

29 January 2024

Mr. Heath MacDonald Chair Standing Committee on Public Safety and National Security House of Commons Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0A6 heath.macdonald@parl.gc.ca

CC: Committee Vice Chairs Doug Shipley, Kristina Michaud, and MP Peter Julian

## Subject: Concerns about Bill S-210

Dear Mr. MacDonald,

We, the undersigned civil society organisations, individuals, technology and legal experts are writing to express our strong concerns regarding <u>Bill S-210</u>, *"An Act to restrict young persons" online access to sexually explicit material."* We appreciate the desire to protect children by reducing their exposure to age-inappropriate sexual material on the Internet. Regrettably, as currently written, S-210 does not strike an appropriate balance between that goal and Canadians' fundamental rights to privacy, freedom of expression, security of the person, and access to information. In this letter, we outline some of the most egregious aspects of the Bill.

## Privacy Risks and Age Verification:

Information about our intimate interests and identities is amongst the most sensitive personal data that exists. While *Section 11(2)* of S-210 attempts to provide some guidelines for protecting this data, we are concerned that S-210 does not clearly rule out or protect against the use of risky, privacy-violating online ID verification methods, including the presentation of government ID, analysing a user's social networks to estimate identity, or live facial recognition technology. If implemented without stringent safeguards, each of these technologies could lead to unwarranted surveillance, profiling, and the creation of dangerously vulnerable databases. We caution that Bill C-27 (including Part 3) also does not provide the necessary safeguards.

## **Overly Broad Scope:**

Section 5 of Bill S-210 lacks a specific requirement of an intention by an ISP to make adult content available on a commercial basis, thus raising significant concerns about how much of the Internet the Bill would put behind an age gate. Much of the Internet earns commercial revenue, whether directly or indirectly, and many services permit user uploads and user content. Yet Section 5 is so broadly written that it would affect not only user content hubs like Reddit and file-sharing services, but even basic Internet functions like search engines and Internet Access Providers.

OpenMedia Engagement Network // P.O. Box 21674, 1424 Commercial Dr, Vancouver, BC, Canada V5L 5G3 // 1-844-891-5136



Section 9(5) creates additional risks about over-capture and does not represent a proportionate approach to reducing young people's ease of access to adult material, without undue impact on other content or users.

Absent both clear thresholds and intentional distribution provisions that limit the scope of affected services, mainstream Internet services are likely to adopt verification measures that undermine Internet security and safety, exclude many users, and use automated tools that over-moderate content for all users, including removing artistic, health, and educational material which Bill S-210 acknowledges should be freely accessible to all.

## Website Blocking as a Remedy:

The coercive remedy for compliance provided by Section 9(1) — website blocking — raises additional concerns. Website blocking is an imprecise tool that often captures far more content and sites than explicitly targeted. As such, it should be only permitted in narrow, necessary and clearly defined circumstances.

Under Section 9(5), the Federal Court must determine that the order is "necessary to ensure that the sexually explicit material is not made available to young persons on the Internet in Canada". If these order-making powers are retained, this safeguard must at minimum be strengthened by explicitly requiring the order to be issued only where the interest in preventing access by young persons clearly overrides the freedom of expression rights and impact of other users, with consideration for what other services may be affected.

Due to the critical concerns we have highlighted above, we believe Bill S-210 cannot be allowed to pass into law in its current form.

We thank you for your consideration and would welcome the opportunity to discuss our concerns and a path forward with you in further detail.

Sincerely,

Organisational Signatories OpenMedia Action Canada for Sexual Health and Rights BC Civil Liberties Association BC Coalition of Experiential Communities Centre for Law and Democracy Erotic Service Providers Legal Education and Research Project (ESPLERP) Ligue des droits et libertés Privacy & Access Council of Canada



Individual Signatories Brenda McPhail, Director of Executive Education, Master of Public Policy Program, McMaster University Michael Geist, University of Ottawa