I NEVER agreed to trudeau/Singh or ANY politician interfering In any choices I make! Period!

Graham		Taking away our freedom or do we want to be like China Russia or North Korea is that what Trudo wants looks like it!
Lee	J.	Taking away our freedom to think for ourselves. All media lies.
Rob	M.	Tbh Canadian content sucks
Timothy	W.	TELL TRUDEAU - HANDS OFF! THIS IS NOT CHYNA I don't want to see any more Canadian Content - the CBC CTV GLOBAL is QUITE Enough with their Pandering. What possibly more Content do I want to view??? More Indigenous CRAP rammed down my throat!?? I Pay my Taxes no need of Gov to enter my Personal Viewing Spaces on ANY Platform THIS is just to give us MORE TRUDEAU bought and paid for CONTENT NO THANK YOU!
lynne	C.	Tell Trudeau, the dictator, to get out of trying to control the narrative, and every other thing he tries to control. He is ultimately a communists, and wants to control not only all our actions, but what we think, believe and prioritize. Disgusting and evil at it's core I want to watch intenational affiars, and the sites that I trust without censorship of any content. It will likely change 90# oof what I like to watch and I use youtube to learn alot about building and solar, and electrical and plumbing which I cannot live off grid without.
Kaylie	I.	Thank you for turning us into North Korea.
Cheryl	В.	Thanks to the CRTC we have the most expensive cable and cell phone service in the world. Lets just price everyone except the wealthy out of being able to enjoy any of the above including internet content searches, etc. Meanwhile local news stations are disappearing like dinosaurs.
Earl	C.	That a bunch of money goes to Bell and Rogers without them earning it. If the CRTC cared about Canadian content, I would be able to easily find it already.
George	H.	That access to websites the government doesn't like will be blocked. Government should not be able to decide what data I or anybody else may want to consume. That is censorship! The Canadian government already funds most of Canadian media except organization like Rebel news that Trudeau has tried to shut down. Hardly the signs of a liberal democracy, more like Authoritarianism.
Ann	D.	That alternative Canadian media will be censored or cancelled.

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Celeste	K.	That an arbitrary opinion is getting more weight than intelligent ones. The ideal scenario is we are all able to see wolrdwide how things operate.
Carmen	K.	That C11 will be the Trojan horse to censor free speech and the free flow of information. It's not up to the government to regulate what I watch and listen to because they want more Canadian content. In the end it will end up with a state media (cue the new and revamped CBC) pushing the Liberal ideology and it will be funded by public tax dollars. This has not been brought into the public square for discussion/debate. Debate was shut down in Parliament. This is totalitarianism. Public discourse, and ideas and information shared in the public square are FREE and should not be regulated.
Dorothee	M.	That Canadian contend is disappearing or because underfunded not available anymore
Bruce	N.	That Canadian content, "diverse" content, or content from "underrepresented" groups will be pushed to the top of searches, feeds, platforms and highlighted. Giving advantage to certain types of content as opposed to just what is popular or curated based on personal preferences and trends.
Arthur	J.	That certain foreign programming might suddenly become unavailable, for example, online streaming news programs that are not a part of mainstream media consortiums. These provide a valuable alternative and in some cases investigative journalism that most media outlets no longer provide. It has been noted by thinkers since the American Constitution that without a free press, democracy cannot function. Further, my concern is that user-generated content might also fall under censorship rules or Canadian content regulations.
James	M.	That certain things on the internet would actually disappear. The government should not be choosing what Canadians can watch. The Canadian people should be telling the government what they want instead. It is my hope the CRTC does the right thing!
Gloria	L.	That consumers do not have the ability to choose content as there is so much censorship right now.
David	G.	That content creators are submitted to a set of boundaries that was established for television and radio stations, which is not adapted for a user-based video submission platform.

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
Adam	D.	That content will be forced upon people instead of allowing it to be natural and by choice. Also concerns that content creators will be held at unrealistic exceptions.
Susan	Y.	That fact checkers leave their bias at home and quit trying to censor the truth by finding one article that agrees with their bias whether it be the the truth or not.
Lai	R.	That for some unknown reason, a first-world Nation as big as CANADA, may suddenly, & for *UNDISCLOSED* reasoning, start being CONTROLLED in every aspect of life, & now even including living under CENSORSHIP. Canada is now experiencing a Mass Exodus, including even, many (INFORMED) IMMIGRANTS.
Donna	M.	That free speech would be censored. And we would not be allowed to watch whatever we choose on YouTube, Twitter, Facebook, etc.
Jason	Т.	that government removes my ability to look at many sides of and issue. (yes its very important to look at the opposite side of the augment just ask any one who has a degree). when a government controls all the perception windows one looks at the world through, very bad things historically occur; just ask north Korea The bottom line is in the age of the internet true censorship is very hard to do with VPN etc so all that happens is that the government looks very dark and evil for trying to censor you and makes people consider whats being hidden with a greater level of truth than if it was not hidden. Are you listening Trudeau?
Vincent	P.	That I am unable to see, hear or search unconditionally for non-Canadian sources or internet related services that has made all other news or purchases resources unavailable. While our federal government is producing propaganda that shouldn't exist or have written fictional stories about individuals or other countries with their own approval of such stories. Canadians should be able to search and read Canadian and non Canadian content on the internet or related sources without provincial or federal interference, unless it has disgusting interests like child pornography, human trafficking, illegal weapon/ street drug purchases or joining cults such as the KKK, etc. I find it strange we have in-country Canadians making entrepreneur content for profit to non-profit but aren't consider Canadian enough because the federal government has deemed not Canadian enough or doesn't show any taxable interest for the government as a whole. Why is our government gatekeeping Canadian content like isn't made by Canadians? And why

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		has so many Canadians left the country already into the U.S.A or other countries?
Loic	C.	That I can no longer find the information that I want because of changes of feed and research. That the information that I want will get buried under the information promoted by Canada's C11 bill.
Doug	P.	That I might lose access to some US sites I now have
Billie	Н.	That I see more Canadian propaganda than what's really going on in the world.
Ed	G.	That I will be limited in what I get and it will be biased by Liberal government interference.
Savita	S.	That I will not be able to form my own opinions because what I'm allowed to see will be Canadian bias. I do love Canada, but we live in a world not just a country. I want to know what is going on in the world and not just in Canada, or see only a Canadian perspectives. This will just dumb us down because we will lack enough information to have an informed opinion. I am not a child. I am a adult and can think for myself. So thanks but not thanks to bill C-11.
Sheila	L.	That I will not be able to have access or view opposing or alternative view points. I thought I lived in a democracy but seemingly you want more control of what I want and need and THINK !!!!!!!! All news must be read as factual and completely separate from giving opinions in a broadcast. EG - There is a war in the Ukraine - {Fact} The Ukrainians will lose this war - {Opinion}
Brian	E.	That I will not be able to make my own choices on content.
Diana	M.	That I will not have the option to look at or read about something that the government does not agree with.
Chanella	T.	That I won't actually see anything I'm interested in. I'm concerned that I will be fed more than choose to see unbiased news and world updates from independent sources based off algorithms of my personal search pattern which I prefer. I'm concerned that it will leave far too much control in the hands of very few sources.
Cindy	S.	That I won't have choice and control over what I see and consume
Dana	В.	That I won't have unlimited access to information of all kinds. No one should be limiting what information we can access.

Marc		That I would be able to view less content I want and that my comments, views and opinions will banned.
Kelly	L.	That I would be censored online
Wendy	S.	That I would be forced to watch what the CRTC deems acceptable content.
Kaitlyn	D.	That I would Be limited to information based on what the government thinks I should see / what the government calls the most Canadian content.
Susan	E.	That I would have decreased or possibly no access to independent media sources
Ryan	C.	That I would lose access to content that I love and be force fed content by the outdated and out of touch large Canadian media outlets like bell and Corus and the like. They don't deserve to be paid by their competitors (who can't access CMF funding). They've had years to get with the times but refused to do so and instead hung on to a business model from last century. I'm afraid that real Canadian storytellers will continue to be restricted from accessing cancon funding. There are countless real Canadians telling real stories who can't access this funding and will be regulated under this bill.
Patrick	M.	That I wouldn't be able to find the content I'm looking for because of favoritism to Canadian content.
Luc	P.	That it could be used as propaganda for whichever political party has the majority.
SALOME	V.	That it will become a politial tool that we have no input in. Whomever has the most money will rule. The average person posting will have posts cleared if not in agreement with the feds.
Craig	M.	That it will have negative effects on content creators (YouTube creators and Twitch streamers) that live in Canada. It will be used to enforce large broadcaster standards on small independent creators.
Nadia	A.	That it will neglect to show me content from the non-Canadian content creators I watch on YouTube.
Chris	В.	That it will restrict my freedom and sensor our speech like Trudeau did to the truckers.

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Joan	J.	That it will take away my freedom to easily access and locate whatever I choose to watch or listen to.
Zahra	A.	That it would force out, news and stories from others about what is happening to the rest of the world.
Herbert	M.	That it would impede regular usage.
Remi	L.	That it's applied indiscriminately and not taking account of the service itself and forces the service to simply discontinue serving canadians.
Amy	K.	That its basically censorship, telling me what I can read/watch
Leila	О.	That journalism will become colluded by politics, I want freedom to watch what I want but I also don't want anyone watching with me on the other end,Ķ.
Eric	M.	That loopholes, exact words, and fine print could be used to censor and deny content, rather than have the content aid in creating more Canadian content.
Edward	V.	That my freedom to express my though are not curtailed. Noor should you be able to tell me what i can see/read or hear. I know my History and this one more step on to a totalitarian state.
Nola	W.	That my freedom to see or read whatever was relevant to me would be taken away. We should as tax paying Canadian citizens, have the right to see, hear or read ALL that is out there. We should be allowed to choose what we believe or disbelieve, when we read it, see it, or hear it. Our freedom of choice continues to be taken away. FOR OUR OWN GOOD, I'm sure. We all have a mind, let us make our own decisions on what we would like to take in, no matter what category it falls under.
Connie	F.	That my rights as a Canadian are being taken away. This is supposed to be a democracy.
Melissa	P.	That my viewing and searching needs will be biased and not contain other countries, religions and cultures points of view, experiences or scientific, medical or natural health based expertise and knowledge.
Tyler	B.	That online platforms would block news content rather than paying news organizations for news content that are shared on their sites that the online platforms don't own as the result of both c-11 & c-18
Jeff	B.	That option to turn can con on and off should be OFF BY DEDAULT.

	IIaII	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Kim	В.	That our ability to be relevant online will suddenly be limited by the control of the CRTC.
Mike	K.	That our democracy as a nation is being undermined. I donot believe it has to do with Canadian content but rather to silence criticism of the government. American media for most part tells another side and is not partisan. This is a very very dangerous president that needs to be stopped. I am not worried about Canadian content being neglected but the silencing of truth. If no one stands up we as a nation will become an authorization.
Deborah	D.	That our rights are being taken a way by a dictator who shouldn't have this right in the first place! He works for the Canadian people, and not push his tyrannical BS on us!!
J	S.	That regulation is a word/instrument not applicable for this scope. No regulation period.
Catherine	M.	That searches are Controlled and content deemed not suitable can't be found
Kim	N.	That smaller publications and smaller online content are consulted and preserved. That concentrated ownership in crossing platforms like tv radio newspapers and online are broken up and not allowed to exist.
Marg	М.	That some of the alternative news and international news may not be available and that we will become more like Cuba, only being able to see what the government wants us to.
ethan	f.	That streaming services would swap higher quality content for lower quality content just to meet regulated minimums. And that high quality Canadian content might be throttled internationally because the focus will be on keeping Canadian content in Canada. Could be misused to try and preclude some content from being viewed by Canadians.
Alexis	S.	That the broad spectrum of content that gets suggested to me would change. I like seeing things from other countries. It gives me more understanding into other cultures, other ideas, and things going on around the world. It feels like with this bill we're going back to the days when we only had 13 channels on our tvs, and you had reruns of the same programs over and over. It limits our intake of the world around us. The internet was meant to make things more widely available to us, not show us a pinhole view of the things around us.

	ii aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and malgenous content
Leslie	K.	That the content I want to view is restricted in any way or is punished in such a way as to make it uneconomical or problems for them to continue to post or provide their feed. The internet should not have any restrictions based on thought, race, creed, ideology, nationality. The only restrictions should be for any criminal activity.
Mary	Z.	That the content I want to watch will get buried or not be carried at all.  This will amount to censorship even if only by default. If you want more  Canadian content then give extra funding but don't limit competition. Just  because it is Canadian content doesn't automatically make it better.
Brent	P.	that the content on the internet would be restricted, not allowed and completely controlled based on Canadian content
Angelo	В.	That the content that I prefer to consume will become more difficult to find. It might also make it more difficult to find new interesting content that isn't made in Canada. While this government says that it isn't going to control content that Canadians see, CRTC control on the Internet can potentially give future governments the power to censor content that they don't want Canadians to see.
Brian	В.	That the CRTC (or an arm of government, same thing) could effectively hide or block content from me in order to promote a more biased Canadian platform or information, history, or upcoming events that the government does not care for, or would rather attempt to influence the populace to their liking. We have too much government control already. IMO, the only reason the government is pushing C-11 & C-18 is to control more of what gets out about them and the lousy job they are doing of running this country. But don't worry, Rodriguez says it will be fine. How'd that work out minister?
Daniel	K.	That the CRTC has the final say and could block content I want to see and force me to receive content I don't want to see and don't care to see.
E. William	J.	That the CRTC will be sticking their nose in my business which they have no business doing. That the CRTC will be restricting my access to the world. That the CRTC will treat me as if I am stupid and not able to make my own decisions about what I access.
susie	t.	that the gouvernent is doing juste as it pleases and that behind the scenes intervene to control everythinf as there is nothing goog in this government
Andrew	L.	That the government chooses what content I can or cannot see.

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Aidan	C.	That the government has too much control of what I see. I don't want any filtration being done on my online content so I know that no big powers are hiding things or pushing certain messages on me.
John J	A.	That the government or some other organization could change what I'm searching for and not include other parts of the world. Therefore, censoring what I'm searching for it doesn't have to be entirely. Canadian is when I search for something I can search the world or I can search North America not just Canada.
Dean	B.	That the government will be able censure any/all content that they don't agree with so all the platforms I use will be severely restricted in content. I have no problem with restricting any forms of pornography but when a government can call the shots on non- critizing of its methods than that is wrong.
Adam	Н.	That the government will control what I see and limit my access to items I want to see.
Tanya	W.	That the government will dictate what I can and can't watch/say online. Censorship has NO PLACE in a supposedly free and democratic country.
Cody	M.	That the government will make obviously weird decisions about what counts as Canadian Content such as when a show about Trump was considered Canadian content but Turning Red wasn't.
shawn	d.	That the government will now control and censor all information in Canada. There will be no non government funded and controlled information available to the public.
Keith	M.	That there is regulation like C11 which restricts content generally available to online users on the Internet is fundamentally wrong. The Internet and its vast content was always, from day one, intended to be free and accessible. The CRTC has no business to interfere with citizens use of and access to services and programming they choose to patronize. The CRTC should not try to direct revenue from subscribed services to large Canadian media corporations at the expense of Canadians' choices for content. I do not need the Government to choose what I can or can't watch or what internet services I consume. Already Government interference in broadcast news services is having the effect of restricting available content. That should never happen.
Ron	C.	That things I want to see will be blocked or pushed down in ratings and discoverability based on forced ratings. I don't want anyone telling me

		lewin regarding continuations to support canadian and margenous content
		what I should be looking for, it's my job to do that and not for the government to decide.
Leah	S.	That things will be censored needlessly. People should have the option to pick what they want to watch with in the perimeters that it doesn't include violence etc
Bob	V.	That this bill will limit or take away my choices of what I want to watch! It should be my choice not this government!, democracy is about giving choice not taking away choices!
Caitlin	D.	That we will lose access to information from abroad and opportunities as content creators.
Gail	F.	That we will not be able to access media that does not agree with government or mainstream media and that media will be able to freely give all information. That freedom if expression by media and by individuals will be suppressed. That there will be manipulation by the the CRTC
Chris	M.	That were being controlled to what we want to see and only what you want us to see.
Lewis	P.	That whatever "Canadian Content" means is subject to whatever the government thinks it is and the information I see online will be altered to promote that.
jerad	m.	That when I do go online there is Canadian content that is as easily available as others. Not necessarily the only content just easier to find then what is available now.
Tristan	Н.	That'd I'd have to use a VPN to go around the regs to get content that's good content. Just because it's 'CanCon' doesn't mean the content is good because of that.
Tom	K.	The "discoverability" tool: the CRTC can manipulate feeds, control the content consumed, and downrank the content individuals may love in favour of government-stamped "CanCon". This is deeply concerning when government can control user feeds. It's counter to the original purpose of the internet to provide open communication amongst users without the manipulations from overreaching higher powers.

kevin	S.	the ability to find content that the government might not like and non-Canadian content
Greg	M.	The ability to find the best solution to any problem. For example, if I have a simple plumbing issue and use YouTube to learn how to fix it, I want the best information possible. I don't care if it comes from a Canadian channel/creator or one from the US, UK, Europe, etc. If I want Canadian content, I can get it by including Canada in my search terms, it works amazingly well. As for other social media platforms such as Facebook and Instagram, I feel I get plenty of Canadian content just by virtue of being a Canadian living in Canada. Due to algorithms already in place, most advertising is geared towards Canadian companies and with most of my contacts being fellow Canadians, I believe we already receive plenty of Canadian content online. Another thing to consider is that we are a nation of immigrants, including most of us who were born, raised and have lived out whole lives here. The beauty of online access is that it can open us up to a wider world of learning and experiences we otherwise might not be able to explore.
Georgina	V.	The ability to have who I follow and watch services on and have communication with be considered to halt or limit access to The deciding that is what is true information Vs what is ,Äîwhat they consider untruthful or true is not freedom of speech The Charter of Freedom and Rights says anyone can speak if they are not breaking the law
Warren	H.	The ability to learn and educate yourself by way of search and freedom of thought. I don't need someone or something telling me what I should like or be interested in I can manage myself. I believe in a truly free market and the main driver for making good and useful content is competition and it drives people to do better. If the Canadian content is well done people will find it if it is done like 90% of it is now very few people will be interested even if you force it on them.
Mark	V.	The ability to no longer have a variety of information and opinions available for consumption. A number of various online personalities who don't reside in Canada do share important information and foresight that would not be available for the average Canadian. This would seriously limit the ability to make informed opinions as well as insinuate that the Canadian media isn't a truth-seeking entity.
Ryan	M.	The ability to see content I enjoy watching recommended to me based on algorithms. My personal experience on the internet should not be tailored by the CRTC or a government body. If I want to watch Canadian content I will. I do not want it shoved down my throat. Also, with the

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		vague wording on the bill what powers will the CRTC have when regulating what I view on the internet? I feel as if it will be taken advantage of to not show all perspectives on global matters and especially matter in Canada.
Erin	K.	The ability to view or share news content on Facebook and Instagram.  Content being manipulated
Valerie	T.	The availability and variety of content and information.
Danica	A.	The availability of my interest that changes over time, example I'm into sonic right now and I enjoy colouring sheets. The accessibility of service manuals and operators manuals. Finally The special treatment of Canadian content over worldwide Content.
Alan	M.	The availability of what I wish to watch and be informed regarding.
marcus	J.	The available content served to me on platforms (ie. youtube, Facebook, TikTok,) and the control over search results possibly being suppressed or altogether remove from my view which would limit my access to information about situations across the world or even situations within my own country.
KEVIN	M.	The bill is a joke! üôÑ No one is going to censor me!
Jordon	C.	the bill is too ambiguous, leaving many issues unresolved.
Sue	D.	The bill is tryrany and should not even be law
Justin	V.	The bill, as I recall, doesn't define what Canadian content is. It should be defined simply as Content produced in Canada by Canadian citizens. This should be done because the CRTC and government can't define what Canadian culture is, because culture is a product of the citizens, not government.
Mark	R.	The blatant censorship of citizens is very concerning
Ron	Н.	The blocking of information, depending on who's in charge at the time. Maybe a liberal-minded CRTC culls some of the BS from a few screens Great. But if the powers that be, are Right Wing, fascistic, conservatives-in-cloths-only We're all f*****.
Mary	M.	The breakdown of our democracy !!!!!!! This should NOT have been passed. Who did turdo bribe ?? He needs to go NOW. Welcome to Chinada!

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Tim	W.	The Canadian Government and its subsidiaries are not capable to determine what is Canadian Content. They do not have the trust nor the interest of Canadians to do that job. Even if the Canadian Government was capable to do that I do not want them involved in that process. The government does not need to be involved with every aspect of Canadian's lives. I would prefer to have 100% control of the content that I consume.
Phil	T.	The Canadian government has engaged in false information & lies during the vaccine. Censoring truth & has proven that they aren't capable of the integrity required to ethically apply C-11. Feeds should be individual & people should be free to access the information they want to.
Paul	В.	The Canadian Government needs to stop trying to control us, Trudeau needs to step down and take a hike.
Tanner	S.	The Canadian government using c11 to promote propaganda
Mahmoo d	A.	The censoring of non-Canadian media, and impacts on Canadian creators' revenues.
Craig	F.	the censorship and freedom to post what you feel like on the net in general it's just rediculous how everyones been fear mongering and hate mongering since the co-vid lock-downs it's just unfair.
Dr. Brenda	B.	The censorship and manipulation of information that we have accessible as Canadians is a social control tactic to manipulate our opinions. THIS is a terrible and slippery slope that 'someone' who works for the government decides WHAT I can watch on TV or YouTube or any other platform.
Robert	B.	the censorship in Canada is going to far tell government to stop this
Brenda	V.	The censorship of alternative news and other content . I want the freedom to watch and listen to the sources I choose ,ùóÔ∏è,ùóÔ∏è
William	D.	The censorship of content
Lewis	L.	the censorship of creators is a big NO. People need free speech and any censorship is unwelcome.
Jane	W.	The censorship of our media should NOT happen! Our WEF PM should not be censoring any media, freedom of speech is vital to Canadians. The main stream media is already biased and does not tell the truth because they are funded by the Canadian WEF controlled government.

	II GII	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		Our Prime Minister is treasonous and so is bill C11 to Canadians. Get rid of Bill C11
Mike	M.	The censorship, period. This a greedy attempt by the liberals to shield their feelings from the criticism, they so rightfully deserve from Canadians and foreign content producers. This has nothing to with Canadian content and everything to do with censorship. I get plenty of Canadian content producers in my videos. I don't need the government dictating what's "safe" for me to consume.
Robert	T.	The certainty that the federal government can and will control what I get get to see , hear, or read. Canada is supposed to be a democracy, but under the Trudeau regime, it is descending into a dictatorship
Davie	C.	The changes to the algorithms open a pathway for content shaping and controlling information since the definition of CanCon is rather arbitrary.
Kim	B.	The choice to gather information from media in other countries, to see what smaller independent platforms are sharing. I do not think we should be limited to Canadian ONLY content or content that only reflects one narrative, one political point of view or Govt of Canada controlled and picked content as this DOES NOT reflect the citizens of this country. And therefore does not reflect a good use of our tax dollars. Individual viewing should not be monitored by the state or controlled. Politically motivated removal of content that is expressing religious ideas or reporting from non legacy media is NOT acceptable.
Diana	M.	The concern is censorship. Everyone is different and everyone has different preferences. The choice should be left to the individual as to their experience not to some regulatory body. The only concern that should be regulated is; it has to be legal.
Ali	G.	The concern is government overreach. In a democracy we should not be forced to view CanCon or anything else. Why not focus on misinformation.
Robin	M.	The constant monitoring of phones, conversations, content viewed etc.
Aaron	W.	The content available will be reduced. We will be made to watch content instead of being able to choose what we want to watch.
Dustin	Н.	The content I normally want to consume could become much harder to find/access.
Matthew	F.	The content I see posted online.

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and malgenous content
Dany	C.	The content that they are trying to control should be for those that are 16 years old and less which are made for consenting adults. For the general population you should have the choice of what you are seeing on the web, and make the decision based on what you like and discard the rest.
Brad	M.	The content we receive will be limited/censored and the costs for content we consume will just go up while at the same time ADDING NO ADDITIONAL VALUE.
Matthew	B.	The contents of any broadcasting site or other wise, quite honestly have no business being modified/filtered by a government/agency. A site should be displayed as the creator intended. If the contact is questionable, leave that up to the viewer. I'm also not gravely concerned about Canadian content versus other content. I actually already see a fair bit of Canadian content and places I go and that doesn't make me concerned or believe we have a need to push more Canadian content ahead of others.
Georges	S.	The continuation of the government wanting more control. It won't make Canadian content more relevant nor compelling.
David	K.	The control of information. I am the user online not my government.
Shawn	R.	The corrupt governments being able to control/censor the people of Canada. We saw this already over the last 3yrs and it's frightening to see CANADA being turned into a SOCIALIST SHIT HOLE. This bill is designed to censor what Canadians are able to consume. If it were not for the news available from around the world CANDASTAN would still be under lockdowns. The rest of the world is watching with disgust at the fall of Canada
Alex	L.	The cost of services such as Netflix would go up due to the extra expenditures wrongfully imposed on those services by the bill.
Clint	Ο.	The creators/contents I have been following would not coming through my feed
Ronald	P.	The CRTC / Canadian government must quit playing Big Brother: telling us what to think, what to say, and what to do. Get out of the mind control business or you will make things worse for everyone - including yourselves!

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Amy	F.	The CRTC can still manipulate our feeds, control the content we consume, and downrank the content we want in favour of government approved "CanCon". This is fucking dystopic.
JoAnn	B.	The CRTC controlling what I see. The cRTC is unaccountable and should not be given any more authority over content.
Ronald	P.	The CRTC doesn't do a good job regulating the cbc. When commercial come on with the cbc the volume double. How will they manage something as complex as what I can see on the internet it going to be a person personal opinions or what the government wants you to see.NONE
Scott	D.	The CRTC has consistently sided with corporations who post record breaking profits every year while they take OUR tax dollars claiming they cannot make ends meet or pay for DESPERATELY required system overhauls. The CRTC lets us get ROBBED and has consistently let Bell, Rogers, and Telus do literally whatever they want.
Sherry	W.	The CRTC has long had Cnd content regulations, there is no need to change a thing.
Michel J	L.	The CRTC has no right to control, censure, manipulate, customized the information (of any kind) that Canadian's consumed on the Internet. Considering the relation that the CRTC maintain with the telecom companies in Canada, the collusion in maintaining Internet access prices very high cost (compared with countries like Australie), I sincerely do not believe that the CRTC is not trustworthy. Canadian are entitle to access the information (of any kind) as they see fit.
NANCY	H.	The CRTC having the right to decide what is and is not Canadian content. I am not comfortable with one group of people having the right to choose what I should and should not watch. I am concerned that the CRTC is going to control what comes up in my searches and on particular platforms, instead of basing it on my viewing history on the various platforms. As an adult, I am fully capable of knowing and choosing what I am wanting to watch. I don't need some government funded organization making those choices for me. This Bill is an overreach by the current government in all facets because they are afraid of their propaganda being proven wrong.
Brad	K.	The CRTC is completely useless to Canadians. There should be ZERO subsidization of Canadian content and ZERO regulation on Canadian

content - let it compete on the world stage on it's own merit or fail completely. The CRTC is corrupt. We live in a world where Rogers is allowed to purchase Shaw, perpetuating our nation's love affair with oligopolies. Meanwhile, Bell is asking to be allowed to shirk responsibility for their local news coverage/channels because it costs them \$40M a year even though they're recording BILLIONS of dollars in profits. Both of these scenarios are feasibly allowed to happen because of lobbyists and due to the incestuous relationships between the personnel of these organizations and those of the CRTC. If all video and content platforms fall under the jurisdiction of C-11, what is to stop Rogers from making a phone call to a friend at the CRTC about an up-and-coming YouTube channel, and the CRTC squashing that channel in their mandates/algorithms so that Rogers can make a competitor that is now highly promoted online in its place? As previously demonstrated, NOTHING would stop this from happening because they're all in bed with each other. The CRTC can no longer logically or ethically be considered an impartial or reputable decision-making and governing body for media in Canada because of this: it needs to be purged and new checks and balances need to be put into place to prevent this from continuing. Aside from this, CanCon can be good if implemented correctly: it encourages homegrown talent and fosters their local fanbase, it dissuades that talent from leaving to our southern neighbour to earn recognition for their work, and it helps to stave off a complete dominance of our southern neighbours' much larger media output. However, as history as shown, it can also lead to Nickelback's How You Remind Me being played thrice an hour by the single regional FM rock radio station in a small town, to the extent that it may cause its listeners to foster a growing desire to commit arson to said aforementioned regional FM rock radio station. This is the exact wrong way to enact Ryan Ρ. CanCon, and we should be putting practices in place to prev... The CRTC is fully broken and quite blatantly corrupt. I am concerned about all decisions it makes. There is no reason for the CRTC to inject itself into manipulating online content for the (seemingly) sole purpose of benefiting their financial backers and campaign donours. There is no reason for a government entity (in any country) to try to limit or alter the content of the internet, save for critical areas like underage or inappropriate pornography, etc. which should be punishable by strict penalties when posted. The type of market manipulation being attempted by the CRTC under bill C-11 is terribly inappropriate and would cause **James** Н. content providers to either withdraw from the Canadian market outright

		desired and the second
		(like the cryptocurrency platforms are currently doing) or increase the monthly cost of said servicesall to the detriment of Canadian citizens.
Scott	М.	The CRTC must not become a cleansing agent for the federal government. Freedom of speech is a right and it a necessary part of our Democratic process.
IRINA	G.	The CRTC regulating media has been a disaster. We stopped watching Canadian content years ago because it was bad. Canadian talent has left and is leaving Canada because they cannot make a living expressing themselves in Canada. I am concerned about Canadians' speech. I am afraid online platforms will push content that I don't want to watch.
Joe	M.	The CRTC should allow the people decide what content they wish to watch.
Terry	S.	The CRTC should be disbanded and a new independent body created to gather a group of people that is impartial and not influenced by the government. The agencies need to represent the population of Canada and not the morals or ideals of any governing body. There should be no censorship of any kind forced upon the people of Canada no matter what situation as long as it follows the laws of Canada. It is shameful the corruption rampant within all our governing representatives and needs to be cleaned out. Fair, impartial reporting and news should be made available to all Canadians immediately. This includes that the Canadian content must be allowed to stand on its own merit. All Canadian content should be held to the same quality and standards any other production is broadcast in Canada and it must be allowed to fail if it does not pique the interest of the Canadian population. If money from taxpayers is to be used to produce content then this content needs to equal or exceed the populations acceptance of this material to warrant the people to watch it.
Michael	C.	The CRTC should be independent from social media and T.V. not partisan appointees of the federal government.
Matthew	S.	The crtc should have become extinct a long time ago, this bill and any like it will split Canada in half. Like many other people this will be a direct reason for seperation.
Michele	G.	The CRTC should have no control over my feed, or alter the choices I see or want to participate in.
Lee	E.	The CRTC should have no role in controlling what I do or do not watch or read on whatever platform. Full stop.

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
Jytte	E.	The CRTC should not be regulating Canadians access to internet information
Mac	R.	The CRTC should not control the internet or world wide web. This is Censorship. The CRTC should regulate content to ensure some content over another this stymies competition. If anything the CRTC should prevent monopolies like it helped create in the telecom market. Liberals and big FedGov already overregulate the marketplace. Governments Roles is serve not control or censor. The Fed funding of current news media is evidence of control moreover censorships. Free and open market build economies. What's going on now kills them.
Gordon	M.	The CRTC should not have any influence on what I consume on the Internet. If I want Canadian content I will find and access it.
Chris	F.	The CRTC should not have any right to tell anyone what to see or what to post online. It is called FREEDOM!
Tim	G.	The CRTC smells like the ministry of truth. No time in history has our government lied to us taking away our rights and freedoms as this one! No trust. Zero! The CRTC has no business editing or making algorithems to edit the narrative of our governments' agenda. 1984 is being forced upon us. I am absutely disgusted with votes to cesure debate and removing things the government wants to control. Mistrust is 100%. I am pissed off with misinformation, disinformation this government has shit on us with. No fairness what so ever!
Greg	S.	The CRTC, under the direction of the government, would decide which news and information Canadians are allowed to see. Especially under the current regime, it will be used a tool to eliminate online content that does not align with the government narrative on a given topic, or will be used to silence any critical views of the government in favour of strictly pro government, or neutral content.
Chris	В.	The CRTC's discoverability power. They shouldn't be in the business of content moderation. It's not the CRTC's place to decide what content people can see across their online feeds & search results (up/down ranking, shadow banning, etc.).
Rob	О.	The current Canadian government has already demonstrated their inclination & willingness to censor, demean, humiliate, coerce, direct tax dollars & use heavy-handed force to forward their agenda (all while claiming to champion freedom & democracy!!!) Canadians can discern the authenticity & relevance of information for themselves (without

		lework regarding contributions to support canadian and indigenous content
		government controlled & orchestrated content) ,Ķ the only ones "threatening" democracy & "spreading misinformation" is the government themselves ,Ķ this needs to stop!!!
William	P.	The current Canadian Government needs to butt out of ruling my life and try to concentrate on running the country, instead of ruining the country.
Larry	B.	The current Liberal government wants to dictate what we see and what we can communicate. If it doesn't fit with the Liberal narrative it gets canceled. They abuse the authority they have - even with a minority gov't. C-11 makes it easy for the government to limit things they do not agree with. I want to determine what I want to watch and what I want to share. C-11 effectively puts that process out or our hands and into the government's. I will lose personal control of my viewing privileges.
Cory	P.	The current view is much more likely to show me content I might be interested in based on interests rather than a national identify basis. Who cares if it's Canadian but doesn't show me the relevant content I'm looking for.
wayne	u.	the death of free speech, and the end of conservatism, and therefore, Canada
Alexandr u	D.	The destruction of competition by way of nepotism. Always choosing which horse gets an unfair advantage in a race is the best way to have nobody desire to compete in it.
Michael	C.	The Destruction of: Free Speech, the erosion of the independent market place of ideas, the centralization & consolidation of the power to define Truth according to official narratives. Tyranny isn't far when legal cudgels like this prevail,Ķ
charlotte	p.	The diminishing of our freedom of speech and choice of content to read and hear. We have a right to see, read and hear ALL sides of every topic and make our own informed decision. Nobody has a right to decide for anyone.
Taylor	J.	The elimination of citizen voices. The legacy media is not trustworthy, especially since the bailout model. You should not be censoring Canadian's abilities to communicate with each other.
Gerry	M.	The emphasis must be on increasing diversity to counter the monopolization by the largest corps. We have to actively encourage

		lework regarding continuations to support canadian and malgenous content
		Canadian content to nurture our development and to support development of a Canadian culture.
Raymond	L.	The end user should be the one who controls their content filters. Neither government regulations or corporate policies should determine the content of an individuals feed.
Jeff	Т.	The entertainment I choose should be entirely my choice. It is none of the government's business. Why would I want to watch subpar Canadian content instead of superior content from somewhere else? Art and entertainment is subjective. Make content people enjoy, and they'll watch it. By their very nature, Canadian content laws admit that Canadian content cannot stand on its own merits.
Andrew	S.	The entire bill is a concern. Quite frankly this should be scrapped and looked into deeper before making such decisions. Same goes for censoring the internet like China does. This SHOULD NOT BE HAPPENING. We are a free country, well were at one time. None of what Justin and the Liberals are doing is right.
Bertrand	L.	The entire world is getting more and more available. You might be able to slow it down, but this infringe my rights to know and see what's out there. To remain involved and competitive Canadian cannot be blind sighted by a regulatory government program. Let users decide.
Glen	S.	the existing regulations requiring Canadian content are more than sufficient. By requiring online platforms to produce more Canadian content will either stifle these platforms, force them to leave the Canadian market, force private individuals using these platforms to publish their work or increase these platforms' costs - or all of the above.
Mike	B.	The fact a government funded and run entity has any authority whatsoever over what I view is disgusting. With obvious exceptions to things like porn, drugs, or other illegal activities, it is not your business at all what I watch, want to watch, or from where that content comes from. If produced Canadian content is good, it will be discovered. Stop wasting time and my tax money trying to prop up things that can't survive in the open market.

Jonathan	S.	The fact is that the internet is a global village with people contributing content from all around the world. Any attempts to stifle and hinder the discoverability of content from the world outside of Canada would ruin and defeat the purpose of what the Internet was made for. For example, for any of us fellow Canadians who like to watch their favourite videos on YouTube, we should still have a choice to watch content creators no matter who they are and where they are from. Another thing I am concerned about is viewers outside of Canada being barred from watching my content because of this bill. Whether you like it or not, it is a fact that many Canadian YouTube channels will have most of their views from outside of Canada Just because content is created in a specific country and is promoted as such, doesn't make it automatically good. What makes them good are the creators who put time and effort into what they are producing. And if they were to lose viewership suddenly from their largest audiences from the United States, for example,
loana	I.	The fact that there is no real protection that cover "discoverability". In a free, democratic country, we do not need any organization or entity to manipulate our feeds, control the content we consume, or even worse, push for government approved content.
Faye	M.	The fact that all media platforms will be charging Canadians to use them now. We will not be getting news, except from Trudeau approved sources and I think this is a waste of time. Bill c11 is a joke.
Christoph er	S.	The fact that I won't have a say in what I choose to watch but will be dictated as to what I can see. That c-11 gives the government Dictatorial control hiding truth and as we know it was our government that push misinformation to back their lies.
Edward	Н.	The fact that local news and or canadian national news will become harder to locate and not drive my opinions and views over the easily accessible world wide views.
George	D.	The fact that manipulation, in any form, of what I choose to access via the internet is an affront to my independence. Also, if this manipulation is via some Government controlled body, CRTC or otherwise I would never believe that the government in power would ever be able to keep it's own political agenda from interfering. We are not in China, or Russia, or any other 'controlled' society. This type of activity is abhorrent. I have lived my 80+ year life being influenced my our enormous USA neighbor. But I do not feel 'Americanized in the slightest. I do not need, nor want the Government to 'help' me retain my Canadian identity.

	II aii	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Abakasa nga	E.	The fact that my government believes is robbing my agency to choose what I consume is insulting. No Canadian I know has given the government a mandate to do this. This is just another attack on democratic freedom of choice on a non-issue.
Jung	K.	The fact that my recommendations will be modified and CanCon will be promoted.
Radu Andrei	S.	The fact that the government and CRTC will decide which information i can see and not allow me to make my onw informed decision based on the information i see
Ron	A.	The fact that the government could stifle information coming to me, is a threat to my freedom, and my health
Cynthia	J.	The fact that the Government has CONTROL over any and all the Social Media and information we obtain.
santos	p.	The fact that the government through an appointed regulator has the possibility ,with laws made by itself, to view and force or influence what I see (or not), hear (or not), read (or not), learn (or not).
Qasim	R.	The fact that they are considering regulating the content!
Kate	S.	The fact that you are regulating the internet at all. Free speech is dead if we are forced to ingest content we do not want. I am a Canadian mutt - 1st, 2nd and 5th generation. Why am I being told what a Canadian is and what Canadian content is? Every Canadian is different and I should not be told I must only watch CanCon when it doesn't speak to me in any way. I do not think anyone should be able to regulate what is watched, read or produced and thus forced on others. This bill is just an atrocious affront to free speech and free will to explore the world and take in what I want. Not what I am told to want
George	V.	The Fed Gov wants to control what you read, what you write, what you speak, what you view, and finally what you think. Thank you to all the heroes who gave their life for freedom. I would like to ask the CRTC if you heroes died in vain.
Jim	M.	The Fed or Prov. Govt should not have the ability to block any international news or Canadian News media on social media. I can decide for myself what i want to and dont want to see.
Joanne	P.	The Federal government does NOT own the internet. This is no longer a democratic country. This Liberal government is ruining Canada!

Collin		The federal government will use this bill to dictate news dissemination.
Elliot	P.	The flow of information and ideas, for any purpose, needs to remain free and clear of influence from Canadian government and its institutions. It is not the Canadian government's job to control the flow of information, and doing so could be seen as a way of influencing the political landscape to suit the governing party. This action of meddling with media platforms could be setting a dangerous precedent.
Josh	G.	The forcing of CanCon has already had a noticeable effect on tech companies and creators that have either pulled out of Canada to stop this from effecting them on the global scale or have out right said they just won't be serving Content in Canada to Canadians. No one wants this its unnecessary if people wanted it we would watch it and you would see that in the numbers instead of having to force it down our throats. Let the free market do its job.
Roopesh	R.	The free and open internet not only allows you to see and hear different perspectives, but also learn from one another. Yes there's a lot of negativity on there but there is just as much positivity. Much of the concern in what not only kids but adults are exposed to, and maybe even influenced in my opinion can be solved with more technological education and honestly parents being able to parent their children - which has been hard in the last 15years due to rising costs which means more often than not both parents are working so children are raising themselves or being raised by others who frankly don't have the same love, care and affection as a parent would for their own children.
Trevor	W.	The free speech of Canadians across the nations.
Mark	V.	The freedom of choice. I am a content creator. I take inspiration from the world. What you are proposing is that I am forced to view more Canadian content at the expense of losing everyone else's around the world. Being in Canada, and being aware of Canadian content, we don't need it forced upon us. I would rather have the option to choose if I want to see more CanCon or less. By placing limitations, you are saying that we cannot be inspired by what else is available in the world. That the world is lesser than us, that our content takes precedent, which is not true, and has the markings of a negative elitist thinking with a fear based mentality. If we teach our kids to be free, and to make choices, and then the government turns around and continues to take choices away, then we are all just being dictated to and you are therefore not offering any choice at all. You're dealing with humans here. And as long as we're

		here, we will demand choice. You don't own us. You don't have our best interests at heart. We can decide for ourselves.
Ken	K.	The freedom to choice what to look at should not be regulated. I should be able to choose what content I want.
Jérôm e	P.	The freedom to choose what I want beleive
Colleen	G.	The freedom to choose what I want to watch or not.
Saverio	P.	The freedom to find what I want to see being taken away from me. If I want to see Canadian content, I will look for it myself, I don't need and authority to constantly "promote" content.
Robert	S.	The freedom to learn from different kinds of content is important to me. I have concerns as to where these changes are heading to.
mike	m.	The freedom to look and search for what ever i desire!!! FREEDOM!!! from the communist government!!!
Lindsay	W.	The freedom to see a variety of content and my content be shown freely.
Katrina	M.	The future of content creation and the manipulation of media.
Δlavie	R	The government and CRTC allied with big tech to censor and control the flow of information. They have done it for as long as papers, radios and televisions were invented and they are nostalgic of the time when they could control all that information through a few editorials. We need laws, a bill of right and a constitution to protect ourselves from all kinds of power overreach. Free information is and will always be for the better future of humanity, censorship is for lazy and corrupt bureaucrats who only will use the trust and goodwill we feel for this country to then lie and abuse OUR hard earned growth. Government want to cut down information because they want to hide the obvious corruption and misdeeds, not because the people of Canada can't understand, learn, search and make their own opinions. This excuse should revolt the Canadian and sound the alarm that the institutions we founded and desire to trust are being subverted by global corporate interest like Black Rock and Vanguard in tandem with the government. That is how you know if the person we elected to help us grow this country is a criminal, he REQUIRES censorship and lockdowns to keep you safe. We are strong, we are united by freedom and goodwill, we are free and we will
Alexis	B.	do what we decide with the power we invest in our civilization. This is the

		eternal fight for justice, freedom and a better life WITHOUT exploiting your neighbor in the process.
Larry	K.	The government and CRTC should leave the internet alone and let Canadians decide for themselves.
Jonathan	V.	The government being responsible for deciding what is disinformation or misinformation. They are clearly not qualified and this could easily give them permission to be a propaganda machine.
Brandon	E.	The government choose what Canadian should know and Canadians will need a VPN to access the knowledge or content outside of Canada.
Andrea	F.	The government controlling the narrative showing one sided, bought and paid for propaganda news. Hiding truth from the Canadian people to suit their current agenda(s). Our country is being led into communism, inch by inch and this needs to stop now before the masses realize it's too late.
Andrew	В.	The government controlling what I see and don't see. This is called government propaganda. Why should the government care what we view? Since they do care - I consider all censored information propaganda.
Trista	M.	The government controlling what I see. They are liars and cannot be trusted on their word. This has been proven time and time again. This is not an opinion. This is fact
Lindsay	P.	The government controlling what you can and can't see. I completely disagree with this bill and putting overarching control like that into the hands of regulators.
Mark	F.	The government controls it. I want to control my own views
Kale	D.	The government dictating what I can and can't see in my feed is a gross violation of the freedom of the internet. It can easily be abused.
вов	S.	The government dictating what I can and can't watch. I don't want content that I enjoy to be buried under a bunch of content that I am not interested in.
Robert	B.	The government fundamentally misunderstands how the internet and its content works if they think that they should police it. The issue isn't that Canadian content or creators do not exist or are being stifled; it is that

		they are not being given the support needed to succeed in these arenas. Make our creators and creations more heard, not forced on us.
Sarah	M.	The government has absolutely no business controlling media in any way. What I consume online, in print, or on TV is of no concern to Ottawa, and they need to butt out. The CRTC already makes access to many international media options impossible, and already has far too much control over the airways. Bill C-11 is entirely unnecessary and is moving in completely the WRONG direction. We need MORE freedom online, not less. If CanCon producers want to flourish in the marketplace, then what they produce should be of high quality to be able to succeed against international content. They should be made to fail or succeed on their own merits, not based on affirmative action from the government. By and large Canadian content SUCKS with the occasional pleasant surprise. There's a lot of junk produced internationally too, but it should be our choice if/what we watch. Highlighting Canadian content beside everything else is fine, but not giving CanCon preferential treatment.
Greg	R.	The government has absolutely NO business in regulating the free flow of information. The government censorship and propaganda is completely out of control. The CRTC should be abolished. A shamelessly corrupt institution.
lan	P.	The government has absolutely no reason to be involved in manipulating my feed. Canadians should have free choice as to what /where/when and how they search for information. Government censorship should NOT be allowed. I do NOT trust this liberal government at all. I want to be able to search for the truth. The Trudeau liberal government does not get to tell me what's true or not. Leave things the way they were. Trudeau doesn't want Canadians to know the truth about many things, and this is the way he thinks he can control the information that Canadians can access.
Karl	B.	The government has far too much overreach. Time for that to stop.
Jane	В.	The government has insisted that the legislation is not intended to regulate independent content creators. This statement is a contradiction to any proposed regulation law. This is what a communist government would say to it's people.
andre	l.	The government has no business censoring services or companies online in the current Internet landscape if the government wants to push more Canadian content than they should fund more content producers to submit and create content online but I as a Canadian don't want to be

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
		forced just to access lower quality Canadian content that is seriously underfunded
John	G.	The government has no business in controlling content period. Let the cream rise to the top based on content alone. If you can't make it on performance and content, you don't deserve anything. Government supported media $h\sqrt{\ }$ s proven to be a dismal failure and nothing more than a mouth piece, for liberal indoctrination.
janet	S.	The government has no business in what we are exposed to on the internet. We are adults, and can monitor our sources and that of our children.
Leroy	D.	The government has no business interfering with my content.
Trevor	M.	The government has no business regulating me I am an adult who can make my own decisions. A majority didn't ask for this bill a minority special interest group did. If I want to have something with Canadian content I search it by choice not buy outside controls.
Jason	M.	The government has no business regulating the internet beyond prosecution of child abuse material. Let the internet remain free. No government interference. Defund the CBC.
Adam	V.	The government has no business telling me what I can an can't watch. The only reason Trudeau wants this is to shove his ideological garbage down your throat and to censor any info that disagrees with his point of view which is radical to say the least
David	M.	The government have no right to regulate what we see or do not see hear or say and definitely not where we can see these things I feel as tho Bill c-11 violates section 2 of the Charter of rights and freedoms
Angeliqu e	P.	The Government ID banning access to accurate news sources
Tony	D.	The government impetus to manipulate citizens' cognitive infrastructure - the processes and tools that citizens use to inform themselves as free individuals - reflects an anti-democratic and authoritarian attitude that is reminiscent of repressive regimes. Shame on all of you!
Bernice	F.	The government is already using mainstream media as propaganda pieces. Real journalism and freedom of press is being stifled. This is the nail in the coffin. The Canadian content that will be made available to viewers via this bill will be content that is encouraged and funded to fit

	II an	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		the political elite's narrative of the day. It is dystopian and anti-Canadian. It is an abuse of power like many of the bills being rushed through right now. It is a disgrace.
Michelle	L.	The government Is trying to control what the Canadian people are able to see and read. Just stay out of it.
Terris	S.	The government just shouldn't have a say in my online experience beyond anything illegal.
Kevin	S.	The government manipulating what I see online should be banned.
George	S.	The government must leave the internet all alone, Period.
Thorsten	W.	The government must not interfere with the internet at all.
Kelly	M.	The government needs to stop trying to control our content!
Lydia	P.	The government of Canada or CRTC has no business telling Canadians what we can and can't watch online, and to regulate what every single user can publish online is unacceptable.
Ronald	Z.	The government of Canada should keep their noses out of what and where I can receive my content off of the internet. Canadian taxpayers should not be subject to censureship laws.
Andrew	W.	The government of Canada will actively censor access to information that "they" deem to be unacceptable points of view (I.e. that might be damaging to "their " ability to hold on to the power to further control every aspect of our lives against our will), and force feed us (and other gullible voters) their POV (I.e. fake news) to further their agendas (to further degrade our quality of life against our will).
Rob	Ο.	The government only allowing us to see what it wants us to see
Shane	M.	The government only becomes more invasive in public lives while demanding us to know less about what is ever going on in theirs and what their decision making is based on which is in no way acceptable, especially considering how many choices they make that go directly against public opinion. The public still deserves some form of their own information as well as a right to choose for themselves on how they are educated and right from wrong.
Sean	S.	The government overreach about what i can and want to see. This is censoring. We have the freedom to pick what we want to see. The government works for us.

G.	The government pushing their own agenda and views on Canadians and keeping Canadians in the dark about our world news. I am scared to live in the same type of censored extreme as China.
J.	The government regulating the internet. This is a democratic society that C11 is attempting to control what Canadians can view online. This is not a dictatorship.
O.	The government should be not be controlling what appears on of the platforms on any device any Canadian owns. I am concerned Bill C-11 will change what content appears in my personal feeds. What I watch on Youtube or listen to on Spotify is by own business. I feel the below section of the Canadian charter of rights applies here. Under section 2of the Charter, Canadians are free to follow the religion of their choice. In addition, they are guaranteed freedom of thought, belief and expression. Since the media are an important means for communicating thoughts and ideas, the Charter protects the right of the press and other media to speak out I have guaranteed freedom of thought, belief and expression, which I believe covers what media I watch without involvement of a third party like the Canadian government.
C.	The government should have no control over what I choose to search and watch/listen to on line. It is censorship. I am an adult and can decide for myself. Stop treating us like children.
S.	The government should have no part in modifying what content we the people wish to see. Giving Trudeau an opportunity to use this bill as a platform to potentially censor content that he deems as unacceptable is both absurd and tyrannical. This has no place in what is supposed to be a free society. As Voltaire famously said: Tend your own garden, so mind your own business, I am my own free thinking individual, stay out of it.
В.	The government should have no say in what I see or don't see on the internet. If Canadian content creators or content are interesting, I watch them. We don't need another situation like the radio quotas in Quebec where the outcome is that terrible artists are played at times when no one listens to radio in order to bolster the quotas.
T.	The government should have no say.
W.	The government should just stay out of this. Free speech should be mandatory and if people don't like it then turn it off! Simple as that!
В.	The government should learn economics before regulating my internet content. We all know why the government pushed this. If the government
	J. C. S. T.

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and Indigenous content
		would stop oppressing Canadians, there would be no need to control broadcasting.
Lorelei	В.	The government should never be allowed to regulate what I watch or read. Canadian content should not be forced upon us. If they can't make a go of it, I'm totally against my tax dollars propping them up
Kevin	В.	The government should not be able censor information that the citizens have access to. This authority will be used against the population for increased governmental control.
Ronald	W.	The government should not be censoring the internet as per origin.
Paul	B.	The government should not be delving into individual citizens', or groups of citizens', personally generated content on social media platforms. If a citizen, or group of citizens, were to apply to become a media broadcasting entity for consumption by the general public, then, maybe, CanCon requirements should apply. Same if an entity received any government funding to help generate content.
TAURA	H.	The government should not be force feeding its citizens Canadian content. If the content is good and supports what I'm looking for in that moment then I will consume Canadian content. But I DO NOT agree with the government interfering with my feed and search results. Maybe they should focus on supporting Canadian content makers in making higher quality content that better competes with the rest of what's out there. Ultimately we should always have free choice in what we want to watch/read online.
Sydney	Н.	The government should not be in control of the media. Government control is what we used to mock the Soviet Union about, now we are the Soviet Union.
Isaac	S.	The government should not be influencing the content I see online in any way. By showing more Canadian content, I'd be seeing less content from other places - content that may be more beneficial to me as an individual. If the Government is what it should be (servants to the people), then the government shouldn't be preventing me from seeing non-Canadian content that may (or may not) be beneficial to me.
Dave	R.	The government should not be involved in controlling what people see. If we want more Canadian content view by Canadians, help Canadian creators make better content. You can't force people to consume content they don't like. Make better content and people will want to consume it.

Charles	Н.	The government should NOT be monitoring and manipulating people's personal sharing on platforms, as this is an overreach of government and violation of our freedom of speech. Some have said our freedoms should be shaped and curtailed by the government for the protection of fictitious, undefined people they deem vulnerable. This is, again, government overreach. it is not the place of government to protect everyone's emotions, thoughts, etc. That course only leads to a society of immature people, not mature, strong and resilient, which are the characteristics everyone needs to grow up into for personal and social health.
Wayne	Н.	The government should not control freedom of speech
Melanie	В.	The government should not control what I decide to watch as long as it is not pornography. I decide for myself if I choose to believe something is true or false and do my own research. It is not the governments place to censor what they believe is false or true or good or bad. I am free to draw my own conclusions. it is dangerous when a government controls every narrative to their benefit.
Marilyn	F.	The government should NOT control, regulate, or interfere with content on the web. This is just ANOTHER attempt to waste resources and feed an already bloated corrupt administration.
Camila	A.	The government should not get to dictate what we see in the media. Freedom of speech is a God given right and no body should get to take that away. People should have the ability to do their own research and come to their own conclusions about social issues, politics etc.
Sébasti en	M.	The government should not have a say in the content of websites I go to. They can do what they want on their own platform and I'll visit it if I feel like it.
Nancy	A.	The government should not have any control over what content I decide to watch/hear.
Stephani e	P.	The government should not have authority to dictate what content I see, it's undemocratic and similar how things are run in authoritarian regimes. As an artist / creator I am also concerned about how regulations will impact me and my work online.
Gregg	P.	The government should not have the authority to control what I view or experience on the internet full stop.

		nework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Glenn	Н.	The Government SHOULD NOT inany way shape or form, control what Canadians can see, hear, or communicate with other! Doing so would mean the theft of Canadian freedom of speech. If people do not have freedom of speech, THEY DO NOT HAVE FREEDOM. PERIOD.
Paul	K.	The government should not interfere with the news/media. They should also NOT fund any Media. Defund the CBC!
Robert	S.	The government should not regulate the internet at all. Canadian content should stand on its own merit period.
Peter	C.	The government should not regulate the internet; it should be a free platform. Once regulation starts, it is subject to horrible abuses by those in power. The government should provide guidelines and options for people, so people can consume content of their own free will. I'm fine with a mandatory Made in Canada or Popular in Canada section, so I can choose to support Canadian content if it competes favourably with others. However, it is incumbent on Canadian producers to create content that Canadian's want to consumenot for the government to dictate or influence the content Canadian's consume. If your content is relevant and wanted, you don't need special favoured status: the market for your product should drive whether or not you are successful.
Gerald	G.	The government should revoke Bill C-11 . It was a mistake and will cost Canada dearly.
Julie	N.	The government should stay off contents. Period.
Cheryl	R.	The government should stay out of funding media, business and corporations
Holly	P.	The government should stay out of it.
Steven	K.	The government should stay out of the way.
Randy	G.	The government should stay out of this issue, forcing Canadian content on line that no one is currently watching sounds like a dictatorship!!!!!!!
Arthur	A.	The government should stop trying to censor our information feeds. It will not benefit the average Canadian to have restrictions and fees placed on the providers. The internet is not a Canadian item to be controlled by our government, it is an international entity and as such should not be filtered by CRTC or any other government agency.

Frank	S.	The government. Should keep out. They could not run a brothel with out going into the hole
Cathleen	P.	The government, should never decide what I want to watch. The boss of me is me. This is a communist government in the making. Nobody should manipulate anything I watch.
Beverley	C.	The Government would take away my freedom to choose online options
Angela	K.	The government will use this as a tool to sensor and block content from around the world.
Peter	A.	The government will ruin the online experience just like they ruin everything they meddle with.
MURRAY	C.	The government will have no control over what I can see as I use a VPN.
Stacey	W.	The government will filter out any negative criticism of the government.
Ryan	M.	The Government using online platforms to push their agenda. Fake news goes two ways and i'm scared our government can leverage this bill to rig elections or make it easier for them to win the election.
Bonnie	N.	The government uses "hate speech" laws to crush dissent and suppress any disagreement or criticism of their policies. Their funding (with Canadian tax dollars) only holds media hostage to their agenda and narrative. They are not "helping" Canadian content, but are helping their propaganda arms. I want little to no regulation of online content by this or any other government. The people can decide for themselves what to view or not. We don't need statist babysitters. I will lose my feeds from both Americans and Canadians I prefer to follow. Defund ALL media. The only regulation should involve suppressing of actual violence and obscenity - not thought or opinion. If the media corporations fail, it's because they produce bad content no one trusts anymore because of their obvious bias as a result of their funding and connections. Let them fail, so something new and improved can flourish and offer proper critique of positions and policies no matter who is in power at the time.
Coltin	D.	The government shouldn't control me. We control you. We the people put you in power to let us live our free law abiding life's. Not for you to tell me what I can and cannot access
Paul	V.	The government shouldn't be able to control what I want to watch on TV it's none of their business

Luiz	P.	The government's opinion as to what content should be more, or less, relevant to me as an individual does not matter. Individual citizens do not get involved in such decision process, and as a result, this bill strengthens the government's ability to push their political agendas, as they will have the legal means to directly interfere with and influence the way in which Canadians seek entertainment content.
Jeannie	V.	The governmental over reach to what we as consumers choose to watch, hear, or participate in. When did Canada become totalitarian?
Brendan	D.	The govt censoring content that goes against they're policies or narratives. The govt censoring other political parties. The govt ordering or coercing media to follow govt agendas. The lack of an independent media. Content creators not being shown because they're not Canadian enough even though they are Canadian. We dont need thought police.
Andrew	A.	The greatest concern about this legislation is that the definition of Canadian Content does not seem to merely be made in Canada or made by Canadians. As I understand, a foreign corporation would be able to get their content declared Canadian simply by hiring enough Canadian actors and doing enough filming in Canada, while an independent Canadian citizen making his own videos filmed entirely in Canada would not be able to do such. Thus this change seems like it will suppress the Canadian citizen on the behalf of foreign corporations. This will infringe upon the Freedom of Speech of Canadian citizens on the behalf of foreign corporate interests.
Tim	V.	The hole bill is wrong. Get rid of it
Leona	Н.	The idea that someone who knows absolutely nothing about me - my likes, dislikes or interests, should be able to determine what I'm going to watch, hear or pay any attention to is disgusting. This is 2023, not 1939. The war is over, let peace begin!
Noah	В.	The idea that the only real options for platforms currently are to either algorithmically force Canadian Content onto the users feeds, or leave the Canadian market is concerning. Neither option is particularly enticing as either a user or a digital-first content creator. Canadian stories should be told, but not at the cost of shoving others out of the way.

Greg	B.	The implications of Bill C-11 are concerning, as it indicates that the Canadian government, along with the CRTC, may engage in content censorship. This is particularly troubling considering the government's existing influence on mainstream media, which raises questions about democratic principles. It is evident that the government should not have the authority to control access to information or manipulate content to promote specific Canadian interests. Canadian content should be able to compete on a level playing field without preferential treatment. If it fails to compete, it will naturally become obsolete. I am deeply worried about Canada's transformation from a once-free nation to one subjected to government censorship, especially given the numerous instances of corruption and ineffective leadership displayed by our government.
Richard	A.	The increase of power and influence to regulate is concentration of power and influence. That is of great convenience to anyone wishing for more power. Perhaps the benevolent view, if one does exist, is good and in the interest of Canada. But the last 3.5 years has conclusively demonstrated that benevolence did not show up to the party and governments in too many countries are spellbound in gobbling maximum power for themselves.
M	Н.	The Internet and social media platforms should be made available for everyone around the world. Government's role is to serve the people and provide a supportive and fun environment for all taxpayers to live and raise their families. Debate and discussions are healthy. The Charter of Rights and the Bill of Rights must be respected. Bill C-11 is one example of the freedom that may be taken away from Canadians. Why produce so many Bills that violate the law and the human rights of all Canadians? Canada is a democratic country and C11 should help and support Canadians. Thank you.
Nancy	S.	The Internet belongs to the people not the government. Let's keep the Internet open and free. Keep your nose out of our searches and let us explore the Internet freely.
Neil	W.	The Internet has no borders. Focus on giving Canadians cheaper internet access and combating misinformation.
Marco	R.	The internet has no geographical borders, and that's a good thing. I don't want Canadian content to be forced down my throat if that's not what I'm specifically looking for. And I don't want the content I'm looking for to be hidden in favor of Canadian content I'm not interested in. This classification is highly subjective, can be the target of abuse, can favor

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		collusion & corruption, and it requires tax payers' money to maintain (which is counter-productive when it's a system they do not want).
Kelly	K.	The internet has opened up the whole world to many Canadian users. They can view content from Canada, Britain, and the USA as before, but also from independent creators in many other countries. What I don't and never want to see is that content window shrinking to just Canada again. I'm a frequent user of search services, which are invaluable for looking up detailed pictures and technical specifications for 3D models that I create. Many of the objects I model were not originally made in Canada, and information on them can be hard to find at the best of times. Any filters that make this information even harder to find would definitely not be welcome.
Jeffrey	J.	The internet is a global network. Yes, Canadian produced content can be good, but we don't need it forced into our feeds. If it can't stand on its own merits why would I want to watch it? If you must demand streaming platforms and social media to showcase more Canadian content, I'd prefer it if it was in a specific curated area/list or was a toggle for my main feed.
Jamie	В.	The Internet is a global platform, with content created by everyone from individuals to multi-national corporations both from all over the globe. Canada has no right to restrict or direct the content of this platform. We're not talking about supporting local artists having a platform on local TV and Radio. We are talking about the freedom to access information. I do like the idea of being able to find specifically Canadian content, but I don't want to be forced or limited to do so in anyway.
Michael	L.	The internet is a global platform. That means not only do Canadians have access to foreign content, but foreign countries have access to Canadian content. Instead of trying to force (more) Canadian content down Canadian throats, how about we just provide a better means for Canadian content creators to spread their content across the Internet. How exactly we achieve this, I'm not sure. But forcing Canadians to see only, or mostly Canadian content is the absolute wrong way to go, as is forcing companies like Facebook to pay for links to Canadian media. What a brainless moronic idea that was, that many Canadians already voiced their opinions about. Opinions which obviously fell upon deaf ears.
william	W.	the internet is a network that lets humans communicate regardless of borders. its a tool that lets us learn about other cultures and countries. limiting canadians ability to do so is a step toward oppression,

	11 0111	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		communism. it is wrong to limit in any way, our access to information from other parts of the world.
JANEY	L.	The internet is a world wide thing. I do not want to be forced into just Canadian content because I am Canadian. If I want to see Canadian content I will find it. That's the glory of search engines. Sure, there is a lot of competition, but if something is worth finding it will get found, regardless of the country it's from. We seriously need to get rid of this archaic notion of Canadian content above all else. It's not like websites specifically try to lock that content away. Most will suggest things from your country or whatever it is you're interested in. Sometimes those things are not content from Canada because we are a small country. But I have been suggested enough Canadian content. You'd think countries were trying to block us or something. We don't need these extreme measures. We look like a communist country.
stuart	I.	The internet is a world wide view this will significantly hamper experience and learning. Its an overreach by the government in reducing globalisation and world views. What makes canadian content better? Surely if it is better it will get more eyeballs regardless think BBC News.
danny	r.	The internet is an amazing place because it allow me to find content, resources, research and tutorial from all over the world, wich include european countries, india, america, south america, australia and japan, i also find canadian content on my own without any modification already. i am worried that the way this will be implemented is that it will be written and put in place by ethier incompetent people or people who wich to do well but dont know any better when it come to the benefit internet provide and therefore might hide or trottle information smeone is looking for in exchange for a small amount of incomplete information that is avaliable in canada, canada does not provide information on everything and therefore acess to more content and information from more place benefits both content creator and consumers i am also worried that it can be used as an infrastructure even if unwillingly or unknowingly for authoritarian to take hold, as now anyone who wish to impose its own law and control the population has been given the tools to easily block out outside content and centralise its own ethier being paid media or creators to help in the process.
David	S.	The internet is Global, not Canadian. It is already too regulated and does not need any more regulation or control.

Mark	P.	The internet is global. Canadian continent is already higher quality and stands out on its own. I certainly encourage funding more CanCon, but not at the expense of censorship or added regulations. I don't need the government feeding me their ideas of what constitutes good or bad or otherwise. I believe communities eventually self-select. I've moved away from most free social media into curated content feeds behind paywalls because the content in social media is drivel. I believe more people will do the same as canned AI content permeates the mainstream.
Ferrin	R.	The internet is intended to allow people to gather information and enjoy entertainment from around the globe. That is what the WWW stands for! World Wide Web. What I chose to engage in on the internet should not be regulated EVER. The government or government funded services have no right or place to censor what we see and do on the internet unless it is a call to violence or a violation of human rights and freedoms. I am very concerned that The CRTC or a branch of " watchdogs" will determine what I am permitted to view or engage in.
Susan	В.	The internet is like a library for knowledge and entertainment. I do not want to be coerced into picking information just because it is Canadian Content.
Volodymy r	G.	The internet is public, and so are platform built in top of it - I want to have similar experiences online as my peers around the world rather than have a North Korean style corner of Canadian internet. Canadian government has no business regulating my online feeds of YouTube and the like.
Gordon	A.	The Internet is simply nothing like a traditional broadcasting platform; we as users have many more options and choices, and heavy-handed government interference doesn't belong. Our government should be respecting and enhancing that autonomy, not making decisions about the content we get to see and hear.
Ellen	P.	The internet is supposed to be an INTERNATIONAL uncensored platform that can generate ideas, information and promote communication. Bill C11 clearly violates all these ideals and is simply another tool for government to invade and manipulate the freedom of its citizens. By insisting on "Canadian Content" you skew information in one direction only and deny individuals the ability to see other viewpoints from other jurisdictions. Essentially becoming propaganda. My concern is mainly for our younger generation who have become overly reliant on

		technology and may not be cognizant of the insidious nature of this attack on their freedoms!
James	M.	The internet needs to be free and open with no government interference
tim	b.	The internet should always remain free
Shawn	F.	The internet should be a free and open space free from any government interference. We dont need free speech restrictions online. We already have hate speech laws in this country and we should be able to watch whatever we choose. We can decide for ourselves what is misinformation. This whole bill is unecessary and is just government sticking their nose where it doesnt belong.
Nick	M.	The internet should be a haven for free speech, regulated only to prevent hate speech and deplatforming those who are calling for violence. Content shaping is something I go to the internet to get AWAY from. I support funding for more Canadian content creators, but I am staunchly opposed to any manipulation of what users see on our end in some misguided attempt to promote Canadian content which WILL NOT WORK anyway. If content is appealing, people will consume it regardless of what country it originates from. If content is not appealing, no amount of manipulation of the user will make a real difference. This isn't the 1950's, we live in a global society now. This tribal system of promoting our media over that of any other nation or culture is outdated, and frankly embarrassing to even be brought up in this day and age in regards to something as far reaching as the internet.
Cosmin	C.	The internet should be free. There should be no censorship. Rogers / Bell / Telus should compete with everyone else by improving their services not by paying politicians to change the laws for them
Doug	S.	The internet should be left alone and not controlled in any way like cable companies control the content that can be seen. The government needs to stay out of non-commercial content.
Jay	D.	The internet should be totally open in all places and from all places. No censorship!
Cameron	C.	The internet should not be modified. I will see the content I want to see regardless of your silly laws and you WILL NOT STOP ME. The internet is to be an unmodified pipe which THE PEOPLE choose what to view. Government regulation HAS NO PLACE on the internet. And you will fail.

		The internet about a set by a support Canadian and indigenous content
Cameron	R.	The internet should not be regulated other than the pricing so that broadband can be affordable even to low income families
Eric	P.	The internet should remain FREE and OPEN. The for a fee gouging by large providers and streamers needs some control. Canadian content should stand on its own two feet i.e. compete based on demand and quality.
Aaron	C.	The internet should stay free and regulatory bodies should leave the internet alone. Let people have the freedown to make there own choices
Zack	Н.	The internet was invented to be fundamentally borderless, there are already an abundance of malicious actors attempting to curate and box off whole sections of the internet, I see no benefit at all from insisting that Canadians be subjected to a 'Canadian' version of the internet and the media that we should be able to freely choose to consume. If you want to support Canadian artists and media, expand funding and support for them.
Neil	B.	The internet was originally designed as an open sharing portal for people to communicate and share thoughts and idea's - my concern is that Bill C-11 may stifle this and kill creativity. The internet per se does not belong to CRTC and in my opinion they do not have the right to regulate it, but given C-11 we need to ensure that Canadian's feeds are not censored in anyway and their needs to be open access to all the information available on internet from whatever country it may have been generated.
Carlinton	В.	The internet work as it should, everyone must compete in the global open internet market. There should be no preferential treatment and no government involvement.
Kathy	P.	The key issues is that major streaming companies like Google/Alphabet, Facebook/Meta, TikTok owned by foreign nationals pay little in tax, are not required to stream Canadian News and content making the public ever more susceptible to mis and disinformation. The government has a responsibility to protect the integrity of our country and national interests including those of the many Indigenous Nations within the geo-political boundaries called Canada.
Thomas	S.	the lack of Canadian news sources
Tim	M.	The lack of freedom of speech. We should be able to view/ hear whatever we want without governmental interference. We are adults and should have the right to choose . We don't need crtc telling us as though

we are small children what we can and cannot see / hear. Of course
there are extreme cases that should apply( hate mongering, racist opinions, call to violence) but these are the extreme cases that should be the few circumstances.
The lack of freedom. Choices, restrictions tyranny
The layering of political censorship on online platforms, creating an environment where nothing but the most benign corporate content is allowed to leave its bubble. A replication of TV, Telcoms, and Internet Service Providers where the ones with the monopoly are allowed to create the rules to keep out new competition.
The liberal and ndp using the bill to stop other political parties for calling them out on their corruption
The Liberal/NDP government are using these Bills as a Proxy to control what Canadians see. This redirection of attention to what the Liberal/NDP government what to have Canadians see is the definition of Propaganda, exactly like every dictatorship has done and will continue to do. The Liberal/NDP government continues to softly Attack Canadians, it is the death by a thousand cuts (ie this bill and others). C-11 Needs to be 100% removed from Canada there can be zero tolerance for these Attacks on Canadians
The liberals only want us to read and hear what they like. All media outlets should not be funded by the government especially the CBC is they can't make it on their own too bad, and after funding from the liberals the CEO's take hugs bonuses. Needs to stop asap. I want to read and hear all the news from around the world and from Canada with no exceptions and I will decide what I like and what I want to see. I WILL DECIDE WHAT I LIKE and I WILL MAKE UP MY OWN MIND, I DO NOT NEED ANY GOVERNMENT TELLING ME WHAT I LIKE, WHAT I WANT TO SEE.
The limitations of no Canadian content.
The limiting of information, im tired of the Government thinking they know what is best for me and my family. Stay in your own lane.
The loss of freedom.
The loss of international news that's censored by totalitarian foreign governments. We the people have EVERY RIGHT to see and hear what

		we like! The government t HAS NO BUSINESS WHATSOEVER saying what I Can and cannot see at anytime EVER!!!
Julianne	Н.	The loss of my freedoms and choices.
Margaret	F.	The loss of our freedom of speech and erosion of our democracy
David	В.	The loss of worldwide media and diversity that could help create better context that usually is never covered by general media, along with personal imterest qnd hobbied that atent common within in canada
Peter	K.	The mainstream media will manipulate the system to make their content stand out over the content I currently search for. Their lobbyists and lawyers and money will be used to skew the system in their favour and squeeze out independent creators. The current system lets people vote with their fingers. If it is popular now, it is because it is what people actually want to see. We don't need or want what will effectively end up being more government supervision more censorship. If you can't find what you used to find because it has been pushed down in your feed by an algorithm - that, effectively, is censorship. Let's keep this a free country with free choices.
Vivian	A.	The major one would be giving the government total control over the content I see. What happens if an alt right party gets in and decides to change the definition of Canadian content to include supporting traditional beliefs? Another one, but less so is forcing algorithm changes to push otherwise low quality content through. The most I would want to see is a toggle that opts you in, or a category. Have it be a separate thing instead of it overriding my feed.
Daniel	P.	The manipulation of my feed from a government. This is a very dangerous and slippery slope that could be abused in the future to suppress speech.
Steven	P.	The manipulation of the data being displayed to meet the Canadian government, or a government party criteria that would push their agenda as the Truth and state all other info as Mis-Info
mike	h.	the more content u can view from all over the better u will be educated iregardless of canadian content and i should be able to watch what i wont do get a beter informed and rounded piont of view this is no diferent then when the nazis burned the books to keep the public dumed down

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Jacob	B.	The more the government tries to regulate what people choose to do, the more the people will despise the government and vote you out!
Victoria	L.	The more we allow our feeds of information to be manipulated the easier it is to control the populous and promote things companies and donors would benefit from. A diverse population is a healthy population and that extends to thought and beliefs as well. Inch by inch we are being distracted and controlled by corporations that do not have our best interests at heart. One day that inch will be too far and it will be too late. Be aware now. Not when it's too late.
Ron	N.	The most concerning thing that I see with any kind of sensorship such as Bill C-11 is that an individual of corporation has the power to ensure I see only what they want me to see. This concerns many Canadians I'm sure as crucial information from around the world will be unavailable to them.
andrew	t.	The number 1 reason is unbiased news from around the world. Not just what they want us to know. What kind of democracy would that be. Elitism.
Anna	M.	The obvious loss of the individuals' decision of what we can or cannot access by another controlling body.
Oliver	L.	The only actin that would make me comfortable is scrapping the bill entirely. It's is a thinly veiled censorship bill brought to us by Justine and Chris who seized Canadians bank accounts.
Brad	Н.	The only possible outcome would be a worse user experience.  Companies like Google are already incentivized to show me content that I am interested in, and they are good at it. Any interference by a government entity would inevitably lead to making it harder to find content I'm interested in.
Louis	B.	The only solution is less government. We can start by getting rid of your job and your whole department. Abolish the CRTC
Teresa	M.	The only thing I'm concerned about is the entire C-11, Govt's need to stay out of our personal lives. We don't need anyone telling us what we watch on TV, search on the internet ect. The problem with our Country today is all the interference is from Govts. & beaucratic organizations. Just fix what's broken in our Country,.

	II GII	lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Deb	M.	The only thing you should be Censoring is pornography / pedophilia / devil worshippers etc and these perpetrators should be tried and put out of our misery! Why are you involved in government propaganda? You must see the lies and deceit we have suffered for many years from our so called government? They work for us - every law \ bill etc that's brought forward should be voted on by the people! Freedom of speech matters! Do you wan5 yourself and family members to live in a dictatorship? Think about it! Stand up and fight on the RIGHT side or you will face Nuremberg 2.0 with thousands of others whom have gone along with this evil agenda! Have you read up on klaus shwab - WEF - agenda 2030 - ( who happens to be foreign affairs) - which equals treason from Trudeau, bill gates who's murdered millions with his depopulation agenda, the corrupt WHO ( who happens to have a terrorist as the head speaker ) the UN - undrip - stealing land from us etc etc I believe we Canadians do not need the CRTC!
Michael	G.	The opportunity to find content from around the world with personal opinion and perspectives.
Sloan	T.	The out right misuse this has the potential to be used
Denton	V.	The overall experience would be vastly different from what users are used to. Social media companies have developed they're unique algorithms to support more viewership. What the gov. and crtc are proposing would ruin that and the reason why many of us still use these platforms. People already have the freedom to search out Canadian content if they choose, and many platforms showcase Canadian content, or they did, and maybe this overreach has now caused adverse reactions among the biggest platforms.
Marco	L.	The overreach the government will have in dictating and moderating the content that made available in feeds.
Marion	W.	The platforms are run by big conglomerates. Please allow small independent platforms to flourish
Scott	L.	The platforms should be left alone. We have incredible Canadian artists, actors, writers, directors, and producers etc. Canadian releases should be able to stand up on their own without any interference. I can remember back in the 70's & 80's where rock bands would not do well in Canada and had to go abroad to be recognized, returning home to Canada being famous, applauded and accepted.
kate	e.	the potential for censorship

Matt	B.	The problem is not modifying my searches. The problem is SILENTLY modifying my searches. Just make 10% (or whatever the number is) Canadian content and make the rest of my search the same. That way nothing is REMOVED. It's just a slight modification to the algorithm to preference Canadian content. This is already happening. it's just the company preferring paid content. The other problem would be very small creators. if you've got less thanlet's say 50,000 subscribers, I don't think you need to follow these rules. These rules were intended for BROADCASTERs not for people with a cable access show that three people watch. The key will be to find that number. Creators above that number are broadcasters and creators below it are hobbyists!
Timothy- Scott	Н.	The push to overregulate with canadian content will cause services to abandon canada in iits entirety.
Paul	C.	The quality of CanCon should be able to compete internationally and stand on its own feet. Alternatively Canadian media conglomerates could create streaming services and platforms that only host and promote CanCon. Let market forces determine what to watch and funding for Canadian programs and shows
Oran	C.	The quality of programming would decline
Walter	C.	The quality of the products
Brenda	S.	The reason the Liberals want Bill C-11 is to reduce the threats they face from a free and open society, which makes Canada less free and gives the Liberals more control. Since 2015, the Liberals have bought off much of the legacy media through wage subsidies, newspaper bailouts and huge increases to CBC funding. This has created a growing distrust for me, and thus why I turn to independent outlets. I can no longer trust the legacy media to accurately report on the government when the legacy media depends on that government. I do not want the CRTC to manipulate my online platform feeds, and will never watch Legacy Media while they are bought off by the government.
Roland	K.	The Regulation of Canadian Content on the Internet should not occur! The whole idea of the internet is that free Speech is upheld. This Government intent to regulate this will actually destroy or limit the growth of Canadian Content . I also am very wary of any attempt by a bureaucrat at the CRTC deciding what is canadian content; no oversite or accountability/control is possible.

Ann	Y.	The search results are manipulated and didn't include different aspects or sources.
Wynn	T.	The same result as censorship
Daniel	B.	The rules about what is CanCon and what is not are problematic, confusing and outdated. While the effort to promote CanCon is commendable, the method is heavy-handed and not manageable in our global economy. Reward producers of CanCon with grants and tax-breaks, but leave everything else alone. If Canadian Content cannot stand on its own on a global stage, then so be it. Let the consumer decide!
Lauren	S.	The risk I see is that Canadians will lose certain platforms they currently use because those companies will back out of Canada before they pay. Or I see the risk of pushing those fees onto consumers, resulting in yet more price increases for streaming services. Inflation is bad enough, Canadians can't afford higher prices for this too. I also don't like the idea of not being able to see certain content I view now with this bill. We already have crappy Netflix options compared to the US.
Pamela	D.	The right to watch/hear/listen to what I want too as a Canadian citizen, without government interference and censorship, by the CRTC.
Rick	M.	The right to have access to any platform should not be regulated by any government agency. I strongly oppose bill C-11, and the restrictive measures contemplated by the current administration.
Mervin and Theresa	Н.	The right to freedom of choice and freedom of speech is imperative in a democratic society. C-11 takes this God given right away from Canadians, and therefore censorship measures should never be implemented. Canadians need to be free to chose what they want to view.
Andrew	F.	The restriction of media is morally wrong. The power to control what the public sees is frightening.
lan	R.	The removal of sites, people's opinions that do not agree with those in power. The removal of key information Canadians should see and must see under a true democracy.
Sam	S.	The removal of freedom of speech Censorship Not trusting what I am seeing even less Manipulation by one body

Starr	S.	The simple fact is that Canadians, as a small country compared to our next door neighbours, the US, have always had to stand up to protect our identity. We have always recognized that to stay Canadian we must ensure that our own producers (in the global definition) must be supported vigorously. Today, the CRTC is having a test. It must pass that test by continuing to protect Canadian content. I am old enough to remember when Canada insisted that Canadian companies including those whose head offices were the US and elsewhere must have a majority of their boards be Canadian citizens. That one decision has changed everything from our confidence to our self-direction in business. This crossroads is the same. That decision in the Sixties did not end our relationships with the US which was the threat. Rather it gave all Canadians a leg up and continues to do so. Don't let the threats from our big brother to the south weaken your resolve. Continue to protect our creators, our movers and shakers who will continue to provide a stronger and separate Canada into the future.
Elliott	S.	The slow, lingering death of free-speech, access to information and social reengineering fascism
Sonja	P.	The small Canadian media/bloggers/podcasts will not get enough exposure to remain profitable and will not survive. This gives unfair advantage to large taxpayer funded biased media sources. Journalism needs to be fair and free to ensure a free democratic society.
Bruce	Ο.	The snowball effect. What's next?
Arbi	A.	The subscription prices to streaming services for Canadians will go up and also limit our content choices. Give me the choice to see Canadian content instead of prioritizing it regardless of its quality.
Andreas	K.	The suppression of the right to information and the control over what you get to see by the Government is a disgusting attack on the Freedom of every Canadian. I have no interest in the Liberals woke agenda and I will do everything that I can to circumvent this in order to make sure they don't succeed.
Bryn	P.	The thing is, I don't know, because the government doesn't know. This bill is stupid and vague and shouldn't even exist.
MATTHE W	D.	The thought that a government or regulating body chooses what we see on the internet is terrifying.

	-	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Amber	H.	The time it will take to find what I'm looking for and not the Canadian version of it because the government is forcing it
Raffi	K.	The traditional media landscape has changed. Canadians can compete on their own for content generation. This bill, however well intended involves censoring new media rather than properly encouraging media creation in the new landscape. To people my age, all bill C-11 is is old fashioned protectionism from old fashioned media
Philippe	P.	The Trudeau dictatorial regime will use this law as trojan horse to impose only party propaganda.
Rea	Н.	The Trudeau government will continue to push its agenda and propaganda. Canada is suppose to be the land of the strong and free. Now it is the land of tyranny.
KATHY	T.	The Trudeau govt ultimately only wants us to have access to sites and programs that promote him and his narrative and screen out dissenting opinions and the actual truth.
Avalon	F.	The truly dystopic levels of control this would grant the government over the media landscape. Determining what citizens can and cannot see.
Gregory	W.	The truth is likely to lose a voice through arbitrary controls by the Government via the CRTC . Canadians need access to opinions other than those approved by the Trudeau Government .
Jeral	A.	the type of content that i read, listen and watch as wekk as the country that it originates from
Pater	R	The United Nations also talks about Harmful Speech - Hate Speech and the likes, but it is unable to define it without tripling on enshrined Free Speech as granted in its Charter. How is Canada supposed to do better? We also have enshrined freedom of expression. There are laws against murder. Those statements are illegal. There are no laws against anger. Anger is a choice, like happiness. Canadian content has always been funded by Canada. It is the right of someone to choose to look at it or not. Canada cannot force people to look at Canadian content. What if they are not from Canada and don't care about Canada? What if they are from Canada and don't care about Canada. It is called Free Choice and Free Speech. Unless illegal things like murder are discussed, the
Peter	B.	speech of the NOT illegal subject, should not be restricted.

Benjamin	S.	The vague legislation introduced in bill C-11 could create government overreach into our privacy and affect media consumption and creation in negative ways. Small Canadian content creators could be hugely affected and have to shutdown their activities, leading to financial losses and an overall worse economic landscape.
Willard	R.	The very fact that Government sees fit to get involved sends the message that I no longer get to choose. This goes against any and all tennants of free speech and personal choice and is straight out censorship.
Cameron	L.	The way current algorithms work is that they recommend content im interested in, that changing worries me. I am all for helping Canadian Creators, but it shouldn't be at the experience of the internet experience of Canadian Users
Dan	S.	The way I see it there are 2 parts: developing CanCon programming, and mandating its broadcast/availability. People will always find a way to view whatever they want to view one way or another, so getting people to like and choose CanCon would be more successful by poviding more funding and opportunity for Canadain content deveolpment. Forcing content on people will only serve to growing resentment of being force fed, especally if its not that great. In essence, we need to produce content that's worth watching. If you build it, they will come.
Sean	G.	The whole concept is disgusting how dare you regulate what I search for and what I see what happened to Canada being a free country the government has done everything in their power to strip that away they'll see 11:00 taxes inflation gas prices carbon taxes when will it all stop you guys are making such a mockery out of our country just let us live without destroying the world with all of your new rules and new proposals and new taxes that are just killing everybody in the end because nobody else can now afford to live how about lower the prices of things like housing and gas costs and food costs how about getting some of the homeless people off the street how about ending the 10-year wait list for the the public housing shortages why don't you guys do something practical like that instead of wasting all your time and effort into silly bills that shouldn't even be in place when will you guys pull your head out of your butts. And fight for what's right like all the points I just said instead of these silly little bills that are only ruining our online experience grow up stop trying to control it all don't you have enough control already

Lloyd	a.	The whole idea that the GOVERNMENT CAN TAKE AWAY MY FREEDOM TO; 1. EXPRESS MY OPINION 2 CONTROL THE WAY & CONTENT OF WHAT I SPEAK 3 LET ME BE THE JUDGE OF WHAT I SAY OR THINK
marjorie	C.	The whole purpose of the internet from its conception was to UNITE the world! NOW you want to LIMIT what we can see to ONLY Canadian content? YOU want to silence ANY criticisms? YOU want ONLY government controlled & licensed CONTENT? WHAT will be the parameters set out by YOU that will either qualify or DISQUALIFY a news outlet? YOU are already targeting Independent news that are NOT being bribed by the government! How do you quantify disinformation? WHO gets to decide? Will our individual criticisms continue to be blocked, banned or called misinformation WITHOUT some kind of DUE PROCESS? These are the questions that need to be answered FIRST!
Brittany	S.	The world is filled with tons of creative and interesting content I don't want to be spammed with Canadian content that I don't even find interesting simply because its Canadian and have people or content I enjoy suppressed simply because it isn't Canadian. I support fellow Canadian creators when I can and when it interest me but they are not the only ones I like.
Beverley	G.	The world is large. Canada has tried to promote CanCon which may or may not have benefitted Canadian artists. Exceptional artists excel in a larger market if their creations are great. This will actually thwart acceptance of Canadian creators as it seems like their 'creations' will be forced on people, vs. chosen by people.
Joanna	K.	The world is wide. I do not want my access to information restricted or controlled by the Canadian government.
Richard	M.	The world of algorithms is barely understood by anyone outside of its programmers, even those who are told what has changed in an update can barely understand what is different at times. Regulating such a thing is only going to cause woe to both the consumer as well as the creator, and the supplier. Even in the event where things run smoothly there can still be(and likely will be) allegations stemming from a misunderstanding. More likely a well intentioned adjustment will simply cause the system to not work properly. This isn't magic, it's barely science at times. It's a house of cards that we are fiddling with and could topple at any moment.

Unique	D.	The world wide community of the internet should be protected. Who decides what's ,ÄòCanadian?' Popularity among the Canadian people is the only thing that should qualify something as part of our culture. Not a comity. Let trends and ideas flow naturally. Having those things have to go through a comity is unnatural and unnecessary. What are we trying to protect by having one? Also, most importantly and close to my heart, is the individualization that the internet currently has. I love and am a part of the Minecraft community. (A popular video game all around the world). And through my interest in that I've found amazing creators from America, Britain, England, Mexico, Germany, France, Brazil, etc. And I've had my outlook on their cultures broadened. Before, I had very vague ideas about what it's like to live in those places and what their culture is like. And now, I actually know people from those places and get to hear their stories all the time. The Minecraft fandom goes beyond cultural boarders. And I think that's beautiful and should apply to the internet itself. Let us pursue truth, entertainment, news, and community freely without geographical boarders so we can grow beyond ourself. Not to diminish Canadian content or culture. We can celebrate ourselves without taking away other's voices by pushing them out with our own content. I don't want a narrower world.
Will	M.	The wrong person in charge of regulation could turn the system into a propaganda machine, just like having a Trump or Pierre Poilievre advocate for more far-right content.
Lisa	G.	There are already protections in place for anyone doing anything illegal on the internet so there is no reason for any more influence and most importantly control by any government body. People should be responsible for their own behaviour and have complete choice if they want to view what they want when they want as they see fit. If anyone is doing anything illegal or viewing anything inappropriate or illegal there's already parameters put in place for that type of thing and agencies that handle it already.
Matthew	S.	There are content creators that I have been following for years and wish to keep watching. Blocking access to non Canadian content is my biggest concern and I do not want to be kept away from communities that I feel a strong connection to.
Michael	B.	There are many sources that I enjoy that may not show up in my feeds if you are manipulating them. I prefer the government status out of my feeds altogether and make it my decision if I want suggestions

Glen	G.	there are plenty of issues with this bill and trying to control content this can be misused easily . leading down a path of autocracy and infringing on ones individual rights .
Aiden	C.	There are several YouTube channels from other countries that I love watching, I am worried that they will be restricted and less popular than they deserve.
Jane	C.	There could be even more censoring of what the government decides is misinformation. Sites from all over the globe that speak Truth to Power will be banned, totally not accessible, which could cause any site that does not bow to the Canadian government's narrative to fold entirely. Citizens' right to communicate freely will be violated & evaporated; Freedom of Speech as we have known it in Canada will be no more. And that's just the tip of a very slippery-sloped iceberg. Over time more & more Freedoms will diminish & disappear. Democracy in Canada will be decimated. Alas, that has already begun.
cyril	1.	There has already been enough censorship already we don't need anymore. If you are Conservative as I am you have to watch what you say or you could be cancelled. Never thought i would see communism in Canada I can go back 5 generation on both my Mom & Dads side of the Family. I grew up in a Family that were so proud to be a Canadian now I am embarrassed & Ashamed. Trudeau not only should step down as Prime Minister he should be in Prison! Once Bill C-11 goes into effect i will be cancelling my Internet & cable. I know of 4 people who won't be cancelling their cable but will be cancelling their internet. Everytime Trudeau say's Canada & freedom in the same sentence i want to puke. This man is okay with Trans going into grade 1 & 2 class rooms & doing drag shows & showing gay porn picture to innocent children this is just crazy crazy! We need to stop censoring people's speech online. Free speech & free press is gone in Canada & free speech & free press is a must if you are going to call yourself a free Country. Canada is only a free Country inside of Trudeau's sick brain.
adam	r.	There is a difference between supporting local creatives and forcing things i don't want at me. Trying to navigate online content is already becoming impractical and promoting things i didnt ask for and dont want will lower the quality of the experience.

Jody	В.	There is a lot to be concerned about. First, they're is a lot of Canadian content available, more than ever. Regulating what I'm allowed to watch or read or being served up is not then answer. I should not be forced to only or primary have access to Canadian content. IThis is censorship and undemocratic. Additionally, there are a lot of Canadian content creators that produce or live outside of Canada which will included as Canadian content. As a business owner I need a balanced view of what's happening in the world and perspectives from other countries and people so I can more effectively do business with customers from around the world. This bill will limit my ability to get those perspectives and therefore my reduce my ability to understand and connect with my customers. Ultimately, impacting my ability to conduct my business abroad. Censorship is never the right approach.
Marcelle	K.	There is much to be critical of the internet, but one strength is that it broadens each of our communities to a global one. I absolutely do NOT want to have to go to extraordinary measures to get global news and social media/content. Funny enough, I like the Canadian news coverage from the New York Times and BBC, for example. I also don't want to see Canadians being channeled into tunnel vision - I admire our global awareness relative to other nations. Our content providers need to be strongly encouraged to be competitive on a global scale.
Rob	Y.	There is no need to monitor or control Canadian content. The prevalence of Canadian content on all steaming platforms is clear, especially from a per capita bases, if people watched the end credits of major hit shows and movies there is an amazing amount of Canadian investment, talent, location shooting etc.
Laura	A.	There is no place for censorship in this country.
Sylvan	B.	There is no reason to even have Bill C-11. As a person who pays Telus for Internet, tV and land phone. It is not up to our Government or CRTC to decide what we can and Can not see. It is all about Controlling the people just like China. Trudeau is a Communist.
Suzanne	Н.	There is no reason why any content should be censored whether Canadian content or not. For many people with ties to other countries, they should be able to access local information from abroad to stay informed or more involved in our greater community beyond the borders of Canada.

Andrew	F.	There is no reason why we should be seeing Canadian content on some of these platforms especially smaller niche platforms like Crunchyroll as it is not what the platforms where designed for and any unnecessary burden on these platforms may make them feel that it is not worth it to support the Canadian market. I am much more in favour of funding going to smaller Canadian content providers to boost them making content that they want to make and releasing it in appropriate platforms like YouTube instead of forcing platforms to carry Canadian content.
Troy	R.	There is nothing better than letting adults regulate them selves. Option should only be Filtered or not Filtered & maybe a special password protected filter for children controlled by parents. Many platforms already have these options. But I'd say just add a rating based on availability of content would be just as good as filtering. Non main stream to full propaganda mainstream.
Colin	B.	There is nothing stopping the CRTC, once censorship has started, to then act, not only as the ones who think they know what Canadians should be watching, too also become the propaganda machine of the government. To guise C-11 as protecting Canadians, quickly turns into censoring anything that criticizes the government or has an opinion that is not in alinement with the government. Free speech isn't always in agreement all the time. It's that ability to express that descension or opposite view that is so important. To put a bureaucrat (who has a bias) in charge of determining what is the right content to watch is the worst thing possible. No two persons have the same taste inwhatever. I don't like westerns, so, click no more westerns. A simple example but, just a viable. It isn't about protecting Canadians, it's about ensuring the government can remove all criticisms, and bad opinions and post only their content. It's up to each individual to determine their own viewing content. There are laws protecting the exploitation of children and those laws need to not only be enforced but prosecuted as well. Stop the revolving door on perpetrators.
Sam	C.	There needs to be absolutely zero regulation on user-generated as well as "discoverability". The algorithm must be left alone.
Beatrice	P.	There should be an open internet, no censorship/regulations, let everyone use their own critical thinking in determining what is true or not and what they want to see Canadian or not.
Colleen	L.	There should be freedom of speech and each Canadian should have the choice of the content they read or listen to.

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
Conar-jay	В.	There should be little to no regulations on the internet unless it's against selling illicit substances or violence to varied degrees.
Johannes	P.	There should be no bill c-11 this is a democratic country so any bill like this shouldn't be there
Donovan	Н.	There should be no censorship at all.
LAIRD	D.	there should be no control over information and cotent and NO CENSORSHIP THIS IS CANADANOT CHINA !!
Brian	M.	There should be no control whatsoever over internet content. People should be free to watch whatever content they want no matter what country it was made in. If Canadian producers on the internet make content that people enjoy watching than it wouldn't need Canadian government protection or support. I believe in free enterprise and capitalism which is the foundation of western and Canadian democracy. Let the consumers choice decide what they want to watch no matter what country the content comes from . Otherwise the internet movies and shows we will be forced to watch will be mediocre Canadian made content that nobody enjoys watching, like the programs on legacy television and the CBC which has produced some of the most boring mediocre content on television for decades that hardly anyone wants to watch anymore.
Arif	Q.	There should be no interference with what I am allowed to perceive. I enjoy Canadian content and I seek it out on my own.
Terry	T.	There should be no limit to world wide search and news
Peter	В.	There should be no meddling with media outlets at all, and no taxpayer funding at all!
Anthony	Ο.	there should be no regulation when it comes to free speech and media trying to silence news
Stuart	E.	There should be no restrictions to the content on any platform from other countries. There are Canadians that have more than one citizenship to other countries that in addition to Canadian content require content from other countries.
Neil	Α.	There should be open and unrestricted online content - I don't want Canadian content to be promoted over other content

Luke	A.	There's a significant chance at least some companies would simply stop providing service to Canada because making the change would be too difficult/costly. Also, regulating services provided over the internet is a
Cynthia	B.	There will be too much control over what we see. It is our choice as to what we want to see. The government has no business regulating what we see. We are adults and able to make our own decisions.
Tyson	B.	There will be regulated access to content which the regulators designate as news which is not. This in turn will limit my ability to access content which is important to me.
Debra	M.	There will be no choice. We will be more in-line with the CCP not what we Canadians have built since the first Settlers have cime to this New Land! There is already too much sensorship with the media!
Sheri	G.	There will be more government imposed Censorship on content other than for porn, extreme violence or racism. This restricts our freedom of speech & critical thinking.
Dell	S.	There will be less international content under C-11
Daniel	D.	There will be less content, as everything will be under the curtain of censorship, and it will also just end up being the content that the "government seems appropriate"
lleana	W.	there will be censorship of content, and content I am interested in will be moved farther down on search lists
Elaine	L.	There shouldn't be any bill that regulates or restricts anything. This is a violation of Canadians freedoms. Period. Nothing should change period! We are not children that need parental controls. We are tax paying adults. End of story. This should be abolished!!
Cherish	I.	There should never be a option for the government to restrict or mandate or promote any material consumed unless it brakes the laws that are in blace. Canadians choose what they want and from whom. We decide what is Canadian content, no one else.
Lisa	S.	There should be zero control from government controlled media over my personal choices in a free country. Zero censorship.
Michael	B.	There should be ZERO censorship and limits regarding online content in Canada, PERIOD. This is supposed to be a free country.

		slippery slope. The internet should be regulated as the utility it is -like phone lines or electricity- and otherwise left alone.
eleanor	f.	There's an entire world out there and practically all I see on streaming sites and social media is from USA. That and US ideas of what Christian is get shoved down my throat a LOT. Canada recognizes it's plurality. So I'd hope to see other content.
Luis	L.	There's no real protections that cover "discoverability", so the CRTC can still manipulate your feeds, control the content you consume, and downrank the content you love in favour of government-stamped "CanCon"
Alan	K.	there's no real protections that cover "discoverability", so the CRTC can still manipulate your feeds, control the content you consume, and downrank the content you love in favour of government-stamped "CanCon".3
Adam	P.	These are worldwide content platforms it's just as bad as the foolish CANSPAM act harpers government brought in call it did was punish Canadian businesses.
Marg	B.	They are taking away our freedom and they need to be stopped! They government has no right to block news content!
Muriel	W.	They should leave things as they are. We don't need a ,Äòbig brother' watching over us. That is not democracy and I am thoroughly disgusted!
Cody	R.	They treat Canadian content like some scared feeble endangered species, when it isn't. Canadian content online thrives by it's quality and merit like content from any other country. Not because it is Canadian but because it is good content! It doesn't need some special treatment, if it is good enough to catch people's interest then it will do so! Instead of forcing bad content that just happens to be Canadian down people's throats let the Canadian people enjoy what they like! Not to mention who gets to decided what is and is not Canadian enough? Is it what the content is about a Canadian Subject? Does it have to feature someone Canadian, or does someone have to have worked on it? And how does would this even work, would a soulless algorithm go through the content? would someone manually check every single video and post to give it the Canadian stamp of approval? Honestly just let Canadians watch what they want. If the government is so concerned about Canadian content, maybe that says something about how they view the

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and margenous content
		competency and quality of the content if they think they need to prop it up. Do out leaders really think so little of our artistic content creators?
Julie	P.	They would have no say in what I see online except sexually explicit content, which I believe should be banned.
Carl	S.	They're trying to turn the internet into tv. That's not how the internet works!
Shaun	M.	Thge general cost of what is essentilay more sensorship of content in Canada, this impacts not only entertainement but knowledge basis of all types. Why has the cost of this program not been factored into the discussion, the cost to Canadians, Foreign content provders and the public!
Samuel	J.	Things I actually am interested in being removed from search feeds. My content I produce not getting into the feeds, even as a Canadian.
DORELL E	A.	Think everything is working fine as it isdo not want government interferenceerosion of our civil liberties and this I am against completely
Jydee Kyle	S.	Third quarter. The third will have only Canadian contents While the quarter will have independent and foreign contents on the bottom
Trevor	D.	This appears to be a way of unfair censorship! Silencing the non main media calling out the propaganda of the main media, the main reason the Liberals rammed it through without debate, Canada is run by authoritarian government. this law is so disturbing and is an assault on free speech.
Conny	C.	This becomes an easy way for the powers that be to regain control they have lost when Internet came out. They do not like being unable to control exactly what people see. This bill helps those bad people get that power back.
aari	a.	This bil is the power to change what we see and hear There were laws in place already, but more bills to control information People are smart, let them decide on what the want to watch and hear and make there own minds on the TRUTH
FABIO	M.	This bill and its intent is basically ridiculous. Let me view what I want to view. In this day and age the crtc is antiquated and yet it's self serving politics are making it an even uglier monster than it ever was.

DONALD	D.	THIS BILL AND THE ONES TO FOLLOW IT IS STRICTLY A POLITICAL GRAB AT CENSORSHIP BY THE LIBERAL PARTY WHICH CAN NOT HANDLE THE TRUTH AND IS ALL ABOUT CONTROL OVER THE PEOPLE OF THIS NATION. STOP THIS Anarchy BY REPEALING BILL C-11 AND ANY OTHER BILL FROM THE LIBERALS THAT PREVENTS OR CONTROLS THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH.
Wilmer	D.	This Bill C-11 is nothing but a complete dictatorship purpose. KILL THIS BiLL. I did not come to Canada as an immigrant to see this country go to complete crap and dictatorship.
Anthony	R.	This bill does not protect 'Canadian content'; it gives permission for government through the CRTC to stifle Canadians' opinions by calling any content that may be offensive or controversial 'un-Canadian'. That is the risk with this bill, as the definition of 'broadcast' within the bill is so broad that it can theoretically encompass anything someone posts online. If we are concerned about generation of Canadian content, then the government needs to let the public decide what they want to watch; second, reduce the overhead on corporate taxes for media companies and startups so they can create content in Canada that people want to watch. Third, we need to encourage Canadians regardless of origin to generate content, and they cannot do that if they are afraid a faceless government official finds their content 'unCanadian'. The risk is that any meaningful discussion will be stifled by labelling someone as 'unCanadian'. Thus we turn the phrase 'Canadian' from come and join in building each other up to you're opinion is worthless if you do not agree with us in public.
lars	n.	This bill does nothing to protect and help Canadian creators. It starves Canadians of international content. To get Canadians to consume more Canadian content, just create what Canadians are interested in and not just a bunch of junk nobody is interested in. If Canadian content was up to par with all other content it would be competitive without the government trying to control Candadian's online freedom.
Andrew	S.	This bill I'm afraid damages the beautiful globalization effect the internet has on individuals.
Darcy	C.	This bill if it passes is definitely looked upon as censorship control of what Government shouldn't be meddling in. It's nothing but communistic propaganda that I feel is moving Canada in the wrong direction.

Jesse	S.	This bill is a clear and present play from large Canadian studios and their investors who already receive plenty of government subsidies to force their failing low quality content onto the masses while stamping out independent Canadian creators who don't qualify to be considered Canadian content due to the legal process to be recognized as Canadian being extremely prohibitive.
evan	k.	This bill is a grab at controlling narrative in the guise of Canadian content. We won't get unapproved news. We won't get unapproved movies. Are books next? The gov't already has more control than they should. This will ensure anything remotely dispproving gov't will not be seen.
Jessi	E.	This bill is a joke and your infringing on our rights
Donald	l.	This bill is a steaming pile if you know what. It's a way for the Trudeau government to sensor what news he doesn't want people to see. This is against free speech and free press.
Sabrina	S.	This bill is absolutely ridiculous and restrictive, it goes against free speech and everything this country stands for.
Dannica	T.	This bill is not needed. 8 should be able to choose what I want to view
Sarah	Н.	This bill is proving government to be operating against humanity in a way that is universally immoral with the denying of individual divine free will of humanity. This C-11 bill is violating and negating basic human rights in freedom of speech & expression. This bill is not in any way democratic.
Wanda	S.	This bill is totalitarian style censorship. We should all have access to whatever media we choose to view/read. You should not have the right to control the content I see. Why should you be allowed to feed us only the news or information the government feels is favorable to them? This is a serious erosion of freedom and is Orwellian.
Brad	W.	This bill isn't about pushing Canadian content. Let me real about that. This is to push Canadian content government approves of. This is authoritarian. Let people decide what they watch. If people don't watch Canada content it's because it's not good content. It's that simple. Make good content and people will watch. There's plenty of Canada shows that are watch globally and didn't need help from government. It was good content. This is a step closer to a dictatorship.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Jordan	D.	This bill makes me worried that access to news and opposing opinions from what the government has will disappear from Canadians.
Pradeep	P.	This Bill should be scraped as it is a censorship Bill, This is not North Korea or China. No one should be forced to listen to Canadian content or govn't sponsored propaganda.
Wayne	W.	This bill shouldn't have come up as an issue in the first place. Why do we need to 'protect Canadian Content'? Let the market decide whether they want to watch Canadian Content, which is mostly unwatchable in the first place, in my opinion.
Matthew	C.	This bill was created with good intention but is very concerning to me. It is a slippery slope and this bill should never have been passed.
Paul	D.	this bill will have a negative affect on freedom of speech, freedom of Contant and freedom of privacy. there's no way to see RTC can determine what is Canadian Contant and what isn't I am a VR YouTuber how do you know if I produce Canadian Contant on that platform? This is a power grab and it is not right I highly recommend as a citizen of Canada that we leave politics out of people's private lives. we need to start treating taxpayers as informed individuals that can make their own decisions. we have had the Internet for 20 years and it has adapted just fine to Canadian and international Contant. Thank you for letting my voice be heard this is a big issue in Canada right now. keep in mind politicians can use this as a way to silence their opponents. This is a democratic country and people want to know that their voices are heard through their votes not regulated by government entities.
Patryk	C.	This Bill will hurt Canadian Content creators big and small. Be it that they work online making content to everyday Canadians not wanting to watch something that is only Canadian made. Choice should be made by the Canadian individual to watch or not watch a Canadian made video. No one should be allowed to tell the individual what is and isn't okay to watch. As a person who moved to Canada, the majority of content I watch is foreign. English is a broad language and it shows many interesting content creators from around the globe that are similar to Canadians and the content they make. Additionally, most of my hobbies are also foreign, thus having my feed restricted from the things I love is harmful to my enjoyment and many others who share my thoughts. Content being considered Canadian is vague and broad because everything and nothing can be Canadian made, only because someone from Australia or the United Kingdom made something incredibly similar. No government is capable of determining what is or isn't Canadian,

Don	T.	This could remove Canada from competing in the global market. If our search results are skewed towards Canadian content then we are heading down a dangerous road similar to totalitarian countries whose governments control what their population sees online
Sarah	W.	This could lead to creating a Canadian content echo-chamber if not managed correctly. We are global citizens, not just Canadian citizens, and as a mosaic it is critically important that we know what is happening in the rest of the world.
Shay	F.	This could be a violation of my constitutional rights. The freedom to choose what I want to watch, what I want to read what I want to say in written form
Blake	S.	This censorship bill should have been -Dead on Arrival-, but unfortunately the people have seemingly forgotten that Canada once a bastion of freedom, championing freedom of expression seem to desire to control their populous through pious propaganda. What we need is a free marketplace of ideas, talks, shows, entertainmentetc Truth fears not the lies, for truth illuminates what is. Fear, lies and deception are fostered in darkness. Woe to you if you continue down this path for you shall reap the very destruction you sow.
Edin	P.	This can result in heavily limiting my access to global content. A policy should not decide what I consume. I currently have the power to use different platforms should one start presenting me with content that I do feel is a valuable use of my time and attention. Regulating what is shown to me across all platforms robs me of this choice. If the true motive of this policy is to support Canadian content, there should be more funding and education in this sector so that those interested in pursuing creating quality content and empowered to do so in a fair and competitive manner based on their talent and skills.
Martian	D.	This C11 bill going to law should be abolish immediately. Free content uncensored should be the way to go forward. Hope this clarifies some of the tedious or politically oriented questions I had to answer above.
		because it is literally impossible to classify based on logical reasoning. The moment someone tries to control something, the more chaotic it becomes to become free. The Internet is the personification of Chaos and freedom, information is shared by the second, everyone can be informed and enjoy their form of entertainment.

	II all	nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Simon	C.	This egregious piece of legislation removes my ability to choose my content from global options. It is an ugly piece of authoritarian overreach.
Paul	M.	This entire bill masquerades under the disguise of Canadian Content but in reality limits freedom of information and hampers anyone or thing that the reigning government (under the arm of CRTC) decides what it seams prudent to publish. This is no longer freedom of the press, more like a wing of the Federal government propaganda ministry. I am strongly apposed to any form of censorship, give individuals their choice to exercise their freedom.
Alana	S.	This entire bill should be abolished, and no taxpayer funding should be used for Canadian creators.
Frank	K.	This feels no different than what I imagine state run media like China, Russia, North Korea and other similar nations is like. I'm not sure what the definition of freedom is being changed to but I don't like it. I should have free will to watch, listen, and think whatever I like so long as it doesn't physically harm anyone.
Rosa	G.	This freedom is not yours to take.
S	D.	This government has already proven that they are not honest and trustworthy. Giving them the ability to government what I'm allowed to read is just wrong. Free speech and the ability to choose what I want to read and believe in.
Shaun	M.	This government has no idea how to avoid monopolies. They are ignorant and living in the 90s. Hands offget outwhite flag. Stop it.
Zachary	K.	This horribly flawed system doesn't even properly support Canadian media which it seeks to prop up. C-11 will monitor and regulate all of our audiovisual content in Canada. Everything will be censored and our content and media consumption will be harmfully impacted and extremely biased to suit those controlling the bill. All audiovisual content can now be taxed by the CRTC. I'll see less of the content I want most. The CRTC will be allowed to filter content and creators, picking ,Äòwinners' and ,Äòlosers'. Content I want will be harder to find. Algorithms will be manipulated to achieve the governments requirements and fill their quotas. Content will be forced onto our feeds but that doesn't mean we will enjoy it. Those who don't meet CanCon requirements will not be seen or heard as much on media. CanCon requirements will make it nearly impossible for independent creators to benefit from any financial or promotional gain from CanCon. Many small

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Gariadian and magerious content
		digital creators may be regulated as producing ,Äòbroadcast' content. C-11 vests regulatory power in the CRTC to dictate what will be regulated under the bill and what won't. Fuck legacy media and fuck the monopoly it has in Canada. The real motive of the Online Streaming Act is simple - streaming platforms and creators on them are bringing in more and more revenue, and legacy media wants a piece of the pie. All information we see will be censored. This is a slippery slope that can only get worse. There will no longer be unbiased news and information sharing. No more freedom of expression. Less opportunities for Canadians.
Amanda	D.	this is a CENSORSHIP bill. If I want to look at Canadian content I will.
Gracie	B.	This is a communist agenda. I can sort and decide what I want to view.
carol	m.	This is a crazy bill. News of all kinds needs to be available to all Canadians. Canadian and international. I don't think any platform for this should be censored. We live in a global world. CBC is totally biased towards what the government says. We need to hear others opinions. This bill is supremely flawed.
jody	p.	This is a dumb idea that will hurt Canadians
Tyson	R.	This is a form of censorship.
jordan	h.	This is a form of censorship.
Rod	В.	This is a form of media control and therefore likely to result in some form of censorship, especially when handed off to a non-democratic body like the CRTC.
Stephane	L.	This is a free country here and no government or organisation should control your personnel viewing of contents. The MSM is now just a bunch of lies, controled by governments and globalists.
Samuel	W.	this is a free Country, modifying or preventing any news platforms is against our rights and freedoms, its that simple, you CANNOT silence any feeds or news from anyone, as this is a FREE COUNTRY!
Rodney	K.	This is a garbage bill and a waste of taxpayers money and Canadians time there is no benefit at all
Tracee	A.	This is a slippery slope that could lead to very draconian censorship measures at the whim of whatever political party may be at the helm of the CRTC at the time. This is unacceptable in a democratic society.

Bob	V.	This is an unjust infringement on the Charter of Rights' guarantee of free speech.
Dorothy	Н.	This is about censorship & control. Canadians should be choose what & from where they want to view without restrictions. The government should not force they're agenda & lies to be Canadians primary information.
Christina	M.	This is a WEF Agenda target to regulate information disguised as for more Canadian content. There has never been a day I don't come across Canadian content on several named platforms. This making the goal unaffected as it isn't an issue. A lot of Canadian content is not always interersting and I don't need it shoved at me. Government needs to get put of the business of regulating free speech and choice. Government needs to concentrate itself with all its responsibilities being neglected everyday. Housing, arson, inflated taxes, unstable immigration, drug overdose and failed safe supply, clean water for Indiginiouse children, end the proxy war with Ukraine, (Soros sr.) Said it was a proxy war. So stop all the other runaway ESG commitments, quit going around with Canadians heritage and rights of choice and freedom to live not as we were in China, but let us live like free Canadians as pre-Trudeau!
Britt	M.	This is a very obvious take over by the Canadian Government. We are free individuals and we do not need or want to be living under a nanny state. We do not need to be infantilized and have certain news stories or perspectives hidden or blocked from us. When the Government has the power to block news, they have the power to control it and the narrative and they can spin the truth to whatever which way serves them best. This is a huge overstep. This is undemocratic and it is an affront to our freedoms.
Blaine	G.	This is a very disturbing censorship law that could affect all internet communications. Media control is a fundamental plank of the dictator's playbook and it is the path being followed by our CCP-funded aspiring Marxist Liberal regime. Interference in the justice system, media manipulation, flagrant violations of charter rights, the imposition of martial law to quell political opposition, seizure of private property, and now direct censorship. This is just further evidence of abuse of power by the most destructive government in the history of Canada, firmly committed to the principal that the end will always justify the means if benefit accrues to the Liberal party.

Beverley	M.	This is communism 101 Control the media control the people all these commie loving libtards need to be gone
andy	t.	This is censorship plain and simple This is not China, it's Canada a free country, maybe
Homeira	R.	This is Cananda, we have our charter of rights and our constitution to protect freedom of expression. C-11 has no place in this country!
Jérôm e	T.	This is Canada, Not China, Not Russia. Free Speech, Freedom of thought, Belief, Opinion and Expression is what makes our country. By enabling the government to choose what we see, what we listen and read would contradict what we, the People of Canada, live for. If we want to watch shows from Britain, Watch a vlog from Dubai, read fiction from Japan and chat with Americans, to participate with people from other nation to increase our knowledge of different culture, We shouldn't priorities our own content over other countries unless if THEY want to. It should be an option, not an obligation. Our country thrives by having multiple cultures and ethnicities, We should welcome others not silence them. We are Canada, Not the Soviet Union. We are a Democracy, not a Dictatorship Do not force our Canadian content in and down our throat if we don't want to. Cancel C-11.
Tiffany	V.	This is Canada first and foremost which is supposed to be a free country with a democracy. The second you put censoring now it is socialism you can say what you want but we are now a socialist country. The government has no right to be censoring anything. Nor should they be bailing out only certain content creators and not all of them regardless of preference or beliefs. There however should be funding for independent artists and ventures. This is why we loose all talent to the US. We are currently the laughing stock of the world and now officially a socialist country it's deplorable. I keep hoping to wake up from a nightmare but sadly this seems to be the reality.
Ross	A.	This is Canada and it's not China.I do not need big brother watching every move that Imake.
Chris		This is blatant censorship. This is exactly how Hitler would have done it if he had modern technology back then. This is how China does it now. This will be sued to silence the tyrannical liberal parties political opponents and anything else they don't like or agree with. Go fuck yourselves.

Riley	E.	This is government overreach that could directly interfere with independent content creators. We should not be forced to see more Canadian content, we should be free to choose any media we wish to view unmolested.
Tim	P.	This is happening along with Google blocking Canadian news content from their platform because of bill c-18 I believe that we have a good system where we have a required amount of Canadian content in mediaso we don't end up like the states But times have changed and we aren't able to control where people get their information from these daysand trying to clamp down on that will just create more discontent and VPN use I like the idea of promoting Canadian content and if you really want tomake it be a mandatory of the platform to showcase Canadian content in a specific section rather than shoving it down people's throats and forcing it to be on the front page or altering the search results. Also have the Canadian content highlighted in some way. That works. But it's impossible to enforce the required Canadian Content over the internet like it can be regulated like the local airwaves on the radio. The programming would be insanely difficult or the quick fix would most likely turn out biases that would prevent the entire essence of the law from actually being achieved. Canadian content wouldn't strivethe ones with money would just barely survive and the rest would die. I vote for a note that it's Canadian content if possible but a proper compromise is having a dedicated Canadian content section
Ryan	L.	This is huge government overreach created by individuals who have no idea how the internet works and just want to try and grab easy money while controlling the narrative. The internet is intended to be completely unregulated so innovation may thrive. C11 could easily be abused to silence public outcry about pressing issues such as affordability, healthcare access, their identity and rights. We are not china or Venezuela, we do not need the government in any form filtering, promoting or modifying anything we see online. This entire bill needs to be tossed as it is straight up draconian.
Greg	L.	This is just another step to fascism
deb	S.	this is like when the minority laws came in and brought affirmative action that has now been struck down in the USA because they realize how unfair it is and how it DUMBS US DOWN as far as contributing to society.

		nework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Parisa	B.	This is not China, stop the censorship. The world is calling Canada a dictatorship. Enough is enough
Andrew	M.	This is not free market determination. I'd rather my Internet experience be guided by my own hand, I will choose what I want to watch/view, read or listen to.
Myalisa	L.	This is outrageous! Are we China/ North Korea now? I should have the right to see and watch whatever I want and be able to choose from ALL worldwide content creators!
Waqar	A.	This is purely a FOIP and privacy issue whic CRTC is over stepping.
Steve	В.	This is simply a feral federal governments over-arching request to control the citizens of Canada, it's not about content. If the content creators can't make it on their own merits of quality, let them fail. I won't watch it. If it's good, it will get watched.
Rochelle	F.	This is so wrong. Let us be! I have the same strong feelings about c18. The government does not need to control ANY content, unless it's illegal content.
Keith	F.	This is the most criminal thing Canada could do to its freedoms acts,Ķwhat could you do??? Shive it up your ass is what you can do!!!!!!!!
josh	h.	This is the worst bill in history, it is the equivalent of the nazi regime in the 40s
tara	G.	This is total news and media control by government virtually communist suppression Canadians deserve free speech and free choice.
Deborah	D.	This is turning Canada into a communist country against the wishes of the People!
Stephani e	P.	This isn't promotion it's censoring. Stop this garbage. If I want purely Canadian content I will seek it. I don't need the government determining any algorithms.
David	M.	This issue is no different than 'Black Kickstarter Campaigns'. If a product or service that I like and WANT to consume is made by a Black or Canadian or LGBTQ+ or Taiwanese creator, I will support/watch it. I do not need someone FORCING it on me and saying 'Hey, support this'. It is a surefire way to make people find ways around the rules and alternatives to the 'forced' Canadian content.

		This law has the potential to reduce the access of individuals to diverse
Cody	S.	news sources and free expression.
Joshua	M.	This law is attempting to force global communications to conform to country-based ideas which is absurd. If this law forces global content distributors to change their algorithms to force Canadian content on Canadians, that's not helping the content reach anyone as Canadians will be frustrated they don't see what they want: exactly what they searched for not a result list driven by nationalism.
Joanne	D.	This liberal communist government only wants me to see what it wants me to see. In other words, government slanted stories by government supported media. This is not democracy, it is not freedom. I want to know all of the news, not the news that the CRTC and the liberal cabal chooses for me to see. This is like Russia in the 1950's and 1960's, and modern day Cuba and North Korea. We need more freedom of the press, not less.
wendy	k.	This makes no sense to modify or control Canadians in this way. I would like all kinds of media available to me as in quality social media and Canadian options for news and for shows but nothing forced. An option to show Can content that is available but optional as all presently are. If specific shows, news outlets world wide or films etc. are shut down for Canadians that would be sad indeed and again it makes no sense. provide canadian content labels but make all optional and describe in detail what is on offer for Canadians to choose.
Patrick	K.	This may make it harder or more costly for Canadian creators and consumers would have less content options
george	u.	This regulation seems to be restricting choice to what we can watch, listen to and learn from. The Canadian Content initiatives of the 1970's and 1980's may have helped build access to Canadian options, however 50 years later the world and access to information are much different. We have upgraded many of our lifestyles from the 1970's, I think that Bill C-11 is regressive, limits choice and limits education.
Roger	K.	This sort of control is pure CENSORSHIPwe do not need any of itthe Internet works well as a free and open form of communication and informationIf Canada and Canadians produce good quality feeds and contentit will stand out on its ownno need for controlsthe world has grown past the old restrictive borders of nationalism, racialism,

		religionism and etcthe Internet is a free speech world communityNO government regulation or interference required!
Thomas	E.	This was not about media but about restriction of our rights to watch read and obtain news and other information about important news events advertising . This was the liberals way of controlling their interest in blocking our ability to fact check them and make our own decisions as to what we can view and the news we can see . This is supposed to be a free and democratic canada not a socialist communist country! We came to canada for freedoms of speech not dictatorship by the liberals at all costs .
Gary	R.	This whole bill is about censorship. We as Canadians do not need censorship. My rights as a Canadian is to have free speech, and this bill infringes on those rights. There should be no censorship or control over what I want to see when I browse the internet. I am an adult and have the ability to make my own decision as to what I think is correct or not. The problem with censorship is that someone has to decide what is censored or not. Who makes that decision, and what gives them the credentials to make that decision? Giving someone control over online content opens the door to corporate control or political control and or propaganda, and that's not right. As soon as someone can control the content I see then it becomes biased, and that is NOT freedom or speech.
Lise	G.	This will be a start. Eventually, we will be allowed to see only what the government wants us to know and see.
craig	m.	This will be used to push content from sources that are almost completely or largely government funded. This will be inherently censorious
Jim	D.	This will forever change search results. Government should not be in this business.
John	R.	This will make it an unpleasant experience - it's like living in China or Russia
Mark	Н.	This will set Canada back in its progress to grow and help our people. The point of reasoning to make such bill must have been taken from the history books. The ones burned during Adolf Hitler rain on this world!! Do we need so many words in this Bill when Tax and control is that is needed. Its kind a like carbon tax, Maybe they could explain how raping

		your hard ern money will reduce the carbon foot print . Fix the heath care system and stop trying to event ways of stealing our money .
steve	a.	This will take us another step closer to a pure totalitarian state where the crony capitalists have total control over the mis-information / propaganda that Canadians are fed as 'truth'. This is a direct hit on freedom of speech, and will force people who are aware of the real truth underground to share information - at the risk of losing everything as we move into a ridiculous future under the control of the nationalists. Our grandchildren will be slaves with no rights, with us to thank for allowing it. In Canada of all places!!
Anthony	L.	This would be a blow to free speech, free expression, and freedom in general not to mention result in a decrease in revenue due to major search engines such as Google backing out of the Canadian market and blocking Canadian news search results due to the unlimited financial liability as a result of the obscure nature of the bill. Google is responsible for more than \$250 million in direct ad revenue to Canada as a result of linking to Canadian articles, as well as almost \$1 billion in revenue from independent content creators on YouTube. This is an outrageous bill peddled by entities that do not have Canadians' best interests at heart, who are in fact is proving willing to make our lives worse for their own benefit (what's new, capitalism). I urge you to do your homework on why this will not benefit anyone at the end of the day, and to be on the right side of the fence here.
Paula	D.	This would limit our access to international culture which overall makes for a very uneducated and potentially closed off society.
Erica	M.	Those who control the media control the masses. You have no right in controlling what I see. That's that. Signed a left handed lefty.
Derek	L.	Threatening creators with penalties and strict guidelines will lessen the amount of canadian content from independent creators and leave the door open for canadian legacy media and other bought and paid for news outlets. The internet must remain a free market of ideas whether the CRTC deems them suitable or not. This also leaves consumers vulnerable to propaganda from a government that already suppresses the voices of its citizens.
Hans	G.	Throttling my Oldspeak for these broadcasters reforming opinion in their Newspeak should not be CRTC objective.
Ray	P.	Tik Tok should be banned in Canada

Randal	B.	To be honest, Canadian content is quite subpar. The whole idea of forcing Canadian Content regulations is antiquated. If something is of good quality it will do well, if not it will wither on the vine.
Ross	W.	To be locked into an algorithm that only provides results that reside within Canada. To be fed polarising content from corrupted systems of education, the press, and political organizations. To be shown visual communication that corrupts people's morals and implants a narrative that demonizes their neighbors. This isn't China after all. The internet was founded upon the idea of Open-Access to all, I don't need this government to determine the types of content I can see because of where I live. Free education for all, stay true to the borderless cornerstone of the world-wide web, and if you are to manage access to content at all it's to place all morally nourishing content at the top and ALL polarising content at the bottom.
Sam	D.	To be restricted to a low quality of Canadian content with the lack of competition
Richard	V.	To clarify my answer to question 3 above must be set to OFF by default. I want less government in my life not more. I will chose what I want to watch and see what is on my page on various sites not the government. The Government or the CRTC should not be telling me what I can watch listen to or read. Secondly the Government should not be supporting financially any of these platforms and certainly not any news Media or agencies. LESS GOVERNMENT NOT MORE.
Sandra Lee	B.	To have vital information censored is a huge concern. We are sovereign beings capable and free to choose that which represents our individual opinions and position on matters. Freedom of information is pivotal to a democratic and free society. I am not willing to sacrifice mine or others freedom or rights.
brandon	f.	To loose all freedom
Skylan	B.	To lose my freedom with watch videos
John	Н.	To make Canadian content better than it is today it should be competing with good worldwide platforms and streaming companies. I do not believe that we should have Canadian content rammed down our throats. It should stand on its own and be worthy of watching. I want to watch something I enjoy otherwise I turn it off.
Lynda	G.	To me this Bill C-11 is contrary to Freedom of Speech and our Freedom to decide for ourselves what we want to watch, and hear, and see. We

Michele L. be censured at all and should be my choice, not the government.  Kathy R. To Much censorship greg h. to much control for comanys and government and they have enough.  Peter R. To much government control  Too many unidentified theocentric groups using social engineering to sway official opinion and gain new followers.  Too much Canadian content and too little content from foreign sources. is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like news content.  Shawn C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controlling what we see, so we don't know what's going on the control of the content of		II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and indigenous content
everywhere in the world. Or any program from any country. It should no be censured at all and should be my choice, not the government.  Kathy R. To Much censorship greg h. to much control for comanys and government and they have enough.  Peter R. To much government control  Too many unidentified theocentric groups using social engineering to sway official opinion and gain new followers.  Too much Canadian content and too little content from foreign sources. is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like news content.  Shawn C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controlling what we see, so we don't know what's going on M. H. too much control  Too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
greg h. to much control for comanys and goverment and they have enough.  Peter R. To much government control  Too many unidentified theocentric groups using social engineering to sway official opinion and gain new followers.  Too much Canadian content and too little content from foreign sources. is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like  David C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controlling what we see, so we don't know what's going on the too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  Trevor C. corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Michele	L.	everywhere in the world. Or any program from any country. It should not
Peter R. To much government control  Albert M. Too many unidentified theocentric groups using social engineering to sway official opinion and gain new followers.  Too much Canadian content and too little content from foreign sources. is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like news content.  Shawn C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controlling what we see, so we don't know what's going on M. H. too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  Trevor C. comuch foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Kathy	R.	To Much censorship
Albert M. Too many unidentified theocentric groups using social engineering to sway official opinion and gain new followers.  Too much Canadian content and too little content from foreign sources. is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like news content.  Shawn C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being ou only options. Controling what we see, so we don't know what's going on M. H. too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	greg	h.	to much control for comanys and goverment and they have enough.
Albert M. sway official opinion and gain new followers.  Too much Canadian content and too little content from foreign sources. is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like news content.  Shawn C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controlling what we see, so we don't know what's going on the too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Peter	R.	To much government control
is very important to have multiple perspectives on certain issues like news content.  Shawn C. Too much censorship  Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controling what we see, so we don't know what's going on the too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  Trevor C. too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Albert	M.	
Too much censorship where alternative news sources won't be able to have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being ou only options. Controling what we see, so we don't know what's going on the too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  Trevor C. too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed  Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	David	C.	, , ,
Kathleen B. have their content viewed.  Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being out only options. Controling what we see, so we don't know what's going on the too much control  Too much control  Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  Trevor  C. too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy  D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian  C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine  V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Shawn	C.	Too much censorship
<ul> <li>Kyle I. only options. Controling what we see, so we don't know what's going on M</li> <li>H. too much control</li> <li>Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.</li> <li>too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.</li> <li>Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.</li> <li>Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.</li> <li>Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or</li> </ul>	Kathleen	B.	·
Too much control by government censors. We should be able to be free to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed  Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Kyle	I.	Too much censorship, leading to government controlled media being our only options. Controlling what we see, so we don't know what's going on.
Andria V. to choose what we want to view, and NOT the government telling us what to watch. Nanny State be gone.  too much foreign and right-wing content. too much promotion of corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	M	Н.	too much control
Trevor C. corporate interests.  Andy D. Too much Government control and censorship.  Brian C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed  Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Andria	V.	
Brian  C. Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.  Christine  V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed  Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Trevor	C.	
Christine V. Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed  Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Andy	D.	Too much Government control and censorship.
Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Brian	C.	Too much government control over our internet browsing and viewing.
be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them or	Christine	V.	Too much government control over what is deemed okay to be allowed
	Tom	K.	Too much government control over what we can see. Individuals should be allowed to make their own choices not have someone make them on their behalf.

Jacquelin e	S.	Total censorship and control of what we see from the government.  Propogandizing.
Phil Cornelia		Too much regulation. I do not want my serach results manipulated in any way. I do not want small indenpendent online publishers hampered or regulated in such as way as to give large platforms the advantage. The large platforms were able to become large because they weren't hampered by existing large publishers having an advantage. If I publish my own blog and begin to get an audience I do not want regulations to hamper my growth. Lets not close the door to future competition and an open free Internet.  Too much USA right wing news
Dominic	N.	Too much regulation and censorship. The internet is meant to be free any open.
Roslyn	K.	too much policing (regulation) of what should be personal choices and insufficient regulation of discriminatory, hateful, misogynous and abusive (human as well as animal) content.
TERRAN CE	D.	TOO MUCH GOVT CONTROL & REGULATION. PS What is Canadian content - for gods sake Canada has a multitude of ethnic groups so what kind of name game is the govt playing at. I fear that an agenda is underway to allow us to view only what the govt wants us to see & that is not a democracy! Many dont trust the govt - WITH GOOD REASON!
Richard	G.	Too much government interference in my personal life and directly reduce my freedom to chose what and how I want to view any online platform.
Ted	Н.	Too much government interference
Glenn	W.	Too much government influence and interference.
Nicola	A.	Too much government control. They cant be trusted. This overreach was made clear during the PLANdemic when bank accounts were ceased (UNACCEPTABLE) because of a protest and fake info about russian involvement. This government cannot be trusted to represent the best interest of Canadians. We have a PM that has violated ethics on many occasions and is under criminal investigation. This government is corrupt and we need the swamp cleaned out before we consider any future bills like this unethical, overarching self-sering bill. UNACCEPTABLE!!!

	_	lework regarding contributions to support canadian and malgenous content
ben	h.	totalitarian censorship. coporate monopolization. manipulative narrativization.
Don	S.	totalitarian control by a diktator PM
John	C.	Totalitarianism and Liberal thought police.
Claude	G.	toute forme de controle et ou de censure est $n\sqrt{0}$ gative. On a travaill $\sqrt{0}$ pour avoir notre libert $\sqrt{0}$ et vous jouez avec elle cessez-donc de vouloir nous censurer et prenez une bonne retraite je sugg $\sqrt{0}$ 8re Guantanamo $tr\sqrt{0}$ 8s ensoleill $\sqrt{0}$ 0e comme place.
Gerald	H.	Trade agreements with other countries. The Government dabbling in another industry that they don't understand and refuse to learn about. Government corruption leading to end users not achieving the desired end results when searching for online content. Privacy concerns. Monetary concerns. Censorship concerns. The internet is not affected by bandwidth limitations in the same way that radio and television signals have been, in the past, and should not be regulated in the same manner.
Margo	M.	Traditional media outlets are not objectively presenting the news. I stopped watching everything an have relied on independent media to understand what is really happening in the world. When you click through all the Canadian broadcasters and here the same statements - word for word - you realize that there is nothing truthful and only propaganda designed to hurt Canadians. The Canada I know and love is becoming an unstable, divisive and sad place to be - directly attributed to Trudeau and whoever is controlling him.
Alain	L.	Transparency should be priority so content accessibility must get equal treatment no matter where it originate from.
Richard	K.	Trend to state increasing state media control.
Doreen	M.	Trudeau always says "we Canadians" but makes all decisions based on what he believes should happen rather than putting it out there for the opinions of all Canadians
Patrick	F.	Trudeau and his enablers dictatorship
Dan	L.	Trudeau and his team of Pedophile Supporters should put on some concrete boots and have a swim in a deep lake. FUCK Trudeau! FUCK the CRTC! FUCK YOU

		ework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
James	L.	Trudeau banning content that HE feels is a threat to him, his agenda, his party or whatever he feels like. The average Canadian want less government intruding into our lives, especially Trudeau.
Norman	A.	Trudeau is a communist and he is intent on making Canada the same, as Chavez and Maduro in Venezuela. now he has Pablo Rodrigueztrained in Argentina by the scarlet red socialist Peron family to help him do his EVIL to Canadians. Under C-11 there will be NO FREEDOM in Canada.
Chris	R.	Trudeau is a tyrant
Weldon	F.	Trudeau is Hitler censoring and jailing all who disagree with him. Fu*k Trudeau.
Dino	D.	Trudeau is trying to control what we see. That's not acceptable, ever.
John	G.	Trudeau should go do a long walk off a short pier. Good day.
Nicholas	S.	Trudeau silencing dissent.
Eric	D.	Trudeau's censorship had to stop. It's time for him to be forced to resign.
Cecile	B.	Trusting the content - lately not sure what or who to believe and tend to just not watch anything anymore! Im a news person and like to keep up but I hate slanted news - we need honest investigative information that does not favour the right or left political agendas!
Melody	K.	Truth be told. I just want to be free to choose whatever I want to see and do. Canadian content in the past has been lacking in entertainment value and choices. Canadian content always tends to be more costly as well. Just leave things alone and put the money towards illegal internet activities such as child porn and the like
Gordon	S.	Truth in news media
rrr	Z.	Truth needs to be accessible as well as choices. Canadian liberty and constitutional integrity! Not woke ajenda!
Wendy	M.	Truth will be hidden
Otto	S.	Truthfully broadcast international news on all government funded networks such as CBC City TV etc.
Cheryl	K.	Truths will be hidden. I want to know what's going on in the world - not one person's opinion of what's going on in the world

Dan	B.	tyranny
Prabhjit	S.	Unable to get outside content & freely surf the web without other content/permission issues
Raymond	Н.	Unable to see some search results or content. Access to information outside of your local zone should NEVER be restricted!
Luciano	P.	Under Bill C-11, I am concerned about potential changes to my experience on online platforms. The granting of broad authority to the CRTC raises worries about increased regulation of audiovisual content, including platforms like Netflix, TikTok, and podcasts that I frequently engage with. If these platforms are classified as broadcasting, they could fall under the control of the CRTC, potentially leading to the prioritization of CanCon on my feeds while potentially downranking other content that I am familiar with and enjoy. This could limit the diversity of content available to me and restrict my freedom to explore a wide range of choices. I am also worried about the implications of extensive regulatory control, which could result in censorship and infringe upon my freedom of expression, ultimately altering my online experience.
Tina	N.	Under C-11 I am concerned that I will lose the shows and content that are important to me. I enjoy Canadian content but this is a global world we live in and we need global perspectives. Don't choose for me.
John	S.	under C-11 the government has too much control which is tyranny
William	M.	Under C-11, I am concerned that I could not see my favourite creators on platforms and get news as to their new work, to replace them with content that while Canadian, might not interest me. I am also concerned about our Internet being closed off from other countries, and the kind of content that can only be produced outside of Canada and the United States.
Paul	M.	Under C-11, people will not have the freedom of choice. One bill at a time the Liberal government is eroding all our freedoms. This will all too quickly turn most Canadians into mindless tools of a regime. This is nothing new. This same playbook has been used decades, if not centuries ago. Every time this playbook has been utilized; Dictators rise, and people die as a result. Why do we never learn from our history? Time for a change.
Christoph er	G.	Under no circumstances should the government be give the right to influence(propaganda) content under any pretences. All forms of

		government and influence can be corrupt to something truely terrible. See Germany leading up 1939.
Neil	R.	Under the recent Covid restrictions and mandates we saw an unprecedented level of censorship, propaganda and smearing. I fear that a government regulated media would allow a ruling party to more easily manipulate perception to bring about consent to their agenda. We need open, uncensored access to all sources and sides to be sure we chose the best path forwards for all Canadians.
Byron	M.	Under this bill C-11 and the other C-18 Trudeau's Dictatorship Censorship Bills, Canadians are losing more of their Rights to Freedoms and Choices. The Internet should be Open and Free to share News and Opinions without Censorship. These two bills are going to create more division, not only between Canadian Citizens, but also among citizens of other countries and our nearest neighbour, the USA. Both of these Bills are nothing more than Trudeau's Great Reset WEF dictatorship control of Citizens lives and businesses. Both should be scrapped to protect the Rights and Freedoms of Canadians. Trudeau has and is creating his own Dictatorship under the WEF and Klaus Schwab's Regime control. Canada has and is losing its Democratic Freedoms. I predict that these controls and Trudeau's WEF controlled Dictatorship is going to lead to war and bloodshed. Sadly, I will be dead before that happens. I have Prostate Cancer and won't be around long enough to witness the demise of Canada's Democracy and Civilization. Only God can and will take care of the mess that Klaus Schwab and Trudeau have created.
Alan	M.	Unelected Burecrats deciding what information I can accessGross, tatatamount to Communist Russia, China, and Nazi Germany Shame on Canada!
Derek	S.	Unethical and unjustifiable infringements on the interdependent freedoms of expression, association, democracy, political organization, entrepreneurial pursuit. The humiliating stab into the gut of all veterans, soldiers, civilians, who fought against this very anti-democratic control of the above freedoms by government and the state during the Nazi dictatorship 1941-1945. That dictatorship began in the 1930s with micro infringements on civil liberties that ended in world war. It is not inconceivable that such unethical and unjustifiable infringement could take place over time by a government of the Canadian State under the legal architecture of C-11. Not in My Name. I do Not Consent to C-11.
Evan	Ο.	Unethical censorship of free speech. Content that questions the status quo and current political state of Canada will be prevented from reaching

	man	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		an audience. This MUST be prevented. Open dialogue must be preserved.
Kerry	C.	Unfortunately, big corporations are not forced to make Canadian content while Canadian content is hidden and often not accessible. Current Canadian content does not get promoted enough and very popular Canadian channels on youtube are often not promoted in Canada like they should be.
Christoph er	T.	Unknown or unpredictable searches that disregard foreign feeds without user knowledge.
Judith	T.	unlimited access
Mark	T.	Unnecessary regulations and censoring.
Michael	S.	Unsure
steve	Z.	untainted searches for programming (no reordering or search engine preferences) neutral searches should be possible by option. not forced without being able to turn it off. sure have the functions there for people to use with an enhanced canadian content feature but it must be easily and readily be activated and deactivated by the user and not buried in the trashbin of system preferencers. top level interface control. very easy and simple to use. no complex incantations.
Jeffrey	S.	Update the Canadian content laws to expand what content is Canadian
Gordon	Н.	Use the internet less.
Zabrina	G.	User generated content should not be regulated or blocked because it does not meet Canadian content requirements.
Amos	L.	User generated content should not be subject to any area based restrictions.
nick	S.	Using it as a way of censorship based on political beliefs
Tina	L.	Very concerned about censorship in Canada Going down a dark path if this continues Governments have no place restricting our searches or information, period.
Darcea	A.	Very concerned about government manipulation. We need to hear all opinions from all media. To block opinions is to make our country a totalitarian country and that is not accepted. People have the right to hear everything for we are born this way and are not machines.

Sam	R.	Viewing diluted content, instead of the vibrant tapestry that makes up the internet today
Andy	L.	Videos that I usually watch are not recommended. I want diversity in my recommendations. Not just Canadian content. I want content from different countries like the US, South Korea, China, Singapore, etc.
Christoph	H.	Victim of government conspiracy by you CRTC BELL MEDIA ROGERS COMMUNICATIONS CBC TELCOM GAINTS no deregulation when it comes to net netruality do to dishonesty with regulators at CRTC broken promises to end hate speech by anchors journalists commentators edit in chief public relations shareholders shareholders broad of trustee senior management director of communications foreign investors comtempt anti corruption secrecy due to bullying harassment intimidation discrimination brainwashing confidential sources human intelligence signals intelligence artifical intelligence facial recognition massive invasion of privacy of our civil rights civil liberties spying psychological torture anger management poor communications skills poor people skills interpersonal skills poor antagonism passive aggressive female reporters anchors journalist gay lesbian stop crying feminist movement and do their job or find another job less stressful use decit deception disloyal to the population want to enslave rracialized minorites immigrants and refugees increase the power of white nationalist act of treason should be held accountable
Miriam	N.	Very worried that i will not have access to worldwide channels on YouTube. So importan, so educational t to have access to creators with different points of view 0across the globe
Jill	C.	Very unhappy and very sad to see Canada being forced into a non-democrayic states. Many of the grest qualities of Canada are being stripped away without thought of the hirendous impact.
Blake	Н.	Very concerned with the censorship this gives to our government, we all saw how they portrayed the trucker convoy protests. The truth came out via the local people capturing the content, this seems like a great tool for the government to use to limit the truth when it's inconvenient to them.
fred	a.	Very concerned with a Government and censorship and controlling of,freedom of speech, and expression is a pillar of a democracy!
Carolyn	D.	Very concerned that ANY censorship is taking place in a Free Canada.  Bill C-11 never should have been passed.

Derek		Violating free speech Lack of effectiveness (it won't actually work, folks)  Conspiracy theorists gaining validity
John	W.	Voluntary. You have no right to make choices for me. C-11 is a totalitarian travesty that should never have been passed, but I guess it was the next obvious step for a government that freezes the bank accounts of peaceful protestors. Given that this hideous infringement of freedom of speech did, in fact, pass in to legislation, the CRTC should respect the opinions of those many Canadians who opposed it and opt for an extremely minimalist interpretation as regards the internet. Personal content and personal search results should *of course* be left alone.
Nathaniel	В.	Wading through content I'm not interested in just because it's Canadian. Also concerned that it would replace and derank creators in my carefully curated algorithmic feeds.
Fred	В.	Wasn't the intent of the internet to have open communication and diolog for a free flow of thought and information. Doesn't the market place determine what is acceptable and what isn't. We have had enough! STOP RAMING CRAP DOWN OUR THROATS! If you haven't noticed the pendulem is starting to swing back!
David	Н.	We all know Bill C-11 is a liberal Bill, thus there is what it's intended for, and how it will be used. And we all know Trudeau will use C-11 to censor the internet. Only allowing his talking points to be on social media.
Diego	F.	We all know this is just the Liberals trying to censor anything they don't like. Let's not pretend they case about Canadian content. If they wanted to have more Canadian content then they would fund and promote the content through creative means. Unfortunately, my concerns are already becoming a reality social platforms won't carry Canadian news and we will lose all but government mandated information.
Tammy	M.	We all know, no govt censors for any other reason than manipulation and control! It is open communism and will lead to harsher control as we are already living through since the trucker convoy.
jean-mar c	d.	We already have all the law we need, no crimes, no fraud should be tolerated
Rick	L.	We already have search engines, like Google, prioritizing searches requested in favour of advertisers. I would not want to see further

		manipulation, on the part of the government, changing or restricting what I may look for.
Kim	l.	We appreciate content from across the Globe
Jane	F.	We are all free to make choices and should not be censored. Going global has created many problems, we are Canada and should not be regulated but free to have security and privacy to pick and choose what we want to see. I would personally like to she more Canadian made clothing and other products that are not outsourced to China. China uses slave labor and we are forced to support it because it is the only choice given, everything is made there and it is taking over our economic sector and gaining ground in censorship and what government propaganda to feed the people. In essence.we are being brain washed. Canada is the true North Strong & Free, and one Nation Under God. Lets keep it that way.
eric	I.	we are already seeing the effects of communist canada everywhere online. the only way to have a equitable share of media market, scrap C-11 so that it stops stepping on our freedom of speech and freedoms in general.
Roger	R.	We are being forced to watch, or not watch, what the government decides.
Angel	N.	We are being told what we can and cannot access. This is not freedom of speech, adults can make their own decisions as to why media content they wish to follow and should not be up to a government to push their agenda on the people. We should be able to decide what new content we choose to watch and have the ability to ask questions and research our own questions and concerns. COVID proved that those who did not follow the agenda and ask questions were labeled conspiracy, theorist, and here we are years later, and those who asked questions have actually been proven right.
Ana	E.	We are fast becoming a Comminist country.
Sandie	E.	We are fast becoming a DICTATORSHIP not a democracy
Cheryl	S.	We are going to be left depending on the Can Government for new content.
Marion	T.	We are headed towards a fascist dictatorship!

	ııaıı	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Stacey	S.	We are highly impacted Globally and thus I want access to any information globally. I also have a hard time understanding 'who is the arbitrator of truth, over the past three years the experts have clearly gotten so many things wrong. With that in mind I encourage one to do a deep dive into what the experts have gotten wrong throughout history. It is an insurmountable list. We are discouraging conversations and discussions and that is truly heartbreaking. I am also appalled that so many in governmental positions don't even read what they vote on, or when asked questions that they can't answer they just walk away or end the discussion. I am really upset that people who won't or can't debate an issue are the ones who are appearing to be in charge. I want to read everything, pro/con etc. and I don't want it to be any more difficult than it already is.
Chanley	W.	We are losing our privacy on all scales.
Linda	Н.	We are not a communist nation how dare justin trudeau tell me, a free citizen, what I can and cannot do in the privacy of my home! ENOUGH of his dictatorship!
Stefano	M.	We are not China freedom to read anything online including all the criminal activities done by politicians
Eugene	C.	we are not China that Trudeau so admires
Mark	S.	We are part of a world community, and there should never be a throttle on accessing content from any source. Promoting Canadian content is reasonable and the writer supports. To support Canadian content by restricting other content is neither desired nor enforceable. The widespread use of virtual private networks (VPN's) will only increase and Canadian content will have to compete with non-Canadian content. To support Canadian content may be commendable, but it should never rely on restricting other content.
Steve	P.	We are slowly turning into a Comunist country. Control, control, Control!
Berdj	G.	We are supposed to be a free country another words we don't need government to tell us arbitrary what we should watch, leave the way things are
Bruce	D.	We are supposed to be ,Äòthe true North strong and FREE!'

Norman		WE ARE SUPPOSEDLY A free country. NOT ANYMORE What I see What I Read What I think What I believe ETC have NO BUSINESS of ANY government!!
Sheri	T.	We are the ones who should be in control of what we see online.
Vernice	G.	We can not be a free country without free speech. Full stop. Anything else has great potential for tyrannical leadership.
Jerome	S.	We can now join China, Russia, and several middle eastern dictatorships as we welcome censorship by government to our previous access to information. Frankly, I am not as proud as I should be to welcome government censorship.
Daanish	M.	We continue to funnel our taxes to the elite ruling class of Canada. We do not want to further solidify their ruling positions over us. Take all that money and give it directly to creators instead of trying to manipulate the market by making deals with the 1% of Canada. We do not want to participate in this system of digital serfdom anymore.
Dixie	Н.	We could be limited on what we want to read, watch or listen to, although the CRTC says otherwise. Some online services may not want to participate in this form of regulation.
Kevin	Н.	We could lose these services.
Michele	S.	We could lose to much.
John	D.	We definitely don't need any agency dictating to us what we will or will not watch, especially an agency that allows providers to over charge for the services we use!
Robert	Н.	We do not have the clout to force media giants to do our bidding. As can be seen by recent announcements from Facebook and Google, this type of legislation has the likely outcome of resulting in Canadian residents being unable to access news as readily as people in other countries. It will likely wind up being censorship for Canadians only. If we controlled the internet as a whole this might have some hope of having the desired outcome, but under the current situation, we have so little control over the Internet, we essentially will just shoot ourselves in our collective feet.
Danny	N.	We do not need C11
Rick	D.	We do not need Government censorship

Renee		We do not want government censorship and are very concerned this could happen.
R	S.	We don't just want and need Canadian content, we want and need foreign content too!
Stewart	W.	We don't need any changes. The current system works perfectly. Stop pushing the agenda of, Canadian content. If people want that they will seek it out. Leave the system alone.
Jan	K.	We don't need more algorithms on top of more algorithms Just let us find content in peace
Aaron	A.	We don't need the control, if its canadian and its good people will watch it if its not then it will sink.
Katharina	D.	We don't get complete information. We are only presented with preselected information.
Mark	L.	We don't live in a communist country so we don't need Trudeau the dictator telling us what we can look at
Rod	P.	We don't need Canadian content. Leave it up to the people to decide, not government ruled crtc.
Wilf	S.	We don't need the federal government to restrict what we want to access!
Tracey	C.	We don't need to be controlled! Let me choose! Stop medaling where you don't need to!
Val	J.	We don't want CBC and the government funded news outlets at all. Leave things alone. We'd like to get whatever news we want!!!
Barry	D.	We dont need any more censorship in Canada we are not a communist country YET in my opinion
Sylvie	L.	We dont need c11 are crtc at all. We are free people to make our own decision. Free to think for ourselves,free to choose,free to speak what you are promoting and trudeau is communism.
cameron	g.	We dont want to have canadian content shoved down our throats. We want freedom and choice to watch what we want
Michelle	W.	We have a right to decide what we want to watch, see or hear on tv, radio or social media content as adults. Regulate children content, let US

		decide what to watch. The government works for US, not the other way around. Please restore our democracy.
Erin	A.	We have a right to view what we wish to view, free of imposed restrictions from bureaucracy. One of my biggest concerns is the imposition of state dictates over what we are allowed to watch, hear, or see. Is this a free country or isn't it? If Canadian content is good enough, it will be viewed and shared. If not, it doesn't deserve to be. You can, and should, support and promote Canadian artists and creators, but it's much too far over the line to force our viewing choices to artificially support them. Of even greater concern, especially considering the censorship of the last few years, is ANY top-down dictates over what we can or cannot view. It has been amply demonstrated that this is a tool of control and deceit, and one can only assume C-11 is an excuse for increasing this control.
Stephen	G.	We have already seen the type of censorship that the canadian government wants and it is unacceptable. We need free speech across all platforms. I'm okay with controlling hate speech where there attacks being organized against specific groups and that's it. Government overreach and censorship has gone too far already. I'm also okay with media outlets having to report their degree of foreign ownership. Media companies that are held by shareholder interests across multiple industries should have to declare that they have a biased opinion. For example, media companies that are held by holding companies like Vanguard, Blackrock and Statestreet have conflicts of interests when it comes to dealing with polluters, pharmaceutical companies and military related foreign policy. These are the types of things Canadians would be warned about if CRTC was doing its job.
kevin	a.	We have in canada a fascist tyrannical group of criminals running this country. I expect C-11 to be repealed and those who voted it in put into prison for trying to stifle fee speech. If the CRTC wants to do some good they should have the CBC, CTV. Global and its affiliates be labelled fake news as it is a such. It also should recommend now further tax dollars be given to the evil entities that have lied and manipulated the people of canada.
George	M.	We have seen already platforms like Google, Twitter and Facebook manipulating content shared on their platforms and cooperating with government agencies to sensors and influence people's access to information during Covid and they sensored very relevant experts from speaking against the mainstream narrative, they also interfered with

Suzanne	C.	We live in a global world and Canada particularly is a melting-pot country with a highly diverse and multicultural population. The current state of Bill C-11 doesn't recognize either of these facts and worse, uses the outdated, broken and nonsensical MAPL system to determine what is or isn't Canadian. The CRTC has never shown itself capable of being impartial either. While the Board of Directors has, from time-to-time, contained a diverse and non-aligned spectrum of members the management team has always been highly biased, corporate and profit focused and primarily made up of old white men like so much of Canada's business landscape. These individuals have not helped support any legislation or directive that is of benefit to individual Canadians, nor have they helped ensure a varied, honest and neutral media landscape in our country. Just the opposite in fact. It is long past time that the CRTC at all levels of its structure includes a wide range of diverse members including everyday Canadians with no skin in the media game. The MAPL system must be discarded and a completely new, logical, neutral definition for defining Can-Con, that is relevant for the 21st century, must immediately be created. MAPL has always been broken even when I worked in radio 40 yrs ago we joked about how ludicrous and arbitrary the definitions and their applications were and Canadian artists have actually suffered considerably by its failure for decades.
Jason	C.	we live in a global community, pretending we do not or trying to live in the last century, will only cause unnecessary harm to our culture. This bill is about control and fear.
Noel	L.	We have yet to see governments free from political posturing for personal and party gains. The role of government has lost its way, they say they are there to serve the public but become confused with their own personal agenda.
		elections here in Canada and the USA. This should never be allowed in a democracy. People must have a choice and do their due diligence to find and examine information, listen and examine opposing opinions and not just be consumers of what's being shoved down their throats and have to believe what government wants them to believe. We should all have the freedom of choice, it's our God's given right to every man to make their own choices and not be forced to accept opinion or abide by understanding that is false and deceiving. GOD keep our land glorious and free!

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and margenous content
Victor	J.	We live in a global world and should have access to global view points. Censoring Canadians ability to understand and view global content is a violation of our natural human rights. The CRTC should be dissolved.
Brian	B.	We live in a global world. As a content creator and consumer of media, I do not want to be limited in the scope of what I can access. I do not want my access squeezed, throttled or censored in any way shape or form. I believe this bill is censorship and will severely limit what Canadian audiences can access.
Hart	L.	We live in a world where news comes from everywhere, and for us not to be ignorant of what's happening so we can make intelligent decisions in our daily lives and businesses. We need fair and open access to all platforms without any political commandeering of the information we get to see.
Judi	E.	We live in ALBERTA our news & weather info is very limited! Everything is biased and braodcasts Eastern info! TV suppliers and their programs are first class GARBAGE! We pay for a service and cannot get basic CANADIAN NEWS that has an impact on our lives! No way of finding out if a TORNATO is heading our way. Pretty sad that we are NOT able to get this info. The Weather network has become a joke!
Ron	P.	We live inside a media landscape that is dominated by American interests. Whether they are American owned is almost beside the point, because the content is aimed at the American market. I have less concern over who produces the content than who the content serves. To take an example from television, if Dick Wolf had created Law and Order Saskatoon from the US using all American actors and staff, it would have served Canada better than the made in Canada by Canadians productions that were either aimed at Americans or aimed at generic populations. That is what we should be striving for. Content that shows Canadians to both Canadians and the world. And not just cultural content, but legal, historical, medical, and political content. I don't need a streaming service to show me what's popular in Canada, but what speaks to the Canadian experience.
		we must ban pornography and graphic content for children. we must
hans	S.	hold creators that make the above content easily available accountable under law. this includes the current hyper sexualization of children in schools and on canadian media.

		lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Johanna M	R.	We need a free and accessible Internet in Canada!!!!!!
Matt	C.	We need less Government involvementnot more!!!!! Let the Net Neutrality reign.
Gerry	G.	We need less regulation and not more. We are capable of choosing the content that we want on our own. We do not need government to do that for us.
Eric	S.	We need more CBC, Rick Mercer, Beaverton, and 22 Minutes. I already look for it but it isn't being produced. Please don't force Canadian shows onto me that are just American shows filmed in Toronto or Vancouver!
Stanley	P.	We need more overview over the CRTC. They are not qualified to oversee media. When they get invited for a beer, I should get to oversee.
Mark	G.	We need no censorship, at all, whatsoever, period. All censorship is tyranny. Just stop. Searching should be a wide open algorithm designed to help people find the most and the best information. Anything else is unacceptable. We don't need limiting of any sort by anyone else, although Canadian content should be an option people can choose. Everything must be a choice we can make not a decision made for us, otherwise we get the sort of censorship that brought us the whole gene therapy peddling fake covid scam with all the ensuing authoritarianism and propaganda. It's clear the people making the decisions about this belong in prison, not in positions of authority.
Anne	L.	We need regulation and we need protection
Jane	S.	We need to be able to speak the truth
Douglas	C.	We need to be exposed to everyone's opinion whether you agree with it or not. That's what a free and intelligent society requires. Censorship is not the answer. We also can do without more government interference as that has never been sucessful and costs the taxpayers more every year.
José	R.	We need to be treated as adults and independent minds of our own. More deep effective education at all levels as opposed to controls and supervision. Our own preferences should not be censured in any form or under any guise. Parents should be given education and tools to teach responsable judgment to our children and share with extended family

Anita		We need to be treated with respect and no one should decide what we watch or decide to read. We are intelligent human beings that have the capacity for critical thinking Government was not elected to use these powers against it citizens!
Giana	W.	We should all have free speech.
Kaeli Linda	A.	We should be able to see content we choose to see. Not be forced to watch Canadian content. If I want to watch Canadian content I'll deliberately enter parameters for such.
laura	S.	We should be able to watch what we want and comment as well.  Trudeau is killing our Rights and freedoms and Canada. He is is communist
Angele	B.	We should be able to watch what, when and how we want to watch there is no reason to control it all.
Kelly	Ο.	We should be allowed freedom of speech and all content that was allowed before c-11, I disagree with c-11
Linda	M.	We should be allowed to watch anything we like. Be it USAOr Canada. We do not need any body babysitting us and what we wish to watch.
Paul	A.	We should be free from our feeds and content manipulated by the CRTC
George	F.	We should be free to choose our content without government intervention!!!!
Adnan	A.	We should be living free to choose not dictated what to watch. They can not force people see what they don't want to watch!
Peter	B.	We should have all international feeds available to us.
Premada sa	G.	We should have content from all over available to us simply to develop the perspective and insight into other ideas, cultures, insights, etc.
Adele	B.	We should have freedom of speech
George	M.	We should have freedom to access unfiltered content if it is our choice to do so. Education should be the path to better choices, not censorship.
Teresa	В.	We should have the right to be able to select the news media or any content that we want to read and not what our government thinks. Freedom of speech and viewing should not become restricted with the

	II GII	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
		exception of minors. We don't need to be having content we do not wish to see. We have the right to view content of our choice
George	K.	We should have unimpeded access to all legitimate online sites with NO gov't intercession and NO special promotion of so-called Cdn content.
Kathryn	A.	We should have unlimited towards our personal preferences of newsfeeds for information that we want for our personal usages. No media should be funded by tax payers money. Journalism should remain unbiased and independent.
Jean-S√ ©bastien	F.	We should keep our liberty to choose the content we want to see.
Elmar	S.	We should let Canadian consumers in a free market decide what Canadians want to watch versus having government bureaucrats shove content on us. If Canadian content is of high quality and people want to watch it then this kind of regulation is simply not needed. C11 is more about liberals trying to censor any differing views from what they deem acceptable than trying to help out Canadian content providers.
Rita	P.	We should never lose our right to our freedoms of what we decide to see, hear or comment on. This is only a way for the liberal government to Keep the people of Canada in the dark on issues that impact Their lives.
Sherry	E.	We should not be censoring the Canadian people. We have the right to know what is going on all over the world. This is government overreach. We are adults and can figure out what us best for us.
Gillian	E.	We should not be told what we can and cannot view online. Let us make out own decisions about what we choose to intake.
Tianna	G.	We shouldn't be regulating the content Canadians consume, but rather supporting Canadian content creation to generate media that is more favourable that that made outside of the country. I believe external platforms, social and digital, along with streaming services should be require to invest back into Canada, either by finding canadian content, leveraging canadian talent and services, and promoting it back to Canadians; think Netflix's TUDUM - this even should be held on canadian soil to attract more attention to the arts and entertainment pool we have here.

Rosanne	F.	We the people did not consent t to this. A decision was made without consulting we the people.
Ramses	B.	We the people do not want censorship. We do not want regulation of what we watch and see. We the people see these bills for what they are and will not be fooled. We want to be given our choices and left to make our own decisions and discernment on what we consume or do not consume. The government has no business treating people like children who don't know what's best for themselves. Independent honest media is the future. This bill is creeping totalitarianism and Canada needs to go back to where it was many years ago.
Brenda	J.	We the people should have the right and the choice to CHOOSE what WE want to watch. I don't care if it's Canadian US French or any other country's content. If it's good, I'll watch it. If it's crap I won't. My choice. If I choose a book it's because I want to read it. If it's crap I won't read it. STOP telling us what you want us to watch, read or listen to. We hire you. You work for we the people.
JASON	M.	We voted against this bill. Trudeau forced it through. No one wanted this or asked for it. You should concentrate on getting the media to start reporting more responsible news and stop lying to people. I think you should all be ashamed of yourselves the way you have behaved the past 3 years. It is shameful whether you think so or not. I have caught CBC in so many lies, it is incredible. You want people to watch Canadian content, make it real and stop forcing agendas on us through media. It is sickening. If the news wants to be taken seriously, then start reporting real news. A news story doesn't have sides. It reports the story objectively and lets the viewer decide. I am sickened by forced legislation and the liberal government has been doing way too much of it. I think you can all take bill c-11 and stick it where the sun don't shine. That's my honest opinion. I can only hope that Poilievre gets voted in cancels bill c-11 and de-funds the CBC. Take your lies shove those where the sun don't shine too.
Thomas	N.	We want to watch whatever we want and should not have that restricted.
Stephen	L.	We will be handcuffed to what we have access to. We already do not receive certain content in Canada and this is wrong. We should be able to watch what we want when and where we want on any platform or feed. It is completely wrong to do this to a so called free society. If it's Canadian or not and I like it I'll watch it. The USA is biggest content provider and cutting that or anything else off is wrong!

		lework regarding contributions to support Ganadian and margenous content
Pina	B.	We will be restricted and the loss of world news, customs, cultures, geography, and its people will be changed.
Ray	T.	we will become China or Russia with a fully controlled media and lose track of what is going ronin the world
Mitch	Н.	We will burn acanada to the fucking ground and kill everyone who is offended. To the last infant Liberal child seated to a brick wall. We will fucking kill you.
Benoit	C.	We will need to use VPN to get what we want
Cindy	B.	We will not be informed on important topics, which is what the government wants I think. We will only be allowed to see the propaganda that the government wants us to see. Canadians will no longer be informed in order to make informed choices.
Phyllis	L.	We will only hear what Trudeau wants us to hear and see. Totally corrupt dictatorship. No free speech total control over our lives.
Krista	F.	We won't have access to the same content we used to or it'll be even more difficult.
S	R.	We would be totally restricted from any news from outside Canada. This feels like Hitler did. Sensoring everything that the government feels we can or can not see is communism
Verity	Н.	We would have access to less stuff, so would be stupider than the rest of the free world.
Jared	T.	We're already seeing search engines pull and remove results, changing the searches we can do and restricting the internet for regular people. How much worse is it going to get, when all we can see are things mandated by the government? Smaller content creators will be unfindable if they're not on well known platforms, and so much niche information will be lost and hidden behind invisible walls we can no longer navigate. This is going to be a walled garden internet instead of an information superhighway.
Sandy	C.	We're losing our freedom so the government will pay for our propaganda that they want us to believe. Looking more like China all the time! We should have the choice and freedom to choose what we want! This C must stand for China?!

Steve	E.	Well for starters, it goes against the first amendment I know we're here in Canada but we still have freedom of speech. Thus government takes away freedom of speech what does it leave us. ???????
John	G.	Well I believe that this will give the government to much control over what we the people can see. The TV is programed so we only see some things. I fear that the same will happen to what we can see online aswell.
Jacquelin e	M.	Well where do I begin? Regulation of the internet is highly suspect. If you are able, as a platform, to remove certain content based on preferences, might I suggest we first concentrate on child porn? Whom does this benefit? Where are these children and who controls them? If you really want to clean up the internet this is where to start. If you are solely concerned with Canadian content look at the CBC. This should tell you all you need to know about how government regulations work, or don't. If CBC with all of the federal government money can't make a go of it, perhaps Canadian content is not what most people want. Many Canadian people feel that the federal government is doing as much as possible to misinform and manipulate us and therefore we distrust anything regulated and/or funded by the federal government.
Veronica	S.	Well, like Bill C-10, I happen to know that Bill C-11 gives the CRTC unprecedented regulatory authority to monitor all online audiovisual content and that this power extends to penalizing content creators and platforms and through them, content creators that fail to comply. That is 100% UNacceptable. Why would any Canadian want this?! It's unethical.
Roxanne	S.	What am I concerned with is that I'm being forced into watching everything Canadian and someone (the Canadian government) has decided that I'm not responsible enough to look after my own concerns. I'm deeply concerned that Big Brother is looking over my shoulder and telling me that I can't watch anything unless it is Canadian first. Content creator that work online should not have to do the same either. I'm also worried that since the government wants to control all these modes of communication that they don't want us to see the truth. I'd like to have it remain the same as it always has been. The price of everything is going up beyond what anyone can afford at least leave our entertainment alone. Anything else would really be horrible to have happen. Thanks

Patrick	L.	What Bill C-11 seeks to do is prop up failing legacy media at tax payer expense. I do not want to be force fed media that I have long since abandoned at my own and or tax payer expense. If legacy media in Canada wants to compete in a world wide market place, placing a walled garden around it through legislative measures is simply the wrong approach. As well it appears to be an unworkable solution for supporting Canadian Content. The first thing legacy media in Canada has to realize if it wants to compete in a world wide market place is that media consumers are a lot better informed than they've ever been in history. Any attempt to spin editorial content in an effort to have news stories sync up with any sort of Political agenda is a sure fire way to alienate and thus lose viewers. News media is consumed by adults lets not forget. We don't need to be spoken down to by our Government or the bought and paid for legacy media. If the legacy media In Canada wants to compete in a global market place it may do well to simply create more interesting and engaging content for viewers sans political spin doctoring of any sort whatsoever.
Andrea	B.	What could change??? My whole experience. My chance to get what I want out there. My chance to broaden minds and educate. My chance in helping others to take their blinders off to a whole new way of thinking.
Ramesh	T.	What criteria defines cancon content? It seems like it could be manipulated to promote specific content. However, if cancon content is of good and interesting quality, people will naturally consume it. Pushing poor content that's classified as cancon will simply push me away from online platforms (thereby reducing revenue for the respective platform).
Nicole	D.	What happened to FREE SPEECH Didn't realize I lived in a communist country. C-11 should be killed
Rick	S.	What happened to freedom of expression and our charter of rights?!ü§"
Dillon	M.	What I am concerned about in regards to Bill c-11 is the government or a government agency being in charge of what do we as Canadians or see and do on the Internet. Neutral bodies like or at least what's supposed neutral government should not be in charge of any media outlets or regulating them Because that works against in essence freedom of expression and association
Jeff	R.	What I chose to consume on online platforms is up to me and only me. It is deeply offensive to me that politicians and bureaucrats take it upon themselves to judge my choices, and to attempt to correct my behaviour.

Ann	M.	What I look at is up to me,Ķperiod. There are laws in place already, I find Canadian content quite easily as is. This bill is a power grab.
Andre	P.	What I see on my feeds could change to things I don't wanna see.
Rick	M.	What I watch should be solely MY choice. I do NOT want my choices limited by a beurocrat sitting in an office in Ottawa.
Christoph	B.	What I'm concerned will change is that the CRTC will force Internet users to ingest content that promotes not only their ideology, but really, their gods, which are not gods, but rather, carved images. These gods are demons which have taken to themselves old names such as Baal, Molech, and Asherah. Baal keeps us in bondage to its economic slavery through the arm of the state, regulating all aspects of economic and cultural life, blessing our harvest for compliance and punishing us harshly for wrong speech. Molech is a bloodthirsty bull who constantly demands the blood of our children through what is called abortion, but let's be honest: it is the murder of the child in the womb. Murder! Finally, Asherah steals our blood in another way, by mutilating and sterilizing our genitals in the name of gender freedom and transgenderism, not unlike the Asherah poles and Totem poles which strip the tree of its sap and leaves and turn it into an ironic symbol of death, a phallis that makes none alive but only kills. There is only one god, who has given us the Bible. The only true God is triune, three persons in one living and true God: the Father, the Son, who is the Lord Jesus Christ, God and man perfectly united in one person, and the Holy Spirit. Jesus Christ came to earth, born of the virgin, lived a righteous life, suffered the wrath of God for our sin, died, was buried, and rose again, and now calls all men everywhere to forsake their sin and their own righteousness, to be forgiven by Jesus' shed blood, and be made new creatures by His resurrection, sanctified to His work. We must all become His slaves. That is the material people should be promoting, and it is not the state's job to use taxes to build culture. That is the job of households. It is the state's job to protect households and the true church, the people of God and the ambassadors of the Lord Jesus Christ on earth.
Joe	D.	What I'm really worried about is the slippery slope this bill doesn't account for: the one where "I'm searching for truth" is replaced by "that's not Canadian content". The internet must be kept as free as possible to ensure it remains useful - for applications we have now as well as those that our children have yet to imagine.

Christoph er	S.	What if I don't want to see CanCon? Please stop trying to implement draconian cable laws onto new age media and leave my feed alone. This is especially relevant to Youtube, Vimeo, Instagram, Facebook. Forcing this content will hurt the overall experience on all of these platforms for something no one asked for or wanted.
Steve	Н.	What if the large streaming services say no or piss off regulators. I am not okay with a major streaming service potentially leaving Canada due to requirements to promote Canadian/Indigenous content that clearly cannot stand on its own through quality.
Michael	M.	What if we didn't pursue promote Canadian content as we do in Quebec for Quebec content and language, but recognize what makes good content, and focus on that? Whether that be financial or promotional through 'Aòlikes', 'Aòshares', 'Aòreposts', or whatever the digital currency' of the day is. That way we could truly support our creators organically, rather than artificially through law. We can percolate the real content creators and have that content be naturally promoted to that of a global stage, rather than myopic artificial bubbles that are a small subset and a small percent of the global population!
Leonardo	G.	What is the extent of the regulation?
Douglas	M.	What is the value of fee speech if someone else controls what you are able to hear? We can compete with the rest of the world. By forcing Canadian content, the quality will disintegrate. I remember how I felt sorry for Russians and Chinese in the 50's when they had every speck of information/knowledge was controlled by the state. I feel like we (Canadians) are about to be brain washed just like them.
Eugene	C.	What is this even needed? Let the market decide.
Bob	C.	What is to stop the CRTC from deciding what they want Canadians to see? The CRTC should be there to prevent things like anything inappropriate directed at children, including the modified grooming crap that is in schools now. I don't believe Canadian content needs protection or financial support, it will stand on its own merit or not. Agencies like the CBC should not be supported by Canadian taxpayers or protected by the CRTC.

What people don't want is to be paying the same price or more to have the same or less content because of any requirements imposed by this bill. People are already mad about Facebook eliminating access to news sites, making streaming platforms adhere to rules here that they don't have to elsewhere will drive the costs up and selection down. Crave, which is Canadian via BELL, should be subject to rules and regulations that any other broadcaster is. I might say the same for Amazon Prime depending on the content as some parts of the platform are subscription add ons that are exclusively Canadian channels and therefore content. Since those are online versions of the cable tv channels, those would seemingly be subject to the CRTC's Can Con rules already (eg: Stack Tv/Global Tv) and it seems that Pluto has adopted some Canadian shows, and it was running Canadian commercials before bill this was becoming more certain. I don't have Disney or Apple TV, but if they're more like Netflix or Roku, then they're an on demand kind of service. You can watch what you want without commercials. Other things like the Stack TV/Global Tv app or the CTV app, which includes Crave, have more of a channels here are just like watching cable tv feel. For all of these you have to subscribe and pay for the content, and even buy more 'channels' to what what you may want to. Allowing Canadian broadcasters to earn more revenue, which to my thinking should mean E. that they can show more Canadian content and fund more of it.

Amber

What the government & most media say about this bill is that it is only designed to benefit Canadians and Canadian creators by making sure that social media companies and other streaming services "pay their fair share" towards Canadian creatives - except WE HAVE NEVER needed the governments help for us to reach the world - I have been moderately successful now on two different social media platforms and thousands of Canadian creators, actors and musicians DO NOT NEED the government to fix the system for us - we are warning Canadians that they are USING US as an excuse to take control over the internet - this is frankly something that only happens in dictatorships like China or Cuba - people for this bill can paint it as colourful as they want, at the end of the day no matter what the excuse is - THIS is the government taking control over the internet. In my opinion this is the most significant point of our history when it comes to civil liberties and freedoms. I believe that voices the government deems not "Canadian" enough or want to label as "misinformation" will be either taken down or severely squashed by the algorithm so that they do not reach a larger audience. MOST CANADIANS DO NOT WANT THIS BILL when they learn of its contents.

**Nicholas** 

S.

		lework regarding continuations to support Canadian and indigenous content
Ron	P.	What TV channels I can watch. I will choose what I want to watch. I don't need the government telling me what I can and can't watch. As for children. Let their parents decide. Government does not need to stick there nose in our homes. We are free citizens and we are smart enough to decide through choices.
David	M.	When authority gets its hands into the mix they have no understanding or what matters. Nor how it gets done. Creativity suffers and that does no one any good. We live in a free country. Entertainment parts must always be left separate and let to grow on it's own without someone who does not understand creativity making rules.
Earl	G.	When controls are implemented there are always unintended consequences. I use as an example the controls AOL put in place to limit access to pornographic materials on their site but blocked legitimate information requests from breast cancer patients. Putting a control framework on top of an mismatched service will result in far too many issues like this and will be little better than blatant censorship.
Solomon	S.	When gig business and big government collude to control what the people can see, hear, say, and think you get fascism. But don't take my word for it, ask Mussolini.
Andrew	S.	When I am browsing, it might become annoying to be unable to quickly go to a non-Canadian site.
Diana	M.	When I search, all I will get is what the government wants me to see and not necessarily the truth or facts.
Erica	S.	When it comes to social media platforms, search engines (such as Google), and media websites that are not owned by Canadian corporations, I do not believe the government should have any regulatory control like C-11 over the availability of content to Canadian citizens, or their ability to share it. We tread a dangerous path when we allow our government to control our access to information, and I truly feel this undermines our democratic freedoms. What is to stop the government from continually tightening restrictions, until the information available to us in this country is as limited as nations like China and North Korea? News sources; Canadian, American, and other, should be readily available, and not down ranked based on origin, or restricted in sharing. Our social media feeds should continue to be unimpeded by our government, as they are an important part of interacting with the global community. Without freedom to share other perspectives and opinions, we lose the free speech that has always been so dear to this country.

		Where I do believe the CRTC has a role, is in promoting Canadian content, and incentivizing media platforms (like YouTube, Netflix, Crave, Hulu, Disney+ etc.) to carry more Canadian content, and create Canadian categories for people who wish to support Canadian media.
Mélodie	D.	When the law is passed, it is actually the beginning of the process. We need to be assured that the monitoring is being done effectively and fairly. How do we do that? Possibly through another agency withing the department that works only on ensuring that all, but especially big global players (Google and Meta) are being help to account for their Al generated feeds on hate and heinous violence through their public forums. It is easy to spout free speech but is it really free speech when another person purposefully defames or threatens another? Words can kill, and history has proven that. In this new millennium, we need to find global boundaries that support free speech without the violent rhetoric. Should violent rhetoric be considered an indictable crime? Is physical violence different from verbal violence? I think not. We have a long road ahead of us with the global shifts needed to make our planet a safer place, and it is like eating an elephant, one bite at a time.
Laurie	S.	Where to begin? Firstly, none of these platforms were designed to be exclusive to any country's content. Most of them take a globalist approach and content creators all over the world are contributing. I'm very patriotic but I don't want Can Con to dominate my feeds. And/or I want the option to shape my feeds by use of my own controls. Not by a regulatory body controlling my feeds. I want to see regulatory bodies help frame and regulate against (serious) disinformation, and against content that is generally deemed to be harmful to viewers. Anything else is over reach. Here's my very personal example. I subscribe to Britbox because I like British crime dramas. They do not use violence gratuitously (as many NA shows do), and the stories are well written and performed. So when I pay for that service, that's what I expect to get. Not some reinvented over controlled (by another country, not even my own) version of that. Otherwise, I won't be subscribing. And that will contribute to the collapse of the entire system as we know it (which if it isn't clear, I'm not in favour of).
Howard	R.	Where's our right to make our own discussion on if it's correct or not.
Connor	G.	While I can understand the push for Canadian generated content, I feel that this push is moot point if it is supported by a large quantity of poor-quality media, rather than media that matches or exceeds the quality of its foreign competitors. Additionally, I strongly believe that

		foreign media should not be outright restricted, as it may push consumers to seek out dangerous and illicit methods of consuming the media that they wish to enjoy.
Chris	M.	While I can understand the sentiment of wanting to promote Canadian content, as a consumer, I am an adult and am capable of searching for the content I want to see. If I want to watch Canadian content, I should have the freedom to do so it should not be forced upon me. At the end of the day, I feel like C-11 has the potential of being abused, similar to how totalitarian nations such as China have vast powers to determine what content is visible to their consumers and equally importantly which opposing viewpoints and content is not being shown. I do not believe the government has a place in dictating to me the content that I should be consuming.
Nicholas	В.	While I don't mind the promotion of more Canadian content or even the addition of an optional Canadian feed for certain services. I do not want the government to be regulating what any citizen should or shouldn't be allowed to watch, which, after reading this bill and what it contains, I am very worried happening. I for one do not consent to such means of controlling what gets put in front of my own eyes for viewing. Not to mention that if the goal of this bill is to promote Canadian content, the restriction of foreign content will undermine this greatly as other countries will follow our lead and do the same which would create less media viewing for Canadians and Canadian creators.
Sarah	D.	While I think it's wonderful to promote Canadian Content (as a creator in Canada, myself), I do not agree with FORCING it on anyone; that is to say: unless we're talking about a channel or creator that literally MARKETS themself as purely/proudly/etc Canadian and that's what people are going to them FOR, people's feeds/searches/platforms should not be manipulated to show them anything but what they WANT. That is: it should be an internet user's CHOICE or OPTION but not mandatory. It should also be the platform's CHOICE or OPTION, honestly. I'm also worried that this is going to become propagandic. Or that something being Canadian will become gimmicky. GOOD content should be what's promoted. It being Canadian should be a bonus.

	II Carr	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Gilles	L.	While I understand the aim of C-11 to support Canadian content and creators, I am concerned about the unintended consequences that could impact my online experience. These include: 1. **Potential Loss of Diversity**: The prioritization of CanCon could lead to the downranking of other international content. This could restrict my access to a diverse range of content and perspectives from around the world. 2. **Censorship Concerns**: The broad regulatory power granted to CRTC might lead to potential censorship or manipulation of the content I see, infringing on my freedom of information. 3. **Negative Impact on User-Generated Content**: The inclusion of all audiovisual content could impact platforms like TikTok and YouTube, where much of the content is user-generated. This might stifle creativity and limit the ability of individuals to share their voices freely. I believe it's crucial to support Canadian content without compromising the diversity, freedom, and creativity that make the internet a vibrant space for communication and cultural exchange.
Jay	M.	While it is nice to find Canadian content on user generated platforms, that content shouldn't be force fed to Canadians through search engine manipulation.
Rachel	M.	Who decides 'what is Canadian' enough? Will this open up more opportunities for non-mainstream content - Canada is a land of immigrants after all
Mara	S.	Who decides what content should be censored? Basically, people can be manipulated based on the content they are seeing. That is the ultimate goal is to control the content that people see so that they can be manipulated. Manipulation & Control of the population is the intent of C-11. Freedom is difficult to achieve and to maintain, but its reward is beyond estimation. Never forget this. Your freedom, our freedom and the possibility for freedom elsewhere represent the true expansion and evolution of life and the greatest promise and achievement for all who are living in this vast universe. A quote from The Allies of Humanity Briefings
Teresa	F.	Who is doing the editing and how they decide what to/not edit, how qualified, their biases or opinions, if they are able to be influenced by "other" sources ,Ķlike our federal elections and government officials are by China
Wayne	S.	Who watches the watchers. Too much power for a entity that is not voted in by the people.

	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
h.	why are we getting less internet and paying more money . crtc should work for the poeple. because thats who pays them.
F.	Why do we need that C-11. Another watchdog. Get the users more affordable internet!
R.	Why haven't you put onesoccer on our ignite and bell fibe and cable packages yet you want more cancon content also please respect free speech on either side also bring in more competition in thia country
R.	Why is C-11 even being passed? Why does this government feel that the CRTC needs to make changes as to how Canadians view content? Are they trying to protect Canadians from harmful foreign content? Unless the CRTC is going to censor disgusting sexual programming stop trying to interfere with what people watch. There is very little Canadian content that provides quality media and most journalism is propaganda.
K.	Why is the CRTC even considering curating my content consumption? Is that not a form of censorship? Canada cannot complain about China's censorship practices if the CRTC employs similar ones. I've been perfectly capable of searching online and determining what content I want to consume for the past 20 years, without the government's help. Can the CRTC explain how their interference will make the average Canadian's life better?
t.	Why not just allow an open platform (with moral and ethical exceptions). I am perfectly capable of determining what is right for me.
S.	Why regulate our online experience? So tired of this government overreaching. LEAVE PEOPLE ALONE
Н.	Why should we not get we want for new Etc
S.	Will ruin them.
C.	Will watch it somewhere else
T.	With all the real problems going on in this country why is the government wasting time and money on this?
S.	Won't see what I want to be able to look at
L.	Worried about Censorship
P.	Worried about the algorithms not showing me content that is relevant to what I want to watch. I already watch plenty of cancon as it is recommend based on my viewing patterns. I'm worried I'll have content
	h. F. R. K. T. S. L.

		that is not relevant to me based on where the content was created or services removing currently available content in order to meet a criteria.
Kirby	T.	Worried that Got. Will control the content so it is in their favor as this has already happened and is currently happening!!
Woody	N.	Worried what context Canadian content could be subverted and manipulated by our government to persuade people and manipulate opinion in many areas. It's not right and people don't need to be told whats right or not. The truth always prevails as long as there is free and open discussions. It's natural progression and our .gov should have it's hand in that
Т	T.	worse search results than already exist and even more censorship leading to their marxist dreams
gerald	S.	would C-11 allow Canadian news to continue or would it block the Canadian news
Dennis	B.	would choose not to use the internet
Justin	Н.	Would give the Canadian government control over what Canadians can view online and would drive more people to use VPN's thereby creating more issues around copyright restrictions and pirated content.
Matthew	R.	Would prefer no regulation
Lorrie	K.	WTF does this even mean????
Darin	B.	Yes as Canadian content largely sucks.
Charles	S.	Yes shouldn't never pass as a law it's a disgrace to freedom
Peter	K.	Yes, I am concerned about not getting critical information to make an informed decision.
Dorothea	T.	Yes. Censoring my feeds and content without my permission.
Brenda	l.	Yesterday I took an Air Canada flight in Canada. If Bill C-11 is responsible for the small number of movies to choose from, and most of the movies offered were Canadian, I feel this is censorship!! This Bill C-11 must NOT BECOME A FORM OF CENSORSHIP FOR CANADIANS ANYWHERE!!
Peter	N.	You are regulation user content; you are prioritizing what I can see;

	II all	lework regarding contributions to support Canadian and indigenous content
Chad	C.	You are supposed. Too be protecting our free speech and though. In a free country you do not censor people and shame them while lying to us. You have all failed to protect us which is your job. You should have pressed charges on the government long ago. If you do not soon you will go to jail with the rest of the corrupt greedy bastards. Do your duty,we need you
Jennifer	M.	You are taking a voice away for others to learn from. We do not live in a dictatorship. We had people fight for this freedom and now you are going to make people conform?!? This is 2023, right?
Jason	F.	You are taking away freedoms
Charles	B.	You DON'T GET to tell me what I see or don't see. I WILL TELL YOU what I want or don't want, NAZI.
Ken	M.	You have no business deciding what people watch. Canadian usually means its sucks all MSN lies to us daily and the government has let them, not you want to control our thoughts and opinions leaving nothing but lies I wasn't brought up to be a communist your liberal bullshit can go straight to hell we are voting you out and ripping this crap to shreds it will not stand. Tell black face to go fuck off to China where he belongs.
jamie	n.	You have no mandate from the public to do anythingyou are appointed bureaucrats that can't make anyone do anything. This crap gets started by you peopleI simply get a VPN and Starlinkcutting all your foolishness out completely.
Nicole	D.	You have no right to censor ANYTHING from WE THE PEOPLE!! You do not have any jurisdiction over men and women. We are not your subjects nor are we ,Äòpersons'. YOU WORK FOR US. WE FUND YOU! Back off!
Dan	P.	You need to stop blocking what's happening in the world and allow people to have free opinions and their ability to make decisions on their own.
Michael	Z.	You should be concerned with the content that our children have access to. Including some of the tv shows that just make you watch a disclaimer , before the show. Most parents don't police what their kids watch. CRTC should place restricted shows at later times in the evening and place more restrictions on Tic tok and other careless sites
lainie	k.	You should explain it all better before asking questions

S	G.	You should regulate online gambling during sports events. You have invaded your responsibilities by claiming that gambling is a provincial jurisdictional activity. What about tobacco and alcohol? Those have federal controls on their promotion. I consider the CRTC to be a vestigial agency, The appendix of the crown corporations body.
James	F.	You should stay out of my affairs I watch what I want to watch and the CRTC should not be programming Canadian content anywhere your acting the way China and Russia treats their people and it has to stop now ,Ķ if you don't you should be shut down
Gheorgh e	G.	You will access only what government thinks is appropriate and thats totally wrong. I don't need their education .
Wayne	W.	You will have heard this before. The Truth. The truth according to who is the question. I want to evaluate and find the sliver of truth that all media tends to gloss over. I also watch American content for entertainment and information. Ever heard the phrase if America gets the sniffles Canada gets Pneumonia? Not to mention if 'you' the CRTC limit too much of our southern neighbour's content the retaliation may be in the least irritating.